

**AGENDA FOR A MEETING OF THE TENDERS SUBCOMMITTEE TO BE HELD IN THE
MANUKAU ROOM AT WAITAKERE CENTRAL, 6 HENDERSON VALLEY ROAD,
HENDERSON, WAITAKERE, ON FRIDAY, 27 FEBRUARY 2009,
COMMENCING AT 9.00 AM**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>ITEM</u>		<u>PAGE NO.</u>
1	APOLOGIES	1
2	URGENT BUSINESS	1
3	CONFLICTS OF INTEREST	1
4	CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES	1
5	CONTRACT NO. EW08001G - STORMWATER RENEWAL 96 HUIA ROAD	2
6	CONTRACT NO. EW08049A - PROFESSIONAL SERVICES FOR FLOW AND RAINFALL MONITORING PROGRAMME	4
7	CONTRACT NO. PY08003B - HOUSING FOR OLDER ADULT REFURBISHMENT PROJECT: WESTVIEW VILLAGE	9
8	CONTRACT NO. TA07047B - PAVEMENT REHABILITATION 2008/2009	14
9	CONTRACT NO. TA08029A - TRIANGLE ROAD AND CENTRAL PARK DRIVE CYCLEWAYS - DETAILED DESIGN	17
	<u>PUBLIC EXCLUDED MATTER</u>	22
10	CONTRACT NO. IM05149A - SUPPLY, IMPLEMENTATION AND MAINTENANCE OF HEWLETT PACKARD BLADE SERVERS - APPROVAL TO EXTEND	22

**AGENDA FOR A MEETING OF THE TENDERS SUBCOMMITTEE TO BE HELD IN THE
MANUKAU ROOM AT WAITAKERE CENTRAL, 6 HENDERSON VALLEY ROAD,
HENDERSON, WAITAKERE, ON FRIDAY, 27 FEBRUARY 2009,
COMMENCING AT 9.00 AM**

1 APOLOGIES



2 URGENT BUSINESS

Section 46A(7) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 provides that where an item of business is not on the agenda, it may only be dealt with at the meeting if:

- (i) the Committee by resolution so decides; and
- (ii) the Chairman has explained at the beginning of the meeting (when open to the public) that the item will be raised for discussion and decision, why the item is not on the agenda, and why it cannot be delayed until a subsequent meeting.

The Committee may make a decision on a matter determined to be urgent.

NOTE: Urgent Business need not be dealt with now and may be delayed until later in the meeting.



3 CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The Council has acknowledged in its Code of Conduct that Elected Members need to be vigilant to stand aside from decision making when a conflict arises between their role as a member of the Council and any private or other external interest they might have. This note is provided as a reminder to members to check that no such conflicts arise in relation to any items on this agenda.



4 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

Meeting Minutes - Friday, 13 February 2009

RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Tenders Subcommittee resolve to:

Receive the minutes of the meeting of the Tenders Subcommittee held on Friday, 13 February 2009, as circulated, and that they be taken as read and now be confirmed.



5 CONTRACT NO. EW08001G - STORMWATER RENEWAL 96 HUIA ROAD

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The purpose of this report is to seek approval from the Tenders Subcommittee to award Contract No. EW08001G - Stormwater Renewal 96 Huia Road.

RECOMMENDATIONS

It is recommended that the Tenders Subcommittee resolve to:

1. **Receive** the Contract No. EW08001G - Stormwater Renewal 96 Huia Road Report.
2. **Approve** that, the tender from H&H Contractors Limited for Contract No. EW08001G - Stormwater Renewal 96 Huia Road in the sum of \$251,243.00 plus \$31,405.38 GST, totalling \$282,648.38 be accepted.
3. **Approve** that authority to enter into Contract No. EW08001G - Stormwater Renewal 96 Huia Road on behalf of Council be delegated to the Group Manager: Project Services.

BACKGROUND

1. A landslide occurred at 96 Huia Road damaging a stormwater pipeline that previously conveyed runoff from the road to the toe of a steep slope. The current stormwater control system requires improvement and a replacement pipeline to be constructed beneath the slip zone.

SCOPE OF WORK

2. The scope of work comprises the construction of a timber retaining wall, buttress drains and earthworks for the re-contouring of the slip zone. The existing stormwater pipeline will be renewed by directional drilling along with its associated drainage works.

TENDERS RECEIVED

3. Tenders were publicly advertised on Tenderlink with 47 sets of documents being uplifted. Four (4) tenders were received by the closing date of Tuesday, 27 January 2009. These are summarised in Table 1 below:

TENDERER	REG OFFICE	TENDER PRICE (excl. GST)
H & H Contractors Limited	12A Culperry Road, Glendene, AUCKLAND	\$251,243.00
Ground Structures Limited	215 Point View Drive, Howick, AUCKLAND	\$294,665.00
Infrastructure & CivilWorks Limited	2/1 William Pickering Drive, North Harbour	\$307,108.00
Contract Landscapes Limited	64a Tramway Road, Beach Haven, AUCKLAND	\$314,400.00
Pre-tender contract estimate		\$304,280.00

Table 1 - Summary of Tender Prices

TAGS, ERRORS OR OMISSIONS

4. Tags from Infrastructure & CivilWorks Limited were withdrawn after discussion with the tenderer and replaced with one to include the provision of traffic control for the relocation of a Telecom cable. This was considered to be acceptable to Council.

TENDER EVALUATION

5. In accordance with the conditions of tendering, tenders were evaluated in line with the Weighted Attribute Method contained in the New Zealand Transport Agency's "Competitive Pricing Procedures Manual".
6. A summary of the evaluation results is shown in Table 2:

Tender Evaluation	Attributes	Exp.	Track Record	Tech Skill	Res.	Manage Skill	Method	Price	Total Weight
	Weights	10	10	5	5	10	10	50	100
Tenderer	Tender Sum	Grades							INDEX
H&H Contractors Limited	\$251,243.00	80	70	75	75	70	55	66	68
Ground Structures Limited	\$294,665.00	85	85	80	80	75	85	52	67
Infrastructure & CivilWorks Limited	\$307,108.00	78	70	70	70	65	65	48	59
Contract Landscapes Limited	\$314,400.00	80	85	75	75	75	48	46	59
Median Tender Price	\$300,886.50								

Table 2 - Summary of Tender Evaluation

7. Scores for the non-price attributes were determined on the basis of information provided in each tender and the information gathered from referees nominated by the tenderers.
8. H&H Contractors Limited have undertaken several projects of a similar nature to the tendered works, including landslide stabilisation and ground retention in difficult access situations. They have extensive experience in stormwater drainage and road works.
9. The results of the tender evaluation as summarised in Table 2 show that the tender submitted by H&H Contractors Limited achieved the highest index of 68. This tender is also the lowest priced tender and is 17% below the pre-tender contract estimate.
10. Reference checks on H&H Contractors Limited indicate that they are an experienced, highly skilled and well-resourced contractor able to meet the challenges of this project.
11. As part of Council's contracting process "Quality, Health and Safety and Environmental compliance are not negotiable" - all contractors have had this focus clearly reinforced through the contract documents and this will be strongly reiterated at the subsequent pre-start meeting and imposed during the contract period.

CREDIT CHECK

12. A credit check on H&H Contractors Limited dated 10 February 2009 revealed no adverse information.

JOB COSTS

13.		excl. GST
	Tender sum - H&H Contractors Limited	\$251,243.00
	Contingency	\$ 25,124.30
	Engineering and Supervision	\$ 16,980.00

	Total Job Cost	\$293,347.30

SOURCE OF FUNDING

14. Source of funding is shown in Table 3 below:

Budget Description (from annual budget line item) Annual Plan 2008/2009	Codes	Budget	Committed to other projects	Allocation to this project	Unallocated balance
Stormwater Renewals	8AMEW- 07-163	\$3,571,000.00	\$1,540,204.00	\$293,347.30	\$1,737,448.70
	Total			\$293,347.30	

Table 3 - Source of Funding

IMPLEMENTATION ISSUES

15. The Council is currently processing a building consent application for the retaining wall. This consent will be in place prior to commencement of the contract.

Report prepared by: Vijay Mungro, Contracts Engineer, Project Services.



6 CONTRACT NO. EW08049A - PROFESSIONAL SERVICES FOR FLOW AND RAINFALL MONITORING PROGRAMME

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The purpose of this report is to seek approval from the Tenders Subcommittee to award Contract No. EW08049A - Professional Services for Flow and Rainfall Monitoring Programme.

RECOMMENDATIONS

It is recommended that the Tenders Subcommittee resolve to:

1. **Receive** the Contract No. EW08049A - Professional Services For Flow And Rainfall Monitoring Programme report.
2. **Approve** that the tender from Auckland Regional Council for Contract No. EW08049A - Professional Services for Flow and Rainfall Monitoring Programme for the period 1 March 2009 to 30 June 2011 in the sum of \$272,162.00 plus \$34,020.25 GST, totalling \$306,182.25 be accepted.

3. **Approve** the commitment of \$118,431.50 excluding GST to the Annual Plan 2009/2010 for Contract No. EW08049A - Professional Services for Flow and Rainfall Monitoring Programme.
4. **Approve** the commitment of \$115,421.90 excluding GST to the Annual Plan 2010/2011 for Contract No. EW08049A - Professional Services for Flow and Rainfall Monitoring Programme
5. **Approve** that authority to enter into Contract No. EW08049A - Professional Services for Flow and Rainfall Monitoring Programme on behalf of the Council be delegated to the Deputy Director: City Services.
6. **Approve** that authority to award cumulative contract variations to Contract No. EW08049A - Professional Services for Flow and Rainfall Monitoring Programme, up to the value of the contingency sum of \$27,216.20 plus GST on behalf of the Council be delegated to the Deputy Director: City Services.

BACKGROUND

1. Continuous rainfall records are necessary in order to provide a long term database from which meaningful statistics can be derived for hydrological modelling and in planning future capital works. These records should also satisfy the day to day monitoring needs in relation to the operation and performance of stormwater, wastewater and river systems within the City. The rainfall distribution within the City is highly variable and whereas some trends may exist, the distribution can differ from one rainstorm to another.
2. There are twenty-seven (27) rainfall stations in the Waitakere City Council area of which nineteen (19) are owned by Council, three (3) by Auckland Regional Council and five (5) by Watercare Services Limited. The age of the stations varies from Whenuapai (installed 1924) to Piha, installed in 2007. Most of the other stations were installed in the 1990's. Seven (7) stations have real time monitoring where data is available via telemetry providing up to date information during high rainfall and flooding events.
3. The data from all the stations are archived using the Hydsis software operated by the Auckland Regional Council. This process is very satisfactory and has been operational for the past fifteen (15) years. Council staff can obtain all rainfall data from the Auckland Regional Council at very short notice.
4. The maintenance and data collection from rainfall stations was done by various contractors up to the year 2006/2007. This contract was competitively tendered in July 2007 and the Hydrological Division of Auckland Regional Council was the successful tenderer.
5. A report was submitted to the Tenders Subcommittee on 14 June 2008 and the Tenders Subcommittee resolved to:

“The Tenders Subcommittee resolved to:

1. **Receive** the Contract No. EW08049A-Flow and Rainfall Monitoring Programme report.
2. **Approve** that the Deputy Director: City Services be given authority to enter into negotiations with Auckland Regional Council on the basis of a preferred supplier for Contract No. EW08049A-Flow and Rainfall Monitoring Programme 2008/2009.

3. *Approve that the outcome of the negotiations process with Auckland Regional Council for the Contract No. EW08049A-Flow and Rainfall Monitoring Programme 2008/2009 be reported back to the Tenders Subcommittee for approval to award a contract for 2008/2009 with the provision to extend beyond 30 June 2009 on an annual basis until 30 June 2011.”*

1406/2008

SCOPE OF WORK

Rainfall & Flow Gauge Stations

Field Operations

6. Six (6) weekly servicing of equipment including the storage gauge, tipping bucket sensor, primary and backup data loggers. Minor site maintenance as required, including cutting grass monthly at all sites not mowed under separate mowing contract. Field calibration of tipping bucket sensors to be undertaken annually, with additional calibration at those sites where it may be required. A provisional cost item is included to cover the costs to replace and supply new batteries and other miscellaneous materials (as approved by the Engineer). The rating curves at all permanent flow gauges to be updated during high rainfall events.

Data Processing

7. Routine processing, editing and archiving of data in Hydsys. Maintaining individual files of both uncorrected and corrected data. Updating of text files relating to processing, together with the 'comments' file. Other work related to the management of the database, including backing up. All data processing files and work sheets to be provided to Council on a monthly basis.

Alarms & Telemetry

8. Provision of real time alarms to Council and nominated contractors staff as e-mail and text messages to cell phones. Real time data to be transferred to Council's telemetry system as well to make all data available on the Auckland Regional Council Website with password access for Council staff.

Reporting - Monthly

9. The monthly report includes a brief comment and evaluation of the month's rainfall, comparison with long term rainfall, and presentation of maximum rainfall depths. In addition, reporting will include preparation and submission to EcoWater of the following for each rainfall station: tabular and graphical output including hourly, daily, and maximum rainfall depths for selected durations; text files of hourly data, Hydsys files of all processed and filed data for the month, including all raw data files. Also arrange for the transfer of filed data to the Auckland Regional Council in Hydsys compatible format. A similar three (3) monthly report to be provided for the flow gauging stations.
10. Tabular output of data is sent to two property owners at the Forrest Hill and Opanuku Road sites.

Reporting - Annual

11. Reporting includes preparation and submission of a full descriptive report on the years (ending 30 June) flow/rainfall data gathering, processing and archiving. Standard summaries associated with data processing, together with compilation and presentation of statistics should be included. An executive summary should include pertinent statistics related to the previous calendar year and to the financial year ended. Pertinent statistics involve a comparison of data from the Keeling Road site and Anawhata Junction site.

TENDERS RECEIVED

12. A tender was invited from the preferred supplier and this tender was received by the closing date of 12 December 2008. This tender is summarised in Table 1 below:

TENDERER	REG OFFICE	TENDER PRICE (excl. GST)
Auckland Regional Council	21 Pitt Street, Auckland 1142	\$343,054.00
Pre-tender contract estimate		\$300,000.00

Table 1 - Summary of Tender Prices

13. Due to the delay in formalising a contract the management of the rainfall and flow gauging network was carried out by extending the previous contract EW07015A. Further negotiations were carried out with Auckland Regional Council and a revised quotation dated 2 February 2009 was submitted based on the same tendered rates for the revised contract period from 1 March 2009 to 30 June 2011. The revised tender amounts to \$272,162 for the new contract period of 28 months.

TAGS, ERRORS OR OMISSIONS

14. There were no other errors or omissions in the other tenders.

TENDER EVALUATION

15. In accordance with the conditions of tendering, tenders were evaluated in line with the Weighted Attribute Method contained in the New Zealand Transport Agency's "Competitive Pricing Procedures Manual".
16. These procedures require that where the tender includes Provisional Sums (work valued by the Principal and placed within the Schedule of Prices) they are deducted from the Tender Sum prior to evaluating each tender.
17. In this contract the value of Provisional Sums is \$20,000.
18. A summary of the evaluation results is shown in Table 2:

Tender Evaluation	Attributes	Exper.	Track Record	Tech. Skill	Resour.	Manag. Skill	Method	Price	Total Weight
	Weights	20	10	20	0	5	20	25	100
Tenderer	Tender Sum	Grades							INDEX
Auckland Regional Council	\$252,162.00	80	80	80	80	75	75	42	69

Table 2 - Summary of Tender Evaluation

19. Scores for the non-price attributes were determined on the basis of information provided in the tender, and on recent Council experience with the tenderer.
20. The results of the tender evaluation as summarised in Table 2 show that the tender submitted by Auckland Regional Council achieved the index of sixty nine (69). This tender is considered to be competitive for the work involved.
21. The pre-tender contract estimate was less than the original submitted tender because the value of a new component of the work (wet weather calibration during high rainfall events) had been underestimated. Other tendered items were as expected, and competitive.
22. As part of Council's contracting process "Quality, Health and Safety and Environmental compliance are not negotiable" - all contractors have had this focus clearly reinforced through the contract documents and this will be strongly reiterated at the subsequent pre-start meeting and imposed during the contract period.

CREDIT CHECK

23. A credit check on Auckland Regional Council is not considered necessary.

JOB COSTS

24.	excl. GST
Tender sum - Auckland Regional Council	\$272,162.00
Contingency	\$ 27,216.20
Engineering and Supervision	\$ 0.00

Total Job Cost	<u>\$299,378.20</u>

SOURCE OF FUNDING

25. Source of funding is shown in Table 3 below:

Budget Description (from annual budget line item)					
Annual Plan 2008/2009	Codes	Budget	Committed to other projects	Allocation to this project	Unallocated balance
Stormwater Network Modelling	8AMEW-07- 146	\$627,000.00		\$65,524.80	\$561,475.20
	Total			\$65,524.80	

Budget Description (from annual budget line item)					
Annual Plan 2009/2010	Codes	Budget	Committed to other projects	Allocation to this project	Unallocated balance
Flow and Rainfall Programme	7080	\$80,000.00	\$0.00	\$80,000.00	\$0.00
Stormwater Network Modelling	8AMEW-07- 146	\$518,000.00		\$38,431.50	\$479,568.50
	Total			\$118,431.50	

Budget Description (from annual budget line item)					
Annual Plan 2010/2011	Codes	Budget	Committed to other projects	Allocation to this project	Unallocated balance
Flow and Rainfall Programme	7080	\$80,000.00	\$0.00	\$80,000.00	\$0.00
Stormwater Network Modelling	8AMEW-07- 146	\$533,000.00		\$35,421.90	\$497,578.10
	Total			\$115,421.90	

Table 3 - Source of Funding

IMPLEMENTATION ISSUES

26. There are no expected implementation issues with this contract.

Report prepared by: Richard Taylor, Assets and Network Manager.



7 CONTRACT NO. PY08003B - HOUSING FOR OLDER ADULT REFURBISHMENT PROJECT: WESTVIEW VILLAGE

GLOSSARY

Housing for Older Adults	(HFOA)
Housing New Zealand Corporation	(HNZC)
Housing Innovation Fund	(HIF)

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The purpose of this report is to seek approval from the Tenders Subcommittee to award Contract No. PY08003B - Housing for Older Adult Refurbishment Project: Westview Village.

RECOMMENDATIONS

It is recommended that the Tenders Subcommittee resolve to:

- Receive** the Contract No. PY08003B - Housing For Older Adult Refurbishment Project: Westview Village report.
- Approve** that the tender from Great Kauri Construction Limited for Contract No. PY08003B - Housing for Older Adult Refurbishment Project: Westview Village in the sum of \$867,715.32 plus \$108,464.42 GST, totalling \$976,179.74 be accepted.
- Approve** that authority to enter into Contract No. PY08003B - Housing for Older Adult Refurbishment Project: Westview Village on behalf of the Council be delegated to the Deputy Director: City Services.

4. **Approve** that authority to award cumulative contract variations to Contract No. PY08003B - Housing for Older Adult Refurbishment Project: Westview Village, up to the value of the contingency sum of \$86,771.53 plus GST on behalf of the Council be delegated to the Deputy Director: City Services.

BACKGROUND

1. The Council owns 336 Housing for Older Adult (HFOA) units throughout Waitakere.
2. The Council is currently undertaking a major revitalisation project on 11 of the "12 HFOA villages. The project is expected to be completed over 3 years, between July 2007 and June 2010.
3. Sustainability and eco-friendly options have been incorporated into the design features of the refurbishments. The most significant contributions will be the reduction of condensation and heat loss through insulation, draught-stopping and ventilation, and water savings through the installation of low water use taps, shower heads and toilets.
4. Council applied for and was successful in obtaining funding of \$1.5 million from Housing New Zealand Corporation's (HNZC's) Housing Innovation Fund (HIF) towards the costs of the first stage of renovations.
5. The first stage of renovations on the 11 villages was completed in June 2008. This included refurbishment of 79 units over 4 villages.
6. The Council applied for and was successful in obtaining additional funding of \$1.5 million from HNZC's HIF towards the costs of the second stage of renovations.
7. This funding together with Council funding is being used to upgrade 86 units over (5 of Council's HFOA villages. The remaining villages will be upgraded during the 2009/2010 financial year). The units included in the current year's works are:
 - Flagstaff Village - 1 unit;
 - Kaumatua Court - 29 units;
 - 19 Karaka Street - 14 units; and
 - Westview Village - 42 units.
8. Funding was approved by the Council in the Annual Plan 2008/2009 for this work.

SCOPE OF WORK

9. The scope of work is for internal renovations on all 42 units at 100 West Coast Road, Glen Eden, including the following:
 - Insulation, new paint and floor coverings throughout;
 - Full bathroom refurbishment;
 - Full kitchen refurbishment;
 - Additional security items including exterior sensor light, security chain and viewer, security screen door and restrictor stays on windows; and
 - New electrical switch board, light fittings, switches and power points.

10. The work is to be completed in 4 stages:
- Stage 1 (11 Units) - 2 March 2009 to 27 March 2009;
 - Stage 2 (11 units) - 1 April 2009 to 29 April 2009;
 - Stage 3 (11 units) - 4 May 2009 to 29 May 2009; and
 - Stage 4 (9 units) - 2 June 2009 to 26 June 2009.

TENDERS RECEIVED

11. Tenders were publicly advertised on Tenderlink with 20 sets of documents being downloaded. Ten (10) tenders were received by the closing date of Thursday, 29 January 2009. These are summarised in Table 1 below:

TENDERER	REG OFFICE	TENDER PRICE (excl. GST)
Great Kauri Construction Limited	PO Box 300169, Albany NORTH SHORE CITY	\$867,715.32
Canam Interiors Limited	Private Bag 93116, Henderson WAITAKERE CITY	\$927,342.80
Edgecity Builders Limited	PO Box 15 320, New Lynn WAITAKERE CITY	\$949,488.60
United Construction Services Limited	Unit F, 5 Lorien Place, East Tamaki MANUKAU CITY	\$980,449.20
Shakthi Construction Limited	PO Box 40603, Glenfield NORTH SHORE CITY	\$1,045,264.97
Bourke Construction Limited	PO Box 76-236, Manukau CITY	\$1,175,473.00
Tribro Construction Limited	PO Box 517, Drury FRANKLIN	\$1,200,189.44
Coastline Construction Limited	50-52 Porana Road, Takapuna NORTH SHORE CITY	\$1,252,956.00
Masta Dec Auckland Limited	PO Box 76-342, Manukau MANUKAU CITY	Disqualified
Ask Metro Limited	PO Box 84103, Westgate WAITAKERE CITY	\$1,383,828.00
Pre-tender contract estimate:		\$1,092,000.00

Table 1 - Summary of Tender Prices

TAGS, ERRORS OR OMISSIONS

12. The tender from Canam Interiors Limited contained tags for items included in the scope of works but not priced. These were addressed and confirmed in writing that the correct tender sum was \$957,021.80. This has been included in tender evaluation.
13. The tender from Edgecity Builders Limited contained tags for items included in the scope of works but not priced. These were addressed and confirmed in writing that the correct tender sum was \$965,424.60. This has been included in tender evaluation.
14. The tender from Shakthi Construction Limited contained a tag for an additional cost of \$667.00 per unit for drainage costs of toilet waste if required due to repositioning. Clarification was sought and the tag has been accepted.

15. The tender from Masta Dec Auckland Limited was disqualified as their submitted tender did not include sufficient information in the non-price attributes to enable consideration.
16. There were no tags, errors or omissions in the other tenders.

TENDER EVALUATION

17. In accordance with the conditions of tendering, tenders were evaluated in line with the Weighted Attribute Method contained in the New Zealand Transport Agency's "Competitive Pricing Procedures Manual".
18. A summary of the evaluation results is shown in Table 2:

Tender Evaluation	Attributes	Exper.	Track Record	Tech. Skill	Resour.	Manag. Skill	Method.	Price	Contract Number PY08003B	
									Total Weights	
Weights		10	10	5	10	5	10	50	100	
Tenderer	Tender Sum	Grades							INDEX	
Great Kauri Construction Limited	\$867,715.32	60	55	60	60	55	55	67	62	
Canam Interiors Limited	\$957,021.80	60	55	60	65	65	65	58	60	
Shakthi Construction Limited	\$1,045,264.97	70	65	65	70	70	70	50	59	
United Construction Limited	\$980,449.20	65	55	50	60	60	65	56	58	
Edgecity Builders Limited	\$965,426.60	70	55	60	60	55	40	58	57	
Tribro Construction Limited	\$1,200,189.44	70	60	65	70	65	55	35	50	
Bourke Construction Limited	\$1,175,473.00	55	55	50	55	55	45	38	45	
Coastline Construction Limited	\$1,252,956.00	50	55	55	60	55	50	30	42	
Ask Metro Limited	\$1,383,828.00	65	65	60	65	60	65	18	41	
Masta Dec Auckland Limited								100	Disqualified	
Med.n Tender Price	\$1,045,264.97									

Table 2 - Summary of Tender Evaluation

19. Scores for the non-price attributes were determined on the basis of information provided in each tender, on the information gathered from the referees nominated by the tenderers and on any recent Council experience with the tenderers.
20. Canam Interiors Limited has recently completed work for the Council, completing office alterations in the Waitakere City Council Civic Administration Building. Canam Interiors Limited showed good management and technical skills, and the work was completed on time and on budget to the expected standard. Canam Interiors Limited's good performance for works included in the scope of this contract was also backed up by good references from the Waitemata District Health Board, Malcolm and Sumner Designs and Waitakere City Council's Special Projects department.

21. Great Kauri Construction Limited is currently carrying out a project for the extension and refurbishment of the Green Bay Community House, which is due for completion on 20 February 2009. The Contractor has completed the work to date to a good standard. There were initially some issues with regard to compliance with Resource Consent conditions, variation requests and general site management. These issues have been addressed, and the appointment of a new Project/Site Manager has resulted in significant improvements to the ongoing site management.
22. Further clarification was requested from Great Kauri Construction Limited to ensure that the full scope of works was understood. Confirmation was received in writing, stating that the pricing for all works was included in the tender sum.
23. Great Kauri Construction Limited have confirmed on request that they have nominated an experienced and well-qualified Project Manager to be dedicated to this contract. The nominated Project Manager is also currently filling the position of Project Manager for the Green Bay Community House Extension and has proven to have good technical skills and management skills. This has been reflected in the scoring of the non price attributes.
24. The results of the tender evaluation as summarised in Table 2 show that the tender submitted by Great Kauri Construction Limited achieved the highest index of 62. This tender is also the lowest-priced tender.
25. Great Kauri Construction Limited has the necessary expertise and resources to satisfactorily carry out the works.
26. The tender from Great Kauri Construction Limited is 20.5% below the pre-tender contract estimate.
27. As part of Council's contracting process "Quality, Health and Safety and Environmental compliance are not negotiable" - all contractors have had this focus clearly reinforced through the contract documents and this will be strongly reiterated at the subsequent pre-start meeting and imposed during the contract period.

CREDIT CHECK

28. A credit check on Great Kauri Construction Limited dated 19 November 2008 revealed no adverse information.

JOB COSTS

29.		excl. GST
	Tender sum - Great Kauri Construction Limited	\$ 867,715.32
	Contingency	\$ 86,771.53
	Other Project Costs	\$ 145,513.15

	Total Job Cost	\$1,100,000.00

SOURCE OF FUNDING

30. Source of funding is shown in Table 3 below:

Budget Description (from annual budget line item) 2008/2009 Annual Plan	Codes	Budget	Committed to other projects	Allocation to this project	Unallocated balance
Housing for Older Adults Refurbishment Project	8AMPP-09/002	\$3,192,430	\$2,092,430	\$1,100,000	\$0
Total				\$1,100,000	

Table 3 - Source of Funding

IMPLEMENTATION ISSUES

31. There are no expected implementation issues with this contract.

Report prepared by: Tina Hemsworth, Manager: Property Assets and Jeanine McMullien, Project Manager Property.



8 CONTRACT NO. TA07047B - PAVEMENT REHABILITATION 2008/2009

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The purpose of this report is to seek approval from the Tenders Subcommittee to award Contract No. TA07047B - Pavement Rehabilitation 2008/2009.

RECOMMENDATIONS

It is recommended that the Tenders Subcommittee resolve to:

1. **Receive** the Contract No. TA07047B - Pavement Rehabilitation 2008/2009 report.
2. **Approve** that the tender from Fulton Hogan Limited for Contract No. TA07047B - Pavement Rehabilitation 2008/2009 in the sum of \$1,050,627.65 plus \$131,328.46 GST, totalling \$1,181,956.11 be accepted.
3. **Approve** that authority to enter into Contract No. TA07047B - Pavement Rehabilitation 2008/2009 on behalf of the Council be delegated to the Group Manager: Project Services.

BACKGROUND

1. The Council's road maintenance programme has identified a number of roads that are reaching the end of their design life and are exhibiting several failure modes. These roads have been prioritised and a selection chosen for further investigation, testing and design solutions. Three roads were chosen for inclusion in the 2008/2009 year based on their condition and their network importance.

2. Pavement investigation concluded that the failures in these roads were within the underlying pavement base course layers and not solely within the surface wearing layer. Several rehabilitation options were considered in the design stage. Of these options, the most cost effective solution for each road was chosen.
3. Funding was approved by Council in the Annual Plan 2008/2009 for this work.

SCOPE OF WORK

4. The scope of work includes the following:
 - Lincoln Road, section adjacent to Te Pai Place - Foam bitumen stabilisation;
 - Don Buck Road, section Beauchamp Drive to Westgate Drive - Foam bitumen stabilisation; and
 - Portage Road, section either side of Bolton Street - Deep lift asphalt.

TENDERS RECEIVED

5. Tenders were publicly advertise on Tenderlink with 31 sets of documents being uplifted. Five tenders were received by the closing date of 3 February 2009. These are summarised in Table 1 below:

TENDERER	REG OFFICE	TENDER PRICE (excl. GST)
Fulton Hogan Limited	Christchurch	\$1,050,627.65
Higgins Contractors Limited	Manukau City	\$1,054,865.95
Blacktop Construction Limited	Manukau City	\$1,070,900.42
HEB Construction Limited	Manukau City	\$1,123,443.65
Downer EDI Works Limited	Mount Wellington	\$1,253,635.50
Pre-tender contract estimate		\$1,184,000.00

Table 1 - Summary of Tender Prices

TAGS, ERRORS OR OMISSIONS

6. The tenders submitted by Fulton Hogan Limited, HEB Construction Limited and Higgins Contractors Limited all contained minor tags or tender clarifications within their non-price attribute submissions that were either addressed or removed.
7. Fulton Hogan Limited also submitted an alternative tender. Following analysis and discussion it was found it did not meet the specified outcomes and was withdrawn.
8. There were no other errors or omissions in the other tenders.

TENDER EVALUATION

9. In accordance with the conditions of tendering, tenders were evaluated in line with the Weighted Attribute Method contained in the New Zealand Transport Agency's "Competitive Pricing Procedures Manual".
10. These procedures require that where the tender includes Provisional Sums (work valued by the Principal and placed within the Schedule of Prices) they are deducted from the Tender Sum prior to evaluating each tender.
11. In this contract the value of Provisional Sums is \$5,000.00.

12. The “Two envelope process” as described in Section 2.7 of the above manual was followed. Under this method, only the first tender envelope is opened and the non-price attributes evaluated for all tenders before the second envelope containing the tender price is opened, and the tender prices duly included in the evaluation.
13. Summary of the evaluation results is shown in Table 2:

Tender Evaluation	Attributes	Exper.	Track Record	Tech. Skill	Resour.	Manag. Skill	Method.	Price	Contract Number TA07047 B
									Total Weights
Weights		8	8	4	3	4	3	70	100
Tenderer	Tender Sum	Grades							INDEX
Fulton Hogan Limited	\$1,045,627.65	80	75	70	83	73	78	52	59
Higgins Contractors Limited	\$1,049,865.95	75	73	65	80	73	63	51	58
Blacktop Construction Limited	\$1,065,900.42	80	65	70	78	63	63	50	56
HEB Construction Limited	\$1,118,443.65	65	55	63	65	55	70	45	50
Downer EDI Works Limited	\$1,248,635.50	75	75	68	83	75	80	33	46
Med.n Tender Price	\$1,065,900.42								

Table 2 - Summary of Tender Evaluation

14. Scores for the non-price attributes were determined on the basis of information provided in each tender, on the information gathered from the referees nominated by the tenderers and on any recent Council experience with the tenderers.
15. The results of the tender evaluation as summarised in Table 2 shows that the tender submitted by Fulton Hogan Limited achieved the highest index of 59. This tender is also the lowest priced tender.
16. Fulton Hogan Limited was founded in 1933. They are a privately owned civil construction company and specialise in road surfacing, road maintenance and road rehabilitation. Current and past clients include Waitakere City Council, Rodney District Council, North Shore City Council, Transit New Zealand, Auckland City Council and Manukau City Council.
17. Fulton Hogan Limited is the current Waitakere City Council road maintenance contractor and have successfully completed several other similar projects in the region.
18. The lowest tender is 11.3% below the pre-tender contract estimate.
19. As part of Council’s contracting process “Quality, Health and Safety and Environmental compliance are not negotiable” - all contractors have had this focus clearly reinforced through the contract documents and this will be strongly reiterated at the subsequent pre start meeting and imposed during the contract period.

CREDIT CHECK

20. A credit check on Fulton Hogan Limited dated 10 February 2009 revealed no adverse information.

JOB COSTS

21.		excl. GST
	Tender sum Fulton Hogan Limited	\$1,050,627.65
	Contingency	\$ 105,000.00
	Engineering and Supervision	\$ 90,000.00

	Total Job Cost	\$1,245,627.65

SOURCE OF FUNDING

22. Source of funding is shown in Table 3 below:

Budget Description (from annual budget line item) Annual Plan 2008/2009	Codes	Budget	Committed to other projects	Allocation to this project	Unallocated balance
Road Reconstruction	8AMTA-08-003	\$2,030,000	\$650,000	\$1,245,628	\$134,372
	Total			\$1,245,628	

Table 3 - Source of Funding

IMPLEMENTATION ISSUES

23. There are no expected implementation issues with this contract.

Report prepared by: Neil Prendiville, Roading Projects Manager.



9 CONTRACT NO. TA08029A - TRIANGLE ROAD AND CENTRAL PARK DRIVE CYCLEWAYS - DETAILED DESIGN

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The purpose of this report is to seek approval from the Tenders Subcommittee to award Contract No. TA08029A - Triangle Road and Central Park Drive Cycleways - Detailed Design.

RECOMMENDATIONS

It is recommended that the Tenders Subcommittee resolve to:

1. **Receive** the Contract No. TA08029A - Triangle Road And Central Park Drive Cycleways - Detailed Design report.

2. **Approve** that the tender from GHD Ltd for Contract No. TA08029A - Triangle Road and Central Park Drive Cycleways - Detailed Design in the sum of \$330,252.00 plus \$41,281.50 GST, totalling \$371,533.50 be accepted.

BACKGROUND

1. Council is in the process of upgrading and improving the cycle network within the City. As part of the upgrade process, Council proposes to construct new cycleways that will provide linkages with the existing cycleways, in turn creating a more holistic route for cyclists to utilise and provide increased travel options for residents in Waitakere.
2. Council proposes to construct cycleways along Triangle Road and Central Park Drive. These sites have been identified as being in close proximity with established cycleways. This project will provide a safe and efficient choice of transport options to Waitakere residents, enhancing our stance as an eco city.
3. On 5 November 2008, the Infrastructure and Works Committee passed a resolution to proceed with the scheme plans for Triangle Road and Central Park Drive to the detailed design phase, and funding was approved by the Council in the Annual Plan 2008/2009 for this work. The resolution is as follows:

“The Infrastructure and Works Committee resolved to:

1. **Receive** the Cycleway Projects along Central Park Drive, Triangle Road and Universal Drive Extension report.
2. **Approve** the commissioning of detailed design work, based on the updated option, for the Central Park Drive cycleway project.
3. **Approve** the commissioning of detailed design work, based on the updated option, for the Triangle Road cycleway project but also exploring the possible use of Makora Road.
4. **Approve** the inclusion of cycleways along the Universal Drive Extension.

1872/2008

SCOPE OF WORK

4. The scope of work includes the detailed development of the proposed cycleways along Triangle Road and Central Park Drive based on the approved scheme plans. This includes detailed design, obtaining all required consents and preparation of tender documents for physical works contract. Subject to Council's approval, the Consultant shall assist with the tender evaluation process and construction supervision.
5. If the consultant's service is not required for the tender evaluation process and construction supervision, this contract still requires the assistance and cooperation of the consultant as needed by Council to meet any requirement as outlined in consents by the Auckland Regional Council or Council. The consultant shall respond to any query during the construction work to ensure the successful completion of the project.

TENDERS RECEIVED

6. Tenders were publicly advertised on Tenderlink, 34 sets of documents being uplifted. Twelve (12) tenders were received by the closing date of 9 December 2008. These are summarised in Table 1 below:

TENDERER	REG OFFICE	TENDER PRICE (excl. GST)
GHD Limited	Auckland	\$330,252.00
MWH New Zealand Limited	Auckland	\$348,160.00
URS New Zealand Limited	Ponsonby	\$349,711.00
Opus International Consultants	Orewa	\$354,116.00
Maunsell Limited	Newmarket	\$387,411.00
Sinclair Knight Merz	Newmarket	\$498,489.00
Airey Consultants Limited	Takapuna	Disqualified
Dodd Civil Consultants Limited	Papakura	Disqualified
Duffill Watts Limited	Penrose	Disqualified
MSC Consulting Group Limited	Takapuna	Disqualified
Synergine Group Limited	Mt Eden	Disqualified
Viastrada Limited	Albany	Disqualified
Pre-tender contract estimate		\$392,500.00

Table 1 - Summary of Tender Prices

TAGS, ERRORS OR OMISSIONS

7. The tenders submitted by GHD Ltd and URS New Zealand Ltd contained minor tags within their non-price attribute submission that were addressed and removed.
8. The tenders submitted by Maunsell Ltd contained a minor arithmetic error within their schedule of price. This was not addressed as it did not affect the tender sum and the outcome of the tender evaluation process.
9. There were no other errors or omissions in the other tenders.

TENDER EVALUATION

10. In accordance with the conditions of tendering, tenders were evaluated in line with the Weighted Attribute Method contained in the New Zealand Transport Agency's "Competitive Pricing Procedures Manual".
11. These procedures require that where the tender includes Provisional Sums (work valued by the Principal and placed within the Schedule of Prices) they are deducted from the Tender Sum prior to evaluating each tender.
12. In this contract the value of Provisional Sums is \$30,000.00.
13. The "Two envelope process" as described in Section 2.7 of the above manual was followed. Under this method, only the first tender envelope is opened and the non-price attributes evaluated for all tenders before the second envelope containing the tender price is opened, and the tender prices duly included in the evaluation.

14. A summary of the evaluation results is shown in Table 2:

Tender Evaluation	Attributes	Exper.	Track	Tech. Skill	Manag.	Method.	Price	Contract Number TA08029A
			Record	Resour.	Skill			Total Weights
	Weights	20	15	20	10	15	20	100
Tenderer	Tender Sum	Grades						INDEX
GHD Ltd	\$300,252.00	86	85	73	81	73	67	77
MWH NZ Ltd	\$318,160.00	75	60	63	83	72	62	68
URS New Zealand Ltd	\$319,711.00	91	60	74	76	77	62	74
Opus International Consultants Ltd	\$324,116.00	79	75	76	82	80	61	75
Maunsell Ltd	\$357,411.00	75	80	73	81	83	51	72
Sinclair Knight Merz	\$468,489.00	77	70	81	75	83	21	66
Airey Consultants Limited								Disqualified
Dodd Civil Consultants Limited								Disqualified
Duffill Watts Limited								Disqualified
MSC Consulting group Limited								Disqualified
Synergine Group Limited								Disqualified
Viastrada Limited								Disqualified
Engineer's Estimate	\$362,500.00							

Table 2 - Summary of Tender Evaluation

15. The tender submitted by Dodd Civil Consultants Ltd was disqualified as they submitted the tender as one envelope. Therefore this tender could not be considered further.
16. The tenders submitted by Viastrada Ltd was disqualified as they submitted the tender only for the peer review process. Therefore this tender could not be considered further.
17. The tender submitted by Airey Consultants Ltd, Duffill Watts Ltd, MSC Consulting Group Ltd and Synergine Group Ltd were disqualified because the tenderers did not provide the required Quality Management System, International Organisation for Standardisation (ISO) 9001: 1987 or later. They were therefore excluded from further evaluation.
18. Scores for the non-price attributes were determined on the basis of information provided in each tender, on the information gathered from the referees nominated by the tenderers and on any recent Council experience with the tenderers.
19. The results of the tender evaluation as summarised in Table 2 shows that the tender submitted by GHD Ltd achieved the highest index of 77. This tender is also the lowest priced tender.
20. GHD Ltd was founded in 1994. GHD Ltd is a professional services company and specialise in transportation planning and traffic engineering. Current and past clients include the New Zealand Transport Authority, Auckland City Council and Manukau City Council.
21. GHD Ltd has significant experience in the type and magnitude of work involved in this contract.

22. GHD Ltd referees have confirmed that they are a cooperative consultant to work with and are proactive in finding solutions to problems encountered.
23. The lowest tender is 16% below the pre-tender contract estimate.
24. As part of Council's contracting process "Quality, Health and Safety and Environmental compliance are not negotiable" - all contractors have had this focus clearly reinforced through the contract documents and this will be strongly reiterated at the subsequent pre-start meeting and imposed during the contract period.

CREDIT CHECK

25. A credit check on GHD Ltd dated 03 February 2009 revealed no adverse information.

JOB COSTS

26.	excl. GST
Tender sum - GHD Ltd	\$ 330,252.00
Contingency	\$ 32,748.00

Total Job Cost	\$363,000.00

SOURCE OF FUNDING

27. Source of funding is shown in Table 3 below:

Budget Description (from annual budget line item) Annual Plan 2008/2009	Codes	Budget	Committed to other projects	Allocation to this project	Unallocated balance
On Road Cycle Lanes	8AMTA-07-104/1/2	\$300,000.00	\$62,000.00	\$230,000.00	\$8,000.00
On Road Cycle Lanes	8AMTA-07-104/1/2	\$2,500,000.00	0.00	\$133,000.00	\$2,367,000.00
Total				\$363,000.00	

Table 3 - Source of Funding

IMPLEMENTATION ISSUES

28. The cycle bridge on Huruhuru Creek requires consent from the Auckland Regional Council. This may delay the start of the physical works contract.

Report prepared by: Sreekanth Vidhyadharan, Transport Engineer.



PUBLIC EXCLUDED MATTER

10 CONTRACT NO. IM05149A - SUPPLY, IMPLEMENTATION AND MAINTENANCE OF HEWLETT PACKARD BLADE SERVERS - APPROVAL TO EXTEND

This item will be considered in the Confidential Supplement of the agenda, and has been circulated to members separately with this agenda.

PROCEDURAL MOTION TO EXCLUDE THE PUBLIC

That the public be excluded from the following part of the proceedings of this meeting, Contract No. IM05149A - Supply, Implementation And Maintenance Of Hewlett Packard Blade Servers - Approval To Extend.

The general subject of the matter to be considered while the public is excluded, the reason for passing this resolution in relation of the matter, and the specific grounds under Section 48(1) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 for the passing of this resolution are as follows:

General subject of the matter to be considered.	Reason for passing this resolution in relation to the matter.	Ground(s) under Section 48(1)(a) for the passing of this resolution.
Contract No. IM05149A - Supply, Implementation And Maintenance Of Hewlett Packard Blade Servers - Approval To Extend.	The withholding of information is necessary in order to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • enable any local authority holding the information to carry on, without prejudice or disadvantage, negotiations (including commercial and industrial negotiations). 	That the public conduct of the relevant part of the proceedings of the meeting would be likely to result in the disclosure of information for which good reason for withholding would exist.

This resolution is made in reliance on Section 48(1)(a) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 and the particular interest or interests protected by Section 7(2)(i) of that Act which would be prejudiced by the holding of the relevant part of the proceedings of the meeting in public as follows:

- *This report contains information which if released could affect Council's negotiations.*

