

**AGENDA FOR A MEETING OF THE TENDERS SUBCOMMITTEE TO BE HELD IN THE
MANUKAU ROOM AT WAITAKERE CENTRAL, 6 HENDERSON VALLEY ROAD,
HENDERSON, WAITAKERE, ON FRIDAY, 13 FEBRUARY 2009,
COMMENCING AT 9.00 AM**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| <u>ITEM</u> | | <u>PAGE NO.</u> |
|--------------------|---|------------------------|
| 1 | APOLOGIES | 1 |
| 2 | URGENT BUSINESS | 1 |
| 3 | CONFLICTS OF INTEREST | 1 |
| 4 | CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES | 1 |
| 5 | CONTRACT NO. SP08017A - NEW LYNN PARKING BUILDINGS FEASIBILITY STUDY | 2 |
| 6 | CONTRACT NO. PK09001A - CONTAINMENT PEST PLANT CONTROL - APPROVAL TO PROCEED TO TENDER | 5 |
| 7 | CONTRACT NO. PK09002A - CEMETERY LANDSCAPE MAINTENANCE - APPROVAL TO PROCEED TO TENDER | 7 |
| 8 | CONTRACT NO. RM03502B - ELECTRICITY SUPPLY CONTRACT - APPROVAL TO EXTEND | 9 |

**AGENDA FOR A MEETING OF THE TENDERS SUBCOMMITTEE TO BE HELD IN THE
MANUKAU ROOM AT WAITAKERE CENTRAL, 6 HENDERSON VALLEY ROAD,
HENDERSON, WAITAKERE, ON FRIDAY, 13 FEBRUARY 2009,
COMMENCING AT 9.00 AM**

1 APOLOGIES



2 URGENT BUSINESS

Section 46A(7) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 provides that where an item of business is not on the agenda, it may only be dealt with at the meeting if:

- (i) the Committee by resolution so decides; and
- (ii) the Chairman has explained at the beginning of the meeting (when open to the public) that the item will be raised for discussion and decision, why the item is not on the agenda, and why it cannot be delayed until a subsequent meeting.

The Committee may make a decision on a matter determined to be urgent.

NOTE: Urgent Business need not be dealt with now and may be delayed until later in the meeting.



3 CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The Council has acknowledged in its Code of Conduct that Elected Members need to be vigilant to stand aside from decision making when a conflict arises between their role as a member of the Council and any private or other external interest they might have. This note is provided as a reminder to members to check that no such conflicts arise in relation to any items on this agenda.



4 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

Meeting Minutes – Friday, 23 January 2009

RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Tenders Subcommittee resolve to:

Receive the minutes of the meeting of the Tenders Subcommittee held on Friday, 23 January 2009, as circulated, and that they be taken as read and now be confirmed.



5 **CONTRACT NO. SP08017A - NEW LYNN PARKING BUILDINGS FEASIBILITY STUDY**

GLOSSARY

MPM Projects 2003 Limited

(MPM)

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The purpose of this report is to seek approval from the Tenders Subcommittee to award Contract No. SP08017A - New Lynn Parking Buildings Feasibility Study.

RECOMMENDATIONS

It is recommended that the Tenders Subcommittee resolve to:

1. **Receive** the Contract No. SP08017A - New Lynn Parking Buildings Feasibility Study report.
2. **Approve** that the tender from MPM Projects 2003 Limited for Contract No. SP08017A - New Lynn Parking Buildings Feasibility Study in the sum of \$94,370.00 plus \$11,796.25 GST, totalling \$106,166.25 be accepted.
3. **Approve** that authority to enter into Contract No. SP08017A - New Lynn Parking Buildings Feasibility Study on behalf of the Council be delegated to the Group Manager: Project Services.

BACKGROUND

1. The Council is seeking advice on the feasibility of constructing 3 parking buildings in New Lynn, 1 of which will be an underground parking building. Together, these parking buildings will provide car parking for rail commuters, the public and for local businesses. The feasibility study will determine whether the design and construction of parking buildings is feasible and will inform the appraisal of funding models.

SCOPE OF WORK

2. The works to be carried out under this contract include:
 - Traffic modelling;
 - Structural investigation;
 - Concept design;
 - Provision of a parking management plan; and
 - Cost estimates and feasibility study.

TENDERS RECEIVED

3. Tenders were publicly advertised on Tenderlink with 36 sets of documents being uplifted. Seven tenders were received by the closing date of 16 December 2008. These are summarised in Table 1 below:

| TENDERER | REG OFFICE | TENDER PRICE (excl.GST) |
|--|--|-------------------------|
| Octa Associates Limited | Level 4, 130 Khyber Pass Road, Auckland | \$46,755.00 |
| MPM Projects 2003 Limited | Level 1 Princes Court, 2 Princes Street, Auckland | \$94,370.00 |
| Arrow International Limited | Level 2, 26 Virginia Avenue East, Eden Terrace, Auckland | \$112,490.00 |
| MGA Innovation Limited | 13 Farnham Street, Parnell, Auckland | \$154,213.00 |
| RTA Studio | Suite 2.1, D72 Dominion Road, Auckland | \$156,090.00 |
| Architectus Auckland Limited | Level 2, 3-13 Shortland Street, Auckland | \$164,530.00 |
| Opus International Consultants Limited | Level 3, 100 Beaumont Street, Auckland | \$344,850.00 |
| Pre-tender contract estimate | | \$181,600.00 |

Table 1 - Summary of Tender Prices

TAGS, ERRORS OR OMISSIONS

- There were no tags errors or omissions in the tenders except the tender of Architectus Auckland Limited. This was not addressed as it did not affect the outcome of the tender evaluation process.

TENDER EVALUATION

- In accordance with the conditions of tendering, tenders were evaluated in line with the Weighted Attribute Method contained in the New Zealand Transport Agency's "Competitive Pricing Procedures Manual".
- A summary of the evaluation results is shown in Table 2 below:

| Tender Evaluation | Attributes | Exper. | Track Record | Tech. Skills & Resources | Manag. Skill | Method. | Price | Contract Number SP08017A |
|--|----------------------|--------|--------------|--------------------------|--------------|---------|-------|--------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | Total Weights |
| | Weights | 20 | 20 | 10 | 10 | 20 | 20 | 100 |
| Tenderer | Tender Sum | | | | | | | INDEX |
| Octa Associates Limited | \$46,755.00 | 70 | 65 | 60 | 65 | 60 | 100 | 72 |
| MPM Projects 2003 Limited | \$94,370.00 | 65 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 98 | 78 |
| Arrow International Limited | \$112,490.00 | 65 | 70 | 55 | 60 | 55 | 88 | 67 |
| MGA Innovation Limited | \$154,213.00 | 75 | 75 | 70 | 60 | 60 | 65 | 68 |
| RTA Studio | \$156,090.00 | 75 | 70 | 80 | 70 | 65 | 64 | 70 |
| Architectus Auckland | \$164,530.00 | 85 | 80 | 80 | 75 | 70 | 59 | 74 |
| Opus International Consultants Limited | \$344,850.00 | 70 | 55 | 65 | 55 | 75 | -40 | 44 |
| Engineer's Estimate | \$ 181,600.00 | | | | | | | |

* Attribute grading as defined by Transit NZ in their CPP Tender Evaluation Manual Effective 1 March 1997

Table 2 - Summary of Tender Evaluation

- Scores for the non-price attributes were determined on the basis of information provided in each tender, on the information gathered from the referees nominated by the tenderers and on any recent Council experience with the tenderers.

8. The results of the tender evaluation, as summarised in Table 2, show that the tender submitted by MPM Projects 2003 Limited (MPM) achieved the highest index of 78. This tender is also the second lowest priced tender.
9. MPM has an established track record, having undertaken 3 major buildings with parking in Auckland over the last few years. The project team proposed by MPM includes a strong team of specialists including Clark Brown Architects Limited, Clinton Bird Urban Design Limited, Buller George Turkington Limited, Traffic & Transportation Engineers Limited and Base Plus Limited.
10. Clark Brown Architects Limited have undertaken 2 major building projects with 210 and 100 car bays respectively. Buller George Turkington Limited have designed 14 major parking buildings, including Auckland Hospital car parking building, and are considered possibly the best structural engineers in Auckland for this type of work. Traffic & Transportation Engineers Limited have extensive traffic experience for both North Shore City and Rodney District Councils. Base Plus Limited has traffic simulation modelling experience with Albany Centre, North Shore City Council, as well as in New Lynn. Quantity surveying services will be provided by MPM themselves, who have professional quantity surveyors on their staff.
11. Reference checks made on MPM provided a favourable response to past parking projects managed by MPM.
12. The attribute scores for price were calculated using the engineer's estimate, in accordance with the requirements of the procurement manual. Prices that are less than 50% of the engineer's estimate can only score a maximum of 100 points. The tender price from Octa Associates Limited is 26% of the engineer's estimate and therefore scores the maximum 100 points. The tender price from MPM is 48% of the engineer's estimate and therefore scores 98 points.
13. The tender prices are indicative of competitive pricing in the current depressed consulting market.
14. As part of the Council's contracting process, "Quality, Health and Safety and Environmental compliance are not negotiable" - all contractors have had this focus clearly reinforced through the contract documents and this will be strongly reiterated at the subsequent pre-start meeting and imposed during the contract period.

CREDIT CHECK

15. A credit check on MPM dated 23 December 2008 revealed no adverse information.

JOB COSTS

| | | |
|-----|--|--------------|
| 16. | <u>Excl. GST</u> | |
| | Tender sum - MPM Projects 2003 Limited | \$94,370.00 |
| | Contingency | \$ 9,437.00 |
| | Engineering and Supervision | \$10,000.00 |
| | | ----- |
| | Total Job Cost | \$113,807.00 |

SOURCE OF FUNDING

17. Source of funding is shown in Table 3 below:

| Budget Description (from annual budget line item) 2007/2008 Annual Plan | Codes | Budget | Committed to other projects | Allocation to this project | Unallocated balance |
|---|------------------|-----------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------|
| New Lynn TOD Project/ Development and Implementation of Town Centre Concept/ Land Development | 8AMTA-07-192/1/1 | \$500,000 | \$32,427 | \$113,807 | \$353,766 |
| Total | | | | \$113,807 | |

Table 3 - Source of Funding

IMPLEMENTATION ISSUES

18. There are no expected implementation issues with this contract.

Report prepared by: Joe Schady, Senior Engineer.



6 CONTRACT NO. PK09001A - CONTAINMENT PEST PLANT CONTROL - APPROVAL TO PROCEED TO TENDER

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The purpose of this report is to seek approval from the Tenders Subcommittee to publicly call for tenders for Contract No. PK09001A - Containment Pest Plant Control for a period of 2 years from 1 July 2009 to 30 June 2011 on the basis of 1 year plus 1 year subject to the performance of the contractor, the availability of funding and at the sole discretion of Council.

RECOMMENDATIONS

It is recommended that the Tenders Subcommittee resolve to:

1. **Receive** the Contract No. PK09001A - Containment Pest Plant Control - Approval To Proceed To Tender report.
2. **Approve** to publicly call for tenders for Contract No. PK09001A - Containment Pest Plant Control for a 1 year plus 1 year contract period subject to the performance of the contractor, the availability of funding and at the sole discretion of Council.
3. **Agree** that the results of the tender evaluation process for Contract No. PK09001A - Containment Pest Plant Control be reported back to the Tenders Subcommittee.

BACKGROUND

1. During the 1996/1997 financial year a comprehensive Woolly Nightshade control programme began in various parks within Waitakere. In the 1999/2000 financial year an intensive control programme was set up to control 2 species of Wild Ginger.
2. In 2003, as part of Council's weed management programme, a contract was created to meet Council's legal obligation as a land owner under the Auckland Regional Pest Management Strategy.
3. In 2003 Techscape Limited was awarded, through the public tender process, Contract No. PK03623B - Legal Weed Control for a period of 2 years with a renewal period 1 plus 1 plus 1 years rolled over at the discretion of the Principal. Two extension periods of 6 months each were awarded during the 2008/2009 financial period.
4. Although the contractor has performed well during the contract period it is now considered that there are potential benefits in Council publicly calling for tenders on the basis of updating the contract specifications and requirements. The existing contract has completed all rights of renewal and will terminate on 30 June 2009.

SCOPE OF WORK

5. The requirements of the contract are to control or contain the following weed species in 381 parks and reserves Citywide as follows:

Containment Pest Plants (removal)

- Bathurst bur (*xanthium spinosum*);
- Bushy asparagus (*asparagus densiflorus*);
- Evergreen buckthorn (*Rhamnus alaternus*);
- Kahili ginger (*Hedychium gardnerianum*);
- Mignonette vind (*Anredera cordifolia*);
- Moth plant (*Araujia sericifera*);
- Nodding thistle (*Carduus nutans*);
- Smilax (*Asparagus asparagoides*);
- Variegated thistle (*Silybum marianum*);
- Woolly nightshade (*Solanum maruritianum*); and
- Yellow ginger (*Hedychium flavescens*).

Containment Pest Plants (boundary control)

- Australian sedge (*Carex longbrachiata*);
 - Gorse (*Ulex europaeus*); and
 - Ragwort (*Senecio jacobaea*).
6. The contract requires weekly work programmes and reporting on work progress. Information is required about populations of weeds found and controlled, and populations of additional weed species. There is also provision in this contract for service requests for weed control in parks and reserves.

PROPOSAL

7. The current Legal Weed contract, Contract No. PK03623B - Legal Weed Control has been running for 6 years and will complete on 30 June 2009. A consistency in the contractor carrying out the physical works for this contract has shown great benefits in the development of the work programme and the noticeable reduction in legal weed species growing in nominated parks and reserves.
8. It is considered that there are benefits entering into a 2 year contract due to the potential cost savings to Council in reduced time producing contracts and reduced Council project management requirements. There are also benefits to Council in long term consistency in delivery of service, in developing an ongoing innovative relationship with the contractor and managing a contractor who has an intimate knowledge of the City's geography. Separating the contract into the specified periods will allow Council, in the event of unsatisfactory performance, to terminate and re-tender the contract accordingly.
9. The successful contractor will need to demonstrate:
 - Performance and excellence in service delivery;
 - Performance and excellence in customer service;
 - A high quality of work;
 - The ability to form a close working relationship with Council; and
 - The ability to provide innovative ideas and solutions in relation to high profile operations.

SOURCE OF FUNDING

10. Funding for this contract has been included in the draft Long Term Council Community Plan 2009-2019.

IMPLEMENTATION ISSUES

11. There are no implementation issues arising from this report.

Report prepared by: Helen Biffin, Parks Contract Officer: Environmental.



7 CONTRACT NO. PK09002A - CEMETERY LANDSCAPE MAINTENANCE - APPROVAL TO PROCEED TO TENDER

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The purpose of this report is to seek approval from the Tenders Subcommittee to publicly call for tenders for Contract No. PK09002A - Cemetery Landscape Maintenance for a period of 2 years from 1 July 2009 to 30 June 2011 on the basis of 1 year plus 1 year subject to the performance of the contractor, the availability of funding and at the sole discretion of the Council.

RECOMMENDATIONS

It is recommended that the Tenders Subcommittee resolve to:

1. **Receive** the Contract No. PK09002A - Cemetery Landscape Maintenance - Approval To Proceed To Tender.
2. **Approve** to publicly call for tenders for Contract No. PK09002A – Cemetery Landscape Maintenance for a contract period spanning 1 year plus 1 year subject to the performance of the contractor, the availability of funding and at the sole discretion of Council.
3. **Agree** that the result of the tender evaluation process for Contract No. PK09002A – Cemetery Landscape Maintenance be reported back to the Tenders Subcommittee for approval.

BACKGROUND

1. The purpose of the contract is to provide quality landscape maintenance within Waikumete and Swanson Cemeteries. Waikumete Cemetery is New Zealand's largest cemetery at 107 hectares and is a high profile site in Waitakere.

SCOPE OF WORK

2. This contract will require the contractor to plan, program and undertake litter collection, turf maintenance, garden maintenance, toilet cleaning and regular cleaning of roads and paths. The contractor is also required to provide monthly work programmes and attend fortnightly meetings.
3. The contractor's focus on following the programmed works to a high quality and with a customer focus will be key to the ongoing success of the contract.

PROPOSAL

4. The current Cemetery Landscape Maintenance contract, Contract No. PK03600F - Cemetery Landscape Maintenance has been running for 6 years and will be completed on 30 June 2009. Consistent performance of the physical works for this contract has continually improved the quality of service delivery for the landscape and facilities in Waikumete and Swanson Cemeteries.
5. It is proposed therefore that there are benefits in entering into a 2 year contract due to cost savings to Council in reduced time producing contracts and reduced Council project management requirements. This contract model will also reduce contractors' set up costs which will improve contractual relations. There are also benefits to Council in long term consistency in delivery of service, in developing an ongoing innovative relationship with the contractor and managing a contractor who has an intimate knowledge of the cemeteries. Separating the contract into the specified periods will allow Council, in the event of unsatisfactory performance, to terminate and re-tender the contract accordingly.
6. The successful contractor will need to demonstrate:
 - Performance and excellence in service delivery;
 - Performance and excellence in customer service;
 - A high quality of work;
 - The ability to form a close working relationship with Council; and
 - The ability to provide innovative ideas and solutions in relation to high profile operations.

SOURCE OF FUNDING

7. Funding for this contract has been included in the draft Long Term Council Community Plan 2009-2019.

IMPLEMENTATION ISSUES

8. There are no implementation issues arising from this report.

Report prepared by: Helen Biffin, Parks Contract Officer: Environmental.



8 CONTRACT NO. RM03502B - ELECTRICITY SUPPLY CONTRACT - APPROVAL TO EXTEND

GLOSSARY

Kilowatt hour (kWh)

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The purpose of this report is to seek approval from the Tenders Subcommittee to extend Contract No. RM03502B - Electricity Supply Contract for 8 months, to 30 November 2009.

RECOMMENDATIONS

It is recommended that the Tenders Subcommittee resolve to:

1. **Receive** the Contract No. RM03502B - Electricity Supply Contract - Approval To Extend report.
2. **Approve** that, subject to a satisfactory credit check, the Contract No. RM03502B - Electricity Supply Contract as awarded to Genesis Power Limited be extended in the sum of \$2,107,603 excluding GST for the period 1 April 2009 to 30 November 2009.
3. **Approve** that authority to extend Contract No. RM03502B - Electricity Supply Contract for the period 1 April 2009 to 30 November 2009 on behalf of the Council be delegated to the Director: City Services.

BACKGROUND

1. The scope of work includes the retail supply of electricity and associated services to the Council's facilities and infrastructure. The existing contract is due to expire on 31 March 2009.
2. The Council approved the award of Contract No. RM03502B - Electricity Supply Contract to Genesis Power Limited at a Tenders Subcommittee meeting held on 28 May 2004 with the following recommendations:

“3. *That the tender from Genesis Power Limited for Contract No. RM03502B - Electricity Supply be accepted, subject to a satisfactory credit check.*”

4. *That a contract period of 33 or 36 months be negotiated for the term of the electricity contract with Genesis Power Limited.*
5. *That the commitment of funds for the 2004/2005, 2005/2006 and 2006/2007 financial years for Contract RM03502B Electricity Supply be approved."*

819/2004

3. The terms of Contract No. RM03502B – Electricity Supply Contract provided for the ability to extend the term of the contract by a further 2 years or 3 years.
4. The Council approved the extension for Contract No. RM03502B – Electricity Supply Contract to Genesis Power Limited for a further 2 years at a Tenders Subcommittee meeting held on 25 August 2006 with the following recommendations:

- “5. *That subject to a satisfactory credit check, the proposal for contract extension from Genesis Power Limited for Contract No. RM03502B – Electricity Supply Contract be accepted for a period of two (2) years, April 2007 – March 2009.*
6. *That the extension for the Contract No. RM03502B – Electricity Supply Contract be negotiated with Genesis Power Limited, based upon the pricing offered.*
8. *That the commitment of funds for the 2006/2007, 2007/2008 and 2008/2009 financial years for Contract No. RM03502B – Electricity Supply Contract be approved."*

1579/2006

5. The impact for the Council is that currently there is not a competitive electricity market for the tender process because of uncertainty with legislation change.
6. Since this contract was first implemented the Council has been developing tools to evaluate sustainable procurement outcomes. Contract No. RM03502B - Electricity Supply Contract has not been included in this evaluation process as this report is recommending a contract extension only. Progress on sustainability by Genesis Power Limited is noted under Contractor's Performance.

DECISION MAKING

7. The Climate Change Response (Emissions Trading) Amendment Act 2008 was passed on 26 September 2008. Stationary energy (non-movable equipment using energy including electricity generation) was to enter the Emissions Trading Scheme on 1 January 2010 with a potential additional cost of 2-4 cents per kilowatt hour (kWh) for retail electricity supply to include in the price charged by the electricity retailers.
8. The change in central government resulted in the decision to review the Climate Change Response (Emissions Trading) Amendment Act 2008 and this has created significant uncertainty in the electricity market. The number of electricity retailers willing to respond to tenders or quotes for electricity supply post December 2009 is limited as many of them do not want to forecast prices beyond December 2009 until the outcome of the review is known.

9. The Tenders Subcommittee at its meeting held on 14 November 2008 resolved as under:

The Tenders Subcommittee resolved to:

- “1. **Receive** the Contract No. SD08013G - Retail Electricity Supply report.
2. **Approve** that all electricity retailers be invited to tender for Contract No. SD08013G – Retail Electricity Supply for the periods of both 2-years and 3-years with an option of extension of a further 2-years.
3. **Agree** that the results of the tender evaluation process for Contract No. SD08013G – Retail Electricity Supply be reported back to the Tenders Subcommittee.”

1930/2008

10. The purpose of the second extension of Contract No. RM03502B - Electricity Supply Contract with Genesis Power Limited is to provide time to for the implications of the review of the Climate Change Response (Emissions Trading) Amendment Act 2008, expected to be completed at the end of September 2009, to be analysed.
11. Genesis Power Limited has confirmed in writing that they are willing to carry out the contract for a further 8 months.

CONTRACTOR'S PERFORMANCE

12. An evaluation of Genesis Power Limited performance to date contains the following features:
- They have developed a good working relationship with relevant Council officers;
 - They are a well resourced company;
 - They have a strong focus on health and safety;
 - Their workmanship is generally to a very good standard;
 - They assist the Council to work through difficult issues;
 - Their annual report for 2007/2008 includes their second sustainability report, using the Global Reporting Initiative and reported to an Application Level C - self declared;
 - They have reduced their carbon intensity of their generation portfolio by 13.1% in 2007/2008 compared to 2005/2006 levels;
 - They off-set their non-generation emissions for 2007/2008 through purchasing 1,000 carbon credits from Palmerston North City Council's Awapuni Landfill Gas to Electricity project. The carbon credits have been retired on the Chicago Climate Exchange by Carbon Market Solutions Limited on behalf of Genesis Energy; and
 - They launched Hello Tomorrow Programme in November 2007 to develop its comprehensive Corporate Social Responsibility initiatives in the community and across all aspects of the business.

CREDIT CHECK

13. A credit check on Genesis Power Limited has been requested.

JOB COSTS

14. Electricity costs are dependent on the amount of electricity the council sites and infrastructure consumes, which enables the job costs to only be estimated.
15. The job cost based on the Council's actual electricity based on the electricity load for April 2008 - November 2008 is below in Table 1:

| Category | April 2008 - November 2008 Kilowatt Hours (kWh) | April 2008 - November 2008 Actual Cost (excl GST) | April 2009 - November 2009 Estimated Cost (excl GST) | Number of Accounts | Change in Estimated Costs |
|--------------------|---|---|---|-----------------------|---------------------------------|
| Street Lighting | 4,337,251 | \$1,053,952 | \$1,133,913 | 3 | ↑7.59% |
| Time of Use | 5,052,478 | \$638,673 | \$614,589 | 8 | ↓3.77% |
| Non-Time of Use | 1,815,787 | \$303,600 | \$345,565 | 227 | ↑13.82% |
| Residential | 40,745 | \$13,537 | \$13,537 | 58 | no change |
| Total | 11,246,261 | \$2,009,762 | \$2,107,604 | 296 | ↑4.87% |

Table 1 - Twelve Month Electricity Load

SOURCE OF FUNDING

16. The shortfall in budget for 2008/2009 of \$400,000 for street lighting will be covered through directed savings by City Services and Strategic Planning. The amount budgeted for 2009/2010 is sufficient for the contract extension of July 2009 – December 2009 and the anticipated future cost of electricity resulting from the next contract to begin in December 2009.
17. Source of funding is shown in Table 2 below for cost element 78140 Power:

| Details Budget Description (from annual budget line item) | Main Cost Centre | 2008/2009 Budget | 2009/2010 Proposed | Committed to Other Projects | Allocation to this Cost Centre | Unallocated Balance |
|--|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|---|------------------------|
| Emergency Services | 1680 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 0 | 10,000 | 0 |
| Libraries | 1900 | 204,000 | 238,000 | 0 | 442,000 | 0 |
| Solid Waste | 3510 | 23,000 | 26,000 | 0 | 49,000 | 0 |
| Unitec Parking | 3620 | 29,000 | 30,000 | 0 | 59,000 | 0 |
| Charge Parking | 3630 | 6,000 | 3,000 | 0 | 9,000 | 0 |
| Animal Welfare | 3760 | 13,000 | 13,000 | 0 | 26,000 | 0 |
| Vehicle Testing Station | 3870 | 12,000 | 13,000 | 0 | 25,000 | 0 |
| Aquatic Centre | 4580 | 383,000 | 150,000 | 0 | 533,000 | 0 |
| Cemetery | 4790 | 10,000 | 9,000 | 0 | 19,000 | 0 |
| Street Lighting | 6140 | 1,365,000 | 2,219,000 | 0 | 3,584,000 | 0 |
| Wastewater Pump Station | 6480 | 48,000 | 51,000 | 0 | 99,000 | 0 |
| Stormwater Pump Station | 6810 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 0 | 6,000 | 0 |
| Water Supply Station Power | 7390 | 37,000 | 39,000 | 0 | 76,000 | 0 |
| Other Properties | Various | 515,000 | 809,000 | 0 | 1,324,000 | 0 |
| Total | | 2,653,000 | 3,608,000 | 0 | 6,261,000 | 0 |

Table 2 - Source of Funding

IMPLEMENTATION ISSUES

18. There are no expected implementation issues with this contract.

Report prepared by Michelle Dawson, Corporate Sustainability Manager.

