



Waitakere City Council
Te Taiao o Waitakere

NOTICE OF MEETING

TENDERS SUBCOMMITTEE

I hereby give notice that a Meeting of the Tenders Subcommittee will be held on:-

DATE: **Friday** **30 June 2006** **TIME:** **9.00 am**

VENUE: **Civic Centre, 6 Waipareira Avenue, Lincoln, Waitakere City**

to consider the business as set out herein and to take any necessary action connected therewith.

pp. *Sharan Simiona* .

23 June 2006

Ngareta Delamere
COMMITTEE SECRETARY

Telephone (09) 836 8000 extn 8552

MEMBERSHIP:

Councillors JM Clews, QSO, JP (Chairperson)
 RI Clow (Deputy Chairperson)

Chief Executive Officer

Director: City Services

Director: Corporate & Civic Services

Director: Strategy & Development

Director: Quality Assurance

Director: Finance

Maori Issues Manager

Mayor RA Harvey, QSO, JP (ex officio)

Deputy Mayor CA Stone (ex officio)

(Quorum 4 members)

★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★

(The reports and recommendations contained in all agendas are reports and recommendations only and are not to be construed, in any way, as Council policy until adopted.)

**AGENDA FOR A MEETING OF THE TENDERS SUBCOMMITTEE TO BE HELD IN THE
CIVIC CENTRE, 6 WAIPAREIRA AVENUE, LINCOLN, WAITAKERE CITY,
ON FRIDAY, 30 JUNE 2006, COMMENCING AT 9.00 AM**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| <u>ITEM</u> | | <u>PAGE NO.</u> |
|--------------------|---|------------------------|
| 1 | APOLOGIES | 1 |
| 2 | URGENT BUSINESS | 1 |
| 3 | CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES | 1 |
| 4 | CONTRACT NO. EW02600C - WATER SUPPLY, WASTEWATER AND STORMWATER DRAINAGE FACILITIES TERM MAINTENANCE - CONTRACT SUM FOR 2006/2007 | 1 |
| 5 | CONTRACT NO. FS06002A - AFTER HOURS NOISE CONTROL 2006/2007 | 5 |
| 6 | CONTRACT NO. SP06001A - ENGINEERING DESIGN OF STURGES ROAD BRIDGE - REGISTRATION OF INTEREST | 9 |
| | <u>PUBLIC EXCLUDED MATTER</u> | 12 |
| 7 | CONTRACT NO. IM05156A - DIGITISATION OF COUNCIL'S HARDCOPY RECORDS | 12 |

**AGENDA FOR A MEETING OF THE TENDERS SUBCOMMITTEE TO BE HELD IN THE
CIVIC CENTRE, 6 WAIPAREIRA AVENUE, LINCOLN, WAITAKERE CITY,
ON FRIDAY, 30 JUNE 2006, COMMENCING AT 9.00 AM**

1 APOLOGIES



2 URGENT BUSINESS

Section 46A(7) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 provides that where an item of business is not on the agenda, it may only be dealt with at the meeting if:

- (i) the Committee by resolution so decides; and
- (ii) the Chairperson has explained at the beginning of the meeting (when open to the public) that the item will be raised for discussion and decision, why the item is not on the agenda, and why it cannot be delayed until a subsequent meeting.

The Committee may make a decision on a matter determined to be urgent.

NOTE: Urgent Business need not be dealt with now and may be delayed until later in the meeting.



3 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

Meeting Minutes - 16 June 2006

RECOMMENDATION

That the minutes of the Meeting of the Tenders Subcommittee held on Friday, 16 June 2006, as circulated, be taken as read and now be confirmed.



**4 CONTRACT NO. EW02600C - WATER SUPPLY, WASTEWATER AND
STORMWATER DRAINAGE FACILITIES TERM MAINTENANCE - CONTRACT SUM
FOR 2006/2007**

PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

The purpose of this report is to recommend acceptance of a new contract sum for 2006/2007 for the existing contract with Techscope Limited for the maintenance of the Council's water supply, wastewater and stormwater drainage network and facilities.

BACKGROUND

A report was submitted to the Tenders Subcommittee on 18 March 2005 to obtain approval to negotiate an extension of the existing contract with Techscape Limited for the maintenance of Council's Three Waters network and facilities. The Tenders Subcommittee resolved as follows:

- “1. That the extension of Contract EW02 600C: Water Supply, Wastewater and Stormwater Drainage Facilities Term Maintenance Contract report be received.
2. That based on the reasons recorded in the agenda report approval be given to negotiate an extension of contract with Techscape Limited for the maintenance of the City's water supply, wastewater and stormwater drainage network and facilities for a term of two (2) years commencing on 1 July 2005, and the outcome of negotiations be reported back to the Tenders Subcommittee for approval.”

381/2005

A subsequent report was submitted to the Tenders Subcommittee on 13 May 2005 to confirm the extension of the contract to 30 June 2007 and to confirm the contract sum for 2005/2006 and the financial commitment for 2006/2007. The Tenders Subcommittee resolved as follows:

- “1. That the Extension of Contract EW02 600C: Water Supply, Wastewater and Stormwater Drainage Facilities Term Maintenance Contract report be received.
2. That the negotiated contract extensions with Techscape Limited for Contract EW02 600C: Water Supply, Wastewater and Stormwater Drainage Facilities Term Maintenance Contract in the sum of \$8,146,433 plus GST, totalling \$9,164,773.10 to be accepted.
3. That the commitment to the financial year 2005/2006 for EW02 600C: Water Supply, Wastewater and Stormwater Drainage Facilities Term Maintenance Contract totalling \$4,322,995 plus GST be approved.
4. That the commitment to the financial year 2006/2007 for EW02 600C: Water Supply, Wastewater and Stormwater Drainage Facilities Term Maintenance Contract totalling \$4,322,995 plus GST be approved.
5. That authority to extend contract EW02 600C: Water Supply, Wastewater and Stormwater Drainage Facilities Term Maintenance Contract on behalf of Council be delegated to Group Manager: Asset Management.”

837/2005

SCOPE OF WORK

The present contract contains performance and incentive based elements to encourage Council and Techscape Limited to work together to seek more effective and efficient ways of carrying out the work.

The maintenance scope of work covers the areas of water supply, wastewater and stormwater drainage. The Contract requires Techscape to:

- Carry out maintenance and repair of the pipe networks in response to customer enquiries and requests from Customer Field Specialists;
- Carry out a programme of routine maintenance and asset inspection;
- Complete other activities related to maintenance as requested; and
- Undertake the operation of the network on a day-to-day basis.

SUMMARY OF THE PROPOSED CONTRACT SUM FOR 2006/2007

The Tenders Subcommittee approval on May 13 2005 did not allow for escalations in contract prices for 2006/2007. The contract sum negotiated with Techscape for 2006/2007 includes a 5.5% increase on the contract rates applicable for 2005/2006. The contract provides for escalation up to the increase in the Construction Consumer Price Index (CPI) which for the 12 month period December 2004 to December 2005 was 7.2%. Hence the proposed increase of 5.5% for 2006/2007 is considered acceptable.

The proposed contract sum for 2006-2007 is \$4,560,760 plus GST.

JOB COSTS

| | Excl. GST |
|---|------------------|
| Contract sum for 2006-2007 | \$ 4,560,760 |
| Contingency | \$ 0 |
| Engineering & Supervision (included in EcoWater overheads) | \$ 0 |
| | ----- |
| Total Job Cost | \$ 4,560,760 |

SOURCE OF FUNDING

2006/2007 (12 months)

| Account | Budget Code | Budget | Committed to other Projects | Allocation to this Project | Unallocated Balance |
|--|--------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|
| Wastewater | | | | | |
| Maintenance and Operations Techscape Maintenance Contract | 85-7335-33680 | \$999,700 | 0 | \$666,333 | \$333,367 |
| Preventative Maintenance Techscape Maintenance Contract | 85-7340-33680 | \$302,600 | 0 | \$290,602 | \$11,998 |
| Renewals Techscape Maintenance Contract | 85-9835-33680 | \$218,963 | 0 | \$218,963 | \$0 |
| Sub-total for Wastewater | | \$1,521,263 | | \$1,175,898 | \$345,365 |
| Stormwater | | | | | |
| Maintenance and Operations Techscape Maintenance Contract | 85-7435-33680 85-7435-40920 | \$786,500 \$225,300 | 0 0 | \$651,900 \$94,950 | \$134,510 \$130,350 |
| Preventative Maintenance Stormwater Inlet Outlet Inspections | 85-7440-25380 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 |
| Stormwater Treatment Facilities Inspections | 85-7440-40920 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 |
| Renewals Techscape Maintenance Contract | 85-9845-33680 | \$166,100 | 0 | \$92,840 | 0 \$73,260 |
| Stormwater facilities maintenance | 85-7435-40920 | | 0 | | 0 |
| Sub-total for Stormwater | | \$1,177,900 | | \$839,780 | \$338,120 |

| | | | | | |
|---|---------------|--------------------|----------|--------------------|------------------|
| Water Supply | | | | | |
| Maintenance and Operations Techscape Maintenance Contract | 85-7535-33680 | \$1,791,300 | 0 | \$1,791,300 | \$0 |
| Preventative Maintenance Techscape Maintenance Contract | 85-7540-33680 | \$85,600 | 0 | \$84,717 | \$884 |
| Valve & Hydrant Routine Maintenance | 85-7540-26780 | \$308,550 | 0 | \$114,907 | \$193,643 |
| Sustainable Management of Water Systems Techscape Maintenance Contract - Leak repairs | 85-7520-33680 | \$76,685 | 0 | \$74,483 | \$2,202 |
| Drinking Water Standards Techscape Maintenance Contract | 85-7555-33680 | \$86,676 | 0 | \$66,676 | \$20,000 |
| Water quality equipment maintenance | 85-7555-40960 | | 0 | | |
| Renewals Techscape Maintenance Contract | 85-9855-33680 | \$413,000 | 0 | \$413,000 | \$0 |
| Valves & Hydrant Replacements | 85-9855-63560 | | 0 | | |
| Sub-Total for Water | | \$2,761,811 | 0 | \$2,545,082 | \$216,728 |
| GRAND TOTALS OVER THREE WATERS | | \$5,460,974 | 0 | \$4,560,760 | \$900,214 |

SUMMARY

The proposed contract sum for 2006/2007, based on a 5.5% increase in contract rates compared with 2005/2006 rates is considered acceptable as the increase is less than the increase based on the Construction CPI.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. That the Contract No. EW02600C - Water Supply, Wastewater and Stormwater Drainage Facilities Term Maintenance - Contract Sum for 2006/2007 report be received.
2. That the commitment to the financial year 2006/2007 for Contract No. EW02600C - Water Supply, Wastewater and Stormwater Drainage Facilities Term Maintenance Contract totalling \$4,560,760 plus GST be approved.
3. That authority to approve the contract sum of \$4,560,760 plus GST for 2006/2007 for Contract No. EW02600C - Water Supply, Wastewater and Stormwater Drainage Facilities Term Maintenance Contract on behalf of Council be delegated to Group Manager: Asset Management.

Report prepared by: Richard Taylor, Assets and Network Manager.



5 CONTRACT NO. FS06002A - AFTER HOURS NOISE CONTROL 2006/2007

PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

The purpose of this report is to seek approval from the Tenders Subcommittee to award Contract No. FS06002A - After Hours Noise Control 2006/2007.

BACKGROUND

The current after-hours noise control contract is set to expire on 1 July 2006, having run for the maximum contract period of 24 months, and therefore requires renewal.

SCOPE OF WORK

The successful tenderer will be required to provide enforcement officers to respond to and resolve, after hours noise complaints received by Council's Call Centre, including: the authority to issue excessive noise directions, the responsibility for the seizure, storage and disposal of noise emitting devices; the disconnection of faulty alarm systems causing excessive noise; and the gathering of evidence for the issue of environmental infringement notices by Council.

The contract will commence on 1 July 2006 and run for a period of 12 months until 1 July 2007, with a potential right of renewal for 12 months through to 1 July 2008 subject to the satisfactory performance of the contractor and agreement on rates.

TENDERS RECEIVED

Tenders were publicly advertised on Saturday, 13 May 2006 and Monday, 15 May 2006, with three sets of documents being uplifted. Two tenders were received by the closing date of Tuesday 30 May 2006 and are summarised in Table 1 below:

| TENDERER | REG OFFICE | TENDER PRICE (excl. GST) |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Armourguard Security Limited | Auckland | \$256,202.00 |
| Icon Security Group Limited | Auckland | \$294,025.00 |
| | | |
| Pre-tender contract estimate | | \$254,925.00 |

Table 1 - Summary of Tender Prices

TENDER EVALUATION

In accordance with the conditions of tendering, tenders were evaluated in line with the Weighted Attribute Method contained in Transfund New Zealand's "Competitive Pricing Procedures Manual".

A summary of the evaluation results is shown in Table 2:

| Tender | Attributes | Exper | Track Record | Tech. Skill & Resour | Manag Skill | Method | Price | Contract Number FS06002A |
|------------------------------|---------------|-------|--------------|----------------------|-------------|--------|-------|--------------------------|
| Evaluation | | | | | | | | Total Weights |
| | Weights | 20 | 20 | 15 | 10 | 5 | 30 | 100 |
| Tenderer | Tender Sum | | | | | | | INDEX |
| Icon Security Group Limited | \$294,025.00 | 75 | 85 | 75 | 75 | 80 | 35 | 65 |
| Armourguard Security Limited | \$256,202.00 | 75 | 45 | 70 | 75 | 75 | 49 | 61 |
| Engineer's Estimate | \$ 254,925.00 | | | | | | | |

Table 2 - Summary of Tender Evaluation

The tender prices outlined above are only a guide as to the likely total cost to Council, as the contractor will be paid on a per call out, per seizure and per alarm disconnection basis.

For the purpose of comparison, and in accordance with the tender document, the tender prices are based on an estimated quantity of 6,230 noise complaints attended, 36 seizures of equipment, and 20 alarm disconnections (including 2 disconnections involving the use of a locksmith) per annum. These estimated quantities are based on current trends in complaints received and recently adopted procedures that will be followed in the event of non-compliances designed to favour the issue of an infringement notices in the first instance, as opposed to initiating seizure proceedings. However there is no way of accurately forecasting how many noise related call-outs will actually occur.

Icon Security Group Limited (Icon) is ranked the highest for this contract, despite tendering the highest price. Icon is the incumbent noise control contractor and has a highly satisfactory and proven track record with Council.

Armourguard Security Limited (Armourguard), although tendering the lowest price, ranked below Icon, primarily due to the low score that they were awarded for track record.

Armourguard currently provides noise control services to both Auckland City and Manukau City Councils. Armourguard provided noise control services to North Shore City Council up to November 2005, when that contract was put out to tender and subsequently awarded to Icon.

Auckland, Manukau and North Shore City Council's were approached for specific comment on Armourguard. These three Councils did not comment favourably upon Armourguard performance as a noise control contractor, with one of the Council's commenting that if the were go to tender for noise control services, they would only use Armourguard if no one else tendered. Problems common to all three Council's involved issues relating to the non-attendance of the contractor to jobs, lack of responsiveness and not following procedures.

Armourguard held Council's after-hours noise control contract from, June 2000 to June 2004. During this period, especially the first few years, the performance of Armourguard was highly rated. However during the latter stages of this contract period, the performance of Armourguard was found to be well below the required standard, with issues arising relating to a decline in response times, lack of responsiveness and not following procedures.

The extent of this problem may be gauged from the annual survey Council undertakes of its after hours noise control services. This involves contacting 100 randomly selected residents who had recently requested noise control services from Council, in order to determine the customer satisfaction with the service.

For the last six years (2001 to 2006) the results of this survey has been as follows:

| | ARMOURGUARD | | | | ICON | |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 |
| | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| Very satisfied | 36 | 47 | 45 | 31 | 43 | 37 |
| Reasonably satisfied | 36 | 23 | 25 | 24 | 33 | 37 |
| Somewhat dissatisfied | 17 | 18 | 11 | 15 | 14 | 17 |
| Very dissatisfied | 10 | 13 | 19 | 30 | 11 | 9 |
| | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| | 73 | 70 | 70 | 55 | 76 | 74 |
| Very/ reasonably satisfied | | | | | | |

Note: figures may not add due to decimal rounding

Following extensive and robust debate between Council and Armourguard over performance issues that arose in the preceding months, Council duly went out to tender in May 2004 for the 2004/2005 After Hours Noise Control Contract, as the current Contract then held by Armourguard had run its term. However, for reasons of its own Armourguard chose not to tender for the contract, resulting in the sole tender received by Council, being awarded the contract. The sole tender received in this case was from Icon Security Group Limited.

The reasons for continuing performance problems as noted by North Shore, Manukau and Auckland is beyond the scope of this report and is purely an issue for Armourguard to satisfactorily rectify. However, the large volumes of requests for service in this area, is such that these issues needed to be satisfactorily and demonstratively addressed by Armourguard, well in advance of this tender.

TAGS, ERRORS OR OMISSIONS

The Tender from Icon Security Group Limited did not contain any tags, errors or omissions.

The tender from Armourguard Security Limited did not contain any errors or omissions but contained 32 tags in relation to the tender Conditions of Contract. These tags involved seven spelling and grammatical corrections to the wording of the Conditions of Contract. The remaining 25 tags involved additions and/or deletions to wording and the addition of new sentences and paragraphs to the Conditions of the Contract.

Armourguard was requested to remove the tags, and conceded to remove some of the tags, in addition to offering to negotiate around some of the tags. However, they also insisted that some tags had to remain in place and form part of the Contract.

Council's Legal Services Section reviewed these tags and specifically considered two of the tags in relation indemnity and insurance clauses to be unacceptable. Council's insurers similarly found these two tags to be unacceptable.

Armourguard was subsequently requested to remove the two tags in relation to indemnity and insurance. At the time of drafting this report Council was yet to receive their reply.

CREDIT CHECK

Credit checks dated 1 June 2006, revealed no adverse information on either Icon Security Group Limited or Armourguard Security Limited.

SUMMARY

The results of the tender evaluation as summarised in Table 2 shows that the tender submitted by Icon Security Group Limited achieved the highest index of 65. This tender is also the highest priced tender.

Icon Security Group Limited has been the incumbent Council noise control contractor for the past two years and has carried out this role in a highly satisfactory and competent manner.

As part of Council's contracting process "Quality and Health and Safety is not negotiable" - all contractors have had this focus clearly reinforced through the contract documents and this will be strongly reiterated at the subsequent pre-start meeting and imposed during the contract period.

JOB COSTS

| | Excl. GST |
|--|------------------|
| Tender sum – Icon Security Group Limited | \$294,025.00 |
| | ----- |
| Total Job Cost | \$294,025.00 |

SOURCE OF FUNDING

| Budget Description (from Annual Budget line item) | Codes | Budget | Committed to Other Projects | Allocation to This Project | Unallocated Balance |
|---|-------------------|--------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|
| Contract Payments | 37-5730-38600-280 | \$254,000.00 | \$0 | \$254,000.00 | \$0 |
| Contract Pay | | \$40,025.00 | \$0 | \$40,025.00 | \$0 |
| Infringement fees | | \$45,000.00 | \$0 | \$40,025.00 | \$4,975.00 |

Council has recently changed its procedures to make better use of environmental infringement notices for noise. These changes mean that where a property has been issued with an excessive noise direction, and that direction has not been complied with, Council will look at issuing the offender an environmental infringement notice for this contravention. Environmental infringement notices for the contravention of an excessive noise direction carry a fee, stipulated by Regulation, of \$500.00. Continuing contravention of the excessive noise direction following the issue of an environmental infringement notice would then lead to seizure proceedings being initiated.

Accordingly, it is proposed that the shortfall in the allocated budget for this contract will be met by the predicted income gained from environmental infringement notices.

At the time of writing, this line item is not included in the draft Long Term Council Community Plan. However it is included as a recommended addition for consideration by the Long Term Council Community Plan and Annual Plan Special Committee.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. That the Contract No. FS06002A - After Hours Noise Control 2006/2007 report be received.
2. That the tender from Icon Security Group Limited for Contract No. FS06002A - After Hours Noise Control 2006/2007 in the sum of \$294,025.00 plus \$36,753.12 GST, totalling \$330,778.12 be accepted, subject to recommendation 3.
3. That a commitment against the 2006/2007 financial year, for Contract No. FS06002A - After Hours Noise Control 2006/2007 for a 12-month contract to a maximum value of \$294,025.00 exclusive of GST be approved, subject to the inclusion of an additional \$40,025.00 for contract costs offset by an additional \$45,000.00 revenue line item in the draft Long Term Council Community Plan by the Long Term Council Community Plan and Annual Plan Special Committee.
4. That the tender for Contract No. FS06002A - After Hours Noise Control 2006/2007 be awarded to other than the lowest priced tender, because the weighted attributes tender evaluation method requires the tendering authority to enter into a contract only with the tender that scores the highest overall index, and because tender tags applicable to the only other tender, are not acceptable to Council.
5. That authority to enter into Contract No. FS06002A - After Hours Noise Control 2006/2007 on behalf of Council, be delegated to Director: City Services.
6. That approval to extend Contract No. FS06002A - After Hours Noise Control 2006/2007 for a further 12 months, subject to the satisfactory performance of the contractor, be brought back to the Tenders Subcommittee.

Report prepared by: Alan Ahmu, Team Manager Environmental Compliance.



6 CONTRACT NO. SP06001A - ENGINEERING DESIGN OF STURGES ROAD BRIDGE - REGISTRATION OF INTEREST

PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

The purpose of this report is to present to the Tenders Subcommittee the results of a registration of interest process for Contract No. SP06001A - Engineering Design of Sturges Road Bridge and to seek approval to invite tenders for this contract from a shortlist of registrants.

BACKGROUND

The existing road over rail bridge at Sturges Road (Bridge 58), Henderson was built in about 1940 by New Zealand Railways Corporation to permit vehicle traffic to pass over the single rail track to new housing developments in south Massey. The present condition of this bridge is poor with severe Alkali Silicate Reaction (ASR) producing extensive cracking. The long term safety and life of this structure is limited. New Zealand Railways Corporation (Ontrack) has posted a load limit on the bridge in an attempt to ensure vehicle and rail safety. In late 2006 Ontrack intends to commence with double tracking of stage 4 of the Western Line from Mt. Lebanon Lane to Swanson Station. The existing piers of bridge 58 are aligned such that it is not possible to achieve double tracking without undertaking major structural changes.

Separately, Council's Transport Assets section had identified the need to replace this bridge because of it's poor condition and the cost implication associated with it's ongoing maintenance. Furthermore, the August 2005 Waitakere City Strategic Cycle Network study has identified Sturges Road as a cycle route, therefore in order to accommodate the cycleway route and to address future capacity requirements for the nearby intersection of Sturges Road/Swanson Road, a new and wider bridge is needed. Accordingly, a decision has been made by Ontrack and Council to demolish the old bridge and to rebuild a new, wider and more transport friendly bridge in its place. It is desired to have the new bridge completed by about mid 2007.

Council has allocated a \$300,000 budget in the draft 2006/2007 Annual Plan for the project and a further \$3,000,000 in the proposed 2007/2008 Annual Plan.

Ontrack has advised that it has \$3,000,000 in funding to provide towards the proposed bridge works. This funding will be provided to Council by way of a grant. Ontrack has requested that they be informed of the outcome of this ROI process as well as the outcome of any future tender evaluation processes in regard to this project.

REGISTRATION OF INTEREST PROCESS

The project is now ready to proceed to the design stage. The project is of sufficient complexity that Council officers consider that only structural engineers who have had experience and a track record in designing and managing rail bridge projects of similar size, as well as having the resources to undertake the work, should be considered as potential tenderers for the work, hence the decision to carry out a Registrations of Interest process.

REGISTRATIONS RECEIVED

The ROI was publicly advertised on Saturday, 3 June and on Wednesday, 7 June 2006. Fourteen (14) firms uplifted Registrations of Interest documents. Registrations from seven (7) firms were received by the extended closing date of 14 June 2006. (Note the closing date was extended by 24 hours due to a major power outage in Auckland City on 12 June). These firms are listed in Table 1 below:

| TENDERER | REG OFFICE |
|--|------------|
| Beca Infrastructure Limited | Auckland |
| Connell Wagner Limited | Auckland |
| GHD Limited | Auckland |
| Maunsell Limited | Auckland |
| MWH New Zealand Limited | Auckland |
| Opus International Consultants Limited | Auckland |
| URS New Zealand Limited | Auckland |

Table 1 - Summary of Registrants

EVALUATIONS OF REGISTRATIONS OF INTEREST

The submissions were evaluated generally in accordance with the Weighted Attribute Method as described in sections 2.4, 2.5 and 2.7 of the Transfund New Zealand Manual. Evaluation was carried out by representatives of the Project Control Group. Consensus was reached between the officers on the scores allocated. A summary of the results for the three highest ranking registrants is shown in Table 2 below:

| Tender Evaluation | Exper. | Track Record | Tech Skills | Re-source | Management | Total Weight |
|-------------------------|--------|--------------|-------------|-----------|------------|--------------|
| Weight | 25 | 25 | 20 | 15 | 15 | 100 |
| Tenderer | Grades | | | | | Index |
| Beca Infrastructure Ltd | 87 | 85 | 85 | 80 | 75 | 83 |
| Connell Wagner Ltd | 82 | 82 | 85 | 80 | 72 | 82 |
| MWH New Zealand Ltd | 85 | 75 | 82 | 60 | 80 | 77 |

Table 2 - Grading of Attributes

Since the objective of this registration process is to select the three highest scoring registrants, no purpose is served by publishing the individual scores of the other registrants.

The Registrations of Interest document for this process specified the information required and the format of the registrant's submissions. This document also identified that only the highest ranked registrants would be short-listed for invitation to tender for Contract No. SP 06001A - Engineering Design of Sturges Road Bridge.

A shortlist of three (3) invited tenderers is deemed appropriate for a contract of this magnitude and complexity as it encourages serious and competitive tenders.

The three (3) highest ranked registrants demonstrated that they had experience in design of complex road over rail bridges in New Zealand and that they had the expertise to achieve effective and cost efficient solutions. In addition to the provision of attribute statements each registrant was required to complete a health and safety questionnaire. The health and safety information provided by the three (3) highest ranking registrants complied with Council's requirements.

SUMMARY

The results of the Registrations of Interest evaluation process show that the three (3) highest registrants are Beca Infrastructure Limited, Connell Wagner Limited and MWH New Zealand Limited. These registrants all have scores of more than 65 which represents an 'above average' score and therefore are considered to be experienced and capable of completing Contract No. SP 06001A - Engineering Design of Sturges road Bridge in a competent manner. It is therefore recommended that the three (3) highest scoring registrants be invited to tender for Contract No. SP06001A - Engineering Design of Sturges Road Bridge.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. That Contract No. SP06001A - Engineering Design of Sturges Road Bridge - Registration of Interest report be received.
2. That the three top scoring registrants being Beca Infrastructure Limited, Connell Wagner Limited and MWH New Zealand Limited be invited to tender for Contract No. SP06001A - Engineering Design of Sturges Road Bridge.

Report prepared by: Joe Schady, Senior Project Manager: Special Projects.



PUBLIC EXCLUDED MATTER

7 CONTRACT NO. IM05156A - DIGITISATION OF COUNCIL'S HARDCOPY RECORDS

This item will be considered in the Confidential Supplement of the agenda and has been circulated to members separately.

PROCEDURAL MOTION TO EXCLUDE THE PUBLIC

That the public be excluded from the following part of the proceeding of this meeting, namely, Contract No. IM05156A - Digitisation of Council's Hardcopy Records.

The general subject of the matter to be considered while the public is excluded, the reason for passing this resolution in relation to the matter, and the specific grounds under Section 48(1) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 for the passing of this resolution is as follows:

| General subject of the matter to be considered. | Reason for passing this resolution in relation to the matter. | Ground(s) under Section 48(1)(a) for the passing of this resolution. |
|---|--|--|
| Contract No. IM05156A - Digitisation of Council's Hardcopy Records. | The withholding of information is necessary in order to: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• enable any local authority holding the information to carry on, without prejudice or disadvantage, negotiations (including commercial and industrial negotiations); | That the public conduct of the relevant part of the proceedings of the meeting would be likely to result in the disclosure of information for which good reason for withholding would exist. |

This resolution is made in reliance on Section 48(1)(a) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 and the particular interest or interests protected by Section 7(2)(i) of that Act which would be prejudiced by the holding of the relevant part of the proceedings of the meeting in public as follows:

- *The reports contain information which if released could affect the Council's negotiations.*

