

**AGENDA FOR AN ORDINARY MEETING OF THE TENDERS SUBCOMMITTEE
TO BE HELD IN THE CIVIC CENTRE, 6 WAIPAREIRA AVENUE, LINCOLN,
WAITAKERE CITY, ON FRIDAY, 21 DECEMBER 2001,
COMMENCING AT 9.00 AM**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>ITEM</u>		<u>PAGE NO.</u>
1	APOLOGIES	1
2	TENDERS SUBCOMMITTEE BRIEFING	1
3	URGENT BUSINESS	1
4	CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES	2
5	DISK CAPACITY UPGRADE FOR CORPORATE STORED AREA NETWORK	2
6	PK02007.2 - PARRS PARK FIELD DEVELOPMENT	4
7	CONTRACT PK02002.3A - BLUERIDGE / FAIRDENE / CRON / LOCKINGTON GREEN RESERVES- LANDSCAPE CONSTRUCTION	7
8	CONTRACT 77120 - WAIKUMETE CEMETERY WEED CONTROL 2001/2002	10
9	TE ATATU PENINSULA VILLAGE GREEN CONTRACT SP01003.3	13
10	CONTRACT NO. 01EWC098 - VALVE AND HYDRANT PAINTING AND CLEANING 2001/2002	16
11	PK02073.1 - COMMUNITY PLANTING CONTRACT	19
12	RM02007.2 WAITAKERE CITY COUNCIL ELECTRICITY SUPPLY 2002/2003 AND 2003/2004	22
13	CONTRACT TA 02017.2 - SWANSON ROAD UPGRADE	24

**AGENDA FOR AN ORDINARY MEETING OF THE TENDERS SUBCOMMITTEE
TO BE HELD IN THE CIVIC CENTRE, 6 WAIPAREIRA AVENUE, LINCOLN,
WAITAKERE CITY, ON FRIDAY, 21 DECEMBER 2001,
COMMENCING AT 9.00 AM**

1 APOLOGIES



2 TENDERS SUBCOMMITTEE BRIEFING

Council Officers will be present at the meeting to brief the Tenders Subcommittee on tendering processes and the role of the Subcommittee. If subcommittee members have any particular queries please advise the Service Manager: Aftercare & Special Projects, Alan Tresadern on 836 8000 extension 8767 to ensure that these queries can be adequately covered at the briefing.

RECOMMENDATION

That the information be received.

Report prepared by: Sharon Simiona, Committee Secretary.



3 URGENT BUSINESS

Section 46A(7) and (7A) of the Local Government Official Information Act and Meetings Act 1987 provides that where an item of business is not on the agenda, it may only be dealt with at the meeting if:

- (i) the item is a minor matter; and
- (ii) the Chairperson has explained at the beginning of the meeting (when open to the public) that the item will be raised for discussion, why the item is not on the agenda, and why it cannot be delayed until a subsequent meeting; and
- (iii) the Committee resolves to deal with the item.

No resolution, decision, or recommendation may be made in respect of the item except to refer the item to a subsequent meeting for further discussion.

NOTE: Urgent Business need not be dealt with now and may be delayed until later in the meeting.



4 **CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES**

Emergency Inaugural - 5 December 2001

RECOMMENDATION

That the minutes of the Emergency Inaugural Meeting of the Tenders Subcommittee held on Wednesday, 5 December 2001, as circulated, be taken as read and now be confirmed.



5 **DISK CAPACITY UPGRADE FOR CORPORATE STORED AREA NETWORK**

PURPOSE OF THIS REPORT

This reports seeks the Tenders Subcommittee's resolution under section 247E of the Local Government Act 1974 to accept a quotation from ATL Systems New Zealand Limited, an EMC Corporation authorised reseller, for supply of additional disk storage required to house council shared data.

BACKGROUND

In April 1999 the Council undertook an evaluation of Storage Area Networks which would meet its current and future operational needs for an expandable and fault tolerant system. This resulted in the purchase of a CLARiiON "storage array", which currently hosts disk storage for the GEMS platform, and four of Council's larger computer servers.

Increased demand for data storage by Council has resulted in the need for another significant planned upgrade to the existing platform, incorporating extra disk space and more server connections. There are several reasons this upgrade, which is in line with Council's data storage migration strategy:

- Since 1999 disk space requirements on our main file servers have increased by 115%, due to growth in shared corporate data needs;
- Our existing Exchange (E-mail) Server is due for hardware replacement due to age and performance limitations, and in line with our data storage strategy, migration of this data to the CLARiiON system is indicated, to improve scalability, reliability and manageability;
- Gems disk space requirements have increased by 106% since 1999. This is due to increased usage of GEMS functionality, as well as limitations in being able to archive old data, which are inherent in the GEMS application architecture.

To put this growth into perspective, Council's total data storage growth rates are about 50% per annum, Industry Standard data storage requirements are typically increasing by 100% each year.

VENDOR CHOICE

At this point in time, the storage area network market is still somewhat proprietary, and the low risk upgrade path is to stay with a single vendor solution, effectively tying us into the CLARiiON platform in the medium term. CLARiiON continues to prove itself as a good technology choice in this environment.

Late in 1999, EMC Corporation bought out Data General Corporation, including CLARiiON (which was the storage division of Data General). EMC are continuing to market the CLARiiON products and are committed to making significant enhancements to the product range.

ATL Systems New Zealand Limited is the sole New Zealand reseller for CLARiiON equipment.

Vendor / Reseller	Quoted Price (ex GST)
ATL Systems New Zealand Limited	\$127,979.00

The hardware is offered with a two year guarantee, and the intent is to enter into a maintenance contract with EMC Corporation on the expiry of this warranty period. Based on current pricing this would commit a further \$10,800 maintenance per annum, which would be budgeted for in due course.

The required system management software is offered as part of the purchase price. There are annual software licensing costs which come into effect at the conclusion of an initial 90 day warranty period and the intent is to enter into a licensing agreement with EMC Corporation on the expiry of this warranty period. This will commit a further \$1,050 per annum, which for year one, has been included in the job cost. For subsequent years, this cost would be budgeted for in the IM software budget.

It is noted that the ongoing maintenance and licensing contracts would be with EMC Corporation direct, regardless of the sales channel employed.

STATUTORY REQUIREMENTS AND COUNCIL'S DELEGATIONS

Council's powers in relation to the awarding of contracts are conferred under Part XVIA of the Local Government Act 1974 (Works and Contracts). Section 247E provides as follows:

- (2) *Where any local authority decides not to put out to tender a contract to which subsection (1) of this section applies, the local authority shall ensure that the reasons for the decision are recorded in writing.*

The cost of the upgrade is \$127,979 and is provided for within the current IM Infrastructure capital budget.

CONCLUSION

It has been determined that the tendering of Council's storage area network requirements for this application would not result in tenders being received from any party other than ATL Systems New Zealand Limited and further, that tendering would not result in a reduction of the contract price. On this basis, it has been recommended that the Subcommittee approve the proposal without tender under the provisions of section 247E of the Local Government Act 1974 and the delegations from Council itself to the Subcommittee. In order to satisfy the requirements of section 247E, the Subcommittee must record its reasons for not going to tender in writing.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. That the information be received.
2. That there be no tendering of Council's storage area network requirements on the basis that ATL Systems New Zealand Limited are the only market participants able to supply the specific product that meets Council's requirement.

3. That ATL Systems New Zealand Limited be approved as the supplier for the storage area network totalling \$127,979 (excluding GST).
4. That the Director: Corporate & Civic Services be empowered to enter into hardware and software maintenance agreements as required to ensure protection of the system, subject to budgetary constraints.

Report prepared by: Simon Leigh, IT Services Operations Manager.



6 PK02007.2 - PARRS PARK FIELD DEVELOPMENT

PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

The purpose of this report is to seek approval to award Contract PK02007.2 - Parrs Park Field Development.

BACKGROUND

This contract forms part of Council's parks asset creation programme as provided for in the 2001/2002 Annual Plan. An additional sports field is proposed at Parrs Park, as identified through the Parrs Park and Sherrybrooke Esplanade Reserve Management Plan (April 2000).

SCOPE OF WORK

The contract work involves bulk earthworks, vegetation removal, construction of a playing field and associated drainage works.

TENDERS RECEIVED

Tenders were publicly advertised with four sets of documents being uplifted. Three tenders were received by the closing date of Tuesday 27 November 2001. These are summarised in Table 1 below:

TENDERER	REG OFFICE	TENDER PRICE (excl. GST)
Proshelf Holding Co. Limited & Construction Equipment Services Limited	Orewa	\$130,334.00
Roadstone Construction Limited	Glendene	\$140,000.00
Recreational Turf Services Limited	Takapuna	\$184,918.00
Pre-tender Contract Estimate		\$145,500.00

Table 1 - Summary of Tender Prices

TENDER EVALUATION

In accordance with the conditions of tendering, tenders were evaluated in line with the Weighted Attribute Method contained in Transfund New Zealand's "Competitive Pricing Procedures Manual".

These procedures require that where the tender includes Provisional Sums (work valued by the Principal and placed within the Schedule of Prices) they are deducted from the Tender Sum prior to evaluating each tender.

A summary of the evaluation results is shown in Table 2:

Tender Evaluation	Attributes	Exper.	Track Record	Tech Skills	Re-source	Management	Method	Price	Total Weight
Tenderer	Weight	5	5	5	5	5	5	70	100
	Tender Sum			Grades			Index		
Roadstone Construction Ltd	\$140,000.00	75	70	80	75	75	75	50	58
Proshelf Holding Co. Ltd & Construction Equipment Services Ltd	\$129,334.00	55	60	55	55	55	55	58	57
Recreational Turf Services Ltd	\$182,418.00	60	55	55	55	65	55	20	31
Med.n Tender Price	\$140,000.00								

Table 2 - Summary of Tender Evaluation

Proshelf Holding Co. Limited. have proven experience as a sub-contractor in medium sized earthworks operations and various referees have indicated that they are a competent company. Their only reference to playing field construction is for a baseball park which their referee described as very simple. Proshelf Holding Company Limited have limited experience as main contractor for a project similar in nature to this contract.

Roadstone Construction Limited have significant experience in large scale earthworks, managing projects and recent playing field development. External and internal referees indicated that the company have an above average track record.

TAGS, ERRORS OR OMISSIONS

The schedule of prices, contained in the tender documents, contained one provisional sum item. The cost of the provisional sum item was inadvertently excluded from the schedule and, as a result, two of the tenderers incorrectly inserted a rate against this provisional item. These costs were deducted from the tender sum prior to evaluation.

The tender from Roadstone Construction Limited contained a tag where the methodology proposed was different to the specification but the necessary outcomes will be achieved. This tag was deemed to be acceptable.

There were no errors or omissions in any of the tender submissions.

CREDIT CHECK

A credit check on Roadstone Construction Limited dated 3 December 2001 revealed no adverse information.

SUMMARY

The results of the tender evaluation as summarised in Table 2 shows that the tender submitted by Roadstone Construction Limited achieved the highest index of 58. This tender is also the second lowest priced tender. Chapter 2.7 of the Competitive Pricing Procedures Manual requires that tendering authorities shall only enter into a contract for the tender that scores the highest overall index therefore it is recommended that the contract be awarded to Roadstone Construction Limited.

As part of City Services vision “Quality, Health and Safety are not negotiable” all contractors have had this focus reinforced through this tender statement, contract documents and the subsequent pre-start meeting.

JOB COSTS

	Excl. GST
Tender sum - (Roadstone Construction Limited)	\$140,000.00
Contingency	\$15,000.00
Engineering and Supervision	\$18,000.00
Consents	\$2,000.00

Total Job Cost	\$175,000.00

SOURCE OF FUNDING

Budget Description (from Annual Budget line item)	Codes	Budget	Committed to Other Projects	Allocation to This Project	Unallocated Balance
Parrs Park Landscaping, Activities, and Swale development	75-9825-43510	\$145,000	\$0	\$145,000	\$0
Field Renovations	75-9823-27160	\$204,500	\$173,000	\$30,000	\$1,500
Total				\$175,000	

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. That the information be received.
2. That the tender from Roadstone Construction Limited for Contract PK02007.2 - Parrs Park Field Development in the sum of \$140,000.00 plus \$17,500.00 GST, totalling \$157,500.00 be accepted.
3. That the tender for Contract PK02007.2 - Parrs Park Field Development be awarded to other than the lowest priced tender, because the weighted attributes tender evaluation method requires the tendering authority to enter into a contract only with the tender that scores the highest overall index.
4. That authority to enter into Contract PK02007.2 - Parrs Park Field Development on behalf of Council be delegated to the Director of City Services.

Report prepared by: Peter Sewell, Parks Asset and Contracts Engineer.



7 CONTRACT PK02002.3A - BLUERIDGE / FAIRDENE / CRON / LOCKINGTON GREEN RESERVES- LANDSCAPE CONSTRUCTION

PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

The purpose of this report is to seek approval to award Contract PK02002.3A - Blueridge/Fairdene/Cron/Lockington Green Reserves - Landscape Construction.

BACKGROUND

This contract forms part of Council's parks asset creation programme as provided for in the 2001/2002 Annual Plan. Landscape construction work is proposed at Blueridge, Fairdene, Cron, and Lockington Green Reserves.

SCOPE OF WORK

This contract is for the physical works associated with the installation of concrete and gravel paths, drainage, seats, tables, rubbish bins, pergolas and playgrounds on the various reserves.

TENDERS RECEIVED

Tenders were publicly advertised with six sets of documents being uplifted. Four tenders were received by the closing date of 20 November 2001. The lowest price tenderer withdrew as their tender submission contained an arithmetic error. The other tenders were significantly in excess of the available budget so all original tenders were rejected and the tenderers were invited to re-tender based on a reduced scope of works. This involved changing some playground rubber safety surfaces to bark and reducing the number of furniture items and some path areas. Four tenders were received by the closing date of 27 November 2001. These are summarised in Table 1 below:

TENDERER	REG OFFICE	TENDER PRICE (excl. GST)
Civil Construction Group Limited	Auckland	\$225,769.50
Arrow Civil Engineers Limited	Auckland	\$232,701.50
Brett Garea Environments Limited	North Shore	\$245,266.50
Ferguson Contractors Limited	Auckland	\$252,769.50
Pre-tender Contract Estimate		\$227,599.00

Table 1 - Summary of Tender Prices

TENDER EVALUATION

In accordance with the conditions of tendering, tenders were evaluated in line with the Weighted Attribute Method contained in Transfund New Zealand's "Competitive Pricing Procedures Manual".

A summary of the evaluation results is shown in Table 2:

Tender Evaluation	Attributes	Exper.	Track Record	Tech Skills	Re-source	Management	Method	Price	Total Weight
Tenderer	Weight	10	5	10	5	5	5	60	100
	Tender Sum	Grades							Index
Civil Construction Group	\$225,769.50	60	55	65	60	60	55	56	57
Arrow Civil Engineers	\$232,701.50	70	45	60	60	55	55	53	55
Brett Garea Environment	\$245,266.50	75	65	75	70	65	65	47	57
Ferguson Contractors	\$252,769.50	65	60	65	55	65	60	44	52
Median Tender Price	\$238,984.00								

Table 2 - Summary of Tender Evaluation

The tenderers have been assessed and evaluated on the basis of their past performance and on information contained within the tender submissions. Internal reference checks were obtained to ensure consistency in evaluation scores across City Services

Civil Construction Group Limited and Brett Garea Environments Limited have achieved an equal highest index of 57.

Civil Construction Group Limited are a large civil construction company that have comparable experience for this project. They have worked for council on previous projects including subdivisions, paths, drainage & pond construction and have proven a satisfactory standard of work.

Brett Garea Environments Limited have carried out very similar parks contracts for council in the past including playground installation and have demonstrated a good standard of work.

Arrow Civil Engineers Limited the second lowest priced tenderer received a below average track record score because they have not performed well on previous parks contracts.

TAGS, ERRORS OR OMISSIONS

There were no omissions but a minor arithmetic error was discovered in the tender from Ferguson Contractors Limited, the error was in favour of council and they consequently confirmed their tender price.

There were minor tags on tenders from Civil Construction Group Limited and Brett Garea Environments Limited relating to the paint application on play equipment and the sourcing of eco-treated timber. These tags were discussed and withdrawn.

CREDIT CHECK

A credit check on Civil Construction Limited has been requested.

SUMMARY

The results of the tender evaluation as summarised in Table 2 shows that the tender submitted by Civil Construction Group Limited and Brett Garea Environments Limited achieved equal highest index of 57. Civil Construction Group Limited is the lowest price tender by approximately \$7,000 and approximately \$19,500 below Brett Garea Environments Limited who is the third lowest priced tenderer. Chapter 2.7 of the Competitive Pricing Procedures Manual requires that when more than one tender shares the top overall index, the contract shall be awarded to whichever of these has the lowest price. Therefore it is recommended that the contract be awarded to Civil Construction Group Limited. As part of City Services vision "Quality, Health and Safety are not negotiable" all contractors have had this focus reinforced through this tender statement, contract documents and the subsequent pre-start meeting.

JOB COSTS

	<u>Excl. GST</u>
Tender sum - Civil Construction Group	\$225,769.50
Contingency	\$13,911.50
Consultancy costs	\$23,319.00
Other- consents, QA fees etc	\$7,000.00

Total Job Cost	\$270,000.00

SOURCE OF FUNDING

Budget Description (from Annual Budget line item)	Codes	Budget	Committed to Other Projects	Allocation to tThis Project	Unallocated Balance
Blueridge Reserve Development	75-9825-43390	\$90,000	\$0	\$90,000	\$0
Fairdene Reserve Development	75-9825-43390	\$80,000	\$0	\$80,000	\$0
Lockington Green Reserve Development	75-9825-43390	\$35,000	\$0	\$35,000	\$0
Cron Reserve Development	75-9825-43390	\$65,000	\$0	\$65,000	\$0
Total		\$270,000		\$270,000	

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. That the information be received
2. That subject to a satisfactory credit check the tender from Civil Construction Group Limited for Contract PK02002.3A Blueridge / Fairdene / Cron/Lockington Green Reserves - Landscape Construction in the sum of \$225,769.50 plus \$28,221.19 GST, totalling \$253,990.69 be accepted.
3. That authority to enter into Contract PK02002.3A Blueridge /Fairdene/Cron/Lockington Green Reserves - Landscape Construction on behalf of Council be delegated to the Director: City Services.

Report prepared by: Katharine Slack, Parks Projects Manager.



8 CONTRACT 77120 - WAIKUMETE CEMETERY WEED CONTROL 2001/2002

PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

The purpose of this report is to seek approval to award Contract 77120 - Waikumete Cemetery Weed Control 2001/2002.

BACKGROUND

The purpose of this contract is to work towards the protection of heritage values within the Waikumete Cemetery by controlling plants identified as weeds within priority maintenance areas. This contract is part of an ongoing program to control weeds within Waikumete Cemetery. This is the third stage of the project with payment for this work coming from funds set aside for the restoration of the Waikumete Cemetery.

SCOPE OF WORK

The intention of this programme is to maintain the areas within the Cemetery that have previously been subjected to weed control and to reduce the level of weed infestation in new control areas to such an extent that effective maintenance can be achieved through a bi-annual weed slashing and spraying programme. In specific areas this programme also targets the removal of certain environmental weeds.

The work involved was divided into six zones (A-F), reflecting the various works required in each area. Tenders were asked to provide lump sum rates for each zone, with the costs associated with administration, mulching/chipping, and liaison and co-ordination with the Australian Painted Apple Moth eradication programme to be included for each zone. Tenders were to be submitted on the basis of lump sum rates for the six zones and tenderers were advised that the City would award a contract on the basis of any combination of zones to optimise and keep expenditure within available funding.

TENDERS RECEIVED

Tenders were publicly advertised with six sets of documents being uplifted. Three tenders were received by the closing date of 23 October 2001. These are summarised in Table 1 below (please note that for evaluation purposes the total of lump sum rates for all zones have been used, but that the contract could be awarded in a lesser value):

TENDERER	REGISTERED OFFICE	TENDER PRICE (excl. GST)
Techscape Limited	Albany, Auckland	\$85,008.36
City Parks Services	Onehunga, Auckland	\$108,670.60
Recreational Turf Services Limited	Takapuna, Auckland	\$184,954.08

Table 1 - Summary of Tender Prices

TENDER EVALUATION

In accordance with the conditions of tendering, tenders were evaluated in line with the Weighted Attribute Method contained in Transfund New Zealand's "Competitive Pricing Procedures Manual".

A summary of the evaluation results is shown in Table 2:

Tender Evaluation	Attributes	Exper.	Track Record	Tech. Skill	Resour.	Manag. Skill	Method.	Price	Contract Number 77120	
									Total Weights	
Weights		15	15	15	5	10	10	30	100	
Tenderer	Tender Sum	Grades							INDEX	
Techscape Limited	\$85,008.36	80	55	55	80	50	70	72	66	
City Parks Services	\$108,670.60	80	75	80	80	75	85	50	70	
Recreation Turf Services	\$184,956.08	60	70	50	70	60	65	20	37	
Med.n Tender Price	\$108,670.60									

* Attribute grading as defined by Transit NZ in their CPP Tender Evaluation Manual Effective 1 March 1997

Table 2 - Summary of Tender Evaluation

City Parks Services (a stand alone business unit of Auckland City Council) scored well in all attributes, with all three referees praising the quality of their work and the professional way in which they ran their contracts. Recreational Turf Services Limited generally received above average attribute grades, although references were not sought for this company as they were not the preferred tenderer due to price reasons.

Techscape Limited was the lowest priced tenderer, but they did not score well in some attributes, with a poor performance in a recent contract affecting their grading. Techscape received a score of 55% or less for 'Track Record', 'Technical Skills' and 'Management/Supervision Skills'. The scoring in 'Track Record' and 'Management/Supervision Skills' reflected recent contract performance, with one referee stating they believed Techscape had problems with the supervision of their contracts and this had resulted in them failing to meet quality requirements.

Although praise was given to Waitakere Weedfree Trust, who Techscape have proposed to use as a subcontractor, they are not undertaking a management and supervision role in this contract. Techscape received a lower than expected score for 'Technical Skills' because they failed to provide any information on the experience of the majority of staff to be utilised in this contract (with the exception of upper management), and, until they were questioned in tender evaluation, they failed to provide for an arborist. Given the sensitive nature of the environment and the scope of the work included in this contract an arborist was considered essential.

TAGS, ERRORS OR OMISSIONS

It was necessary to seek clarification in writing from both preferred tenderers. Techscape's clarification provided a revised schedule of prices that incorporated unscheduled items into the lump sum for each zone, provided more recent health and safety information, and stated that their tender remained open for acceptance at any amount determined by the summation of the total Lump Sum rates for any combination of areas A-F inclusive. Techscape also provided 'Track Record' information on Waitakere Weedfree Trust and confirmed that their own arborists would be available for use on this contract.

City Parks Services provided a revised schedule of prices that detailed the cost of each work component within each weed control zone, confirmed that their tender made allowance for both Notice's to Tenderers, and stated that their tender remained open for acceptance at any amount determined by the summation of the total Lump Sum rates for any combination of areas A-F inclusive. City Parks Services also confirmed that all contract works, including the spreading of mulch, can be completed by 31 March 2001 and that no vegetation will be removed from the site.

CREDIT CHECK

A credit check on City Parks Services has been requested.

SUMMARY

The results of the tender evaluation, as summarised in Table 2, shows that the tender submitted by City Parks Services achieved the highest index of 70. This tender is also the second lowest priced tender.

The acceptance of City Parks Services' tender would mean that not all works involved in this contract could be completed. However, this was expected, with zones D-F included in the contract document in the hope that they might be able to be included in this year's work programme. The acceptance of City Parks Services' tender for zones A-E allows the majority of the work to be completed, leaving only the control of Eucalypts in the Western Gum lands to be completed at a later date. By including zone E, the budget will be exceeded by \$675.50, however, this portion of work is the eradication of environmental weeds in high value gully vegetation and is seen as an effective way of preventing re-seeding of environmental weeds in surrounding areas. Additional funding for this component of the work is available from the Waikumete Cemetery Fund and will only be required if the contingency is also fully expended.

JOB COSTS

	<u>Excl. GST</u>
Tender sum - City Parks Services	\$90,465.50
Contingency	\$2,000.00
Engineering & Supervision	\$8,210.00
Total Job Cost	\$100,675.50

SOURCE OF FUNDING

BUDGET DESCRIPTION	CODES	BUDGET	COMMITTED TO OTHER PROJECTS	ALLOCATION TO THIS PROJECT	UNALLOCATED BALANCE
Specified Works - Cemetery Operations	75-9525-30220***	\$264,000	\$163,000	\$101,000	

Note: Table excludes GST

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. That the information be received.
2. That the tender for Contract 77120 - Waikumete Cemetery Weed Control 2001-2002 be awarded to other than the lowest priced tender, because the weighted attributes tender evaluation method requires the tendering authority to enter into a contract only with the tender that scores the highest overall index.

3. That, subject to a satisfactory credit check, the tender from City Parks Services for Contract 77120 - Waikumete Cemetery Weed Control 2001/2002 in the sum of \$90,465.50 plus \$11,308.19 GST totalling \$101,773.69, be accepted.
4. That authority be given to the Director: City Enterprises to enter into this contract on Council's behalf.

Report prepared by: Rebecca Hilton, Project Co-ordinator, Consultancy Services.



9 TE ATATU PENINSULA VILLAGE GREEN CONTRACT SP01003.3

PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

The purpose of this report is to seek approval to award Contract SP01003.3 Te Atatu Peninsula Village Green Development.

BACKGROUND

As part of the Town centre upgrade, the Village Green is to be developed by consolidating two existing parks, which are separated by the western part of Pringle Road. This part of Pringle Road is to be realigned to the east of the proposed park. The parks are located in the heart of the business district adjacent to the community centre and library and are ideally located to provide an enhanced public recreation facility and focal point in the Town centre.

Funding was provided during 2000/2001 to develop the concept plan, estimate project costs and prepare detailed designs.

The concept was presented to the community and has received approval and endorsement by local community groups. This was undertaken by Council's Project Manager - Revitalisation by way of a direct approach to relevant community groups as well as a formal public notification process.

The public notification process for closure of portion of Pringle Road and re-classification of portion of the park from Reserve to Road has been successfully completed with appropriate notices placed in the Gazette. Similarly, the closed Road has been reclassified as Reserve.

Based on the resultant concept plan, further funding has been allocated in the 2001/2002 Annual Budget for implementation of the physical works.

SCOPE OF WORK

The contract is for the physical works to develop the Village Green. This will comprise of the realignment of Pringle Road and relocation of portion of the car park at the community centre, consolidation and landscaping the park areas and providing appropriate facilities within the park. These facilities include several artworks integrated into the design of a rotunda, an entrance portal and a ground maze.

The existing playground, which is due for renewal, will be relocated to a more appropriate position adjacent to the community centre and upgraded. The site for the playground will be prepared with drainage, layer works and edging, while the ground covering and equipment for the playground will be installed under a separate contract.

TENDERS RECEIVED

Tenders were publicly advertised with six sets of documents being uplifted. Two tenders were received by the closing date of 29 November 2001. These are summarised in Table 1 below:

TENDERER	REG OFFICE	TENDER PRICE (excl. GST)
Mainworks Mainzeal	Auckland	\$738,770.00
Nasey Contractors Limited	Albany	\$807,376.00
Pre-tender Contract Estimate		\$750,000.00

Table 1 - Summary of Tender Prices

TENDER EVALUATION

In accordance with the conditions of tendering, tenders were evaluated generally in line with the Weighted Attribute Method contained in Transfund New Zealand's "Competitive Pricing Procedures Manual".

These procedures require that where the tender includes Provisional Sums (work valued by the Principal and placed within the Schedule of Prices) they are deducted from the Tender Sum prior to evaluating each tender.

In this contract the value of Provisional Sums is \$ 13,250.00.

A summary of the evaluation results is shown in Table 2:

Tender Evaluation	Attributes	Exper.	Track Record	Tech. Skill	Resour.	Manag. Skill	Method	Price	Contract No. SP 01-003.3
									Total Weights
	Weights	10	10	5	5	5	5	60	100
Tenderer	Tender Sum	Grades							INDEX
Mainworks Mainzeal	\$725,520.00	65	40	60	65	40	50	55	54
Nasey Contractors Limited	\$794,126.00	55	65	60	60	60	50	45	51
Median Tender Price	\$759,823.00								

Table 2 - Summary of Tender Evaluation

Mainworks Mainzeal have scored low grades on Track Record and Management Skills as a result of their current poor performance on the Massey Leisure Centre Stage 2 Contract. Council's staff have directly observed their poor management skill during the final stages of this project. Generally, Mainworks Mainzeal are a larger multidisciplinary company with more experience and resources than Nasey Contractors Limited.

TAGS, ERRORS OR OMISSIONS

The tenders were checked and evaluated, and while the tender from Mainworks Mainzeal contained a number of tags, these were evaluated and deemed to be in the form of clarifications of the tender and would not affect the tender nor attract any cost.

CREDIT CHECK

A credit check on Mainworks Mainzeal dated 24 September 2001 revealed no adverse information.

SUMMARY

The results of the tender evaluation as summarised in Table 2 shows that the tender submitted by Mainworks Mainzeal achieved the highest index of 54. This tender is also the lowest priced tender.

Mainworks Mainzeal are currently working on two Council projects. These are firstly, Massey Leisure Centre, which is nearing completion. During the initial construction period they appeared to be performing well and made good progress despite poor weather conditions at the time. However, in the final stages their performance level dropped and the contract completion has been severely delayed. The second contract is the Titirangi Community House where their performance has been excellent to date and they are progressing well with good site management and quality control, although the projects cannot be readily compared with regard to both dollar value or complexity.

Following discussions of this issue with management of Mainworks Mainzeal, Council staff are of the opinion that with the correct contract staff selection and improved quality assurance processes a successful outcome can be expected on the Village Green Development.

As part of City Services vision "Quality, Health and Safety are not negotiable" all contractors have had this focus reinforced through this tender statement, contract documents and the subsequent pre-start meeting.

JOB COSTS

	<u>Excl. GST</u>
Tender Sum - Mainworks Mainzeal	\$738,770.00
Artwork designs	\$10,200.00
Concept planning, Engineering & supervision	\$76,500.00
PM, QA, Legal fees and Consent fees	\$45,000.00
Playground (separate contract)	\$70,000.00
Contingency (14%)	\$132,030.00

Total	\$1,072,500.00

Contingency (14% of project value) has been allocated to this project. This is more than the 10% conventionally allocated to construction projects and is to allow for possible relocation of existing services identified by EcoWater in the Consent Conditions.

SOURCE OF FUNDING

Budget Description (from Annual Budget line item)	Codes	Budget	Committe d to Other Projects	Allocation to This Project	Unallocated Balance
TAP Village Green (00/01 c/o)	75-9120-30360	\$ 85,500.00	Nil	\$85,500.00	Nil
TAP Village Green (01/02)	75-9120-30360	\$ 890,000.00	Nil	\$890,000.00	Nil
Playground renewals	75-9823-27370	\$ 32,000.00	12,000.00	\$20,000.00	Nil
Waitakere Properties artworks sponsorship	To be advised	\$ 77,000.00	Nil	\$77,000.00	Nil

Total Budget \$1,072,500.00

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. That the information be received.
2. That the tender from Mainworks Mainzeal for Contract SP01003.3 Te Atatu Peninsula Village Green Development in the sum of \$738,770.00 plus \$92,346.25 GST, totalling \$831,116.25 be accepted.
3. That authority to enter into Contract SP01003.3 Te Atatu Peninsula Village Green Development on behalf of Council be delegated to the Director: City Services.

Report prepared by: John Schermbrucker, Special Projects Engineer.



10 CONTRACT NO. 01EWC098 - VALVE AND HYDRANT PAINTING AND CLEANING 2001/2002

PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

The purpose of this report is to seek approval to award Contract No: 01EWC098 Valve & Hydrant Painting and Cleaning 2001/2002.

BACKGROUND

The contract is for the ongoing maintenance of water supply valves and hydrants in Waitakere City.

SCOPE OF WORK

The contract work includes the full cleaning out of hydrant and valve boxes, the painting of kerb blocks, marker posts, valve indicator triangles and unit lids, the removal of valve and hydrant marker posts within kerb and channelled road sections, and the replacement of marker posts in rural areas where the posts very old, damaged or incorrectly aligned. The location of work is defined as being within Waitakere City Council's zone 1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 11 and 15 water supply areas.

TENDERS RECEIVED

Tenders were publicly advertised with 11 sets of documents being uplifted. Four tenders were received by the closing date of 13 November 2001. These are summarised in Table 1 below:

TENDERER	REG OFFICE	TENDER PRICE (excl. GST)
Nick Liefiting Contractors Limited	Pukekohe	\$55,811.00
Enfield Services Group Limited trading as Enfield Enterprises	North Shore	\$62,395.24
Shotcrete NZ Limited	Auckland	\$180,792.10
Iti Contracting Limited	Titirangi	\$276,290.00
Pre-tender Contract Estimate		\$52,074.77

Table 1 - Summary of Tender Prices

TENDER EVALUATION

In accordance with the conditions of tendering, tenders were evaluated in line with the Weighted Attribute Method contained in Transfund New Zealand's "Competitive Pricing Procedures Manual".

A summary of the evaluation results is shown in Table 2.

Tender Evaluation	Attributes	Exper.	Track Record	Tech. Skill	Resour.	Manag. Skill	Method.	Price	Contract Number 01EWC098
	Weights	5	5	5	5	5	5	70	Total Weights 100
Tenderer	Tender Sum	Grades							INDEX
Nick Liefiting Contractors Limited	\$55,811.00	70	55	50	50	45	50	100	86
Enfield Services Group Limited trading as Enfield Enterprises	\$62,395.24	75	75	70	70	75	70	99	91
Shotcrete NZ Limited	\$180,792.10	65	70	70	70	60	60	1	21
Iti Contracting Limited	\$276,290.00	60	60	55	55	55	55	-77	-37
Median Tender Price	\$121,593.67								

Table 2 - Summary of Tender Evaluation

The attribute information submitted with each tender was evaluated to derive the attribute scores, in addition to first-hand knowledge of the firms and contact with referees.

Both Nick Liefiting Contractors Limited and Enfield Services Group Limited (trading as Enfield Enterprises) have experience in valve and hydrant maintenance work. However Enfield Enterprises has more experience in Waitakere City and in the wider Auckland area in this type of work.

Enfield Enterprises had last year's Valve and Hydrant maintenance contract in Waitakere City, and performed very well. Their management skills, technical skills and methodology were very good, and they carried out the work in an efficient and timely manner.

Reference checks on Nick Lifting Contractors Limited consistently revealed significant concerns with regard to general management skills and traffic management practices.

TAGS, ERRORS OR OMISSIONS

The tender from Shotcrete NZ Limited contained a tag relating to cast iron lids. Due to the fact that the clarification of this tag would not have any effect on the outcome of the evaluation rankings, this matter was not pursued further with the tenderer. There were no other tags, errors or omissions in any of the tenders received.

CREDIT CHECK

A credit check dated 23 September 2001 for Enfield Services Group Limited trading as Enfield Enterprises revealed no adverse information.

SUMMARY

The results of the tender evaluation as summarised in Table 2 show that the tender submitted by Enfield Services Group Limited trading as Enfield Enterprises achieved the highest overall index of 91. This tender is the second lowest priced tender.

JOB COSTS

	<u>Excl. GST</u>
Tender sum - (Enfield Services Group Limited trading as Enfield Enterprises)	\$62,395.24
Contingency	\$0.00
Engineering and Supervision	\$6,000.00

Total Job Cost	\$68,395.24

SOURCE OF FUNDING

Budget Description (from Annual Budget line item)	Codes	Budget	Committed to Other Projects	Allocation to This Project	Unallocated Balance
V & H Routine Mtce	85-7540-26810-	\$56,120.00	\$20,000.00	\$36,000.00	\$120.00
V & H Routine Mtce	85-9855-26810-	\$72,020.00	\$19,624.76	\$32,395.24	\$20,000.00

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. That the information be received.
2. That the tender from Enfield Services Group Limited trading as Enfield Enterprises for Contract No: 01EWC098 - Valve & Hydrant Painting & Cleaning 2001/2002 in the sum of \$62,395.24 plus \$7,799.40 GST, totalling \$70,194.64 be accepted.
3. That the tender be awarded to other than the lowest priced tender, because the tendering authority is only permitted to enter into a contract with the tender achieving the highest overall score in the weighted attribute tender evaluation.
4. That the Director: City Enterprises be delegated the authority to enter into the contract on behalf of Council.

Report prepared by: Richard Taylor, Water Assets Engineer, EcoWater Solutions.



11 PK02073.1 - COMMUNITY PLANTING CONTRACT

PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

The purpose of this report is to seek approval to award Contract PK02073.1 Community Planting Contract.

BACKGROUND

Keep Waitakere Beautiful and Green Network Community Projects undertake a variety of community planting projects during the year. Projects include 'Trees for Babies', Street Tree Planting, Picasso Reserve Community Planting, Clean Stream Waitakere, Community Group Support and Schools Assistance. The recommendation to tender for a two year contract was approved by the Tenders subcommittee on the 29 October 2001 (ref: 2412/2001).

SCOPE OF WORK

- to provide ecosourced (wherever possible) plants and trees of suitable size and species for the selected sites for Keep Waitakere Beautiful and Green Network Community Projects plantings;
- to prepare the site for each planting prior to the event if required;
- to supervise and assist planting by volunteers if required;
- to provide and install appropriate staking, mulch and fertiliser for plantings and make any necessary reinstatement of the planting area;
- to provide spades for volunteer use and all other equipment necessary for the planting event if required;
- to provide planting plans two weeks prior to an event, including a list identifying the species, with a map indicating the planting locations.

TENDERS RECEIVED

Tenders were publicly advertised with ten sets of documents being uplifted. Three tenders were received by the closing date of 27 November 2001. These are summarised in Table 1 below:

TENDERER	REG OFFICE	TENDER PRICE (excl. GST)
City Park Services	Auckland	\$148,208.32
Techscape Limited	Albany	\$149,916.00
Landscape Gardener Services	Waitakere City	\$153,708.00
Pre-tender Contract Estimate		\$149,759.50

Table 1 - Summary of Tender Prices

TENDER EVALUATION

In accordance with the conditions of tendering, tenders were evaluated in line with the Weighted Attribute Method contained in Transfund New Zealand's "Competitive Pricing Procedures Manual".

A summary of the evaluation results is shown in Table 2:

Tender Evaluation	Attributes	Exper.	Track Record	Tech Skills	Re-source	Management	Method	Price	Total Weight
Tenderer	Weight	5	10	10	5	5	5	60	100
	Tender Sum	Grades							Index
City Park Services	\$148,208.32	70	75	70	70	70	70	51	59
Techscape Limited	\$149,916.00	85	75	75	70	70	75	50	60
Landscape Gardener Services	\$153,708.00	55	60	65	60	55	55	47	52
Median Tender Price	\$149,916.00								

Table 2 - Summary of Tender Evaluation

Experience: Techscape have been contracted to carry out all of Keep Waitakere Beautiful planting project work since 1993, therefore a high rank for experience has been granted.

TAGS, ERRORS OR OMISSIONS

Both City Park Services and Techscape submitted rewritten schedule of prices. These were checked to ensure full conformity with the Request for Tender.

Correspondence with City Park Services regarding clarification of their schedule of prices has been completed and did not alter the tender sum.
There were no other tags, errors or omissions.

CREDIT CHECK

A credit check on Techscape Limited has been requested.

SUMMARY

The results of the tender evaluation as summarised in Table 2 shows that the tender submitted by Techscape Limited achieved the highest index of 60. This tender is also the second lowest priced tender.

Chapter 2.7 of the Competitive Pricing Procedures Manual requires that tendering authorities shall only enter into a contract for the tender that scores the highest overall index therefore it is recommended that the contract be awarded to Techscape Limited.

As part of City Services vision "Quality, Health and Safety are not negotiable" all contractors have had this focus reinforced through this tender statement, contract documents and the subsequent pre-start meeting.

JOB COSTS

	<u>Excl. GST</u>
Tender sum - Techscape Limited	\$149,916.00
Contingency	\$7,495.80

Total Job Cost	\$157,411.80

SOURCE OF FUNDING

2001/2002 Financial Year

Budget Description (from Annual Budget line item)	Codes	Budget	Committed to Other Projects	Allocation to This Project	Unallocated Balance
KWB Clean Stream	46-7635-24060	\$18,700	4,000	\$10,000	4,700
KWB Community group support	46-7635-24070	\$8,000	0	\$4,000	4,000
KWB School Projects	46-7635-35650	\$12,000	5,000	\$3,500	3,500
KWB Trees for Babies	46-7635-35660	\$25,000	0	\$15,000	10,000
KWB Community Street Trees	75-9825-39710	\$40,000	0	\$40,000	0
Green Network community plantings	75-9825-39710	\$15,000	0	\$7,500	7,500
Total				\$80,000	

2002/2003 Financial Year

Funding totalling \$77,411.80 will be required in the 2002/2003 financial year.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. That the information be received
2. That subject to a satisfactory credit check the tender from Techscape Limited for Contract PK02073.1 Community Planting Contract in the sum of \$149,916.00 plus \$18,739.50 GST, totalling \$168,655.50 be accepted.
3. That the tender for Contract PK02073.1 Community Planting Contract be awarded to other than the lowest priced tender, because the weighted attributes tender evaluation method requires the tendering authority to enter into a contract only with the tender that scores the highest overall index
4. That the commitment to the 2002/2003 financial year for Contract PK02073.1 Community Planting Contract totalling \$77,411.80 plus GST \$9,676.48 totalling \$87,088.28, be approved.
5. That authority to enter into Contract PK02073.1 - Community Planting Contract on behalf of Council be delegated to the Director: City Services.

Report prepared by: Jacki Byrd, Keep Waitakere Beautiful Coordinator, Green Network Community Projects Assistant.



12 **RM02007.2 WAITAKERE CITY COUNCIL ELECTRICITY SUPPLY 2002/2003 AND 2003/2004**

PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

The purpose of this report is to seek approval to tender Contract RM02007.2 Waitakere City Council Electricity Supply and to conduct the tender through Communication and Energy Systems energy purchasing group.

BACKGROUND

Waitakere City Council participated in an energy-purchasing group formed by Communication & Energy Systems for the July 2000/June 2002 electricity supply contract. Other members of the energy-purchasing group included, North Shore City Council, Rodney, Matamata Piako, Hauraki, Western Bay of Plenty, and South Waikato District Councils.

The direct benefits of Waitakere City Council's participation in this energy-purchasing group were:

1. Immediate savings of \$92,365 were gained as a result of tendering for bulk electricity purchase.
2. Constant electricity prices during the dry lake period in 2001 when the cost of electricity generation increased dramatically.

Council's current electricity contract ends 30 June 2002. The process for tendering the next electricity contract needs to commence in January 2002. There has been an increase in the membership of the energy purchasing group with Waitakere City Council now being 15% of the total electricity intended for purchase through the tendering process.

Electricity currently costs Waitakere City Council approximately \$1,081,000 per annum through approximately 254 electricity meters. The major user is street lighting, with other significant users being the Aquatic Centre, the Civic Centre and a range of other Council properties. Action has already been taken at major sites to reduce consumption, and further demand reduction initiatives will continue. The cost of Council's electricity is estimated to increase 20-30% due to the pricing instability of the electricity markets. Through participating in Communication and Energy Systems energy-purchasing group Council will be able to benefit from bulk electricity purchasing pricing and, with a two-year contract period, pricing stability during the period of instable electricity markets.

Another benefit being sought through the tender process is better information from electricity suppliers to help Council manage and reduce its energy consumption, in line with corporate sustainability targets.

TENDER PROCESS

The consultation process with Council's major electricity users about the method for proceeding for the next contract period resulted in general agreement that the electricity tender process should be centrally coordinated through the Corporate Sustainability Programme, rather than by unit, to ensure the contract allowed Council to benefit from future energy efficiency initiatives.

In order to gain the best electricity supply contract Waitakere City Council needs to:

- Consider the most beneficial method to tender the electricity contract for the best possible pricing.

- Have a contract period that will provide price stability during an unstable time for the electricity market.
- Ensure that the electricity supply contract will meet the specific needs of Waitakere City Council.

The process for Communication and Energy Systems in tendering the electricity supply contract can be summarised as follows:

- the electricity retailers are invited to submit tenders to the energy-purchasing group for all the participating Councils and organisations with pricing based upon bulk purchase of supplying electricity to all participants;
- a panel of three technical experts from the energy-purchasing group will evaluate the tenders according to the tender document and make recommendations to the energy-purchasing group as to which electricity supplier should be awarded the contracts;
- individual Councils and organisations review and accept (or decline) the tender evaluation and contract details for their specific contract, which will allow Council to meet its own contract sign-off procedures.

ISSUES

Council experience with this tender process has been favourable over the current contract process. Issues that require approval of the Tenders Subcommittee are the joint contracting process and the intention to tender for a two year period.

RESOURCES

Provision is made for energy supply within the existing Council budgets. Initial work indicated that electricity cost may increase due to the market conditions, however these issues will be addressed during consideration of the Annual Plan.

CONCLUSION

Waitakere City Council has previously benefited from participating in Communication & Energy Systems energy-purchasing group and should seek to continue to benefit from the bulk purchase and pricing stability for electricity supply through this method as it seeks to address its energy efficiency performance. The most significant energy savings that can be achieved by most organisations occurs through the negotiating the correct form of energy (electricity or gas) supply contracts.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. That the information be received.
2. That process of Waitakere City Council tendering the July 2002/June 2004 electricity contract through Communication and Energy Systems energy-purchasing group be approved.
3. That the two year contract period for the tender of RM02007.2 Waitakere City Council Electricity Supply be approved and that approval be given for a financial commitment for electricity in the 2003/2004 Annual Plan years subject to contract final approval.

Report prepared by: Michelle Dawson, Project Manager Cleaner Production.



13 **CONTRACT TA 02017.2 - SWANSON ROAD UPGRADE**

PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

The purpose of this report is to seek approval to award Contract TA 02017.2 - Swanson Road Upgrade.

BACKGROUND

This contract forms part of Council's Transport Assets and Strategic Projects capital works programme as provided for in the 2001/2002 Annual Plan. It specifically includes the construction of Road Drainage Extensions and the Swanson Village Revitalisation. This follows extensive public consultation and meetings with the Swanson community.

SCOPE OF WORK

This contract is for the construction of new kerb, channelling and swales on Swanson Road between O'Neills and Christian Roads. The works include pavement widening, kerbing, construction of traffic calming devices, new drainage, new and upgraded footpaths and ancillary works around the Swanson Shopping Centre.

TENDERS RECEIVED

Tenders were publicly advertised with twelve sets of documents being uplifted. Four tenders were received by the closing date of Thursday, 15 November 2001. These are summarised in Table 1 below:

TENDERER	REG OFFICE	TENDER PRICE (excl. GST)
Stonedale Civil Limited	Hunua	\$526,441.00
Auckland Civil Limited	North Shore	\$573,818.00
Arrow Civil Engineers Limited	Onehunga	\$620,056.90
Civil Construction Limited	Herne Bay	\$746,810.00
Pre-tender Contract Estimate		\$538,641.00

Table 1 - Summary of Tender Prices

TENDER EVALUATION

In accordance with the conditions of tendering, tenders were evaluated in line with the Weighted Attribute Method contained in Transfund New Zealand's "Competitive Pricing Procedures Manual".

These procedures require that where the tender includes Provisional Sums (work valued by the Principal and placed within the Schedule of Prices) they are deducted from the Tender Sum prior to evaluating each tender.

In this contract the value of Provisional Sums is \$0.00.

A summary of the evaluation results is shown in Table 2:

Tender Evaluation	Attributes	Exper.	Track Record	Tech. Skill	Resour.	Manag. Skill	Method.	Price	Contract Number TA 02017.2
									Total Weights
	Weights	5	5	5	5	5	5	70	100
Tenderer	Tender Sum	Grades							INDEX
Stonedale Civil Ltd	\$526,441.00	70	60	70	60	65	85	62	64
Arrow Civil Engineers Ltd	\$573,818.00	70	55	60	55	60	55	54	55
Auckland Civil Ltd	\$620,056.90	70	65	70	70	70	55	46	52
Civil Construction Ltd	\$746,810.00	60	55	60	55	65	55	25	35
Med.n Tender Price	\$596,937.45								

Table 2 - Summary of Tender Evaluation

Stonedale Civil Contractors Limited is a contracting company established two years ago. Its owner/manager was known to Council previously as a project manager for an established contractor Wilkinson and Smith.

In 2000/2001 Stonedale Civil Contractors Limited completed two projects for Council which included Kaweka Street and Hutchinson Avenue kerb, footpath and pavement rehabilitation and a new section of kerb, channel, footpath and road widening on Swanson Road outside the Swanson Rail Station. These works were carried out to a good standard and within the required timeframes.

The other Contractors are all known to Council and have been rated based on recent experiences with various Council works.

TAGS, ERRORS OR OMISSIONS

There were no tags, errors or omissions.

CREDIT CHECK

A credit check on Stonedale Civil Contractors Limited revealed nothing adverse.

SUMMARY

The results of the tender evaluation as summarised in Table 2 show that the tender submitted by Stonedale Civil Contractors Limited achieved the highest index of 64. This tender is also the lowest priced tender.

Stonedale Civil Contractors Limited is an experienced civil contractors that has generally carried out works to a good standard for both Council and other outside organisations. It has the added advantage of having a site yard located in Swanson Road where they can store materials and machinery.

It has provided a detailed statement on the methodology during construction with emphasis on regular public consultation/notification with affected parties.

JOB COSTS

	Excl. GST
Tender sum - Stonedale Civil Contractors Limited	\$526,441.00
Contingency	\$40,059.00
Engineering and Supervision	\$56,000.00
Commitments (Service Relocation)	\$7,500.00
Total Job Cost	\$630,000.00

SOURCE OF FUNDING

Codes	Total Budget	Committed to other Projects	Allocation to this Project	Unallocated Balance
75-9814-39270	\$450,000	\$0	\$450,000	\$0
85-9815-62230	\$200,000	\$50,000	\$60,000	\$90,000
75-9120-39820	\$180,000	\$80,000	\$100,000	\$0
75-9861-24680	\$490,000	\$365,000	\$20,000	\$105,000
			\$630,000	

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. That the information be received.
2. That the tender from Stonedale Civil Contractors Limited for Contract TA 02017.2 - Swanson Road Upgrade in the sum of \$526,441.00 plus \$65,805.13 GST, totalling \$592,246.13 be accepted.
3. That the Director: City Services be delegated authority to enter into Contract TA02017.2 - Swanson Road Upgrade.

Report prepared by: Reg Cuthers, Transportation Engineer.

