



NOTICE OF MEETING

TENDERS SUBCOMMITTEE

I hereby give notice that an Ordinary Meeting of the Tenders Subcommittee will be held on:-

DATE: **Friday** **15 November 2002** **TIME:** **9.00 am**

VENUE: **Civic Centre, 6 Waipareira Avenue, Lincoln, Waitakere City**

to consider the business as set out herein and to take any necessary action connected therewith.

11 November 2002

Sharon Simiona
COMMITTEE SECRETARY

Telephone (09) 836 8000 extn 8820

MEMBERSHIP:

Councillors JM Clews, QSO, JP (Chairperson)
 GB Presland (Deputy Chairperson)

Chief Executive

Director: City Services

Director: Corporate & Civic Services

Director: Strategy & Development

Director: Finance

Maori Issues Manager

Mayor, Bob Harvey, QSO, JP (ex officio)

Deputy Mayor, CA Stone (ex officio)

(Quorum 4 members)

★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★

(The reports and recommendations contained in all agendas are reports and recommendations only and are not to be construed, in any way, as Council policy until adopted.)

**AGENDA FOR AN ORDINARY MEETING OF THE TENDERS SUBCOMMITTEE
TO BE HELD IN THE CIVIC CENTRE, 6 WAIPAREIRA AVENUE, LINCOLN,
WAITAKERE CITY, ON FRIDAY, 15 NOVEMBER 2002,
COMMENCING AT 9.00 AM.**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| <u>ITEM</u> | | <u>PAGE NO.</u> |
|--------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| 1 | APOLOGIES | 1 |
| 2 | URGENT BUSINESS | 1 |
| 3 | CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES | 1 |
| 4 | CONTRACT EW 02 629C – HINDMARSH STREET TO HENDERSON VALLEY ROAD WASTEWATER UPGRADE | 2 |
| 5 | CONTRACT EW 02 634C - ARCHIBALD PARK WASTEWATER UPGRADE AND PUMP STATION | 5 |
| 6 | AQ01003 AQUATIC CENTRE MAINTENANCE SHUTDOWN - WORK PACKAGES | 8 |
| 7 | PK02513B - LAINGHOLM PARK DEVELOPMENT | 12 |
| 8 | REGISTRATION OF INTEREST FOR ARCHITECTS ON THE CIVIC FUTURE PROCESS | 14 |

**AGENDA FOR AN ORDINARY MEETING OF THE TENDERS SUBCOMMITTEE
TO BE HELD IN THE CIVIC CENTRE, 6 WAIPAREIRA AVENUE, LINCOLN,
WAITAKERE CITY, ON FRIDAY, 15 NOVEMBER 2002,
COMMENCING AT 9.00 AM.**

1 APOLOGIES



2 URGENT BUSINESS

Section 46A(7) and (7A) of the Local Government Official Information Act and Meetings Act 1987 provides that where an item of business is not on the agenda, it may only be dealt with at the meeting if:

- (i) the item is a minor matter; and
- (ii) the Chairperson has explained at the beginning of the meeting (when open to the public) that the item will be raised for discussion, why the item is not on the agenda, and why it cannot be delayed until a subsequent meeting; and
- (iii) the Committee resolves to deal with the item.

No resolution, decision, or recommendation may be made in respect of the item except to refer the item to a subsequent meeting for further discussion.

NOTE: Urgent Business need not be dealt with now and may be delayed until later in the meeting.



3 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

Ordinary - 25 October 2002
- 1 November 2002

RECOMMENDATION

That the minutes of the Ordinary Meeting of the Tenders Subcommittee held on Friday, 25 October 2002 and Friday, 1 November 2002, as circulated, be taken as read and now be confirmed.



4 CONTRACT EW 02 629C – HINDMARSH STREET TO HENDERSON VALLEY ROAD WASTEWATER UPGRADE

PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

The purpose of this report is to seek approval to award Contract EW 02 629C - Hindmarsh Street to Henderson Valley Road Wastewater Upgrade.

BACKGROUND

Due to the development of significant high density infill housing in recent years in the catchment serviced by the existing wastewater pipeline, this pipeline is now under capacity and needs to be upgraded. The upgrading work has been approved through the 2002/2003 Annual Budget.

SCOPE OF WORK

The works to be carried out under this contract include:

1. The replacement of about 300 metres of existing 150 mm earthenware sewers using a combination of open cut and pipe bursting construction techniques.
2. The construction of new manholes and the replacement of existing connections.

TENDERS RECEIVED

Tenders were publicly advertised with four (4) sets of documents being uplifted. Four (4) tenders were received by the closing date of 22 October 2002. These are summarised in Table 1 below:

| TENDERER | REG OFFICE | TENDER PRICE (excl. GST) |
|--------------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|
| JB Pipeline Services Limited | Onehunga | \$159,403.50 |
| Pipe Bursting Services Limited | Silverdale | \$187,966.20 |
| PipeWorks Rehabilitation Solutions Limited | Mt Wellington | \$220,814.50 |
| H&H Contractors Limited | New Lynn | \$231,821.88 |
| | | |
| Pre-tender contract estimate | | \$165,000.00 |

Table 1 - Summary of Tender Prices

TENDER EVALUATION

In accordance with the conditions of tendering, tenders were evaluated in line with the Weighted Attribute Method contained in Transfund New Zealand's "Competitive Pricing Procedures Manual".

A summary of the evaluation results is shown in Table 2:

| Tender Evaluation | Attributes | Experience | Track Record | Technical Skill | Resources | Management Skill | Method. | Price | Contract Number | |
|--------------------------------------------|--------------|------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------|------------------|---------|-------|-----------------|--|
| | | | | | | | | | EW 02 629C | |
| | | | | | | | | | Total Weights | |
| Weights | | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 10 | 60 | 100 | |
| Tenderer | Tender Sum | Grades | | | | | | | INDEX | |
| JB Pipeline Services Limited | \$159,403.50 | 60 | 40 | 60 | 60 | 50 | 60 | 72 | 65 | |
| Pipe Bursting Services Limited | \$187,966.20 | 70 | 70 | 75 | 75 | 60 | 70 | 58 | 62 | |
| PipeWorks Rehabilitation Solutions Limited | \$220,814.50 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 65 | 42 | 53 | |
| H&H Contractors Limited | \$231,821.88 | 70 | 65 | 70 | 65 | 70 | 70 | 37 | 49 | |
| Median Tender Price | \$204,390.35 | | | | | | | | | |

Table 2 - Summary of Tender Evaluation

Although JB Pipeline Services Limited has not undertaken works of a similar nature for Council since 1994, they have undertaken similar works on a regular basis for other local authorities. It has been Council's experience that JB Pipeline Services Limited requires extensive construction supervision during construction. This has also been the experience of referees from other councils, who stated that although JB Pipeline Services Limited has the necessary expertise, technical skills and resources to undertake the work, they have a tendency to cut corners. With additional construction supervision, this problem could be overcome.

The tender price from JB Pipeline Services Limited is \$28,562.70 less than that of the second lowest tender. It is estimated that the provision of additional construction supervision for JB Pipeline Services Limited will cost no more than \$18,000.00, which is less than the cost differential between the two lowest tendered prices.

The tender from Pipe Bursting Services Limited is the second lowest priced. Pipe Bursting Services Limited has undertaken works of a similar nature for other local authorities in the region. Pipe Bursting Services Limited has the resources and necessary expertise to manage and undertake the work.

PipeWorks Rehabilitation Solutions Limited has proposed the use of Pipe Bursting Services Limited as their sub-contractor for the pipe bursting and open cut trenching works.

TAGS, ERRORS OR OMISSIONS

The tender from JB Pipeline Services Limited contained an arithmetic error. When contacted over this, JB Pipeline Services Limited confirmed in writing the tendered sum.

The tender from PipeWorks Rehabilitation Solutions Limited contained an arithmetic error. When contacted over this, PipeWorks Rehabilitation Solutions Limited confirmed the tendered sum.

In accordance with the General Conditions of Contract, in the event of an award, the Engineer will adjust the unit rates after consultation with the contractor to conform with the tendered sum.

The tender from H&H Contractors Limited contained several indirect tags. These have not been considered further as H&H Contractors Limited is the lowest ranked tender and is clearly not in contention.

CREDIT CHECK

A credit check dated 14 August 2002 carried out on JB Pipeline Services Limited revealed no adverse information.

SUMMARY

The results of the tender evaluation, as summarised in Table 2, show that the tender submitted by JB Pipeline Services Limited achieved the highest overall index of 65. This tender is also the lowest priced tender.

JB Pipeline Services Limited has the expertise, technical skills and resources to carry out the works satisfactorily.

However, additional construction supervision will be required to ensure that JB Pipeline Services Limited deliver good quality work for Council. The cost of this supervision is estimated at a maximum of \$18,000, and is substantially less than the cost differential between the lowest and second lowest tenders.

As part of City Services vision "Quality and Health and Safety is not negotiable" all contractors have had this focus clearly reinforced through the contract documents and this will be strongly reiterated at the subsequent pre-start meeting and imposed during the contract period.

JOB COSTS

| | Excl. GST |
|---------------------------------------------|------------------|
| Tender sum - (JB Pipeline Services Limited) | \$159,403.50 |
| Contingency | \$ 15,950.00 |
| Engineering & Supervision | \$ 21,670.00 |
| Additional supervision | \$ 18,000.00 |
| Consents | \$ 1,330.00 |
| | ----- |
| Total Job Cost | \$216,353.50 |

SOURCE OF FUNDING

| Budget Description (from Annual Budget line item) | Codes | Budget | Committed to Other Projects | Allocation to This Project | Unallocated Balance |
|---------------------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|
| Wastewater Upgrades | 85-9821-60000-999 | \$2,151,400 | \$103,228 | \$216,353.50 | \$1,831,818.50 |

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. That the information be received.
2. That the tender from JB Pipeline Services Limited for Contract EW 02 629C – Hindmarsh Street to Henderson Valley Road Wastewater Upgrade in the sum of \$159,403.50 plus \$19,925.44 GST, totalling \$179,328.94 be accepted.

3. That the Manger: EcoWater Business Unit be delegated the authority to enter into this contract on behalf of the Council.

Report prepared by: Tony Miguel, Manager, EcoWater Business Unit.



5 CONTRACT EW 02 634C - ARCHIBALD PARK WASTEWATER UPGRADE AND PUMP STATION

PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

The purpose of this report is to seek approval to award Contract EW 02 634C - Archibald Park Wastewater Upgrade and Pump Station.

BACKGROUND

An existing wastewater pipeline runs under an old tip site. This site is presently being capped with 1 meter of overburden. Due to the current poor condition of this pipeline, there are concerns over its security and capacity to withstand the increased loads imposed by the overburden layer. Therefore, it is proposed to abandon the pipeline and divert the wastewater into a new pump station from where the wastewater will be pumped into the adjacent Western Interceptor trunk pipeline owned by WaterCare Services Limited. The works have been approved through the 2002/2003 Annual Budget.

SCOPE OF WORK

The works to be carried out under this contract include:

1. The design and construction of a wastewater pump station with its associated infrastructures, including a valve chamber.
2. The construction of a new wastewater pipeline and manholes.

TENDERS RECEIVED

Tenders were publicly advertised with eight (8) sets of documents being uplifted. Three (3) tenders were received by the closing date of 8 October 2002. These are summarised in Table 1 below:

| TENDERER | REG OFFICE | TENDER PRICE (excl. GST) |
|-------------------------------------|------------|-----------------------------|
| Counce Consultants (NZ) Limited | Mt Eden | \$199,188.00 |
| Civil Construction Group Limited | Herne Bay | \$216,761.00 |
| Hughes Civil Limited | Titirangi | \$257,111.00 |
| Pre-tender contract estimate | | \$146,000.000 |

Table 1 - Summary of Tender Prices

Tender prices are higher than anticipated. It is thought that this is a result of the following:

- An underestimation in the pre-tender cost estimate of the design and excavation components of the tender;
- Pressure on construction costs due to the large amount of work in the Auckland Region.

TENDER EVALUATION

In accordance with the conditions of tendering, tenders were evaluated in line with the Weighted Attribute Method contained in Transfund New Zealand's "Competitive Pricing Procedures Manual".

These procedures require that where the tender includes Provisional Sums (work valued by the Principal and placed within the Schedule of Prices) they are deducted from the Tender Sum prior to evaluating each tender.

In this contract the value of Provisional Sums is \$20,300.00.

A summary of the evaluation results is shown in Table 2:

| Tender Evaluation | Attributes | Exper. | Track Record | Tech. Skill | Resour. | Manag. Skill | Method. | Price | Contract Number EW 02 634C |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|---------------|--------------|-------------|----------|--------------|-----------|-----------|-------------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | Total Weights |
| | Weights | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 10 | 60 | 100 |
| Tenderer | Tender Sum | Grades | | | | | | | INDEX |
| Caunce Consultants (NZ) Limited | - | 40 | 35 | 45 | 40 | 30 | 40 | - | Disqualified |
| Civil Construction Group Limited | \$196,461.00 | 60 | 50 | 60 | 55 | 55 | 60 | 59 | 58 |
| Hughes Civil Limited | \$236,811.00 | 70 | 65 | 70 | 65 | 70 | 65 | 41 | 51 |
| Median Tender Price | \$216,636.00 | | | | | | | | |

Table 2 - Summary of Tender Evaluation

The performance of Caunce Consultants (NZ) Limited on recent contracts with Waitakere City Council has been inadequate and these contracts required significant input from Council staff to maintain progress and achieve the required quality. This poor performance adversely impacted on owners and occupiers of properties affected by the works, subjecting them to extended periods of disruption. Due to cash flow problems of Caunce Consultants (NZ) Limited on one project, third party contractors were employed by Council to complete the outstanding works and to reinstate properties. Therefore, Caunce Consultants (NZ) Limited have been scored 35 or less on two (2) non-price attributes, resulting in a "fail" in those attributes. Consequently, the tender was disqualified and removed from further consideration.

The tender from Civil Construction Group Limited becomes the lowest priced among the conforming tenders received. Civil Construction Group Limited has undertaken works of a similar nature for other local authorities in the region. They have proposed a team with the resources and necessary expertise to design, manage and undertake the work.

Hughes Civil Limited demonstrated that it has the necessary resources and expertise to undertake the work.

TAGS, ERRORS OR OMISSIONS

The tender from Civil Construction Group Limited contained an arithmetic error and two (2) omissions. When contacted over these, Civil Construction Group Limited confirmed the tendered sum in writing.

The tender from Civil Construction Group Limited contained two tags. Civil Construction Group Limited subsequently removed these tags from their tender.

The tender from Hughes Civil Limited contained an arithmetic error. When contacted over these, Hughes Civil Limited confirmed the tendered sum. The tender contained no tags.

In accordance with the General Conditions of Contract, in the event of an award, the Engineer will adjust the unit rates after consultation with the contractor to conform with the tendered sum.

CREDIT CHECK

A credit check dated 11 September 2002 carried out on Civil Construction Group Limited revealed no adverse information.

SUMMARY

The tender by Counce Consultants (NZ) Limited was disqualified.

The results of the tender evaluation, as summarised in Table 2, show that the tender submitted by Civil Construction Group Limited achieved the highest overall index of fifty-eight (58). This tender is also the lowest priced tender amongst the conforming tenders received.

It is considered that Civil Construction Group Limited has the necessary expertise and resources to carry out the works satisfactorily.

As part of City Services vision "Quality and Health and Safety is not negotiable" all contractors have had this focus clearly reinforced through the contract documents and this will be strongly reiterated at the subsequent pre-start meeting and imposed during the contract period.

JOB COSTS

EXCL. GST

| | |
|-------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| Tender sum - (Civil Construction Group Limited) | \$216,761.00 |
| Contingency | \$ 21,676.10 |
| Engineering & Supervision | \$ 7,875.00 |
| Consents | \$ 2,591.00 |
| | ----- |
| Total Job Cost | \$248,903.10 |

SOURCE OF FUNDING

| Budget Description (from Annual Budget line item) | Codes | Budget | Committed to Other Projects | Allocation to This Project | Unallocated Balance |
|---------------------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|
| Wastewater Renewal | 85-9835-60000-999 | \$1,615,351 | \$280,885 | \$248,903 | \$1,085,563 |

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. That the information be received.
2. That the tender from Civil Construction Group Limited for Contract EW 02 634C - Archibald Park Wastewater Upgrade and Pump Station in the sum of \$216,761.00 plus \$27,095.12 GST, totalling \$243,856.12 be accepted.

3. That the tender for Contract EW 02 634C - Archibald Park Wastewater Upgrade and Pump Station be awarded to other than the lowest priced tender, because the lowest priced tender has failed to achieve a grade greater than 35 for one or more of the attributes and is excluded from further consideration.
4. That the Manager: EcoWater Business Unit be delegated the authority to enter into this contract on behalf of the Council.

Report prepared by: Tony Miguel, Manager, EcoWater Business Unit.



6 **AQ01003 AQUATIC CENTRE MAINTENANCE SHUTDOWN - WORK PACKAGES**

PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

The purpose of this report is to seek approval to invite tenders for three work packages from a shortlist of registrants for the proposed maintenance shutdown at the Aquatic Centre. The project relates to the original facility.

BACKGROUND

The "shutdown" is an integral part of the long-term maintenance plan for the original main and dive pool areas of the Aquatic Centre. The shutdown period will be April to June 2003 and has been timed to coincide with the swimming club's annual layoff. In addition the new upgrade area will be operational so as to ensure continuity of access for patrons as well.

The maintenance and replacement work comprises diverse specialist trades and is to be let as a number of separate work packages. These will be co-ordinated by Octa Associates Limited, a consultant with considerable expertise in the project management of similar maintenance projects.

Details of the work packages are:

The maintenance and replacement work involves the following work packages:

- AQ01003C Tiling - replacement of tiles in main pool, dive pool and concourse;
- AQ01003D Dive platform - Design & build to widen the 10m dive platform by way of precast panels; modification of existing handrails;
- AQ01003E Bulkhead & raisable floor - Re-coating of fibreglass panels off site;
- AQ01003F Roof framing - Surface preparation and re-painting of the steel roof framing;
- AQ01003G Scaffolding - The supply and erection of suitable scaffolding for the roof framing restoration, acoustic ceiling replacement and 10m high dive platform widening;
- AQ01003H Acoustic ceiling replacement;
- AQ01003J Staff facility upgrade - General upgrade and alterations of staff room, staff changing and staff ablutions area.

Shortlists for two of the above work packages, AQ01003C and AQ01003H, were approved at the Special Tender Subcommittee meeting dated 1 November 2002.

A 2-stage procurement process, namely Registration of Interest and Tenders by Invitation, has been adopted, the reasons being:-

- two of the work packages have a high cost (\$180,000 & \$350,000);
- the completion of the works within the shutdown period is of utmost importance, requiring the use of specialist companies capable of providing the high level of performance required;
- the project outcome and quality has high importance to Council;
- tender documentation can be prepared in parallel with the Registration of Interest process;
- the development of a shortlist can reduce the complexity and duration of the tender evaluation process whilst still ensuring that competitive bids are procured.

A Registration of Interest from prospective tenderers, for the maintenance and replacement work packages at the Aquatic Centre was called for by way of two insertions in the New Zealand Herald (18th & 19th October 2002).

The Registration of Interest process is being carried out in accordance with the Council's Procurement Manual, with tenderers for the work being drawn from the highest ranked respondents.

REGISTRATIONS RECEIVED

The closing date for submission of registrations of interest was 23 October 2002. A summary of the registrants is given in Table 1 below:

| WORK PACKAGE | REGISTRANT | REGISTERED OFFICE |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|
| AQ01003D Dive Platform | None received | |
| AQ01003E Bulkhead & Raisable Floor | Interclean | Greenmount |
| | Scottcoat | Beachhaven |
| AQ01003F Roof Framing | Arabac | New Plymouth |
| | Interclean | Greenmount |
| | Scottcoat | Beachhaven |
| | TBS Farnsworth Ltd | Auckland |
| AQ01003G Scaffolding | Acrow Ltd | Henderson |
| | Arabac | New Plymouth |
| | Pacific Scaffolding Ltd | Penrose |
| AQ01003J Staff Facility Upgrade | None received | |

Table 1 - Summary of Registrants

No registrations were received for two of the work packages, AQ01003D and AQ01003J. This is probably due to a number of factors such as the short duration of the period for the submission of registrations; the abundance of work in the region and the low value of these work packages. This work will be put out to public tender.

REGISTRANT EVALUATION

In accordance with the conditions of registration, registrants were evaluated in line with the Weighted Attribute Method contained in Transfund New Zealand's "Competitive Pricing Procedures Manual".

A summary of the evaluation results is shown in Table 2:

| Registration of Interest | Relevant | Track | Technical | Resources | Manag. Skill | AQ01003 Total Weights |
|------------------------------------|------------|--------|-----------|-----------|--------------|-----------------------|
| Evaluation | Experience | Record | Skill | | | |
| | 25 | 25 | 15 | 20 | 15 | 100 |
| Registrant | | | Grades | | | INDEX |
| AQ01003E Bulkhead & Raisable Floor | | | | | | |
| Interclean | 77 | 70 | 72 | 70 | 80 | 74 |
| Scottcoat | 70 | 72 | 65 | 62 | 65 | 67 |
| AQ01003F Roof Framing | | | | | | |
| TBS Farnsworth Ltd | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 |
| Interclean | 80 | 82 | 77 | 77 | 75 | 79 |
| Scottcoat | 72 | 77 | 70 | 67 | 65 | 71 |
| Arabac | 60 | 70 | 65 | 60 | 65 | 64 |
| AQ01003G Scaffolding | | | | | | |
| Pacific Scaffolding Ltd | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 82 | 85 |
| Acrow Limited | 85 | 82 | 82 | 85 | 85 | 84 |
| Arabac | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | Disqualified |

Table 2 - Summary of Registration of Interest Evaluation

Individual scores for all registrants are shown.

The evaluation was carried out by a panel comprising in-house and external project managers. Each registration was evaluated and scored independently and reviewed by members of the panel.

One registration, that of Arabac, was disqualified in respect of AQ01003G Scaffolding. Arabac do not have any relevant experience, track record, technical skills or resources in scaffolding work.

SUMMARY

One registration, that of Arabac was disqualified in respect of AQ01003G Scaffolding.

All other registrations evaluated were deemed to be conforming registrations.

The Registration of Interest document for this process specified the information required and the format of the registrant's submissions. This document also identified that only the highest ranked registrants would be invited to tender for each of these projects.

Based on the normal scoring ranges, a grade of 60 represents an "above-average" score. The conforming registrants all have scores of more than 60 and therefore are well experienced and capable of carrying out the projects in a competent manner.

Only two conforming registrations were received for AQ01003E Bulkhead and Raisable Floor and AQ01003G Scaffolding. In both cases the work is of a highly specialised nature with a limited number of companies in the market able to undertake such work. Nevertheless, the conforming registrants are of a high calibre and it is expected that an invited tender procurement process will result in serious and competitive tenders.

The conforming registrants, as listed in Table 2 above, will be invited to tender for the respective work packages and the actual tenders will be evaluated using the weighted attributes method, which will take into account nominated personnel, proposed sub-contractors and other specific contract attributes such as methodology and price.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. That the information be received.
2. That the two conforming registrants be invited to tender for AQ01003E Aquatic Centre Maintenance Shutdown - Bulkhead and Raisable Floor. These registrants are:
 - Interclean;
 - Scottcoat.
3. That the four conforming registrants be invited to tender for AQ01003F Aquatic Centre Maintenance Shutdown - Roof Framing. These registrants are:
 - Arabac;
 - Interclean;
 - Scottcoat;
 - TBS Farnsworth Limited.
4. That the two conforming registrants be invited to tender for AQ01003G Aquatic Centre Maintenance Shutdown - Scaffolding. These registrants are:
 - Acrow Limited;
 - Pacific Scaffolding Limited.

Report prepared by: Chris Thomas, Business Manager, Consultancy Services.



7 PK02513B - LAINGHOLM PARK DEVELOPMENT

PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

The purpose of this report is to seek approval from the Tenders Subcommittee to award Contract PK02513B - Laingholm Park Development.

BACKGROUND

This contract forms part of Council's parks asset creation programme as provided for in the 2002/2003 Annual Plan.

SCOPE OF WORK

The contract work involves bulk earthworks, vegetation removal, construction of a playing field, sealed car park, road widening and associated drainage works.

TENDERS RECEIVED

Tenders were publicly advertised with thirteen sets of documents being uplifted. Four tenders were received by the closing date of Tuesday 22 October 2002. These are summarised in Table 1 below:

| TENDERER | REG OFFICE | TENDER PRICE (excl. GST) |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Roadstone Construction | Glendene | \$315,546.00 |
| Jesmond Construction Limited. | Drury | \$360,391.50 |
| Works Infrastructure | Panmure | \$434,450.00 |
| Auckland Civil Limited. | Glenfield | \$479,908.50 |
| Pre-tender contract estimate | | \$314,417.00 |

Table 1 - Summary of Tender Prices

TENDER EVALUATION

In accordance with the conditions of tendering, tenders were evaluated in line with the Weighted Attribute Method contained in Transfund New Zealand's "Competitive Pricing Procedures Manual".

These procedures require that where the tender includes Provisional Sums (work valued by the Principal and placed within the Schedule of Prices) they are deducted from the Tender Sum prior to evaluating each tender.

In this contract the value of Provisional Sums is \$57,500.00.

A summary of the evaluation results is shown in Table 2:

| Tender Evaluation | Attributes | Exper. | Track Record | Tech Skills | Re-source | Man-agement | Method | Price | Total Weight | |
|----------------------------|---------------------|---------------|--------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|-----------|--------------|--|
| | Weights | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 70 | 100 | |
| Tenderer | Tender Sum | Grades | | | | | | | | |
| Roadstone Construction | \$249,046.00 | 80 | 70 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 77 | 76 | |
| Jesmond Construction Ltd. | \$302,891.50 | 65 | 65 | 65 | 65 | 60 | 55 | 61 | 61 | |
| Works Infrastructure | \$376,950.00 | 75 | 70 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 60 | 39 | 49 | |
| Auckland Civil Ltd. | \$422,408.50 | 60 | 65 | 55 | 55 | 60 | 70 | 26 | 36 | |
| Median Tender Price | \$339,920.75 | | | | | | | | | |

Table 2 - Summary of Tender Evaluation

Roadstone Construction Limited. have significant experience in similar earthworks projects and successfully completed the Parris Park Field Development contract for Waitakere City Council earlier in 2002. Roadstone Construction Limited have also successfully completed a number of other contracts for Waitakere City Council.

TAGS, ERRORS OR OMISSIONS

There was an arithmetic error in the tender submission from Roadstone Construction Limited. A provisional sum of \$1,000.00 has been incorrectly recorded in Roadstone's schedule of prices as \$10,000.00. Roadstone Construction Limited's tender sum of \$315,546.00 cannot be altered following closing of tenders however a variation will be issued to the contractor following award of contract deleting the provisional sum to eliminate the error and effectively reduce the tender sum by \$10,000.00.

There were no other tags, errors or omissions.

CREDIT CHECK

A credit check on Roadstone Construction Limited. dated 31 October 2002 revealed no adverse information.

SUMMARY

The results of the tender evaluation as summarised in Table 2 shows that the tender submitted by Roadstone Construction Limited. achieved the highest index of 76. This tender is also the lowest priced tender.

As part of City Services vision "Quality and Health and Safety is not negotiable" all contractors have had this focus clearly reinforced through the contract documents and this will be strongly reiterated at the subsequent pre-start meeting and imposed during the contract period.

JOB COSTS

EXCL. GST

| | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| Tender Sum (less Provisional Sums) - (Roadstone Construction Limited.) | \$249,046.00 |
| Contingency | \$ 33,354.00 |
| Engineering & Supervision | \$ 30,000.00 |
| Consents | \$ 3,000.00 |
| | ----- |
| Total Job Cost | \$315,400.00 |

Note: the Tender Sum from Roadstone Construction Limited. of \$315,546.00 includes \$66,500 of Provisional Sums. It is not intended that these provisional works be completed unless the main portion of the works, being the earthworks, is completed without the contingency being used. If contingency is available following completion of the earthworks then the funding will be used to carry out some of the provisional works, otherwise the provisional works will be carried out as part of a future stage of development.

SOURCE OF FUNDING

| Budget Description (from Annual Budget line item) | Codes | Budget | Committed to Other Projects | Allocation to This Project | Unallocated Balance |
|---------------------------------------------------------|---------------|-----------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------|
| Laingholm Park Sportsfield | 75-9825-43730 | \$199,400 | \$0 | \$199,400 | \$0 |
| Laingholm Park Development | 75-9823-46230 | \$116,000 | \$0 | \$116,000 | \$0 |
| Total | | | | \$315,400 | |

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. That the information be received.
2. That the tender from Roadstone Construction Limited for Contract PK02513B - Laingholm Park Development in the sum of \$315,546.00 plus \$39,443.25 GST, totalling \$354,989.25 be accepted.
3. That authority to enter into Contract PK02513B - Laingholm Park Development on behalf of Council be delegated to the Director: City Services.

Report prepared by: Peter Sewell, Parks Asset & Contracts Engineer.



8 REGISTRATION OF INTEREST FOR ARCHITECTS ON THE CIVIC FUTURE PROCESS

This report was not available at the time of printing the agenda and will be circulated separately.

