



Waitakere City Council
Te Taiao o Waitakere

NOTICE OF MEETING

SWIMMING POOL EXEMPTION SUBCOMMITTEE

I hereby give notice that a meeting of the Swimming Pool Exemption Subcommittee will be held on:-

DATE: Thursday, 23 April 2009 **TIME:** 9.30 am

MEETING ROOM: Glen Eden Room

VENUE: Waitakere Central, 6 Henderson Valley Road, Henderson, Waitakere

to consider the business as set out herein and to take any necessary action connected therewith.

16 April 2009

Desiree Tukutama
COMMITTEE SECRETARY

Telephone (09) 836 8000 extn 8815

MEMBERSHIP:

Councillors	WW	Flaunty, QSM, JP (Chairman)
	DQ	Battersby, JP (Deputy Chairman)
	MF	Chan, JP
	LA	Cooper, JP
	PA	Hulse
	MM	Jolley
	PG	Mitchell
	VS	Neeson, JP

(Quorum 3 members)

★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★

(Meeting Room could be subject to change)

(The reports and recommendations contained in all agendas are reports and recommendations only and are not to be construed, in any way, as Council policy until adopted.)

**AGENDA FOR A MEETING OF THE SWIMMING POOL EXEMPTION SUBCOMMITTEE TO
BE HELD IN THE GLEN EDEN ROOM AT WAITAKERE CENTRAL, 6 HENDERSON
VALLEY ROAD, HENDERSON, WAITAKERE, ON THURSDAY, 23 APRIL 2009,
COMMENCING AT 9.30 AM**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>ITEM</u>		<u>PAGE NO.</u>
1	APOLOGIES	1
2	URGENT BUSINESS	1
3	CONFLICTS OF INTEREST	1
4	CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES	1
5	APPLICATIONS FOR SPECIAL EXEMPTIONS – FENCING OF SWIMMING POOLS ACT 1987	2

AGENDA FOR A MEETING OF THE SWIMMING POOL EXEMPTION SUBCOMMITTEE TO BE HELD IN THE GLEN EDEN ROOM AT WAITAKERE CENTRAL, 6 HENDERSON VALLEY ROAD, HENDERSON, WAITAKERE, ON THURSDAY, 23 APRIL 2009, COMMENCING AT 9.30 AM

1 APOLOGIES



2 URGENT BUSINESS

Section 46A(7) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 provides that where an item of business is not on the agenda, it may only be dealt with at the meeting if:

- (i) the Subcommittee by resolution so decides; and
- (ii) the Chairman has explained at the beginning of the meeting (when open to the public) that the item will be raised for discussion and decision, why the item is not on the agenda, and why it cannot be delayed until a subsequent meeting.

The Subcommittee may make a decision on a matter determined to be urgent.

NOTE: Urgent Business need not be dealt with now and may be delayed until later in the meeting.



3 CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The Council has acknowledged in its Code of Conduct that Elected Members need to be vigilant to stand aside from decision making when a conflict arises between their role as a member of the Council and any private or other external interest they might have. This note is provided as a reminder to members to check that no such conflicts arise in relation to any items on this agenda.



4 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

Meeting Minutes - Thursday, 26 February 2009

RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Swimming Pool Exemption Subcommittee resolve to:

Receive the minutes of the meeting of the Swimming Pool Exemption Subcommittee held on Thursday, 26 February 2009, as circulated, and that they be taken as read and now be confirmed.



5 APPLICATIONS FOR SPECIAL EXEMPTIONS – FENCING OF SWIMMING POOLS ACT 1987

GLOSSARY

Fencing of Swimming Pools Act 1987	(the Act)
Swimming Pool Exemption Subcommittee	(the Subcommittee)
Swimming pool	(SWP)

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The purpose of this report is to present to the Swimming Pool Exemption Subcommittee (the Subcommittee) 12 applications for special exemptions from some of the requirements of the Fencing of Swimming Pools Act 1987 (the Act).

The Subcommittee must consider each of the applications for special exemption and either decline, approve or approve (subject to conditions) the exemptions sought.

RECOMMENDATIONS

It is recommended that the Swimming Pool Exemption Subcommittee resolve to:

1. **Receive** the Applications for Special Exemptions - Fencing of Swimming Pools Act 1987 report.
2. **Either:**
 - (a) Agree to approve (subject to conditions) the application for special exemptions sought; OR
 - (b) Agree to decline the application for special exemptions sought.

BACKGROUND

- A1
1. Under section 6 of the Act, copy attached at page **A1**, a Council may only grant exemption if, after having regard to the characteristics of the property and the swimming pool (SWP) and any conditions that may be imposed, it is satisfied that *“such an exemption would not significantly increase danger to young children”*. The sort of issues that will usually arise for consideration under a section 6 exemption include matters such as the location of fences in relation to the boundaries of the *“immediate SWP area”*, the construction of the SWP fence and the operation of gates providing access to the fenced area.
- A2-A4
2. The details for a complying fence are set out in the Schedule to the Act, a copy of which is attached at pages **A2 to A4**. Of particular note are the provisions of clauses 8 to 10, relating to gates and doors, and clause 11. Where a building is part of the SWP fence and a door from that building opens into the SWP area the Council may grant an exemption from compliance with clauses 8 to 10 if it is *“satisfied that such compliance is impossible, unreasonable, or in breach of any other Act, regulation, or bylaw, and the door is fitted with a locking device that, when properly operated, prevents the door from being readily opened by children under the age of 6 years.”*
 3. A SWP fence which complies with the Act, with or without an exemption, also complies with the requirements of the building code. A fence which complies with the building code, with or without an exemption granted under the Act, meets the requirements of the Act. Under the building code *“sliding and sliding-folding doors that give access to the immediate SWP (area) from a building that forms part of the”* SWP fence does not need to be self closing and self latching. To comply with the Act however such doors need to have a latch mounted at least 1.5 metres above the ground. The requirements for sliding and sliding-folding doors under the code will be relevant to the exercise of the Council’s discretion in relation to an application for an exemption under clause 11 of the Schedule of the Act in respect of doors opening from a building into a SWP area.

4. Apart from these matters the Act provides no further guidance for the basis of the exercise of the Council's discretion. The purpose of the Act is stated to be "*to promote the safety of young children by requiring the fencing of certain swimming pools*" and that is clearly a relevant issue for Councillors to bear in mind in relation to any exemption application.
5. When granting a special exemption the Subcommittee may impose such other conditions relating to the property or the SWP as are reasonable in the circumstances (section 6(2) of the Act). Issues to be considered include:
 - Will the exemption be personal to the applicant so that on a sale of the property a new owner will need to apply for a new exemption? This might be appropriate where the personal circumstances of the applicant have had a bearing on the exercise of discretion.
 - Will the exemption be granted for a fixed term and irrespective of changes of ownership so that the exemption runs with the property?
 - Will the exemption be granted for an indefinite term, irrespective of changes of ownership so that the exemption runs with the property?
 - Are there any other conditions which should be imposed, such as the replacement of SWP covers after use (particularly in relation to spa pools) or a requirement for more frequent inspection of the SWP (currently SWP in the City are re inspected on a three yearly cycle).
6. Any exemption granted or condition imposed may be amended or revoked by the Council, by resolution. The rules of natural justice would however dictate that this action should not be taken without prior notice to the SWP owner and allowing the SWP owner an opportunity to be heard.
7. Circumstances will arise where the Subcommittee may wish to grant an exemption, but there are matters in relation to the extant SWP fence where a further exemption may need to be granted to enable the exempted arrangements to fully comply with the Act. The most common examples will arise where the fence, following exemption, will include a door which opens into the SWP area from a building or there is a gate in the extended fence which does not comply with the Act. In such cases it is considered appropriate for the Subcommittee to accept an oral application for any further exemptions that may be necessary. The Committee Secretary will record the application made. If the Subcommittee does not consider it appropriate to grant an exemption for such matters, but it would be happy if some work is done, then it is recommended that the appropriate course of action is to grant the exemption sought conditional upon specified work being completed within a reasonable period.

DECISION MAKING

Issues

	Applicant	Property Address	A Pages	Non Complying items - Exemption is sought for:
1	Jackson Russell Trustee 2004 Ltd (B & C Northcott)	143 Konini Rd, Titirangi	A5- A11	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Non complying doors. • Fee waiver.
2	MJ Gauld & HK Peacocke	16 Westridge Rd, Titirangi	A12 – A16	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To provide a fence to the immediate SWP area, to isolate the SWP from the landscaped areas and the climbable trellis fence.

3	I & H Day	34 Lemnos St, Titirangi	A17–A23	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> To provide a fence to the immediate SWP area, to isolate the SWP from the thoroughfare from the laundry to the clothe line and backyard area, from the non-complying doors and windows opening into the SWP area, from the non-complying gate and from the large gap in the north facing fence.
4	P Osborne	37 Woodfern Cres, Titirangi	A24 – A31	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Non-complying swinging doors opening into the SWP area.
5	CA de May	4 Norman Rd, Titirangi	A32 – A33	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> To isolate the landscaped area from the immediate SWP/spa pool area.
6	GK & PA Bickerton	27 York Rd, Titirangi	A34 – A39	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> To isolate the landscaped area from the immediate SWP/spa pool area.
7	EF & GR Lloyd	112 Captain Scott Rd, Glen Eden	A40 – A41	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> To isolate the landscaped area from the immediate SWP area.
8	J Cross	51 Queen Mary Ave, New Lynn	A42 – A43	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Non-complying bi-fold doors. Fee waiver.
9	J & K Amituanai	34 Te Atatu Rd, Te Atatu Peninsular	A44 – A49	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Non-complying gate.
10	C & K Farrell	14 Valron Rd, Te Atatu Sth	A50 – A52	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Non-complying doors. Fee waiver.
11	NM Brenton & TP Benecki	176 Bethells Rd, Bethells Valley	A53 – A54	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Non-complying doors.
12	S & C Maber	18 Vermeer Pl, West Harbour	A55 – A59	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Non-complying fence (amendment of existing building consent).

Options Identified

- The Subcommittee may approve, approve (subject to conditions) or decline the applications for special exemption.
- If an application is declined this equates to the “do nothing option” resulting in the applicant being required to fence their SWP in accordance with the Act.

Consideration of Community Views

- Compliance with the Act is a mandatory requirement for SWP owners.

Preferred Option

11. The special exemption options open to the Subcommittee under the Act are not open to Council officers therefore it is considered inappropriate for Council officers to identify a preferred option.

STRATEGIC CONTEXT

12. The Council is committed to ensuring that Waitakere is a safe place to live and play in. SWP fencing issues have a strong relationship with the Council's strategic priorities of First Call for Children and Safe City.

CONSULTATION

13. Each special exemption application has been discussed with the SWP fencing inspector involved in the initial inspection. As special exemptions cannot be considered by Council officers their opinions are not included in the report.

RESOURCES

14. There are no additional resources required to implement the decisions of the Subcommittee.
15. The Subcommittee has the discretion to waive the fee for the special exemption application.

IMPLEMENTATION ISSUES

16. Each of the applicants is seeking exemption from the Act for matters where Council staff consider that remedial action is necessary to achieve compliance with the Act. If an exemption is granted those items will be deemed to comply with the Act, therefore no remedial action will be required (other than for any action that may be required by a condition attaching to the granting of the exemption).

Report prepared by: Wolfgang Nethe, Team Manager Operational Compliance.

