



NOTICE OF MEETING

NEW LYNN COMMUNITY BOARD

I hereby give notice that an Ordinary Meeting of the New Lynn Community Board will be held on:-

DATE: **Monday, 1 September 2003 TIME: 7.30 pm**

VENUE: **New Lynn Community Centre, 45 Totara Avenue, New Lynn, Waitakere City**

to consider the business as set out herein and to take any necessary action connected therewith.

28 August 2003

Audrey Chan
COMMITTEE SECRETARY

Telephone (09) 836 8000 extn 8603

MEMBERSHIP:

Mrs	EG	Francke (Chairperson)
Mr	P	van der Voort, JP (Deputy Chairperson)
Cr	JM	Clews, QSO, JP
Mr	R	Kernaghan
Ms	GPJ	Marshall
Mr	BJ	Peters
Cr	GB	Presland

(Quorum 4 members)

★★★★★★★★★★

(The reports and recommendations contained in all agendas are reports and recommendations only and are not to be construed, in any way, as Council policy until adopted.)

**AGENDA FOR AN ORDINARY MEETING OF THE NEW LYNN COMMUNITY BOARD
TO BE HELD IN THE NEW LYNN COMMUNITY CENTRE, 45 TOTARA AVENUE,
NEW LYNN, WAITAKERE CITY, ON MONDAY, 1 SEPTEMBER 2003,
COMMENCING AT 7.30 .**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>ITEM</u>		<u>PAGE NO.</u>
1	APOLOGIES	1
2	CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES	1
3	URGENT BUSINESS	1
4	PUBLIC FORUM	2
5	CHAIRPERSON'S REPORT	2
6	COMMITTEE SECRETARY'S REPORT	4
7	DRAFT OLYMPIC PARK RESERVE MANAGEMENT PLAN – SUBMISSIONS SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS	6
8	TRAFFIC PROBLEMS IN HIGHLAND AVENUE AND CLARK STREET/WARD STREET INTERSECTION	18
9	SOUTH TIITRANGI ROAD AND PARK ROAD - NO STOPPING CONTROLS	20
10	FOOTPATHS - BUDGET ALLOCATION PRIORITIES	22
11	GLEN EDEN REVITALISATION PROJECTS UPDATE	26
12	BOARD MEMBERS' REPORTS	29

**AGENDA FOR AN ORDINARY MEETING OF THE NEW LYNN COMMUNITY BOARD
TO BE HELD IN THE NEW LYNN COMMUNITY CENTRE, 45 TOTARA AVENUE,
NEW LYNN, WAITAKERE CITY, ON MONDAY, 1 SEPTEMBER 2003,
COMMENCING AT 7.30 .**

1 APOLOGIES



2 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

Ordinary - 4 August 2003

RECOMMENDATION

That the minutes of the Ordinary Meeting of the New Lynn Community Board held on Monday, 4 August 2003, as circulated, be taken as read and now be confirmed.



3 URGENT BUSINESS

Section 46A(7) and (7A) of the Local Government Official Information Act and Meetings Act 1987 provides that where an item of business is not on the agenda, it may only be dealt with at the meeting if:

- (i) the item is a minor matter; and
- (ii) the Chairperson has explained at the beginning of the meeting (when open to the public) that the item will be raised for discussion, why the item is not on the agenda, and why it cannot be delayed until a subsequent meeting; and
- (iii) the Board resolves to deal with the item.

No resolution, decision, or recommendation may be made in respect of the item except to refer the item to a subsequent meeting for further discussion.

NOTE: Urgent Business need not be dealt with now and may be delayed until later in the meeting.



4 PUBLIC FORUM

For guidance of Community Board Members, the Council's Standing Orders have the following provisions in regard to Public Forum.

- (i) Members of the public wishing to address the Board in Public Forum shall furnish their names to the Chairperson at the beginning of the meeting; and
- (ii) The Chairperson shall determine the order of speakers, and allow five minutes for speaking time.
- (iii) Questions by members are to be confined to obtaining information or clarification on matters raised by the speaker.

Section 46A(7A) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 provides that no resolution, decision, or recommendation may be made in respect of any specific item of business not on the agenda except to refer the items to a subsequent meeting for further discussion. Therefore, no decision may be made on matters raised in Public Forum. However, written reports on matters raised may be requested from the Chief Executive.



5 CHAIRPERSON'S REPORT

Sigmund Freud has told us that the deepest needs of the psyche are for work and love. Perhaps that's why our city's volunteers generally seem cheerful. They get plenty of both, though little else.

It's been AGM time for voluntary organisations: Parent Aid Waitakere, Waitakere City Wardens, Friends of the Whau, Green Bay Community House, Glen Eden Citizens Advice Bureau, New Lynn Citizens Advice Bureau - these are just a few I know about; there must have been dozens more, with more to come.

You know the shape of these meetings; it is always the same: the year's main doings and significant events are reported; the finances, duly audited, are brought up into the light of day; the next management committee is elected.

And everybody is thanked. There we sit, not a very glamorous crowd, if truth were told; not young, not smart, not rich, not powerful, while the Chairperson thanks the Committee, and us for coming. Every speaker thanks everybody else. We thank each other. Thanks fly about like bats in a belfry. The Guest Speaker, if there is one, thanks people near and far and tells us that Volunteers are Wonderful.

Rightly so. Every one of these AGMs going on across the country is a node in a network of voluntary groups who try to meet just about every human need (not forgetting the animals and the natural world) and in so doing maintain the only social cement there is: our faith in each other.

Hostility, grandstanding, personal abuse, and a long memory for wrongs, have little place in the world of volunteering. When they surface they tend to be damped down with tact and explanations: energy goes into getting useful things done.

It's different in the seat of power. Norman Wallace of Green Bay recently called a public meeting so he and local residents could express their dismay over a Treaty claim to the foreshore and seabed of all New Zealand, and their anxiety over the apparently endless processes of the "Treaty of Waitangi industry". Every Party represented in Parliament was invited to send a speaker, and four accepted. David Cunliffe MP also attended in his capacity as our local Member. The Green Bay High School auditorium was packed on that cold, wet night.

Speakers from National, Act, New Zealand First and Labour (the Government being represented by The Hon. John Tamihere) each had ten minutes, and all, effectively, said the same thing. This is what it amounted to: "The foreshores and seabed of New Zealand are for all New Zealanders, regardless of race, colour or origins. We must go forward as *ONE PEOPLE*."

Having said that, apparently sincerely, they fell back into mutual hostility as soon as Question Time began. Of course there were jokes and ironies but it was quite clear that no speaker would miss a chance to put the others down or dig up some smelly old bone of contention.

One people, indeed! Is this childish carryon the only alternative to the tyranny of a one-party state? Is it necessary? Is it a game, and if so, do the players know it is a game?

I found their individual performances very good, and their collective performance disgusting. The dark carpark under heavy rain seemed more attractive, so I left, missing my supper.

RECOMMENDATION

That the Chairperson's Report be received.

Elizabeth Francke
CHAIRPERSON



6 **COMMITTEE SECRETARY'S REPORT**

Issue	Comments	Reporting Council Officer
<p>1. Golf Road Chip Seal Noise Issue 2201/2002 2202/2003</p>	<p>The re-sealing work as a remedial measure to reduce the road surface noise at Golf Road has been deferred to October 2003 due to the cold weather, which will cause the bitumen to freeze prior to chips embedding.</p>	<p>Ara Ovanessoff ☎ 836 8000 Ext 8794</p>
<p>2. Crum Park Lighting Upgrade 31 March 2003 331/2003 332/2003</p>	<p>Manager Issues Resolution, has as a result been appointed as the mediator. Mediation commenced on Monday 12 May 2003 and regular meetings, generally on a fortnightly basis, are occurring. The mediation process scope is extended to include resource consent issues. Mediation is still under way.</p>	<p>Tony Miguel ☎ 836 8000 Ext 8794</p>
<p>3. Right-hand Exit from Ward Street to Clark Street 30 June 2003 Public Forum 1337/2003</p>	<p>The new road markings in Ward Street to provide for separate right and left turn lanes at the intersection are in place.</p>	<p>Alan Hopkinson ☎ 836 8000 Ext 8750</p>
<p>4. Additional Directional Parking Signs for New Lynn</p>	<p>Parking signs to indicate public off-street parking in New Lynn have now been installed.</p>	<p>Adam Moller ☎ 836 8000 Ext 8750</p>
<p>5. Proposed Walkways Network - Avondale and New Lynn 2 September 2002 Public Forum</p>	<p>This was first raised in the public forum part of the Board meeting held on 2 September 2002 and was included in the Board's Annual Plan 2003/2004 submission. The decision is that planning for a New Lynn/Avondale walkway as part of the Olympic Park Project is included in the 2003/2004 Annual Plan. A report is on this agenda.</p>	<p>Alan Duxfield ☎ 836 8000 Ext 8553</p>
<p>6. Pedestrian Crossing on Portage Road</p>	<p>Mrs Y Thompson of 163 Portage Road, New Lynn has requested a pedestrian crossing on Portage Road to improve safety of the children crossing the road. The matter is under investigation by the Transport Assets Section and will be reported to the Board in November 2003.</p>	<p>Adam Moller ☎ 836 8000 Ext 8750</p>
<p>7. Adoption of Code of Conduct for Community Board Members 1572/1003</p>	<p>Due to the interest generated in this item, a workshop for all Community Board members was scheduled for Thursday 28 August 2003. Accordingly this matter will now be brought back to the Board at its 29 September 2003 meeting.</p>	<p>Charlie Inggs ☎ 836 8000 Ext 8854</p>

A1-A14

Issue	Comments	Reporting Council Officer
8. Annual Plan 2003/2004 - Response to the Board's Submissions	A copy of the response to the Board's 2003/2004 Annual Plan submission is attached at pages A1 - A14 for information.	Dick Guy ☎ 836 8000 Ext 8854

REPORTS PENDING			
SUBJECT	DATE REQUESTED	REPORT DUE	REPORTING OFFICER
9. Opou Road Hall	31 March 2003 335/2003 7 April 2003 739/2003 – by Finance & Operational Performance Committee The Orpheus Sea Scouts have advised Council officers of their successful attempts in funding applications for repairs to Opou Hall. A report is being prepared for the Finance Operational Performance Committee.	6 October 2003 (To report to the Finance & Operational Performance Committee)	Polly O'Brien ☎ 836 8000 Ext 8559
10. Titirangi Village Parking and Traffic Issues	5 May 2003 857/2003 1576/2003	4 September 2003 (To report to the City Development Committee on the Board's recommendations passed at its last meeting held on 4 August 2003)	Ross Hill ☎ 836 8000 Ext 8559
11. Golf Road/ Portage Road Roundabout	The roundabout approved at the Board's meeting on 3 March 2003, awaits relocation of water main. This relocation has begun.	3 November 2003	Hussam Abdul-Rasol ☎ 836 8000 Ext 8961
12. Paturua Foreshore Erosion - Results of Coastline Survey	2 December 2001 Public Forum. 343/2003 & 642/2003	9 June 2004 (To report result of survey of coastline carried out in April 2003, and be carried out in October 2003, and April 2004)	Peter Sewell ☎ 836 8000 Ext 8759

REPORTS PENDING			
SUBJECT	DATE REQUESTED	REPORT DUE	REPORTING OFFICER
13. Highland Avenue - Roadside Parking and Speeding	30 June 2003 Public Forum	1 September 2003	Ross Hill ☎ 836 8000 Ext 8737
14. Pedestrian Crossing on Portage Road	Member's referral in July 2003	3 November 2003	Adam Moller ☎ 836 8000 Ext 8854
15. Adoption of Code of Conduct for Community Board Members	4 August 2003 1572/1003	29 September 2003	Charlie Inggs ☎ 836 8000 Ext 8854

RECOMMENDATION

That the information be received.

Report prepared by: Audrey Chan, Committee Secretary.



7 DRAFT OLYMPIC PARK RESERVE MANAGEMENT PLAN – SUBMISSIONS SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS

PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

This report brings the submissions on the draft Olympic Park Reserve Management Plan to the New Lynn Community Board and provides for the decisions on submissions and finalising of the Reserve Management Plan.

BACKGROUND

The review of the Olympic Park Reserve Management Plan has been prompted by funding becoming available through the Olympic Park Trust for development of the park. Before any further development of the park takes place it was deemed necessary under the Reserves Act 1977 to review the Reserve Management Plan to ensure the park meets the current and future needs of the users and the local community. The review commenced in July 2002 when the intent to review the current Management Plan was publicly notified.

An Advisory Group, consisting of members of the New Lynn Community Board, relevant members of Council staff, and users of the park has been consulted with throughout the review process. A Draft Reserve Management Plan for Olympic Park was subsequently prepared. During this time there has been ongoing liaison, advice and amendments from the Advisory Group and the draft reserve management plan was publicly notified on 13 March 2003 in the New Zealand Herald, Western Leader and Central Leader with submissions closing on Monday 13 May 2003.

The key City wide issues relating to the development of Olympic Park have been reviewed by the City Development Committee and recommendations forwarded in this report to the Community Board.

STRATEGIC CONTEXT

The draft revised Olympic Park Reserve Management Plan has been prepared in the context of the Parks Strategy that provides guidelines on the management of parks within the City. All the policies outlined in the draft plan fall under the objectives of the Parks Strategy which aims to improve the quality of parks and provide parks services in a fair and equitable way for Waitakere people within financial constraints.

Olympic Park is identified as a City wide park and is therefore seen as a key area for meeting the future sporting and recreation needs of Waitakere City residents.

The park has a strong sporting history. It is the venue for a number of sports clubs, including athletics, soccer, gymnastics, indoor bowls and the Waitakere City Brass Band.

ISSUES

This report analyses and responds to the public submissions received on the Draft Olympic Park Reserve Management Plan.

A total of 11 submissions were received, all consisting of individual submissions. No late submissions were received.

The issues raised in the public submissions to the Draft Olympic Park Reserve Management Plan are discussed in the following table and recommendations made for amendments as a result of the points raised in the submissions.

Section 1: Issues Raised by a Number of Submitters				
No.	Issue Raised	Raised by the following submitters	Comments	Recommended Amendments
1.	General Typographical and Grammatical Errors	N/a	It is considered appropriate to amend all typographical and grammatical errors that may be discovered in the revision/amendment of the management plan and those highlighted by submissions.	That all typographical and grammatical errors highlighted in submissions and discovered during the amendments to the plan be corrected.

Section 1: Issues Raised by a Number of Submitters				
No.	Issue Raised	Raised by the following submitters	Comments	Recommended Amendments
2.	Classification of the Park	N/a	<p>Reserve classifications are proposed based on section 4 of the Reserves Act Guide under the Reserves Act 1977. The reserve classifications are provided within Appendix 2 of the Draft Olympic Park Reserve Management Plan.</p> <p>Lots 5 and 6 of Section 1 Whau Town North have had their reserve classification changed in 2001 from Recreation Reserve to Local Purpose Reserve (Community Buildings).</p> <p>The Draft Reserve Management Plan has currently omitted this change. For completeness the management plan should be updated in respect of this change.</p>	<p>That the classification of lots 5 and 6 of Section 1 Whau Town North be updated in the Reserve Management Plan from Recreation Reserve to Local Purpose Reserve (Community Buildings) by adding under the title 'Classification Under the Reserves Act 1977' (Appendix 2) the following,</p> <p>"The land comprising Olympic Park (formerly New Lynn Domain) was gazetted as 'Local Purpose Reserve (Community Buildings)' on the following dates: Lot 5, 6 of Section 1 Whau Town North NZ Gazette 2001, No. 145, p 3652" And deleting the reference to lots 5 and 6 within the following statement, "The land comprising Olympic Park (formerly New Lynn Domain) was gazetted as 'Recreation Reserve' on the following dates: Lot 5, 6, 23, 24, 80 and 81 of Section 1 Whau Town North NZ Gazette 8 March 1979, No. 17, p 522"</p>
3.	<p>Provision of Open Spaces</p> <p>The provision of open space within the park was seen as desirable.</p>	S1: Olympic Park Management Plan Youth Consultation	The sports field located within the velodrome is the only existing usable open space area. Areas of open space are proposed within the Wolverton land, and an area named the Pukeko Green. These areas are illustrated within the Concept plan for Olympic Park.	That the open spaces as illustrated within the draft Olympic Park Reserve Management Plan are retained.

Section 1: Issues Raised by a Number of Submitters				
No.	Issue Raised	Raised by the following submitters	Comments	Recommended Amendments
4.	<p>Rehabilitation of the Avondale Stream</p> <p>The rehabilitation of the Avondale Stream is supported.</p>	<p>S1: Olympic Park Management Plan Youth Consultation S7: Chris Daniels and Michele Crawshaw S9: Doug Anderson</p>	<p>The rehabilitation of the Avondale Stream is provided within the objectives, policies and the concept plan of the Draft Olympic Reserve Management Plan. Specifically Policy 4.0: Landscape, Policy 4.2 Avondale Stream, and Policy 4.3 Landfill.</p>	<p>That Policy 4.0: Landscape, Policy 4.2 Avondale Stream, and Policy 4.3 Landfill, which allow for the rehabilitation of the Avondale Stream, are retained within the Draft Olympic Park Reserve Management Plan without amendment.</p>
5.	<p>Playgrounds</p> <p>The provision of playgrounds within the Reserve Management Plan is supported</p>	<p>S1: Olympic Park Management Plan Youth Consultation S3: Olympic Park Trust</p>	<p>Playgrounds are provided for within Policy 3.3: informal Recreation and the concept plan within the Olympic Park Reserve Management Plan. Their locations are in the vicinity of Portage Road, and Wolverton Road.</p>	<p>That Policy 3.3: Informal Recreation, which allows for the provision of playgrounds, is retained within the Olympic Park Reserve Management Plan without amendment.</p>
6.	<p>Principal Purpose and Focus of the Reserve</p> <p>3 submissions were received requesting that the Olympic Park be developed as a sports complex.</p> <p>1 submission found it desirable to provide for sports and formal recreation.</p> <p>1 submission supported the sports and environmental focus of the park</p> <p>1 submission supported the environmental aspects of the parks use.</p>	<p>S1: Olympic Park Management Plan Youth Consultation S4: Allan James S5: Robyn Hodge S6: Tony Goodlife S7: Chris Daniels and Michele Crawshaw S9: Doug Anderson</p>	<p>The principal purpose and focus of Olympic Park is provided within Part 2: Objectives and Policies of the Reserve Management Plan. It states,</p> <p>“The principal purpose of Olympic Park is to provide an integrated mix of organised sport, and informal and passive recreation opportunities to attract local users, while enhancing the natural processes in the park in accordance with Waitakere City Council's Green Network Strategy.”</p> <p>The Waitakere City Park Strategy supports this purpose and focus. In this strategy Olympic Park is identified as a City Wide Park. As a City Wide Park Olympic Park is seen as providing a unique recreational and potential ecological function within the wider City Wide Parks network.</p>	<p>That the wording of the principal purpose and focus of the Olympic Park Reserve remain within the draft Olympic Park Reserve Management Plan without amendment.</p>

Section 1: Issues Raised by a Number of Submitters				
No.	Issue Raised	Raised by the following submitters	Comments	Recommended Amendments
7.	<p>Toilets</p> <p>1 submission was received supporting the provision of toilets within Olympic Park</p> <p>1 submission was received requesting that toilets be built on the Wolverton land.</p>	<p>S1: Olympic Park Management Plan Youth Consultation</p> <p>S7: Chris Daniels and Michele Crawshaw</p>	<p>A new toilet facility is proposed on the Wolverton land to provide additional amenity for its future use.</p> <p>The provision of new buildings is governed by Policy 2.4: Buildings, which states "...buildings should only be allowed on the reserve where they facilitate and support the use of Olympic Park as a sports ground or for education associated with the natural environment and its care".</p> <p>With the future development of the Wolverton land, its use will increase. It is appropriate to provide amenity facilities to support and enhance the Wolverton land development and its future use.</p>	<p>That the provision of toilet facilities as illustrated in the Concept Plan within the draft Olympic Park Reserve Management Plan is retained.</p>
8.	<p>Picnic/ Barbeque Areas</p> <p>2 submissions were received supporting the provision of toilets and picnic areas within Olympic Park;</p> <p>1 submission was received requesting that barbeques not be provided within the Wolverton land</p>	<p>S1: Olympic Park Management Plan Youth Consultation</p> <p>S3: Olympic Park Trust</p> <p>S7: Chris Daniels and Michele Crawshaw</p>	<p>A part of the primary purpose of Olympic park is to provide for informal and passive recreation. Policy 3.3: Informal Recreation of the Reserve Management Plan provides for barbeque facilities.</p> <p>The provision barbeque facilities are ancillary to these recreation activities and help to enable them, while promoting the amenity of the park.</p>	<p>That Policy 3.3: Informal Recreation, which allows for the provision of barbeques, is retained within the draft Olympic Park Reserve Management Plan without amendment.</p>

Section 1: Issues Raised by a Number of Submitters				
No.	Issue Raised	Raised by the following submitters	Comments	Recommended Amendments
9.	<p>Re-vegetation</p> <p>4 submissions were received supporting the re-vegetation of Olympic Park. 1 of these submissions requested that a formal garden be developed.</p>	<p>S1: Olympic Park Management Plan Youth Consultation S3: Olympic Park Trust S7: Chris Daniels and Michele Crawshaw S9: Doug Anderson</p>	<p>The submissions support the proposed re-vegetation and enhancement of Olympic Park. Objectives and policies within the Reserve Management Plan, specifically Policy 3.1: Landscape Design allows for the provision of a formal garden to enhance the park's amenity.</p>	<p>That Policy 3.1: Landscape Design, which allows for a formal garden, is retained within the draft Olympic Park Reserve Management Plan without amendment.</p>
10.	<p>Car Parking/ Transport</p> <p>1 submission was received requesting that car parking be provided along the Wolverton land / St John's Ambulance Station boundary.</p> <p>1 submission was received stating that the provision of car parking was not a desirable feature for the park.</p> <p>1 submission was received requesting that no car parking be placed on the Wolverton land, and highlighting traffic and access issues of Wolverton Road.</p>	<p>S1: Olympic Park Management Plan Youth Consultation S3: Olympic Park Trust S7: Chris Daniels and Michele Crawshaw</p>	<p>The future development of Olympic park will inevitably generate traffic and car parking demand. Policy 2.5: Car Parking governs the provision of future car parking. This policy allows for an increase of car parking in appropriate locations.</p> <p>The development of the Wolverton land is likely to experience increased car parking demand. A car park is proposed within the Wolverton land to meet this demand.</p> <p>A proposed location has been illustrated in the Concept Plan for Olympic Park. However, confirmation of this location and other alternative locations is dependent on their appropriateness. A traffic assessment would be involved in determining a location's appropriateness.</p> <p>Other environmental assessment is likely to gain Council and resource consent approval for car parking</p>	<p>That Policy 2.5: Car Parking, which provides for car parking in appropriate locations, is retained within the draft Olympic Park Reserve Management Plan without amendment.</p>

Section 1: Issues Raised by a Number of Submitters				
No.	Issue Raised	Raised by the following submitters	Comments	Recommended Amendments
11.	<p>Fences</p> <p>1 submission was received stating that fencing was not a desirable feature for the park.</p> <p>1 submission was received supporting the provision of a fence along the railway track boundary.</p>	<p>S1: Olympic Park Management Plan Youth Consultation</p> <p>S3: Olympic Park Trust</p>	<p>Fencing within Olympic Park is minimal. Currently a fence exists on the boundary of the velodrome track. A boundary fence is proposed along the railway line as a security/safety measure. The boundary fence is provided for in the draft Reserve Management Plan in Policy 3.4: Personal Safety and within the Concept Plan.</p>	<p>That Policy 3.4: Personal Safety, which allows for a boundary fence, is retained within the draft Olympic Park Reserve Management Plan without amendment.</p>
12.	<p>Art in the Park</p> <p>1 submission was received stating that art in the park was not a desirable feature.</p> <p>1 submission was received supporting the provision of a number of art works</p>	<p>S1: Olympic Park Management Plan Youth Consultation</p> <p>S3: Olympic Park Trust</p>	<p>A number of art installations are proposed with Olympic Park. These installations are provided for within Objective 3.0 Amenity and the concept plan for the Reserve Management Plan.</p> <p>The provision of art within Olympic Park enables its principal purpose and focus, and its role as a citywide park to be met.</p>	<p>That the provision of art continues to be provided for within Objective 3.0 Amenity of the Olympic Park Reserve Management Plan.</p>

Section 1: Issues Raised by a Number of Submitters				
No.	Issue Raised	Raised by the following submitters	Comments	Recommended Amendments
13.	<p>Lighting</p> <p>1 submission was received stating that the provision of lighting for night time sports fixtures was not a desirable feature for the park.</p> <p>1 submission was received supporting the provision of lighting along the park's walkways.</p>	<p>S1: Olympic Park Management Plan Youth Consultation</p> <p>S3: Olympic Park Trust</p>	<p>Night time sports field lighting is provided for within Policy 2.1: Main Sports Field of the draft Reserve Management Plan. The provision of night time sports field lighting will allow for an extension of hours under which games can be played. The main sports field at Olympic Park, which is subject to significant investment. The provision of night time lighting will allow for better use of this asset.</p> <p>The provision of passive lighting throughout the park for safety is provided for within the Reserve Management Plan under Policy 3.4: Personal Safety.</p>	<p>That Policy 2.1: Main Sports Field, and Policy 3.4: Personal Safety, which allow for the provision of night time and passive lighting, is retained within the draft Olympic Park Reserve Management Plan without amendment.</p>
14.	<p>Infrastructure</p> <p>The infrastructure objectives and policies, and their wording as provided within the draft Reserve Management Plan is supported.</p>	<p>S2: Transpower New Zealand Ltd.</p>	<p>Objective 6.0 Infrastructure and its policies provide for the special needs of the high voltage electrical transmission lines that are located within Olympic Park.</p>	<p>That Objective 6.0 Infrastructure and its related policies are retained within the draft Olympic Park Reserve Management Plan without amendment.</p>
15.	<p>Bus Stop</p> <p>1 submission was received requesting the relocation and expansion of an existing bus stop along Wolverton Road.</p>	<p>S3: Olympic Park Trust</p>	<p>The bus stop on Wolverton Road is outside the boundaries of Olympic Park and therefore does not fall within the jurisdiction of its Reserve Management Plan.</p>	

Section 1: Issues Raised by a Number of Submitters				
No.	Issue Raised	Raised by the following submitters	Comments	Recommended Amendments
16.	<p>Entertainment Area</p> <p>1 submission was received requesting that an area be developed for live entertainment.</p>	S3: Olympic Park Trust	<p>There are multiple options for live entertainment within the park. These include the main sports field, Pukeko green, and the green located within the Wolverton Land. These are multi use open spaces.</p>	<p>That the open spaces as illustrated within the concept plan for the draft Olympic Park Reserve Management Plan are retained.</p>
17.	<p>Umu</p> <p>1 submission was received requesting the provision of an umu (hangi).</p>	S3: Olympic Park Trust	<p>An umu has been suggested to complement the barbeque area within the Wolverton land. Currently electric barbeques are proposed.</p> <p>An umu requires a fire as part of the cooking process. The provision of an open fire in a park is contrary to Waitakere City Council bylaws 6 and 1715. Provision of an umu is therefore not supported.</p>	<p>That no provision be made for an umu within the draft Olympic Park Reserve Management Plan.</p>

Section 1: Issues Raised by a Number of Submitters				
No.	Issue Raised	Raised by the following submitters	Comments	Recommended Amendments
18.	<p>Environmental Education</p> <p>2 submissions were received supporting the provision of areas for environmental education.</p> <p>1 submission was received requesting the amendment of the explanation section of Objective 4.0 Environmental Values by the addition of a new statement.</p>	<p>S7: Chris Daniels and Michele Crawshaw S8: EcoWater Solutions S9: Doug Anderson</p>	<p>The proposed amendment provides additional clarification for Objective 4.0 Environmental Values. The proposed amendment states,</p> <p>“Establish demonstration projects in the Avondale Stream and on storm water and groundwater discharges to show innovative and best practice storm water treatment methods, demonstration riparian planting, and water quality improvement methods as part of the desire by Ecowater Solutions, Unitec, Ecomatters Trust, and Friends of the Whau to provide environmental education programmes on the Park”.</p> <p>The absence of specific organisation names would allow for greater future flexibility in implementing this objective.</p>	<p>That the introduction of Objective 4.0 Environmental Values of the draft Olympic Park Reserve Management Plan be amended to include an additional bullet point stating,</p> <p>“Establish demonstration projects in the Avondale Stream and on storm water and groundwater discharges to show innovative and best practice storm water treatment methods, demonstration riparian planting, and water quality improvement methods as part of environmental education programmes on the Park”.</p>

Section 1: Issues Raised by a Number of Submitters				
No.	Issue Raised	Raised by the following submitters	Comments	Recommended Amendments
19.	<p>Management of Olympic Park's Use</p> <p>2 submissions were received requesting that their organised recreation use of Olympic Park be confirmed for the long term.</p>	<p>S10. Bay Olympic</p> <p>S11: Westforce Women's Lacrosse Club</p>	<p>Waitakere City Council has Users Allocation policy for managing the use of parks for organised recreation. Policy 2.2: Use of Sports Fields, manages use within the Olympic Park Reserve Management Plan. Specifically,</p> <p>"To continue to provide priority summer use to the Athletic Club while the usage levels justify it."</p> <p>Council's Users Allocation Policy is not currently referred to within the Reserve Management Plan. Its addition will provide a tool for allocating use of the park. This is an important addition should the demand of use for Olympic Park's sport's field increase.</p>	<p>That the second policy within Policy 2.2: Use of Sports Fields within the draft Olympic Park Reserve Management Plan is amended by deleting,</p> <p>"To continue to provide priority summer use to the Athletic Club while the usage levels justify it."</p> <p>And replacing it with,</p> <p>"To utilise Council's Users Allocation Policy to manage and allocate the use of the Olympic Park".</p>
20.	<p>Relocation of the Waitakere City 'Trusts' Brass Inc.</p> <p>1 submission was received stating that the Waitakere City 'Trusts' Brass Inc. could be relocated to an alternative location.</p>	<p>S9: Doug Anderson</p>	<p>The redevelopment of the Waitakere City 'Trusts' Brass Inc.'s building is allowed for under Policy 2.4: Buildings. Waitakere Brass has a long association with Olympic Park and has currently outgrown their existing building. Provision has been made to accommodate the Band should they chose to remain on Olympic Park.</p>	<p>That Policy 2.4: Buildings, which allows for the redevelopment of Waitakere City 'Trusts' Brass Inc.'s building, is retained within the draft Olympic Park Reserve Management Plan without amendment.</p>
21.	<p>Pedestrian Walkways</p> <p>The provision of a network of pedestrian walkways throughout the park is supported.</p>	<p>S7: Chris Daniels and Michele Crawshaw</p> <p>S9: Doug Anderson</p>	<p>The provision of walkways is provided for within Policy 3.2: Paths of the draft Olympic Park Reserve Management Plan.</p>	<p>That Policy 3.2: Paths is retained within draft Olympic Park Reserve Management Plan without amendment.</p>

Section 1: Issues Raised by a Number of Submitters				
No.	Issue Raised	Raised by the following submitters	Comments	Recommended Amendments
22.	City Wide Issues relating to Olympic Park	City Development Committee	City Development Committee recommends to the New Lynn Community Board that the submission recommendations on Citywide Issues, be included in the final Reserve Management Plan for Olympic Park	That no change be made to the recommendations in this report.

A15-A42

Attached at pages A15 to A42 provides copies of the submissions.

A43-A84

Attached at pages A43 to A84 is the Draft Olympic Park Reserve Management Plan.

CONCLUSIONS

This report contains a summary of the submissions to the Draft Olympic Park Reserve Management Plan and comments on the issues raised and suggested changes to the Reserve Management Plan.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. That the information be received.
2. That the Olympic Park Reserve Management Plan, incorporating the recommended amendments be adopted.
3. That a representative from the Community Board be delegated authority to approve the final Reserve Management Plan based on the decisions made by the New Lynn Community Board.
4. That with the adoption of the Olympic Park Reserve Management Plan the Olympic Park Advisory Group be thanked for their commitment to and assistance with the preparation of the Olympic Park Reserve Management Plan.

Report prepared by: Alan Duxfield, Parks Project Manager, Environmental.



8 **TRAFFIC PROBLEMS IN HIGHLAND AVENUE AND CLARK STREET/WARD STREET INTERSECTION**

PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

A85

The purpose of this report is to seek approval to extend “No Stopping At All Times” markings at the Titirangi Road/Highland Avenue Intersection, to a length of 14m along the southern kerb line of Highland Avenue (refer to the attached diagrams at page A85), and report to the Community Board on the other actions taken by the Council to improve the traffic and pedestrian safety in Highland Avenue.

BACKGROUND

Several residents of Highland Avenue have raised the issue of obstruction and safety problems caused by parked vehicles, lack of signage, speeding vehicles and pedestrian safety in Highland Avenue.

Another resident raised the difficulties faced by right-turning motorists from Ward Street into Clark Street.

The New Lynn Community Board considered these matters and resolved as follows:

“That the traffic problems concerning parked cars, lack of signage, and speeding in Highland Avenue and right hand exit from Ward Street to Clark Street, as raised in the Public Forum be referred to the Transport Assets Section for investigation.”

1337/2003

STRATEGIC CONTEXT

Road safety is an Urban Village objective and contributes to Council's overall goal of a sustainable city.

ISSUES

Highland Avenue issues:

Transport Assets investigated a range of issues raised by Mrs Joanne Carter and Mr Howard at the New Lynn Community Board Meeting held on 30 June 2003. Subsequently a full site inspection with Mrs Carter and Mr Howard was carried out to understand the situation. The issues are as a result of this site visit and the proposed actions are described below.

A result of the carriageway of Highland Avenue near its intersection with Titirangi Road is 7.5 m wide. Vehicles parked on both sides of the road narrow the space available for two vehicles to cross over and obstruct sight lines of traffic entering in to Highland Avenue. Hence it is desirable from a safety perspective to extend “No Stopping At All Times” controls for a length of 14 m on the southern side of Highland Avenue from its intersection with Titirangi Road. There will be a loss of two parking spaces but there is ample parking in the vicinity.

Residents are of the view that vehicles parked around the bends and speeding in Highland Avenue are causing safety problems. Transport Assets Staff visited the road a few times over two weeks, but were unable to sight any adverse parking along the road. Traffic Regulations 1976 prohibit the parking of vehicles in dangerous locations, including bends. Transport Assets will advise the Council Parking Services to monitor the situation in Highland Avenue.

The pedestrian crossing the intersection of Highland Avenue and Derwent Crescent is currently located right at the corner of two roads, causing safety and visibility problems for pedestrians. Transport Assets will move the pedestrian crossing to a safer location. This work will be included in the 2003/2004 footpath maintenance programme.

The footpath in Highland Avenue, especially the section closer to Derwent Crescent is in a poor condition. The repairs have been included in the 2003/2004 footpath maintenance programme.

Traffic problems at Clark Street/Ward Street Intersection:

Council has allocated funds under the Annual Plan 2003/2004 for the design of improvements to Clark Street. This design will include a roundabout at the Clark Street/Ward Street intersection, widening of Clark Street and rehabilitation of the road pavement. Physical works will need to be funded in a future annual plan, before this work can proceed.

In the interim, the road markings on the Ward Street approach to the intersection have been altered to provide separate left and right turns.

RESOURCES

The work in Highland Avenue can be accommodated within the annual maintenance budget. Funds have been allocated in the 2003/2004 Annual Plan for the design of Clark Street/Ward Street Intersection.

CONCLUSION

The proposal for "No Stopping At All Times Control" in Highland Avenue is desirable from a safety perspective and will have only a minor affect on the parking in the vicinity. The work can be accommodated in the 2003/2004 Annual Plan.

Council's Parking Services will monitor Highland Avenue and will take enforcement action on any illegal parking.

The relocation of the pedestrian crossing and repairs to footpath in Highland Avenue is desirable from a safety perspective. The work can be accommodated in the 2003/2004 Annual Plan.

A roundabout for Clark Street/Ward Street Intersection will be designed during the 2003/2004 financial year and physical works to be programmed for inclusion in 2004/2005 Annual Plan.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. That the information be received.
2. (a) That all existing parking restrictions or limitations (affected, or superseded, or replaced by this resolution) applicable to Highland Avenue imposed by any prior resolution (including resolution of any former authority) cease to have any force and effect as from the date of this determination provided however that any current enforcement action by way of prosecution arising from, or infringement notice issued in relation to, any non-compliance with or breach of any such parking restriction or limitation be authorised to be concluded in the normal manner.

- (b) That, in accordance with the powers conferred by virtue of the Local Government Act 2002, The Transport Act 1962 and the Waitakere City Council Bylaw No. 7, 1991 - Traffic, the following parking limitations and restrictions be now resolved to be specified and imposed namely:

“No Stopping At All Times” controls at the start of Highland Avenue be extended to a length of 14m on the southern kerb line along Highland Avenue; and

3. That the appropriate signage and/or markings, in accordance with the Traffic Regulations 1976, be hereby approved to be put in place to properly establish, delineate and record the said parking limitations and restrictions.

Report prepared by: Upali Ileperuma, Transport Engineer: Transport Assets.



9 SOUTH TITIRANGI ROAD AND PARK ROAD - NO STOPPING CONTROLS

PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

A86-A87

The purpose of this report is to seek approval to impose “No Stopping At All Times” controls in South Titirangi Road and Park Road. (Refer to attached sketches at pages A86 to A87.)

BACKGROUND

Several residents in Titirangi have expressed their concerns to Deputy Chair Pim van der Voort regarding the obstructions caused by parked vehicles in South Titirangi Road and Park Road.

The areas of concern are:

- South Titirangi Road, between the entrance of the Titirangi Community Centre and the recessed parking area 12.5 metres south.
- Park Road, along the northern side at the intersection with Titirangi Beach Road.

STRATEGIC CONTEXT

The Urban Villages Pathway to a sustainable city has a an objective that people should be able to get easily and safely to where they want to go by any available transport mode.

No Stopping At All Times controls can be used to this effect by keeping traffic lanes clear.

ISSUES

1. South Titirangi Road; The kerb side parking of cars between the entrance of the Titirangi Community Centre and the recessed parking area 12.5 metres south, has been causing problems especially for the drivers coming out of the community centre car park. Even though the presence of a recessed parking area next to it clearly indicates to drivers it is the through traffic lane, drivers tend to park along this short kerb side length. The introduction of a “No Stopping At All Times” control along this 12.5 metre length would enhance the visibility of the drivers and keep the carriageway free for through traffic.

2. Cars parking in Park Road too close to the intersection with Titirangi Beach Road sometimes hinder traffic movement, especially the right turning traffic from Titirangi Beach Road. Since the road shoulder is too narrow, cars can be seen parked encroaching the through lanes. “No Stopping At All Times” control could be placed to prevent parking too close to the intersection. This would facilitate access of larger vehicles such as fire appliances.

There would be no real loss of parking, since parking must not occur in any case, at the locations above. No consultation of adjacent residents is required.

RESOURCES

As the work involves a minimal cost, it can be accommodated within the annual maintenance budget.

CONCLUSION

“No Stopping At All Times” controls as suggested above would keep the traffic lanes clear with out any real diminishment of parking, are affordable, and should therefore be provided.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. That the information be received.
2. That in relation to **SOUTH TITIRANGI ROAD AND PARK ROAD - TITIRANGI:**
 - (a) That all existing parking restrictions or limitations (affected, or superseded, or this resolution) applicable to South Titirangi Road and Park Road imposed by any prior resolution (including resolution of any former authority) cease to have any force and effect as from the date of this determination, provided however that any current enforcement action by way of prosecution arising from, or infringement notice issued in relation to, any non-compliance with or breach of any such parking restriction or limitation be authorised to be concluded in the normal manner.
 - (b) That, in accordance with the powers conferred by virtue of The Local Government Act 2002, The Transport Act 1962 and The Waitakere City Council Bylaw No. 7, 1991 - Traffic, the following parking limitations and restrictions be now resolved to be specified and imposed namely:
 - On the east kerb lines of South Titirangi Road, starting from the southern side of the entrance to the Titirangi Community Centre for a length of 12.5metres towards south, a **“No Stopping At All Times”** control be put in place.
 - Starting from western side of the driveway of property 73 and extending 35 metres further to west on northern side of Park Road, a **“No Stopping At All Times control”** be put in place.
 - And that the appropriate signage and or markings, in accordance with the Traffic Regulations 1976, be hereby approved to be put in place to properly establish, delineate and record the said parking limitations and restrictions.

Report prepared by: Upali Ileperuma, Transport Engineer.



10 FOOTPATHS - BUDGET ALLOCATION PRIORITIES

PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

The purpose of this report is to update and seek approval from the Board regarding the following:

- “Priority Points System”;
- The footpath priority list;
- The Budget allocation formula;
- The Budget allocation;
- The construction programme for 2003/2004, programmes for 2004/2005 and 2005/2006.

BACKGROUND

At the full Council meeting in September 2002 the following was resolved:

That the Council adopts the Waitakere Community Board’s proposal as detailed in the agenda report for the current fiscal year, and that Council through its 2003/2004 Annual Plan process consider allocating an increased amount for the construction of new footpaths within the City.

2893/2002

At the Community Board’s December 2002 meeting, a report titled New Footpath Construction was submitted recommending the following:

1. That the information is received.
2. That the Community Board adopts the Priority Points System to determine the priority order for the construction of new footpaths in its Ward.
3. That the proposed new footpath construction programme for 2002/2003 be approved.
4. That a report be brought back to the Community Board detailing the final priority list.

The recommendations were approved and resolved by each of the Community Boards except Massey Community Board. This Board voted against recommendation 2. Some of the Board members requested a review of the priority points system and that consideration be given to include environmental impact and accident data in the points system.

The formula to determine the budget allocated to each Ward is based on the Waitakere Community Board’s formula, in brief the allocations for the 2002/2003 year are as agreed to by all Boards as stipulated by Finance and Operational Performance Committee.

WARD	BUDGET ALLOCATED
Henderson	\$23,747
Massey	\$132,290
New Lynn	\$75,642
Waitakere	\$218,321
Total	\$450,000

Council staff and representatives of each Community Board have been working together to ratify, prioritise and validate each Wards footpath priority list. To date the following have been undertaken:

- A review of the RAMM footpath output list. This is a list of all the roads in each Ward, with specific reference to roads with a footpath on each side, one side and with no footpath;
- A compilation of the proposed final lists that are considered suitable for the construction of a new footpath;
- A visit to each site to determine its priority points, feasibility, practicality, and physical constraints;
- Prioritisation of the final list for each Ward;
- A review of the cost estimates;
- Determination of the construction programmes based on the revised footpath priority lists.

STRATEGIC CONTEXT

Safety for pedestrians is a key objective of Council's Strategic Plan "Access and Travel Choice", 'that people can get easily and safely to where they need to go by walking, cycling, passenger transport, or private vehicle'.

In this context it is important that the backlog of footpath construction is addressed equitably with regard to the whole city. This means taking into account the overall distribution of the backlog and the overall distribution of pedestrian activity.

ISSUES

Priority Points System

A87(a)-A92 A meeting was held with all representatives from each Community Board on the 28 May 2003 to agree on the tangible factors used to determine points allocated to each site, with specific reference to environmental impact and accident statistics. The group agreed after Council staff demonstrated the effects of using the environmental impact and accident statistics that these two factors should not be included in determining the points allocated to each site. For example if a site required substantial earthworks and vegetation removal, 10 priority points would be deducted from that site. The cost to mitigate this effect can be ten times more than the cost of the footpath. This agreement is consistent with a resolution of the Corporate Affairs Committee at their November 1992 meeting. See attachments at pages A87(a) to A92.

Footpath Priority List

A site inspection was undertaken for each individual site with priority points allocated accordingly. The final list for each Ward has the following features:

- A list of all the roads in priority order that do not have a footpath on one side.
- A list of all the roads in priority order that do not have a footpath on either side.
- A separate list of roads where it is impractical that a footpath will be built.

These lists form the basis of a construction programme for each Ward.

A90-A92 See attachments at pages A90 to A92.

Budget Allocation 2003/2004

The approved Annual Plan budget allows \$550,000 for the construction of new footpaths in the 2003/2004 year. This is an increase of \$100,000 on the previous year and is consistent with the resolution of Council at its September 2002 meeting.

Using the agreed footpath budget allocation formula and the latest and agreed priority lists, the allocations are as follows:

Ward	Footpath One side (km)	No Footpaths (km)	Weighted (X1)	Budget Allocation (B1)
Henderson	4.542	0.426	1.775	\$5,854
Massey	16.191	23.940	39.958	\$131,822
New Lynn	33.419	9.105	22.012	\$72,619
Waitakere	27.478	64.068	102.972	\$339,705
All Wards			166.716 (X)	\$550,000 (B)

The formula used to calculate the budget allocation to each Ward is:

$$B1 = \frac{X1}{X} \times B$$

B=B1+B2+B3+B4 where B is the citywide budget and B1 is the budget for Ward 1 etc.

X1=(Kilometre of road with no footpath * 3 + kilometre of road with one footpath *1)/4 for Ward 1 etc and is based on the total practical backlog X=(X1+X2+X3+X4), it excludes road sections where provision of a footpath would not be sensible as agreed by the Board's representative.

Construction Programme

The proposed construction programmes are based on the priority list and discussions with representative(s) from each Board. The programmes are:

New Lynn Ward 2003/2004

Road Name	Road Section	Length (m)	Estimated Cost (\$)
Konini Road (Carryover 2002/2003)	#29 to Kaurilands Road	230	\$45,642
Godley Road	Bishop to #33 Godley Road	205	\$24,600
Huia Road stage 1	Tangawai Reserve to Landing Road	120	\$14,400
Glendale Road	opp Glengarry Road path over culvert for runners	95	\$11,400
Laingholm Drive Stage 1	Helios to Landing	185	\$22,219
Total		835	\$118,261

New Lynn 2004/2005 (Draft)

Road Name	Road Section	Length (m)	Estimated Cost (\$)
Laingholm Drive Stage 2	Helios to Landing	125	\$15,000
Kopiko Road Stage 1	Entire length RHS	480	\$57,619
Total		605	\$72,619

New Lynn Ward 2005/2006 (Draft)

Road Name	Road Section	Length (m)	Estimated Cost (\$)
Kopiko Road Stage 2	Entire length RHS	210	\$25,200
Helios Place	School gate to Laingholm Dr both sides	110	\$13,200
Laingholm Drive Stage 3	Helios to Deirdre	285	\$34,219
Total		605	\$72,619

The draft budgets for future years will be reviewed and possibly adjusted each year as part of the process for determining the current programme.

Alternative Methods

A New Lynn Community Board Representative, Mr Pim Van Der Voort, has requested that the allocation formula be reviewed again with the suggestion that it revert to the original procedure that has been abandoned.

Under that procedure, footpaths at the top of the citywide priority list, to the value of the citywide new footpath construction budget, are recommended to the relevant Community Boards. The list of lower priority sites within the Ward is then considered and the Board may change priorities depending on its experience and perception of conditions within their Ward.

The sizes of the individual Ward budgets can vary considerably from year to year, and it is possible that a Ward may receive no budget. The previous footpath priority list did not take into account roads for which requests had not been made. Using a procedure suggested by Mr Pim Van der Voort and the new priority list the budget allocation becomes:

WARD	BUDGET ALLOCATED
Henderson	Nil
Massey	\$193,200
New Lynn	\$310,840
Waitakere	\$45,960
Total	\$550,000

The main effect is a 'reversal' of the allocations to New Lynn and Waitakere Wards.

RESOURCES

Resources and funding are available for the construction of new footpaths for this year.

CONCLUSION

Agreement has been reached with each Community Board in regard to the tangible factors used for allocating points to each site. The footpath priority lists for each Ward have now been reviewed and agreed and can be used for determining a three year programme.

The Council has adopted a new formula to allocate the annual budget for construction of new footpaths to each Community Board. The formula is based on the total practical footpath backlog for each Ward. This ensures that each Ward will have work done in proportion to its footpath backlog. It is concluded that the formula presented is to be used for future budget allocations.

An alternative method has been proposed. It has been found that this has the disadvantages that Ward budgets could vary considerably from year to year, and that a Ward could receive no budget.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. That the information be received.
- A87(a)-A92* 2. That the Community Board adopts the Priority Points System appended at pages A87(a) to A92 to determine the order for the construction of new footpaths in its Ward.
3. That the footpath budget allocation formula presented in this report is endorsed by the Community Board, and recommended to the Finance and Operational Performance Committee for approval.
4. That the proposed footpath construction programmes for 2003/2004, 2004/2005 and 2005/2006 be approved.

Report prepared by: Reg Cuthers, Principal Transport Engineer, Development.



11 GLEN EDEN REVITALISATION PROJECTS UPDATE

PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

A93-A111 This report is to update the Board on current Glen Eden Town Centre revitalisation projects which have been designed and developed over the last year and are due for construction and/or implementation in the town centre in the near future. Aerial and site photographs and proposed design images are submitted as attachments to this Agenda Report. See attachments at pages A93 to A111.

BACKGROUND

In response to requests from the Glen Eden community and business groups in late 2001, Council funded Enterprise Waitakere to initiate a process of public consultation to develop a plan that would identify strategies to improve economic and social activity within the Glen Eden town centre. Subsequently, Karen Remetis of the Town Centre Development Group was commissioned to facilitate two public workshops and prepare recommendations and proposals for future growth and development of the town centre.

The community groups principally involved in the workshops included; the Glen Eden Business Association, the Glen Eden Community Protection Society, Friends of Waikumete and the Playhouse Theatre Trust.

“Revitalising Glen Eden” was the theme of the workshops and the intended outcome was to provide direction and proposals in answer to the following advertised agenda questions:

“How can the Glen Eden town centre be made more vibrant?”

and

“How can Glen Eden position itself as an attractive and dynamic place to visit, both for the local community and for visitors?”

The final report and recommendations identified a number of key areas where the local and business communities felt enhancement projects could improve the shopping precincts of Glen Eden. As a result of the workshop report, \$100,000 was allocated in the 2002/2003 Annual Budget for the implementation of projects identified by the community as being important. The projects that have been initiated and developed to date and are at the stage of being implemented include:

- Small landscape/garden enhancement projects in six sites around the town centre.
- Installation of new signs for: town centre entries; directions to community facilities; and heritage information.
- Major direction signs to Glen Eden on the corners of Glenview Road-Great North Road and West Coast Road-Great North Road.
- Design of a new “logo” specifically for the promotion of Glen Eden.

STRATEGIC CONTEXT

The Urban and Rural Villages, “2020 vision” statement in Council’s Long Term Council Community Plan is aimed at ensuring that:

“Town Centres are thriving places, providing exciting options for people to live, work and play. Public facilities, places and spaces teem with people; the streets are alive and busy.”

The Long Term Council Community Plan also lists Councils specific goals for the development of urban town centres and rural villages, the following key principles are particularly relevant to the Glen Eden town centre enhancement projects reported to this meeting.

- *Continue the urban consolidation programme.*
 - Continue developing exciting, innovative and vibrant urban centres.
- *Encourage lots of living and working in town centres.*
 - Foster a sense of place through community engagement and unique design.
- *Create imaginative, interlinked urban public spaces.*
 - Create vibrant, safe, accessible spaces for people to live work and play.
 - Bring the Green Network into urban public spaces.
- *Provide people friendly green spaces in urban and rural areas.*
 - Continue to work pro-actively with the community on design.
 - Continue the street tree planting programme.

In that the projects described in this report have been identified, developed and supported by the business and community groups involved, they have been a good example of how the Long Term Council Community Plan goals can be successfully promoted to encourage local involvement in town centre design projects and initiatives.

ISSUES

Small landscape enhancement projects:

- Some of the selected sites for landscape/garden works are in front of existing businesses or community buildings. On discussing the design for some of these areas with the business owners, it has been necessary to negotiate the type of planting to ensure that their premises are not obscured to an extent that would reduce visibility to potential customers.
- The trees and plants for the landscape projects have been selected to create some consistency with existing landscaped/garden areas within the town centre and with the proposed new library landscape works.

Signs:

- The three sign types; town centre entry, town centre directions and Glen Eden heritage are all variations of the same design reflecting a railway line theme (as in the covered walkway from West Coast Road to Glenmall Place).
- It is intended that the signs be of a very simple design and of strong construction and appearance, which will resist vandalism and not date with time.

New Glen Eden "Logo":

- Graeme Gash, designer of most of Council's graphics, was commissioned to design the proposed Glen Eden logo. The project group suggested a number of images representative of Glen Eden and the main ones originally identified were: the Playhouse Theatre, the railway, Waikumete Cemetery and the Waitakere Ranges. Much debate was held over the inclusion of an image based on the cemetery, when the main intention of the "logo" project was to assist with revitalisation of Glen Eden. It was agreed that an appropriate and acceptable image of the cemetery would be very difficult to choose and was therefore excluded from the final design as presented here.

RESOURCES

The design and development of the Glen Eden Revitalisation Projects have been funded to date, from the 2002/2003 Annual Budget. The funds remaining from that year's budget have been carried over into the 2003/2004 Annual Plan to continue the works required to finalise and implement the projects.

CONCLUSION

The current projects for this stage of the Glen Eden revitalisation are near to the construction and implementation stage, having been agreed upon by the business and community group representatives. This report is to update the Board and to provide the opportunity for presentation of more information if requested.

RECOMMENDATION

That the information be received.

Report prepared by: Peter Joyce, Project Manager - Urban Design



12 BOARD MEMBERS' REPORTS

Provision has been made on this agenda for Board Members should they so wish to submit a report on their activities during the month in regard to matters within the scope and delegations of the Board. However, to comply with the provisions of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987, no decision may be made on matters raised in Board Members' reports.

NEW LYNN COMMUNITY BOARD APPOINTMENTS

OUTSIDE ORGANISATIONS	APPOINTMENT
Auckland Region Community Boards' Association Executive Committee	Gayle Marshall
Keep Waitakere Beautiful Committee	Elizabeth Francke
Glen Eden Citizens Advice Bureau	Ray Kernaghan
Glen Eden Community House Management Committee	Gayle Marshall
Green Bay Community House Management Committee	Brent Peters (Alternate: Pim van der Voort)
COUNCIL COMMITTEES	
Hearings Committee	Ray Kernaghan
Community Sports Fund Allocation Subcommittee	Brent Peters
WORKING GROUPS	
Harbourview "People's Park" Working Party Voluntary Membership	Pim van der Voort
Herbicide Reduction Working Party	Elizabeth Francke
New Footpath Construction: Budget Allocation	Pim van der Voort
New Lynn Reserves Management Plan – Internal Advisory Group	Pim van der Voort
Olympic Park Reserves Management Plan Advisory Group	Brent Peters
Project Twin Streams Steering Group	Ray Kernaghan
Project Whau Steering Group	Ray Kernaghan
Shopping Trolleys in Streams	Ray Kernaghan
Wai Care Programme	Gayle Marshall Ray Kernaghan Elizabeth Francke

