



**AGENDA FOR A MEETING OF THE MASSEY COMMUNITY BOARD TO BE HELD IN  
THE CIVIC CENTRE, 6 WAIPAREIRA AVENUE, LINCOLN, WAITAKERE CITY,  
ON WEDNESDAY, 4 MAY 2005, COMMENCING AT 7.30 PM.**

---

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<b><u>ITEM</u></b>		<b><u>PAGE NO.</u></b>
1	APOLOGIES	1
2	CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES	1
3	URGENT BUSINESS	1
4	PRESENTATION	2
5	PUBLIC FORUM	2
6	CHAIRPERSON'S REPORT	2
7	COMMITTEE SECRETARY'S REPORT	3
8	EXTENSION OF THE WAITAKERE BADMINTON ASSOCIATION HALL, MAKORA PARK	5
9	WAITAKERE RANGES AND FOOTHILLS PROTECTION PROJECT	7
10	REGENTS PARK PLACE - NEW CENTRE LINE	14
11	SWANSON ROAD AND ARMADA DRIVE - BUS STOPS	15
12	COMMUNITY INTERACTION PLAN FOR THE LONG TERM COUNCIL COMMUNITY PLAN 2006/2016	17
13	BOARD MEMBERS' REPORTS	20

**AGENDA FOR A MEETING OF THE MASSEY COMMUNITY BOARD TO BE HELD IN  
THE CIVIC CENTRE, 6 WAIPAREIRA AVENUE, LINCOLN, WAITAKERE CITY,  
ON WEDNESDAY, 4 MAY 2005, COMMENCING AT 7.30 PM.**

---

**1 APOLOGIES**



**2 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES**

Meeting Minutes - Wednesday, 6 April 2005

**RECOMMENDATION**

That the minutes of the Meeting of the Massey Community Board held on Wednesday, 6 April 2005, as circulated, be taken as read and now be confirmed.



**3 URGENT BUSINESS**

Section 46A(7) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 provides that where an item of business is not on the agenda, it may only be dealt with at the meeting if:

- (i) the Board by resolution so decides; and
- (ii) the Chairperson has explained at the beginning of the meeting (when open to the public) that the item will be raised for discussion and decision, why the item is not on the agenda, and why it cannot be delayed until a subsequent meeting.

The Board may make a decision on a matter determined to be urgent.

**NOTE:** Urgent business need not be dealt with now and may be delayed until later in the meeting.



#### 4 **PRESENTATION**

Provision has been made on this agenda for a representative from the New Zealand Police to update the Board on matters in the Massey Ward.



#### 5 **PUBLIC FORUM**

For guidance of Community Board Members, the Council's Standing Orders have the following provisions in regard to Public Forum.

- (i) members of the public wishing to address the Board in Public Forum shall furnish their names to the Chairperson at the beginning of the meeting; and
- (ii) the Chairperson shall determine the order of speakers, and allow five minutes for speaking time;
- (iii) questions by members are to be confined to obtaining information or clarification on matters raised by the speaker.

Section 46A(7A) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 provides that no resolution, decision, or recommendation may be made in respect of any specific item of business not on the agenda except to refer the items to a subsequent meeting for further discussion. Therefore, no decision may be made on matters raised in Public Forum. However, written reports on matters raised may be requested from the Chief Executive Officer.



#### 6 **CHAIRPERSON'S REPORT**

##### **Te Pai Skate Park**

A few weeks ago I attended a meeting at Te-Pai Skate Park on Lincoln Road to meet with local business representatives and Warren Ogilvie from Council to discuss the business owners concerns at the visual pollution due to graffiti now appearing on the new part of the skate area. The Tag Out Trust are currently visiting the park three times a week, however they cannot remove graffiti from the skateable surfaces.

It was explained to the business owners that we cannot put up barriers or walls to hide the park for safety reasons, however, a mutual solution was resolved by all parties with the agreement to explore the opportunity to plant additional trees at key points to screen the skate surfaces facing Lincoln Road

##### **Starling Park**

Starling Park has again been in the news with particular media attention and I have seen a flurry of emails between Council Officers on the issue. It is worth noting that Starling Park appears to have the worst rate of graffiti and vandalism in the Massey Ward. I understand that a meeting is being organized by Mike Mills to be held in May 2005 for all key stakeholders to address the issue.

## Policing in Waitakere City

I was very pleased to see the latest report of the Mayor addressing the Police resourcing issues in our city, and there were some very interesting statistics on the attached presentation. Given the issues being raised to the Massey Community Board by residents living near our local parks I am sure we all concur with his comments.

### RECOMMENDATION

That the Chairperson's Report be received.

Andrew Good  
**CHAIRPERSON**



## 7 COMMITTEE SECRETARY'S REPORT

Issue	Comments	Reporting Council Officer
Westpark Marina - Various Issues 3 April 2002 660/2002  10 November 2004 1964/2004	Council is continuing discussions with Westpark Marina Limited to secure solutions to the various issues at the Marina. Progress is being made as Council are actively working through the logistics of a number of suggestions put forward by Westpark Marina Limited to provide for long term parking and boat ramp access at the Marina.	Rochelle Edwards ☎ 836 0893
Rush Creek, Westgate 1 December 2004  2205/2004	The Council's Road Safety Coordinator, and the Crime Prevention Projects Leader, are continuing discussions with Transit New Zealand regarding the installation of a pedestrian overbridge, grilling of the drain that is used as a pedestrian 'tunnel', and adequately fencing specific sections of the motorway, at Westgate, at the earliest opportunity.	Mike Mills ☎ 836 8000 Ext 8421
Luckens Reserve Various Issues  1965/2004	A City Safety Liaison Group - Luckens Reserve has been established. One meeting took place on Thursday, 17 March 2005 where various issues regarding Luckens Reserve were worked through. Communication links between the parties are now established by e-mail and phone to address any further incidents that arise.	Mike Mills ☎ 836 8000 Ext 8421

Issue	Comments	Reporting Council Officer
<p>Draft Waitakere Citywide Alcohol Strategy</p> <p>547/2005</p>	<p>The City Development Committee at its meeting held on Thursday, 7 April 2005 approved the release of the draft Citywide Alcohol Strategy for public submission. Board Members now have the opportunity to comment on the draft Waitakere Citywide Alcohol Strategy if they have particular issues they believe need to be addressed.</p> <p>The draft Waitakere Citywide Alcohol Strategy has been circulated to Members separately.</p>	<p>Mike Mills ☎ 836 8000 Ext 8421</p>
<p>Boards Submission to the draft Annual Plan 2005/2006</p>	<p>The Board has made arrangements to complete a submission to the draft Annual Plan 2005/2006. The closing date for receiving submissions is 4 May 2005. The Board need to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Resolve to make a submission to the draft Annual Plan 2005/2006; and</li> <li>• Delegate authority to the Chairperson or other Board Member(s) to formally sign off the Board's submission and submit it by 4 May 2005 to the Acting Annual Plan and Long Term Council Community Plan Coordinator.</li> </ul>	<p>Wendy Kjestrup ☎ 836 8000 Ext 8402</p>
<p>Speed Limits Bylaw</p> <p>467/2005</p>	<p>Council at its meeting held on 30 March 2005 considered a report on Speed Limits Bylaw and resolved, <i>inter alia</i>, that this report be referred to all Community Boards for their information.</p> <p>A copy of the report and the relevant minutes of the meeting are attached at pages A1 to A16.</p>	<p>Denis Sheard ☎ 836 8000 Ext 8018</p>
<p>Community Board Minor Park Projects 2004/2005</p>	<p><b>Whenuapai Hall Reserve Tennis Court Upgrade</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Completed end April 2005.</li> </ul> <p><b>Ranui Community Centre Bike Stand</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Completed.</li> </ul> <p><b>Fred Taylor Park Seating and Paths</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Ongoing to be completed by end June 2005.</li> </ul> <p><b>Starling Park Seats and Table</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Completed.</li> </ul> <p><b>Rush Creek/Westgate seats</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Completed.</li> </ul> <p><b>Te Pai Skate Park Extension</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Completed April 2005.</li> </ul>	<p>Katharine Slack ☎ 836 8000 Ext: 8779</p>

A1-A16

## **RECOMMENDATIONS**

1. That the Committee Secretary's Report for 4 May 2005 be received.
2. That the Massey Community Board resolve to make a submission to the draft Annual Plan 2005/2006, and delegate authority to the Chairperson or other Board Member(s) to formally sign off the Board's submission and submit it by 4 May 2005 to the Acting Annual Plan and Long Term Council Community Plan Coordinator.

Report prepared by: Sharon Simiona, Democracy Support and Sister City Officer.



## **8 EXTENSION OF THE WAITAKERE BADMINTON ASSOCIATION HALL, MAKORA PARK**

### **PURPOSE OF THE REPORT**

The purpose of this report is to seek the approval of the Massey Community Board for the extension of the Waitakere Badminton Association Hall in Makora Park, 155-159 Royal Rd, Massey.

### **BACKGROUND**

Waitakere Badminton Association leases reserve land in Makora Park, classified under the Reserves Act 1977, as local purpose (community buildings) reserve. The current lease is for a 33 year term, and will expire 31 August 2007, with the potential for a right of renewal. The proposed building expansion is within the current lease footprint.

The current building was constructed in 1974, with six courts. In 1997/1998 the association replaced the asbestos exterior, roof cladding and the court surfaces. The building of three new courts followed this in 2000. Other upgrades have occurred to carpet surfaces, wall coverings, and the purchase of two portable courts and a portable grandstand for hosting tournaments.

The Waitakere Badminton Association Hall is a significant venue for international, provincial, and community badminton. Some 150 players are participating in representative play at various age levels. Current player demands for court spaces exceed court availability. All interclub competition, both junior and senior, operates from this hall. The number of junior members is 450, with 710 senior members. Current trends suggest a growth in participants. Over the past 18 months the association has reported an increase in general public participation with court usage trebling in this period. In particular many ethnic groups have been utilising the facility.

The proposed extensions are designed to cater for the expanding player numbers. It is the only badminton facility suited for international and national tournaments, in this City.

A17-A20

The attachments at pages A17 to A20 outlines the detailed designs for the extension.

The concept plans concentrate on three main areas:

1. Construction of three new courts;
2. Improvements to the entry and expansion of the office;
3. Provision of car parking.

The report on the provision of car parking is being worked on by the Waitakere Badminton Association and Council staff and investigations are being undertaken on possible options for the extension of the car park. A report will be presented to the Massey Community Board at another meeting, with the outcomes of these investigations.

The building extension does not rely on the car park investigations as there is opportunity for additional car parks to be located at the rear of the building.

### **STRATEGIC CONTEXT**

The proposed improvements are aligned with Council's strategic platforms for strong communities in developing sports facilities that add to the health and well being of the community.

There is no current reserves management plan for Makora Park.

### **ISSUES**

The architects concept plans provides for three new courts joining the existing three courts on the western (motorway) side of the building. These have been designed to have three courts for badminton activities in the hall, but also to be aligned to provide a centre court for tournaments, finals and international play. The design also addresses a shortage in storage spaces and provides an additional room for meetings and training.

The building structure will be achieved in predominately lightweight construction above ground floor level to match the existing hall structure, and below ground floor a concrete basement structure similar to the existing.

In 1986 Council issued a \$125,000 loan to the Waitemata Badminton Association ( now known as Waitakere Badminton Association) for the badminton hall development. A couple of payments were made back to Council, but further deferrals were requested by the association. In December 1996, with the balance owing at \$123,386 a deferment of interest and principle was granted for five years. No interest or principle has been received since. The focus of attention has been to repay other borrowers.

In 2000 the Waitakere Badminton Association built an additional three courts, totalling nine. The extension was driven by the desire to host the Olympic Qualifying tournament. The addition was a requirement for hosting the tournament however the association was not in a financial position to build six courts as originally planned and decided to go ahead with the minimum required to host the Olympic qualifiers. The association received a Hillary Commission Loan (administered by Council) for \$80,000 towards the development. There is currently \$9,600 still owing on the loan.

The proposed building expansion will not adversely affect open space within Makora Park, as it is within the leased area of the Park.

The sides of the badminton hall are regularly graffitied, and attended to by the Tag Out Trust as part of the Council's city wide graffiti contract. In the design and development of this badminton facility, Waitakere Badminton Association should develop strategies to minimise the potential for graffiti, especially as the building is visible from the motorway.

A building and resource consent would be required for the expansion.

### **RESOURCES**

There are no resource implications for Council arising from this project. All costs associated with the proposal, including resource and building consents and construction costs, will be the responsibility of Waitakere Badminton Association.

## CONCLUSION

The proposal to expand the existing Waitakere Badminton Association Hall is not considered to have any negative impact on Makora Park, provided that anti graffiti strategies are deployed in the design of the facility.

## RECOMMENDATIONS

1. That the Extension of the Waitakere Badminton Association Hall report be received.
2. That approval be given by the Massey Community Board, representing Council as the landowner, for the right to expand the buildings of the Waitakere Badminton Association, subject to all building and resource consent requirements being satisfied.

Report prepared by: Warren Ogilvie, Operations Manager - Special Projects, Parks and Open Spaces.



## 9 WAITAKERE RANGES AND FOOTHILLS PROTECTION PROJECT

### PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

The purpose of this report is to update the Massey Community Board on the Waitakere Ranges and Foothills Protection Project and seek the Board's feedback for Council's 24 May 2005 meeting, where a decision will be made as to whether to forward the Waitakere Ranges National Heritage Area Bill to Parliament.

### BACKGROUND

The Waitakere Ranges and Foothills Protection Project was initiated in early 2003 in response to concerns expressed by local people, local MPs, the community, the Parliamentary Commissioner for the Environment (PCE) and the West Coast Plan, that the special qualities of the Waitakere Ranges and Foothills continue to be under pressure from urban scale development and further action is needed to ensure more secure protection for future generations.

A Working Party (previously called the Political Liaison Group) was established to provide political oversight and information sharing amongst the partners. The Working Party consists of elected representatives from Waitakere City Council, Auckland Regional Council and Rodney District Council, iwi and local Members of Parliament the Hon David Cunliffe, Hon Chris Carter and Lynne Pillay.

Mayor Bob Harvey and Councillors Hulse and Clews were appointed as the Council's representatives on the project's Working Party. Councillor Lawley was appointed as an alternate member.

The goal of the Waitakere Ranges and Foothills Protection Project as agreed by the partners is:

*“Through a partnership of iwi, Waitakere City Council, Auckland Regional Council & local Members of Parliament, and in close consultation with stakeholders, to find and implement ways of achieving better long-term protection for the natural and landscape values of the Waitakere Ranges and West Coast.”*

Three phases of community consultation have been implemented to date:

- Phase One: September - December 2003;
- Phase Two: April - May 2004;
- Phase Three: November 2004 - February 2005.

### **Phase One and Phase Two Consultation**

The purpose of the first phase was to share information and facts gathered about the status of the Ranges and Foothills with the community, discuss issues including gaps and weaknesses in current management.

Following Phase one additional policy work was undertaken to identify or further develop already identified ways to provide that ‘something extra’ i.e a more complete package of protection tools and mechanisms. These were researched and analysed. Those considered most suitable as methods or responses to fill the gaps and eliminate or diminish the weaknesses in the current management system were identified in a ‘proposed package’ in Phase two. The package was made up of both regulatory and non-regulatory/voluntary mechanisms.

#### Regulatory

- Investigate new legislation;
- Amend the Auckland Regional Policy Statement and the Waitakere City District Plan as required;
- Amend the Regional Growth Strategy as required.

#### Non-Regulatory

- Establish a community trust or forum (as a means of accessing additional funds and achieving voluntary protection);
- Investigate World Biosphere status;
- Prioritise projects through the Councils’ Long Term Council Community Plans;
- Establish core staff teams within the Councils;
- Develop an implementation plan.

Phase two focused on the visions and values for the eastern foothills and gained feedback on the proposed package, particularly the legislation component. Both phases showed that most people who participated believed the Ranges and Foothills were not protected enough now and for the future and that ‘something extra’ was needed. Participants did identify a clear need for further information.

### **Phase Three - Focus on Legislation**

Legislation is seen as a component of an overall package of regulatory and non-regulatory instruments. It would provide the direction for the other regulatory components and is thus the focus of the current work within the programme.

This emphasis on legislation was further enhanced in October 2004 by a formal invitation from the Minister of Local Government the Hon Chris Carter, to the Waitakere City Council and the Auckland Regional Council, to prepare a local bill within the current parliamentary term.

Consultation included a city-wide distribution of a special publication including a questionnaire; a letter from the Mayor and Deputy Mayor to all ratepayers within the current and previous project areas and 14 community hosted meetings.

More than 3,500 questionnaires and comments were returned to Council. Respondents were asked if they supported new legislation to protect the Ranges and Foothills. About 70 percent supported legislation, 21 percent disagreed and 9 percent either did not know or did not respond. Of those who were landowners in the proposed area about 54 percent said yes to legislation, about 38 percent said no, and 8 percent either didn't know or didn't respond.

For more detailed information on the consultation please see the Council's 16 February 2005 agenda report (Item 5).

#### Independent Research / Polls

Waitakere City Council engaged an independent market research company to ascertain whether the consultation process was effective and to formally survey opinions about the proposal to introduce legislation. A high level of awareness was identified from the results. The results indicated that over 80 percent of respondents supported the protection of the Waitakere Ranges and Foothills. Sixty seven percent supported legislation, 14 percent opposed, and 15 percent neutral. Reasons expressed in opposition of the legislation included that it was unnecessary, it affected property rights and there had been a lack of consultation.

#### **Councils' February Decisions**

A21-A22

The results of Phase three consultation were presented to the three Councils at their February 2005 meetings as well as the first draft of the Bill. A decision was sought on whether to adopt the draft Bill. Auckland Regional Council resolved to support the draft proceeding to the parliamentary process. Both Waitakere City Council and Rodney District Council resolved to undertake further consultation and drafting on the Bill. The draft Bill is to be taken back to Councils in May 2005. Detailed resolutions are attached at pages A21 to A22.

#### **Legislation Process**

If the Council decides to proceed with a local bill the following processes occur:

- Public advertising of draft Bill in libraries and the District Court (legal requirement as part of the process of presenting a local bill to parliament; this includes the promoting local authority calling for submissions/comments);
- Draft Bill presented to the House of Representatives for First Reading. Bill sent to Select Committee;
- Submissions to Select Committee on the draft Bill;
- Select Committee Hearings on the draft Bill;
- Recommendations to the House on changes to the Bill as a result of Hearings;
- Passage of the Bill through the House into law.

#### Public Input into the Parliamentary Process

If the Bill is forwarded to Parliament, the Select Committee considering the Bill will call for public submissions. People then have the opportunity to make oral presentations at Select Committee hearings, which are likely to be held locally.

In addition, prior to the draft legislation being introduced to the House of Parliament, the promoting local government organisation is required to lodge the draft Bill in the local district court for three weeks, and to call for submissions. These would need to be analysed, and appropriate responses would be incorporated through the promoters' own submission to the Select Committee.

## **STRATEGIC CONTEXT**

The Waitakere Ranges have long been recognised as a key strategic asset to Waitakere City and the region, with a rich and unique ecology and high biodiversity and landscape values. They have a distinctive history and strong cultural and ancestral associations. They are recognised as being nationally, regionally and locally important - both for their intrinsic values, and because of their proximity to the Auckland metropolitan area.

The Waitakere Ranges and Foothills Protection Project is a key project within the Green Network platform of the Long Term Council Community Plan. The 'Green Network' strategic platform contains a vision that would see streams and forests full of life, the Waitakere Ranges permanently protected and a Green Network in place linking the Ranges to the sea, as well as connecting the everyday lives of the people of Waitakere with the natural world.

The Council has indicated a strong commitment to working on the protection of the Ranges, whilst recognising that there are many different values relating to the Ranges, and that much is already being done to achieve protection. As the many tools for protection are varied and community views diverse, a robust process and inclusive process to establish any new measures is important.

## **ISSUES**

### **Proposed Legislation**

#### Policy Contexts

1. The legislation to better protect the Waitakere Ranges and Foothills is but one element of a comprehensive package of regulatory and non-regulatory proposals (as noted above). Better legislation can improve the management of the Ranges and provide a better basis for other methods. It can not solve all the problems, but it would speed up and assist in their resolution.
2. The second policy context is the special and unique management circumstances of the Ranges and Foothills.

The area is of national significance for its natural and historic heritage. The area is characterised by a relatively fragile environment. Generally these areas are forested or regenerating forest, of importance as natural habitats for wildlife, as Regional Park, and as water supply areas for urban Auckland. The foothills to the east and north are essentially rural in character, with a history of farming and orcharding, but with a strong presence of regenerating forest on higher slopes.

In Titirangi-Laingholm extensive residential development, now within the metropolitan urban edge, has occurred in a manner that has created a unique "bush living" environment where the built environment is subservient to the natural character of the rapidly regenerating forest. Along the Manukau Harbour and the Tasman coast are distinctive small settlements, each with its own identity.

Metropolitan urban intensification threatens all those qualities. Resisting metropolitan pressures in the Ranges and Foothills area is a key part of the Region's Growth Strategy, and of the City's Growth Strategy. In the foothills, and to an extent on the coast, the objective meets a mixed set of aspirations among landowners. There are those who have sought and value most highly the distinctive rural and natural character of the area and seek to avoid "metropolitanisation". For others the protection objective directly confronts aspirations for subdivision and more intensive development of their land.

### **The Proposed Draft Waitakere Ranges National Heritage Area Bill**

A23-A40

A draft Bill was presented at the Council's February 2005 meeting and a copy is attached at pages A23 to A40. Tangata Whenua, Watercare Services Limited, Government departments and parliamentary officials have been consulted in its drafting.

At that meeting Council resolved that additional drafting and consultation should occur on the Bill. Council officers are in further discussions with iwi, Government agencies and landowners. These discussions are resulting in substantive changes to the preamble and body of the Bill.

Below is a brief outline of the draft Bill as presented at the Council's February 2005 meeting. The Bill establishes the Waitakere Ranges National Heritage Area within which the legislation will operate. It identifies the "heritage features" of the area, and high level objectives for the management of the area, and for the protection of the features listed. These objectives and the purpose of the Heritage Area are implemented by specific provisions that work through existing mechanisms in the Resource Management Act 1991, the Local Government Act 1974 and 2002, other legislation and through voluntary agreements. The following paragraphs explain some specific issues:

#### Explanatory Note:

The Bill is prefaced by a policy statement and explanatory note that sets out the Councils' policy intent, and how that has been achieved. The note also explains the regulatory impact of the Bill, and why this legislative option was chosen. The note is to inform Parliament and the public about the Bill, but does not form part of the Bill, and is not attached when the Bill becomes an Act.

#### Clause 1: Title

The proposed title "Waitakere City Council and Auckland Regional Council [*and Rodney District Council*] (Waitakere Ranges National Heritage Area) Act 2005" follows the convention for naming local bills, but is yet to be agreed to by the Clerk of the House. It is unusual for a local bill to be promoted by three authorities, but that may be acceptable in this case because of the integrity and coherence of the "local area" affected. Rodney District is yet to decide if any part of that district is to be included, and whether or not it would wish to be a promoting Council.

#### Defining the "Heritage Area"

The Bill has to be accompanied by a certified plan of the boundary of the area. A plan has been prepared which defines the previously approved boundary, following property boundaries. The plan will need to be modified to incorporate any changes agreed to by the Councils, including properties in Rodney District should the District Council so decide. In the future, substantive additions to the area can be made after consultation, through an Order in Council process, but properties can be removed only through Act of Parliament.

### The "Purpose", Values and Objectives of the Area

New provisions have been incorporated that explain the purpose of the "Area". These are to be read with the following 2 clauses which define the heritage features of the Area, and objectives for management. Together these three clauses work iteratively to guide decision-makers about what it is that is to be protected from urban processes such as subdivision. The "heritage features" include a comprehensive list of valued attributes that has been drawn from previous work, and informed by public submissions and comments.

### The Relationship with the Resource Management Act 1991

The key vehicle for implementation is the Resource Management Act and District and Regional Resource Management Act documents. Every endeavour has been made to align the Bill with the Resource Management Act regime, so as not to have the effect of amending that statute. The intention is to inform the Resource Management Act and its processes, not to amend them. However by aligning the objectives of the Bill with the matters in Part 2 of the Resource Management Act, they are given higher standing than policies in a national policy statement, and in particular become matters that the consideration of applications under section 104 of the Resource Management Act are 'subject to', rather than merely required to 'have regard to'. This elevation overcomes the comparatively ineffective formulation in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park Act 2000.

### Local Management Plans

Provisions have been incorporated that allow the inclusion in the District Plan of what might be seen as long term 'vision statements' for individual localities, so that the distinctive local identity outcomes for places like Piha or Opanuku can be enunciated and used as a guide to appropriate development and assist in avoiding inappropriate development.

### Rates

The Bill seeks to integrate many statutory processes in the Area, including the consideration of the effect of rating systems on the achievement of the purposes of the Bill.

### The Waitakere Regional Park

The Park is the essential means of protecting and managing the area, constituting more than 17200 ha of land. Since the 2002 repeal of parkland provisions in the Local Government Act 1974, and the repeal of the Auckland Centennial Memorial Park Act there has been some uncertainty about the statutory arrangements for the Park. The draft Bill does not affect the ownership or inalienability of the Park. At the request of the ARC Regional Parks officials, it establishes a high level management purpose for the park, and the implementation of the purpose through a management plan process.

### Watercare Services Ltd, and the Water Supply System

Watercare operate the essential water supply infrastructure in the Ranges under provisions in the Local Government Act 1974, the Auckland Metropolitan Drainage Act and a lease with the Auckland Regional Council. They also control and manage many of the aquatic ecosystems in the area. In consultation with Watercare Services Ltd, provisions have been incorporated that align the two purposes, so as to not undermine the operability of the supply system.

### Recognition of Tangata Whenua Relationship with Specific Places

The Heritage Area covers the core district (rohe) of Te Kawerau a Maki. Other Iwi also have ancestral associations with the Area. Te Kawerau a Maki sought the inclusion of the deed of recognition provisions that are in the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park Act. They provide a simple mechanism for acknowledging historical and ancestral relationships of tangata whenua who are now essentially landless in the Area. They do not relate to private land. They can be entered into by the Crown or a local authority in relation to land they own.

### The Current Status of the Bill

The draft Bill will require some additional work to incorporate any policy changes agreed to by Councils, or to clarify and make more effective the present drafting. In particular Parliamentary Counsel will audit the draft for consistency with conventions relating to local bills, and examine the way in which it interacts with other legislation.

### **RESOURCES**

The Waitakere Ranges and Foothills Protection Project is seen as a key priority in the current financial year and has had a budget of \$110,000 allocated to the project in the 2004/2005 financial year. Policy, Project Management, Communications and support staff are allocated to work on the project. Auckland Regional Council, Rodney District Council and Department of Conservation staff support has been received.

In addition, Auckland Regional Council has shared costs for printing and distributing consultation and communications material and legal fees.

### **CONCLUSION**

Waitakere City Council has resolved to undertake further consultation and drafting for the Waitakere Ranges National Heritage Area Bill. Officers are in further discussions with iwi, Government Agencies and landowners which are resulting in substantive changes to the preamble and body of the Bill.

Waitakere City Council and Rodney District Council will consider at their May 2005 meetings whether to adopt the Bill and progress it to Parliament. Auckland Regional Council has already resolved this in their February meeting.

### **RECOMMENDATIONS**

1. That the Waitakere Ranges and Foothills Protection Project report be received.
2. That the Massey Community Board provide comment to the 24 May 2005 meeting of Council regarding the Waitakere Ranges and Foothills Protection Project.

Report prepared by: Kim Morresey, Partnerships and Advocacy Leader, Environment.



## 10 **REGENTS PARK PLACE - NEW CENTRE LINE**

### **PURPOSE OF THE REPORT**

The purpose of this report is to seek the Massey Community Board's approval for a new centre line in Regents Park Place, North Massey.

### **BACKGROUND**

A resident of Regents Park Place has requested that Council install a centre line in Regents Park Place to help remind drivers to stay on the left hand side of the road when travelling along this road.

### **STRATEGIC CONTEXT**

The Waitakere City Council's 'Integrated Transport and Communication' platform provides the strategic context for this report. The vision is for public transport and communications systems that provide fast, effective services, and for city travel facilitated by integrated, environmentally responsible, and innovative design, with a focus on meeting the essential needs of all, for access, communication, and safety.

Centre lines can be applied to calm traffic on roads by restricting vehicles to the left hand side of the road.

### **ISSUES**

Regents Park Road is a narrow cul de sac providing access to approximately 40 residential properties off Rush Creek Drive in North Massey. The carriageway of this road is around 5.4 metres wide and slopes up moderately from the intersection with Rush Creek Drive to the south end of the road. There are two 45 degree bends in the road which limit forward sight distances for drivers.

The resident who requested a centre line in this road has observed vehicles travelling in both directions along the centre of the road at high speed. Given the limited forward sight distances in Regents Park Road it is desirable for drivers to keep to the left side of the road so as to avoid potential conflict with oncoming traffic. Narrowing the lane widths in this location may also have a traffic calming effect resulting in reduced vehicle speeds.

*A41* The location and extent of the proposed centre line are indicated on the plan attached at page A41.

### **RESOURCES**

The proposed new centre line marking can be implemented under the Annual Plan 2004/2005 road maintenance budgets.

### **CONCLUSION**

The proposed new centre line in Regents Park Road is desirable for improved road user safety.

## **RECOMMENDATIONS**

1. That the Regents Park Road - New Centre Line report be received.
2. That in relation to **REGENTS PARK ROAD, MASSEY**:
  - (a) That, in accordance with the powers conferred by virtue of the Local Government Act 1974, the Land Transport Act, the Transport Act 1962 and the Waitakere City Council Bylaw No.7, 1991 - Traffic, the following controls be now resolved to be specified and imposed, namely,
    - (i) in **REGENTS PARK ROAD** a centre line be put in place along the entirety of the road.
3. That the appropriate signage and/or road markings, in accordance with the provisions of Land Transport Rule: Traffic Control Devices 2004 - Rule 54002 be hereby approved to be put in place to properly establish, delineate and record the said centre line.

Report prepared by: Paul Schischka, Transport Engineer.



## **11 SWANSON ROAD AND ARMADA DRIVE - BUS STOPS**

### **PURPOSE OF THE REPORT**

The purpose of this report is to provide the Massey Community Board with information regarding the provision of bus stops and shelters in Armada Drive, and Swanson Road in the vicinity of Glenarden Road, Ranui.

### **BACKGROUND**

During the Public Forum portion of the Massey Community Board held 1 December 2004 the Board resolved the following:

*“That the Chief Executive be requested to bring back a report to the Board on the lack of bus stops and bus shelters in Armada Drive, and Swanson Road in the vicinity of Glen Arden Road, Ranui and if it is likely that they will be installed in the near future.”*

2204/2004

### **STRATEGIC CONTEXT**

The Waitakere City Council's 'Integrated Transport and Communication' platform provides the strategic context for this report. The vision is for public transport and communications systems that provide fast, effective services, and for city travel facilitated by integrated, environmentally responsible, and innovative design, with a focus on meeting the essential needs of all, for access, communication, and safety.

The provision of bus shelters encourages the use of public transport by providing protection from the elements for bus patrons while they await the arrival of their bus.

## ISSUES

There are currently two existing bus stops in the vicinity of Glen Arden Way, Ranui. One is outside Coroglen Reserve, Swanson Road near the intersection with Glen Arden Road, the other is in Armada Drive between Swanson Road and Kilmarnock Avenue.

At the time this report was prepared construction of a new bus shelter in Swanson Road at the existing bus stop outside Coroglen Reserve close to the intersection with Glen Arden Road was underway and is expected to be completed by the end of April 2005. This is one of the five new bus shelters planned to be constructed in the city in the 2004/2005 financial year.

The location of all new bus shelters for the 2004/2005 financial year have already been finalised, however the provision of a new bus shelter at the existing bus stop in Armada Drive between Swanson Road and Kilmarnock Avenue will be given high priority when determining the locations for new bus shelters in the 2005/2006 financial year. Council is required under the Local Government Act to consult adjacent property owners and occupiers before making a final decision on the installation of new bus shelters and until this process has occurred a definite statement on the provision of a shelter in this location cannot be made. The missing bus stop sign in this location has been reinstated by Council's road sign maintenance contractor.

A42

The location of these two bus stops is marked on the aerial photograph at page A42.

## RESOURCES

This report is for information purposes only, no additional resources are required.

## CONCLUSION

Construction of a new glass and steel bus shelter is planned to be completed before the end of April 2005 in Swanson Road at the existing bus stop outside Coroglen Reserve close to the intersection with Glen Arden Road.

A new bus shelter at the existing bus stop in Armada Drive between Swanson Road and Kilmarnock Avenue will be built in 2005/2006, subject to Council's final approval of funding provided for in the draft Annual Plan 2005/2006.

## RECOMMENDATION

That the Swanson Road and Armada Drive - Bus Stops report be received.

Report prepared by: Paul Schischka, Transport Engineer.



12 **COMMUNITY INTERACTION PLAN FOR THE LONG TERM COUNCIL COMMUNITY PLAN 2006/2016**

**PURPOSE OF THE REPORT**

The purpose of this report is to provide to the Massey Board the Community Interaction Plan which supports the development of the 2006/2016 Long Term Council Community Plan through engagement with stakeholders and communities and to also ask the Board to propose a date for consultation for the Massey Ward.

**BACKGROUND**

During the draft Annual Plan deliberations in February 2005 the Long Term Council Community Plan and Annual Plan Special Committee was provided with an overview of the project plan for the development of the Long Term Council Community Plan 2006/2016. That report set out the parameters, principles and community interaction process for the 2006 Long Term Council Community Plan as well as work done to date, legislative requirements, roles of partners in the process, the project timeline and a proposed name - City Plan, for the 2006 Long Term Council Community Plan.

The Community Interaction Plan was adopted by the Long Term Council Community Plan and Annual Plan Special Committee at its meeting held 19 April 2006.

**STRATEGIC CONTEXT**

Feedback from the Community interaction will be used to develop community outcomes. These community outcomes will provide opportunities for discussion with communities, taking into account the requirements of the Local Government Act 2002. These requirements include:

- The social, economic, environmental and cultural wellbeing of people and communities; and
- The need to maintain and enhance the quality of the environment; and
- The reasonably foreseeable needs of future generations.

The interaction with the community will also provide the way forward in prioritising identified outcomes and activities within these wellbeing areas. Levels of service expectations also need to be consulted on; and then finally, consultation on the draft Long Term Council Community Plan.

The prioritised community outcomes provide the Council with community views on where the City should be focusing its attention and resources. These views are considered by Council during the decision making process as well as in the preparation of a Long Term Council Community Plan. The Long Term Council Community Plan is a response to the needs expressed in the community outcomes. Feedback on levels of Council service also have a direct bearing on the Activity Plans under preparation. These Activity Plans provide the foundation for the Long Term Council Community Plan. In order to achieve robust, representative feedback, particular attention has been paid to developing a Community Interaction Plan that targets particular groups within the community, as well as ensuring that Maori are involved.

**ISSUES**

**Consultation**

A43-A50

The attached Community Interaction Plan at pages A43 to A50 covers consultation requirements for the Long Term Council Community Plan in order to develop Council's response to community outcomes and levels of service. Detailed planning of individual actions has not been included, but is in progress. The community consultation will not start with a blank slate; rather it will be based upon the community outcomes identified as part of the 2003 Long Term Council Community Plan and other feedback received to date as part of other consultation processes.

The Community Interaction Plan must enable the Council to deliver a Long Term Council Community Plan that:

1. Identifies a set of community outcomes – these may be similar to the 2003 community outcomes, or they may be modified.
2. Prioritises the community outcomes.
3. Identifies a set of indicators to monitor and report on the progress of the community outcomes.
4. Identifies levels of service around key service areas.

The Local Government Act 2002 requires the Council to undertake a special consultative procedure in order to adopt a Long Term Council Community Plan. The new Plan should specify any significant changes to current levels of service, transfer of ownership or control of strategic assets, construction, replacement or abandonment of any strategic assets, or any decision that affects the capacity of the Council, or the cost of any activity identified in the Plan.

The Council must make a draft of the Plan publicly available and it must contain a report from the Council's auditor on compliance with the disclosure requirements of the Local Government Act 2002, the quality of information provided, assumptions, forecast information and performance measurement.

### **Overview of the Community Interaction Plan**

The following sections have been taken from the attached Community Interaction Plan.

#### **Aim:**

To undertake a Community Interaction Plan that engages communities of Waitakere to participate in developing prioritised community outcomes for the City, a way of measuring progress towards these outcomes, and an indication of what level of service communities are satisfied with for the Council's services.

#### **Strategy:**

##### Phase One - Development of 2006 Community Outcomes and Indicators

Analysis of preliminary data (2003 community outcomes and other feedback), workshops and meetings, mailouts, advertising, media relations and stakeholder meetings.

##### Phase Two - Refinement and Prioritisation of 2006 Community Outcomes and Indicators

Community and Stakeholder Panel, Drop-Ins/Road show, flyer in rates notice, survey, and Council's *Waitakere City News* newsletter centre spread, workshops and meetings.

##### Phase Three - Levels of Service Consultation and Document Production

Workshops, Council's *Waitakere City News* newsletter centre spread, event, media relations, draft document.

##### Phase Four - Consultation of Draft Long Term Council Community Plan and Final Document Production

Mailout, flyer in rates notice, media relations, advertising, submissions process, final document.

A full break-down of these phases is set out in the attached Community Interaction Plan.

### **Tools and Media:**

- Workshops;
- Meetings;
- Drop-Ins/Road Show;
- Community and Stakeholder Panel;
- Event;
- Mailouts;
- Flyer;
- Print advertising;
- Survey;
- Local media: The Aucklander and the Western Leader;
- Council's website and the project website;
- Council's newsletter - Waitakere City News;
- Circulation of draft Long Term Council Community Plan and submissions process;

### **RESOURCES**

Staff resources are currently being aligned to this part of the Long Term Council Community Plan project. Community Board Members are encouraged to participate in the May workshops and any other areas of the consultation as far as is practicable.

### **CONCLUSION**

The Community Interaction Plan that accompanies this report will enable the Council to meet its obligations under the Local Government Act 2002 in order to identify community outcomes. It provides for a participative consultation process that seeks to involve a wide variety of Waitakere residents and ratepayers.

The feedback generated by this consultation process will enable the development of prioritised community outcomes, a means for measuring progress on the achievement of those outcomes, and a feel for what levels of service the community is prepared to fund. This feedback provides information to assist the Council in its decision making, and in its preparation of a Long Term Council Community Plan that responds to the needs of the City as expressed through the community's community outcomes.

### **RECOMMENDATIONS**

1. That the Community Interaction Plan for the Long Term Council Community Plan 2006/2016 report be received.
2. That the Massey Community Board propose a date for consultation in their Ward to determine their involvement for the consultation process for the development of the Long Term Council Community Plan 2006/2016.

Report prepared by: Annette Smithard, Strategic Plan Manager.



**13 BOARD MEMBERS' REPORTS**

Provision has been made on this agenda for Board Members should they so wish to submit a report on their activities during the month in regard to matters within the scope and delegations of the Board. However, to comply with the provisions of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987, no decision may be made on matters raised in Board Members' reports.

**MASSEY COMMUNITY BOARD APPOINTMENTS**

<b>OUTSIDE ORGANISATIONS</b>	<b>APPOINTMENT</b>
Auckland Region and Far North Community Board Association Executive Committee	Andrew Good
Keep Waitakere Beautiful Committee	Cr Peter Chan
Massey Citizens Advice Bureau	Cr Linda Cooper
Massey Leisure Centre Community Liaison Group	John Riddell
Ranui Community Centre Committee	Cr Linda Cooper
Westpark Marina Working Group	
Council/Police Liaison Group	Cr Peter Chan Gayleen Maurice Bob Jessopp
<b>COUNCIL COMMITTEES</b>	
Hearings Committee	Graeme Barnard Allen Davies
Community Sports Fund Allocation Subcommittee	John Riddell Gayleen Maurice
Street Events Subcommittee - Massey Ward	Bob Jessopp
Long Term Council Community Plan and Annual Plan Special Committee	Graeme Barnard Andrew Good
Massey Ward Local Reserves Management Plan	Graeme Barnard Bob Jessopp Gayleen Maurice



