



**AGENDA FOR A MEETING OF THE MASSEY COMMUNITY BOARD TO BE HELD IN  
THE CIVIC CENTRE, 6 WAIPAREIRA AVENUE, LINCOLN, WAITAKERE CITY,  
ON WEDNESDAY, 2 FEBRUARY 2005, COMMENCING AT 7.30 PM.**

---

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<b><u>ITEM</u></b>		<b><u>PAGE NO.</u></b>
1	APOLOGIES	1
2	CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES	1
3	URGENT BUSINESS	1
4	PRESENTATION - CIVIL DEFENCE EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT UPDATE	1
5	PUBLIC FORUM	2
6	CHAIRPERSON'S REPORT	2
7	COMMITTEE SECRETARY'S REPORT	3
8	CODE OF CONDUCT FOR COMMUNITY BOARD MEMBERS	8
9	PARKING PLACE - TRUSTS STADIUM CENTRAL PARK DRIVE, HENDERSON	11
10	KEMP ROAD - NEW GIVE WAY CONTROL	12
11	CENTRAL PARK DRIVE - NEW PARKING CONTROL	14
12	NOTICES OF MOTION	16
13	BOARD MEMBERS' REPORTS	16

**AGENDA FOR A MEETING OF THE MASSEY COMMUNITY BOARD TO BE HELD IN  
THE CIVIC CENTRE, 6 WAIPAREIRA AVENUE, LINCOLN, WAITAKERE CITY,  
ON WEDNESDAY, 2 FEBRUARY 2005, COMMENCING AT 7.30 PM.**

---

**1 APOLOGIES**



**2 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES**

Meeting Minutes - Wednesday, 1 December 2004

**RECOMMENDATION**

That the minutes of the Meeting of the Massey Community Board held on Wednesday, 1 December 2004, as circulated, be taken as read and now be confirmed.



**3 URGENT BUSINESS**

Section 46A(7) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 provides that where an item of business is not on the agenda, it may only be dealt with at the meeting if:

- (i) the Board by resolution so decides; and
- (ii) the Chairperson has explained at the beginning of the meeting (when open to the public) that the item will be raised for discussion and decision, why the item is not on the agenda, and why it cannot be delayed until a subsequent meeting.

The Board may make a decision on a matter determined to be urgent.

**NOTE:** Urgent business need not be dealt with now and may be delayed until later in the meeting.



**4 PRESENTATION - CIVIL DEFENCE EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT UPDATE**

Bill Morley and Peter Barber will be present at the meeting to give an update to the Board on Civil Defence Emergency Management and Rural Fire matters.



## 5 PUBLIC FORUM

For guidance of Community Board Members, the Council's Standing Orders have the following provisions in regard to Public Forum.

- (i) members of the public wishing to address the Board in Public Forum shall furnish their names to the Chairperson at the beginning of the meeting; and
- (ii) the Chairperson shall determine the order of speakers, and allow five minutes for speaking time;
- (iii) questions by members are to be confined to obtaining information or clarification on matters raised by the speaker.

Section 46A(7A) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 provides that no resolution, decision, or recommendation may be made in respect of any specific item of business not on the agenda except to refer the items to a subsequent meeting for further discussion. Therefore, no decision may be made on matters raised in Public Forum. However, written reports on matters raised may be requested from the Chief Executive.



## 6 CHAIRPERSON'S REPORT

I hope everyone had a good Christmas and New Year which as we all know was somewhat muted by the tragic events that unfolded in Asia with the Earthquake and Tsunami. It has touched all of us in some form or another and it is great to see how the public of New Zealand have responded in their generosity.

Last year ended with several functions and the meeting of the Auckland and Far North Community Boards Association which I attended along with other chairs from our City. I also received a complaint about the lack of bus shelters in West Harbour/Hobsonville area and subsequent investigation highlighted that the Council has a budget for only five bus shelters a year which seems very inadequate. This may be an area for us to look at for the upcoming annual plan as many areas of the Massey ward require bus shelters and this is particularly relevant if we are trying to encourage people onto public transport. The good news for West Harbour is that a bus shelter is to be erected this financial year on Luckens Road opposite Marina View Primary School Hall. This is a start which we will no doubt need to keep on the agenda this year.

I am pleased to advise that Gayleen Maurice will be the Massey Community Board representative at the Community Boards Conference in Dunedin this March. Gaylene is going instead of me as I will be overseas at that time. I am sure she will enjoy the experience, networking and the opportunity to learn best practice and see how other Community Boards work. I look forward to her report from the conference.

Lastly I look forward to working with you all this year and know that we have a great team of members. Together we can make real progress for the Massey Ward.

### RECOMMENDATION

That the Chairperson's Report be received.

Andrew Good  
**CHAIRPERSON**



7 **COMMITTEE SECRETARY'S REPORT**




Issue	Comments	Reporting Council Officer
<p>Westpark Marina - Various Issues 3 April 2002 Resolution 660/2002 10 November 2004 Resolution 1964/2004</p>	<p>Westpark Marina changed ownership in October 2003. The new owner is developing a concept plan detailing future marina development.</p> <p>Council is continuing discussions with Westpark Marina Limited to try and secure solutions to the various issues at the Marina including boat trailer parking, car parking and parking restrictions and enforcement.</p> <p>A report on these issues has been prepared for 3 February 2005 meeting of the City Development Committee. Resolutions from this meeting will be brought back to the Massey Board.</p> <p>At the previous meeting it was noted that Council has asked for the report on the appropriateness of parking restrictions to be forwarded to this meeting of the Community Board. Several points are of relevance:</p> <p>The public car parks adjacent to the retail component of the Marina Area, are on land that is privately owned. Therefore Council currently have no control over the restrictions on parking in this area. Further to this, the building permit for this development was issued and completed in August 1989. At this time developers were required to comply with the previous legislation (NZS 4121), where there were no standards for the numbers of disabled car parking spaces associated with a development. At this time developers were simply required to consider general access design. Therefore parking restrictions and the provision of disabled parking spaces are a matter to be considered by Westpark Marina Limited.</p> <p>As indicated above, Council will be involved in discussions with West Park Marina Limited and will raise the various issues in the course of these discussions.</p>	<p>Rochelle Edwards  836 0893</p>
<p>Community Board Appointments 8 November 2004 Resolution 1919/2004</p>	<p><u>Steering Group on Shopping Trolleys in Streams</u></p> <p>The issue of appointments to this Steering Group was referred to the Planning and Regulatory Committee by Council at its meeting held on 24 November 2004.</p>	<p>Tony Miguel  836 8000 Ext 8294</p>



Issue	Comments	Reporting Council Officer
	<p>Members are thus requested to appoint a representative to this Youth Advocacy and Advisory Group.</p> <p>A copy of the report will be circulated to Members separately with this Agenda.</p>	
<p>New Zealand Community Boards Conference: Dunedin, 3 - 6 March 2005</p>	<p>Board Members would have all received the brochure and registration form for the Local Government New Zealand Community Board Conference which is to be held in Dunedin on 3 - 6 March 2005. Council will fund the attendance of one representative from each Community Board. The normal practice is that this representative is the Board's representative on the Auckland Regional and Far North Community Board Association. The Community Board concerned will be required to ratify the nomination of the attendee at its meeting. However, as these meetings are only scheduled for late January or early February 2005, Council wishes to arrange registration earlier than that. Registrations close on Friday, 4 February 2005 but in any event Council would like to register participants earlier due to the necessity of booking airfares and accommodation well in advance. The indications given by the Chairpersons to date are that the following will be attending the conference.</p> <p><b>New Lynn Community Board:</b> Gayle Marshall;</p> <p><b>Waitakere Community Board:</b> Christine Shepherd;</p> <p><b>Massey Community Board:</b> Gayleen Maurice;</p> <p><b>Henderson Community Board:</b> Wayne Bainbridge.</p> <p>Other Community Board Members are welcome to attend at their own expense as has been the practice in respect of previous conferences. If you wish to attend at your own expense please advise the Members Liaison Officer in good time for her to make the necessary arrangements for your attendance. The Board is asked to confirm the name of its nominated attendee.</p>	<p>Charlie Inggs ☎ 836 8000 Ext 8854</p>

A1

Issue	Comments	Reporting Council Officer
<p>Zone 1 Appointment to New Zealand Community Boards' Executive Committee: Revised Announcement</p>	<p>The Chief Executive Of Local Government New Zealand has advised that due to an administrative error the original announcement was incorrect and elections will in fact be held for both a Zone 1 Representative and a Deputy representative. A copy of the Chief Executives' letter is attached at page A1.</p> <p>Voting papers will be available at the Auckland Region and Far North Community Boards' Association meeting on 19 February 2005. Postal votes will also be accepted up to 5pm on Wednesday 23 February 2005.</p> <p>The Massey Community Board is requested to authorise its representative to cast the necessary vote on both issues at the Auckland Region and Far North Community Boards Association meeting on 19 February 2005, and if not attending to cast the necessary postal vote.</p>	<p>Charlie Inggs ☎ 836 8000 Ext 8854</p>
<p>Rush Creek, Westgate 2205/2004</p>	<p>On-going issues surrounding pedestrian access between Massey East and Westgate have been brought to a head through the recent tragedy on the motorway, and persistent residual crime problems in the Rush Creek Reserve and surrounding residential areas. The Council's Road Safety Coordinator supported by Cr Gilmour, and the Crime Prevention Projects Leader, have undertaken community education and neighbourhood support activities to address these issues, however in the overall scheme of things, it is critical that Transit NZ bring forward plans to install a pedestrian over bridge, grill the drain that is used as a pedestrian 'tunnel', and adequately fence the specific sections of the motorway at the earliest opportunity.</p>	<p>Mike Mills ☎ 836 8000 Ext 8421</p>

<b>REPORTS PENDING</b>			
<b>Subject</b>	<b>Date Requested</b>	<b>Report Due</b>	<b>Reporting Officer</b>
Luckens Reserve Various Issues 1965/2004	10 November 2004	2 March 2005	Grant Jennings  836 8000 Ext 8537
Rush Creek, Westgate 2205/2004	1 December 2004	2 March 2005	Mike Mills  836 8000 Ext 8421
Bus Shelters Amarda Drive, Swanson Road and Glen Arden Road, Ranui	1 December 2004	2 March 2005	Vinh Bui  836 8000 Ext 8793

### **RECOMMENDATIONS**

1. That the Committee Secretary's Report be received.
2. That the Massey Community Board appoint a representative to the Waitakere Road Safety Steering Group.
3. That the Massey Community Board appoint a representative to the Youth and Advocacy Advisory Group, as requested by the Projects Special Committee.
4. That the Massey Community Board confirm that Gayleen Maurice attend the New Zealand Community Boards Conference in Dunedin from 3 to 6 March 2005, on behalf of the Massey Community Board.
5. That the Massey Community Board authorises its representative to The Auckland Region and Far North Community Boards Association to exercise the votes of the Massey Community Board in respect of the election Of a Zone 1 representative and Deputy Representative to the New Zealand Community Boards' Executive Committee, at the election to be held at the Auckland Region and Far North Community Boards Association meeting on 19 February 2005, and if not attending to cast the necessary postal vote.

Report prepared by: Sharon Simiona, Democracy Support and Sister City Officer.



## 8 CODE OF CONDUCT FOR COMMUNITY BOARD MEMBERS

### PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

The purpose of this report is to update the Massey Community Board regarding the adoption of a Code of Conduct (the Code) following the triennial election held on 9 October 2004.

### BACKGROUND

Schedule 7 Clause 15 of the Local Government Act 2002 requires Local Authorities to adopt a Code of Conduct for Members of the Local Authority as soon as practicable after the date of commencement of the Act on 1 July 2003.

A draft Code of Conduct was circulated to Councillors and a workshop for Councillors to discuss the Code was held on 16 April 2003.

Council's Legal Services Manager subsequently re-drafted the Code in order to ensure full compliance with the Local Government Act 2002, while capturing all of the sentiments expressed at the Councillors workshop. This Code was then adopted with further amendments, by Council on 14 July 2003 (1471/2003), with the further recommendation that Henderson, Massey, New Lynn and Waitakere Community Boards adopt similar codes as soon as practicable.

Section 54 of the Local Government Act 2002 specifically excludes Community Boards from the provisions of Schedule 7 Clause 15. Furthermore, the definition of Members of a Council, provided in Section 41 of the Local Government Act 2002, does not include Community Board Members. These exclusions mean that the Code is not automatically applicable to Community Boards. However, Community Boards may adopt a Code of Conduct on a voluntary basis and may use the Council's Code as a basis for their own.

The Council Code of Conduct was then tabled before the Massey Community Board at its meeting on 5 August 2003. The Board resolved that further work on the Code was required to fit it to the purposes of the Board:

- “2. *That the Council Code of Conduct be amended to suit the requirements of the Massey Community Board and an appropriate draft be tabled for formal adoption at the Board's next meeting.*
3. *That the resolution group comprise of three Community Board Members and one member of the community who has mediation skills.”*

1592/2003

Subsequently a workshop for the Community Board members on the Code of Conduct was convened on Thursday, 28 August 2003. Eleven of the twenty-two Community Board Members were in attendance. A draft Code incorporating a number of amendments recommended at the workshop was presented to the Massey Community Board for adoption on 1 October 2003. At this meeting the proposal that the Massey Community Board adopt the code was lost. Subsequently a procedural motion was carried that the original motion should lie on the table until the December meeting of The Massey Community Board. On 3 December 2003 the motion to adopt the Code was put again and lost on a division.

The draft Code was also presented, for adoption, to the other three Community Boards in Waitakere City. They took the following actions:

- New Lynn Community Board deferred the matter from the meeting of 29 September 2003 to 2 February 2004 and then resolved not to adopt the Code;
- Waitakere Community Board adopted the draft Code without amendment on 30 September 2003;
- Henderson Community Board resolved not to adopt the Code of Conduct at its meeting of 2 October 2004.

A2-A25

The Code concerned, as adopted by Waitakere Community Board, as attached at pages A2 to A25.

At the induction workshop for Community Board Members on 3 November 2004, and at the first Meeting of each Board for the 2004-2007 triennium an undertaking was made by staff members to re-present the Code to the February 2005 meetings of the four Community boards for review and possible adoption by those Boards who had not done so.

For the guidance of Members the following information regarding the adoption of Codes of Conduct by Community Boards in other Council areas has been obtained:

- Councils with Community Boards which have adopted a Code of Conduct (number of Community Boards concerned) -

Central Otago District (five);

Dunedin City (one);

Queenstown Lakes District (one), (the Queenstown Lakes District Council has taken the issue a step further by adopting a Governance agreement between Council and the Community Board);

South Wairarapa District (three);

Tasman District (two);

Waikato District (five);

Wellington City (one);

Western Bay of Plenty District (five).

- Councils with Community Boards which have declined to adopt a Code (number of Community Boards concerned) -

Dunedin City (five);

Rangitikei District (two);

Ruapehu District (three);

Wellington City (one).

- Councils which have adopted a Code on behalf of their Community Boards (number of Community Boards concerned) -

Banks Peninsula District Council (two);

Buller District Council (one);

Taupo District Council (one);

Thames Coromandel District Council (five): (this Council intends to take this a step further by linking the applicability of the Code to the Community Boards in revised delegations to Community Boards)

## STRATEGIC CONTEXT

Active Democracy is one of the nine strategic platforms adopted by Council in the Long Term Council Community Plan. As originally articulated in the 1994 Greenprint, one of the principles of active Democracy is Accountability. By adopting a voluntary Code of Conduct for their Members, Community Boards will demonstrate their commitment to this principle.

## ISSUES

As stated, there is absolutely no compulsion at present, for any Community Board to adopt a Code of Conduct. However, the Code as adopted by the Waitakere Community Board provides that any allegations of the breach of the code be heard by a Conduct Review Board comprising of representatives of each of the four Community boards in Waitakere City. This has led to the current anomaly where the conduct of Members whose Board adopted the Code is subject to review by Members whose Board did not. Accordingly the three Community Boards who did not adopt the Code are being asked to reconsider their position. If all or any of these Boards decide to maintain the status quo the Waitakere Community Board will be given the opportunity to revise the review provisions of their current code.

## RESOURCES

No additional resources will be required.

## CONCLUSION

The Massey Community Board is being requested to adopt one of the following alternative courses of action:

- A2-A25
- a) Review the Code adopted by Waitakere Community Board with a view to amending it to suit the requirements of Massey Community Board;
  - b) Adopt the Code of Conduct as attached at pages A2 to A25;
  - c) Resolve to take no further action, i.e., resolve not to adopt any code of Conduct.

## RECOMMENDATIONS

- A2-A25
1. That the Code of Conduct for Community Board Members report be received.
  2. That Massey Community Board adopt one of the following alternative courses of action:
    - a) Review the Code adopted by Waitakere Community Board with a view to amending it to suit the requirements of Massey Community Board;
    - b) Adopt the Code of Conduct as attached at pages A2 to A25;
    - c) Resolve to take no further action, ie. resolve not to adopt any code of Conduct.

Report prepared by: Charlie Inggs, Democracy and Governance Team Manager.



## 9 **PARKING PLACE - TRUSTS STADIUM CENTRAL PARK DRIVE, HENDERSON**

### **PURPOSE OF THE REPORT**

A26 The purpose of the report is to establish mobility parking restrictions at The Trusts Stadium, 71-77 Central Park Drive Henderson, as attached at page A26.

### **BACKGROUND**

A request has been received to legally put in place by way of Council resolution, the mobility parking spaces situated at The Trusts Stadium. At present there are no legal controls that can be used to stop non disabled, non entitled members of the public using the spaces provided for the mobility impaired.

### **STRATEGIC CONTEXT**

The Waitakere City Council's "Integrated Transport and Communication" platform provides the strategic context for this report. The vision is for transport systems that are focussed on meeting the essential needs of all, for access, communication and safety.

### **ISSUES**

The basis of the report as follows:

1. The formal declaration of 'parking places' in accordance with Section 591 of the Local Government Act 1974
2. The formal imposition of new restrictions applicable from the date of such resolution.

In each case the relevant signage and/or markings are also required to be in place pursuant to the Traffic Regulations 1976

### **RESOURCES**

The necessary traffic signs and markings can be implemented from the existing Traffic Signs Maintenance and Road Marking Maintenance budgets.

### **CONCLUSION**

That the Waitakere City Council put in place those parking restrictions as requested at The Trusts Stadium, Central Park Drive Henderson.

### **RECOMMENDATIONS**

1. That the Parking Place - The Trusts Stadium Central Park Drive, Henderson report be received.
2. That in relation to **THE TRUSTS STADIUM CAR PARK, CENTRAL PARK DRIVE HENDERSON (Lot 2, DP 119782)**:
  - That pursuant to section 591 of the Local Government Act 1974 and the Waitakere City Council Bylaw No 7, 1991 - Traffic, the following places be authorised, appointed and declared to be used as a parking place as defined by subsection (6) of the Act namely:
  - Lot 2, DP 119782 and known as The Trust Stadium (Henderson) car park.

3. That, in accordance with the powers conferred by virtue of the Local Government Act 1974, the Transport Act 1962 and the Waitakere City Council Bylaw No 7, 1991-Traffic, the following parking limitations and restrictions be now resolved to be specified and imposed namely:
  - In The Trusts Stadium car park, from the north eastern corner of the Stadium Building for a distance of 15 metres in a south westerly direction a **MOBILITY PARKING, P180, AT ALL TIMES** disabled parking restriction.
  - In The Trusts Stadium car park, on the south western side of the Stadium Building 34.5 metres from the kerb side of Central Park Drive, in a north easterly direction for 27 metres, a **MOBILITY PARKING, P180, AT ALL TIMES** disabled parking restriction.
4. That the appropriate signage and/or road markings, in accordance with the provisions of the Traffic Regulations 1976 be hereby approved to be put in place to properly establish, delineate and record the said parking limitations and restrictions.

Report prepared by: Colin Waite, Manager: Parking Services.



## 10 KEMP ROAD - NEW GIVE WAY CONTROL

### PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

This report seeks the Massey Community Board's approval for a new 'Give Way' control in Kemp Road, Massey at its intersection with Helleur Road.

### BACKGROUND

A local resident has contacted Council concerned about the number of near miss accidents that they have observed at the intersection of Kemp Road and Helleur Road. The resident has requested that Council install an intersection priority control at this location.

### STRATEGIC CONTEXT

The Waitakere City Council's 'Integrated Transport and Communication' platform provides the strategic context for this report. The vision is for public transport and communications systems that provide fast, effective services, and for city travel facilitated by integrated, environmentally responsible, and innovative design, with a focus on meeting the essential needs of all, for access, communication, and safety.

'Give Way' controls can improve road user safety at intersections by better defining turning priorities and reminding drivers of the need to give way when they do not have priority.

## ISSUES

Kemp Road and Helleur Road intersect at an uncontrolled 'T' junction. Both roads are classified as local roads and there are approximately 700 vehicle movements per day at the intersection.

At present there is very little development on Helleur Road to the west of the intersection and most traffic passing through the intersection will be turning from Helleur Road to Kemp Road or vice versa. There is a small subdivision under construction to the west of the intersection in Helleur Road and when completed this will increase the volume of through traffic on Helleur Road, and the potential for accidents at the intersection considerably.

There is no record of any accidents involving failure to give way at this intersection in the Land Transport Safety Authority's accident database, however this database does not contain a complete list of all accidents, especially minor or non-injury accidents and it is possible one or more unreported accidents may have occurred at this intersection. As the cost of implementing a new 'Give Way' control is small in comparison to the potential effects of an accident it is recommended that a new 'Give Way' control be approved at the intersection of Helleur Road and Kemp Road.

The location of the proposed new 'Give Way' control is indicated on the attachment.

## RESOURCES

The proposed new 'Give Way' control can be implemented under the 2004/2005 maintenance budgets.

## CONCLUSION

The proposal to install a new 'Give Way' control in Kemp Road at the intersection with Helleur Road is desirable to improve road user safety.

## RECOMMENDATIONS

1. That the Kemp Road - New Give Way Control report be received.
2. That in relation to **KEMP ROAD / HELLEUR ROAD, MASSEY**:
  - (a) That, in accordance with the powers conferred by virtue of the Local Government Act 1974, The Transport Act 1962 and the Waitakere City Council Bylaw No.7, 1991 - Traffic, the following controls be now resolved to be specified and imposed, namely,
    - (i) that a new **GIVE WAY** control be put in place on **KEMP ROAD** where it intersects with **HELLEUR ROAD**.
3. That the appropriate marking, in accordance with the Traffic Regulations 1976, be hereby approved to be put in place to properly establish, delineate and record the said intersection priority control.

Report prepared by: Paul Schischka, Transport Engineer.



## 11 CENTRAL PARK DRIVE - NEW PARKING CONTROL

### PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

A27 This report seeks the Massey Community Board's approval for a new 'No Stopping between the hours of 7.30 am to 9.30 am and 2.30 pm to 5.30 pm Monday to Friday Only' parking control in Central Park Drive, as attached at page A27.

### BACKGROUND

The operators of Kindercare, located at 105 Central Park Drive have contacted Council requesting that a new parking restriction be installed outside their premises. They are concerned that vehicles parked in this location are creating a safety hazard by blocking the sight lines between drivers of vehicles exiting their southern vehicle crossing and oncoming traffic. They report that many of their customers have expressed concern regarding this issue.

### STRATEGIC CONTEXT

The Waitakere City Council's 'Integrated Transport and Communication' platform provides the strategic context for this report. The vision is for public transport and communications systems that provide fast, effective services, and for city travel facilitated by integrated, environmentally responsible, and innovative design, with a focus on meeting the essential needs of all, for access, communication, and safety.

'No Stopping' controls can be applied to ensure efficient, safe movement on roads by keeping traffic lanes and visibility lines clear.

### ISSUES

There is currently an existing 'No Stopping at All Times' parking control extending from the north edge of Kindercare's 'exit' vehicle crossing to a point a further 19 metres north. This control was established in August 2001 to improve sight lines of drivers exiting Kindercare's property at the request of the Police who were concerned that a number of accidents had occurred in this location.

Central Park Drive is an arterial road in a 50km/h speed zone. For a road of this nature the LTSA guidelines recommend that 90 metres sight distance be provided at vehicle crossing. The existing parking restriction does not provide this length of driver site distance, however there have been no recorded accidents of a 'failure to give way' type in this location since the installation of the existing restriction, and in this regard the restriction appears to have been successful.

Regardless of the lack of recent accidents many drivers do not feel comfortable exiting the Kindercare premises due to the presence of parked vehicles, and it is not certain that accidents will not occur in future. Improved driver sightlines can only add to safety.

The area that would be restricted currently allows parking for up to three vehicles and is often used by attendees at events in the new Trusts Stadium. It is recommended that the proposed parking restriction in this location only apply during the hours that Kindercare's customers are normally exiting their car park so that the parking spaces may be available for stadium visitors at other times. These hours are 7.30 am to 9.30 am and 2.30 pm to 5.30 pm Monday to Friday.

Nearby businesses were consulted by letter regarding the proposed new parking control. No replies were received.

The location of the proposed new parking control is marked on the attached aerial photograph.

There have been concerns raised regarding the large number of small lengths of parking restriction in Central Park Drive and it has been suggested that a consistent plan for parking should be implemented along the entirety of Central Park Drive rather by dealing with localised parking issues as they are brought to Council's attention by customer requests as is done at present.

Some business in Central Park consider adjacent on street parking as a desirable amenity for their staff and customers, while others, like Kindercare, consider parking outside their premises to be undesirable. Any proposal to install the same restriction throughout Central Park Drive would meet with disapproval from at least some of the business in the area and for the time being it may be best to continue to deal with customer requests for localised parking in Central Park Drive as they are received by Council rather than seek to apply an overall solution that would deal with all parking issues in the road at once.

Central Park is a possible location for a flush median and has been identified as been a route on Council's future cycling network. If either a flush median or a cycle lane were implemented in Central Park Drive then it is possible that parking along the entirety of one or both sides would have to be eliminated.

## RESOURCES

The proposed new parking control markings can be implemented under the 2004/2005 maintenance budgets.

## CONCLUSION

The proposed parking restriction is desirable to improve road user safety for vehicles exiting the premises of Kindercare located at 105 Central Park Drive.

## RECOMMENDATIONS

1. That the Central Park Drive - New Parking Control report be received.
2. That in relation to **CENTRAL PARK DRIVE, LINCOLN NORTH:**
  - (a) That, in accordance with the powers conferred by virtue of the Local Government Act 1974, The Transport Act 1962 and the Waitakere City Council Bylaw No.7, 1991 - Traffic, the following controls be now resolved to be specified and imposed, namely,
    - (i) On the east kerb line of **CENTRAL PARK DRIVE** from a start point 60m south from the south kerb line of **TOLICH PLACE** to an end point 20m further south, a new **NO STOPPING, BETWEEN THE HOURS OF 7.30 am to 9.30 pm AND 2.30 pm to 5.30 pm MONDAY TO FRIDAY ONLY** parking control be put in place.
3. That the appropriate marking, in accordance with the Traffic Regulations 1976, be hereby approved to be put in place to properly establish, delineate and record the said parking restrictions.

Report prepared by: Paul Schischka, Transport Engineer.



12 **NOTICES OF MOTION**

Pursuant to Standing Order 28.1 the following Notice of Motion has been received from Community Board Members John Riddell and Gayleen Maurice.

Notice of Motion *Community Board Massey - 1/12/04*

That the Massey Community Board recommends to Council, that Council investigates ways it can encourage people to attend Open Forum and ensure that the experience is a friendly one, through better signage and literature being made available that outlines the procedures, protocols and distinctive roles surrounding Community Boards and Councils.

Moved - John Riddell

Second - Gayleen Maurice *G Maurice*

13 **BOARD MEMBERS' REPORTS**

Provision has been made on this agenda for Board Members should they so wish to submit a report on their activities during the month in regard to matters within the scope and delegations of the Board. However, to comply with the provisions of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987, no decision may be made on matters raised in Board Members' reports.

**MASSEY COMMUNITY BOARD APPOINTMENTS**

<b>OUTSIDE ORGANISATIONS</b>	<b>APPOINTMENT</b>
Auckland Region and Far North Community Board Association Executive Committee	Andrew Good
Keep Waitakere Beautiful Committee	Cr Peter Chan
Massey Citizens Advice Bureau	Cr Linda Cooper
Massey Leisure Centre Community Liaison Group	John Riddell
Ranui Community Centre Committee	Cr Linda Cooper
Westpark Marina Working Group	
Council/Police Liaison Group	Cr Peter Chan Gayleen Maurice Bob Jessopp
<b>COUNCIL COMMITTEES</b>	
Hearings Committee	Graeme Barnard Allen Davies
Community Sports Fund Allocation Subcommittee	John Riddell Gayleen Maurice
Street Events Subcommittee - Massey Ward	Bob Jessopp
Long Term Council Community Plan and Annual Plan Special Committee	Graeme Barnard Andrew Good