



NOTICE OF MEETING

MASSEY COMMUNITY BOARD

I hereby give notice that an Ordinary Meeting of the Massey Community Board will be held on:-

DATE: **Wednesday, 5 November 2003** **TIME:** **7.30 pm**

VENUE: **Civic Centre, 6 Waipareira Avenue, Lincoln, Waitakere City**

to consider the business as set out herein and to take any necessary action connected therewith.

31 October 2003

Sharon Simiona
COMMITTEE SECRETARY

Telephone (09) 836 8000 extn 8820

MEMBERSHIP:

| | | |
|-----|-----|------------------------------|
| Mr | RF | Jessopp (Chairperson) |
| Mrs | DJ | Webster (Deputy Chairperson) |
| Mr | MFP | Chan, JP |
| Mr | WW | Flaunty, JP |
| Mr | JA | Good |
| Cr | OE | Hoskin, MNZM, JP |
| Cr | GE | Nash, JP |
| Ms | KG | Perri |
| Cr | GW | Russell, JP |

(Quorum 5 members)

★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★

(The reports and recommendations contained in all agendas are reports and recommendations only and are not to be construed, in any way, as Council policy until adopted.)

**AGENDA FOR AN ORDINARY MEETING OF THE MASSEY COMMUNITY BOARD
TO BE HELD IN THE CIVIC CENTRE, 6 WAIPAREIRA AVENUE, LINCOLN,
WAITAKERE CITY, ON WEDNESDAY, 5 NOVEMBER 2003,
COMMENCING AT 7.30 PM.**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| <u>ITEM</u> | | <u>PAGE NO.</u> |
|--------------------|--|------------------------|
| 1 | APOLOGIES | 1 |
| 2 | CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES | 1 |
| 3 | PUBLIC FORUM | 1 |
| 4 | URGENT BUSINESS | 2 |
| 5 | CHAIRPERSON'S REPORT | 2 |
| 6 | COMMITTEE SECRETARY'S REPORT | 3 |
| 7 | WAITAKERE CENTRAL LIBRARY DEVELOPED DESIGN | 7 |
| 8 | UPDATE REPORT ON THE NON NOTIFIED APPLICATION BY HEBRON TRUST / MASSEY COMMUNITY CHURCH FOR A RELOCATED PORTACOM BUILDING AT 115 ROYAL ROAD, MASSEY | 9 |
| 9 | LEASE OF WHENUAPAI HALL | 16 |
| 10 | WESTGATE DRIVE LOADING ZONE FOR BULK WATER CARRIERS | 18 |
| 11 | COMMUNITY BOARD MINOR PARK PROJECTS 2003/2004 | 20 |
| 12 | BOARD MEMBERS' REPORTS | 24 |

**AGENDA FOR AN ORDINARY MEETING OF THE MASSEY COMMUNITY BOARD
TO BE HELD IN THE CIVIC CENTRE, 6 WAIPAREIRA AVENUE, LINCOLN,
WAITAKERE CITY, ON WEDNESDAY, 5 NOVEMBER 2003,
COMMENCING AT 7.30 PM.**

1 APOLOGIES



2 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

Ordinary - Wednesday, 1 October 2003

RECOMMENDATION

That the minutes of the Ordinary Meeting of the Massey Community Board held on Wednesday, 1 October 2003, as circulated, be taken as read and now be confirmed.



3 PUBLIC FORUM

For guidance of Community Board Members, the Council's Standing Orders have the following provisions in regard to Public Forum.

- (i) Members of the public wishing to address the Board in Public Forum shall furnish their names to the Chairperson at the beginning of the meeting; and
- (ii) The Chairperson shall determine the order of speakers, and allow five minutes for speaking time.
- (iii) Questions by members are to be confined to obtaining information or clarification on matters raised by the speaker.

Section 46A(7A) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 provides that no resolution, decision, or recommendation may be made in respect of any specific item of business not on the agenda except to refer the items to a subsequent meeting for further discussion. Therefore, no decision may be made on matters raised in Public Forum. However, written reports on matters raised may be requested from the Chief Executive.



4 **URGENT BUSINESS**

Section 46A(7) and (7A) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 provides that where an item of business is not on the agenda, it may only be dealt with at the meeting if:

- (i) the item is a minor matter; and
- (ii) the Chairperson has explained at the beginning of the meeting (when open to the public) that the item will be raised for discussion, why the item is not on the agenda, and why it cannot be delayed until a subsequent meeting; and
- (iii) the Board resolves to deal with the item.

No resolution, decision, or recommendation may be made in respect of the item except to refer the item to a subsequent meeting for further discussion.

NOTE: Urgent Business need not be dealt with now and may be delayed until later in the meeting.



5 **CHAIRPERSON'S REPORT**

Just three items to report back on this month.

Kitch Cuthbert, Adam Moller and I had a meeting with Principals from Massey Primary, Massey High, St Patrick's and Don Buck Primary during the week of 20 October 2003. This was as a follow up on concerns brought to the board by Dawn Stephens, Principal of Massey Primary. After considerable discussion a number points were raised and these will be examined and followed up on. It was surprising to note that 14 buses off load children every day at Massey High, and that a total of approx 4,000 students arrive and leave school daily in the Don Buck Road area.

This adds up to considerable traffic movement as a great majority of these students arrive and depart by motorcar. It's a wonder that the road doesn't clog up completely at these times. It should be noted that as school roles increase over the next couple of years this might well happen and Council needs to have some strategies up it's sleeve in readiness. To this aim we will be meeting further and preparing a presentation to Council. Further to this meeting I went and took photographs of students departing from these schools and the scenario is for an accident waiting to happen.

It was noted at our last meeting that a number of items due for presentation to the Board have not been forthcoming. Hopefully this will be rectified by this meeting.

I also find it strange after all the discussions and meetings organised re West Harbour parking that events are taking place with no information being received by our representative Andrew Good, or it appears Council staff. Hopefully this too will be rectified at our next meeting.

Finally, the Twin Streams project is alive and well. For those interested a planting programme was started last weekend and a great number of supporters from the public arrived at Parris Park to replant a nearby stream bank with native shrubs and trees.

Congratulations to Council Officers and Councillors that have worked so hard to get this project up and running it shows that people do care about our environment and are prepared to get out and offer their support.

RECOMMENDATION

That the Chairperson's Report be received.


Robert Jessopp
CHAIRPERSON










6 COMMITTEE SECRETARY'S REPORT

| Issue | Comments | Reporting Council Officer |
|--|---|---|
| Community Garden, Ranui 7 May 2003 876/2003 | Council officers have met with the Ranui Community Project Group who were interested in setting up the Ranui Community Garden and discussed requirements and details of how the garden could be formed and managed. The group is currently preparing documentation (ie. plans and management proposals) which will be forwarded in a report to the Community Board outlining the details of the proposal for the Community Board to consider. It is the understanding of Council officers that there has been a delay in this work being undertaken by the group due to other commitments of the members. Council officers are anticipating that the information will be forthcoming in the New Year and are continuing to liase with the group to offer any assistance where required. | Chris Ferkins ☎ 836 8000 Ext 8508 |
| Graffiti on Walkway, 141 Central Park Drive 3 September 2003 1843/2003 | Transport Assets are liasing with the owners of the adjacent property (Carters Building Supplies) regarding possible options for addressing the boundary fencing and graffiti issues. | Adam Moller ☎ 836 8000 Ext 8750 |
| Footpath Outside Massey Primary School 3 September 2003 1844/2003 | Discussions with the schools in Don Buck Road are taking place to work through all the traffic issues that the schools are experiencing to establish a co-ordinated approach towards the best possible solutions for the individual schools. | Adam Moller ☎ 836 8000 Ext 8750 |

| Issue | Comments | Reporting Council Officer |
|--|--|---|
| <p>Massey Leisure Centre's Community Liaison Group</p> | <p>The Massey Leisure Centre would like the Massey Community Board to put forward a representative to be included in the Massey Leisure Centre's Community Liaison Group. The Board's representative would be requested to attend a minimum of three meetings annually on a voluntary basis.</p> <p>The Community Liaison Group used to run at the Massey Leisure Centre, and as this Group helps to ensure that this community-owned facility meets the community, recreational and leisure needs of the Waitakere City community, and in particular the Massey community, it is important that this Group be re-established and meet on a regular basis.</p> <p>The first introductory meeting for the Massey Leisure Centre Community Liaison Group is to be held in the John Lawson room, located in the Massey Library on Tuesday, 2 December 2003 at 10.00 am.</p> | <p>Helen Anderson ☎ 836 8000 Ext 8506</p> |
| <p>Retention of the Hose Tower, Herald Island 4 December 2002 3485/2002</p> | <p>Mediation on the Hose Tower is still ongoing. The issue will be reported back to the Board as soon as practicable.</p> | <p>Roger Wilson ☎ 836 8000 Ext 8086</p> |
| <p>Intersection Luanda Drive and Waitemata Drive, Ranui 4 June 2003 1082/2003</p> | <p>Traffic islands are to be constructed in the new flush median on the Waitemata Drive approaches to the intersection. Additional give way signs will be placed on each of these islands. These islands and extra signs will highlight the presence of the intersection and the give way control which applies on these approaches.</p> <p>This work is to be implemented during summer as part of the minor safety programme. Field Services are following up on the fences encroaching into the road reserve and restricting visibility. The property owners have been advised of the encroachment and instructed to remove/relocate the fences.</p> | <p>Adam Moller ☎ 836 8000 Ext 8750</p> |
| <p>Safety Crossing Outside St Paul's Primary School, 498 Don Buck Road, Massey 7 May 2003 877/2003</p> | <p>A pedestrian refuge island is proposed to provide for children crossing the road and to facilitate the proposed walking school bus from the Royal Road area. This work will be included in the minor safety programme this summer.</p> | <p>Adam Moller ☎ 836 8000 Ext 8750</p> |

| Issue | Comments | Reporting Council Officer |
|--|--|--|
| <p>Commemorating Waitangi Day Celebrations 6 February 2004</p> <p>Te Taumata Runanga</p> <p>10 October 2003</p> <p>3021/2003</p> | <p>Te Taumata Runanga at its meeting held on 10 October 2003 passed the following resolution concerning Waitangi Day celebrations:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <i>That the information be received.</i> 2. <i>That Te Taumata Runanga recommends to Council that, subject to funding being made available by the Ministry of Culture and Heritage, it will take the lead to host citywide commemorating Waitangi Day celebrations in February 2004 on or before 6 February 2004.</i> 3. <i>That it be recommended to Council that four citywide voluntary planning groups be established by the middle of November 2003 consisting of a member from Te Taumata Runanga, a Ward Councillor, a Ward Community Board member, a member from Te Roopu Puawai, a member from the Waitakere Youth Council, a member from the Pacific Island Advisory Board, a member from Te Whanau O Waipareira Trust, a member from each of the Marae of the city and a key designated staff member for administrative support for this project.</i> 4. <i>That Te Taumata Runanga further recommends to Council that the voluntary planning groups provide to the December 2003 meeting of Te Taumata Runanga a programme around Te Taumata Runanga commemorating Waitangi Day festival that the voluntary planning groups will have organised to be held on or before 6 February 2004</i> <p style="text-align: right;">3021/2003</p> <p>Subject to Council's adoption of the above recommendations at its meeting to be held on 29 October 2003, the Board is requested to nominate a representative to the city-wide voluntary planning group on the Waitangi Day Celebrations, 6 February 2004</p> | <p>Warahi Paki</p> <p> 836 8000</p> <p>Ext 8923</p> |

| REPORTS PENDING | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------|-----------------|---|--|
| Subject | Date Requested | Report Due | Reporting Officer | |
| Safety Crossing Outside St Paul's Primary School, 498 Don Buck Road, Massey | 7 May 2003 877/2003 | 3 December 2003 | Adam Moller  836 8000 Ext 8750 | |
| Intersection Luanda Drive and Waitemata Drive, Ranui | 4 June 2003 1082/2003 | 3 December 2003 | Adam Moller  836 8000 Ext 8750 | |
| Community Garden, Ranui | 7 May 2003 876/2003 | 4 February 2004 | Chris Ferkins  836 8000 Ext 8508 | |
| Retention of the Hose Tower, Herald Island | 4 December 2002 3485/2002 | 3 December 2003 | Roger Wilson  836 8000 Ext 8086 | |
| Lincoln Road Dairy Parking | 3 September 2003 1842/2003 | 3 December 2003 | Ross Hill  836 8000 Ext 8737 | |
| Graffiti on Walkway 141 Central Park Drive | 3 September 2003 1843/2003 | 3 December 2003 | Adam Moller  836 8000 Ext 8750 | |
| Footpath Outside Massey primary School | 3 September 2003 1844/2003 | 3 December 2003 | Adam Moller  836 8000 Ext 8750 | |

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. That the information be received.
2. That the Massey Community Board nominate a representative to attend the Massey Leisure Centre Community Liaison Group meetings on a voluntary basis.
3. That subject to adoption of the Council, Massey Community Board nominate a representative to the city-wide voluntary planning group on the Waitangi Day celebrations, 6 February 2004.

Report prepared by: Sharon Simiona, Committee Secretary



7 **WAITAKERE CENTRAL LIBRARY DEVELOPED DESIGN**

PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

The purpose of this report is to update the Board on the development of the Waitakere Central Library and surrounds, including the associated UNITEC campus expansion.

BACKGROUND

The design for the Waitakere Central Library commenced on 3 April 2003. The preliminary design was presented to the Council meeting of 23 July 2003 and at that meeting it was resolved:

“2. *That the preliminary design for the Waitakere Library be approved as a basis for further design development.*”

1516/2003

At the presentation, the Council raised a number of issues and identified aspects of the design which they wished to see amended. Following that meeting, the design was presented to all the Community Boards, the Taumata Runanga, and the Pacific Island Advisory Board. In addition a number of workshops have been held with the Henderson Community Board and a workshop has been held with the local Henderson Businesses regarding the preliminary design. A deputation was also made to the City Development Committee by the landowner of the 357 Arcade which abuts the site.

Throughout the process input into the design has been received from internal stakeholders and appointed advisors (Iwi representatives, lead artists, quantity surveyor) with coordination from a joint Project Control Group assisted by an independent project manager.

Councillors from Waitakere City Council and UNITEC have also formed an Elected Members working party to advise staff on this project and other matters relating to Waitakere City's and UNITEC's partnership.

This process of communication and receiving comment, have led to a progression of the development to the point where the developed design phase is now complete.

STRATEGIC CONTEXT

The Waitakere Library/UNITEC campus development is one of a number of projects that support the Henderson 20 Year Strategic Plan (1995) and the Henderson Town Centre Concept Plan (2002).

The principles of the Henderson 20 Year Strategic Plan are to:

- Encourage mixed use development and improve the quality of the built environment.
- Develop the streams and the green networks and improve the leisure facilities.
- Improve public transport, road and walking linkages.
- Encourage a range of economic activities to sustain a robust economy in an adaptable innovative and dynamic way.

The Henderson Town Centre Concept Plan was produced to guide the future development of Henderson in a planned and co-ordinated way to ensure that Henderson consolidates its critical position as a key working environment and local employer, furthering Council's key objectives in creating a sustainable city.

This plan identified specific economic strategies for Henderson's revitalisation and identified three key redevelopment clusters including a Business/Community/Education cluster in the Trading Place / Ratanui Street area. The requirements to make the Business/Community/Education cluster successful were identified as:

- Combining community facilities, business development and tertiary education in the most integrated way possible.
- Offering high quality, safe, vibrant public spaces and amenities facilitating a mixture of uses that encourages the development of a 24 hour life area that extends the commercial trading viability of the town centre.
- Being easily accessible by car to assist trade.
- Having a new road and bridge link from Edmonton Road.
- Offering sufficient parking to replace the existing provision and providing more for new uses.
- Maintaining good access from the mall.
- Having an integrated civic library and tertiary education development.

The preliminary design meets the strategic goals of these two plans.

UNITEC has long been aware that a large proportion of its students come from Waitakere, and many more Waitakere citizens were not accessing tertiary education. Planning for the establishment a UNITEC presence in Waitakere City has been progressing for many years with significant recent progress with the opening of their Henderson campus in 2001 and signing a Memorandum of Understanding with Waitakere City Council in 2002 that identifies ways in which both organisations can work together in the future.

ISSUES

Progression of the Design to Developed Design

The developed design is a significant progression from the preliminary design as presented to the two Councils. This has been both in response to the comments made, in order to improve the functionality of the library building and to minimise the visual impact of the car parking building. Specifically the design has been progressed as follows:

- Elongation of the library building to give a greater floor print to maximise functionality - the building now fronts a substantial portion of the length of Waitakere Lane and has reduced in height from 4 to 3 stories with the bulk of the public library component on the first two floors.
- Sleeving the car parking building behind the library and adjacent to the right of way access to the Great North Road properties
- Sleeving the bottom of the car parking building as it fronts the Great North Road entry plaza with the Citizen's Advice Bureaux - providing both a separate and slightly private address for the Citizen's Advice Bureaux as well as good linkages with the adjacent library and public space.
- Providing the main pedestrian entry and exit point from the car parking building out into the Great North Road entry plaza.
- Providing for a lecture theatre and associated reception areas in the Trading Place end of the UNITEC building - designed in such a way that it can be operated separate from the rest of the facility and therefore be used for other than UNITEC requirements.
- Providing three public spaces within the development - a Library courtyard, the Ratanui Plaza and the Great North Road entry plaza.

- Providing for narrow laned two way traffic along Waitakere Lane in order to slow traffic and provide better access into the development.
- Developing detailed arts opportunities and proposals in both the open space and buildings.
- Developing detailed sustainability opportunities associated with all three buildings and the open space areas.

Identifying the materials proposed for use on each of the buildings and in the open space

RESOURCES

The budget for the Waitakere Central Library has been allocated over three years within the Long Term Council Community Plan for a total cost of \$11.8 million.

CONCLUSION

The Developed Design phase of the Waitakere Central Library has been completed, on time and to budget, while incorporating significant change as a result of feedback received on the project.

RECOMMENDATION

That the information be received.

Report prepared by: Lois Easton, Group Manager: City Development Projects.



8 UPDATE REPORT ON THE NON NOTIFIED APPLICATION BY HEBRON TRUST / MASSEY COMMUNITY CHURCH FOR A RELOCATED PORTACOM BUILDING AT 115 ROYAL ROAD, MASSEY

PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

Since February 2003 the Community Board has on a regular basis received presentations from residents adjoining the Massey Community Church at 115 Royal Road. As its meeting of 5 February 2003, the Board passed the following resolution:

“That a report be brought back to the Massey Community Board on the relocatable building that has been placed on the property of the Massey Community Church - Hebron Trust, 115 Royal Road, Massey.”

54/2003

The requested report was provided to the Board at its meeting of 5 March 2003. The Board has also received updates via the Committee Secretary's report, the last being to the August meeting.

BACKGROUND

Hebron Trust made application for a Discretionary Activity resource consent for relocation of a porta-com building as an extension to the Massey Community Church in May of 2002. Due to delays by the applicant in the satisfaction of further information requests made by Council in May 2002 in relation to this application and satisfied by the applicant in January 2003, land use consent was not granted until 18 February 2003.

In the interim the Trust moved the subject building onto the site without planning or building consent in December 2002.

Neighbours situated on the northern boundary of the Church site objected strongly to the placement of the building without the appropriate consents; and raised concerns over the potential impact on their amenity given the placement of the new building in the car park opposite their common boundary. They requested to be considered as affected parties in relation to the application.

Correspondence from neighbours was passed to the reporting planner for consideration in her report on the resource consent application. Neighbour concerns could be summarised as:

- The new building does not blend in with the existing building;
- The building is of a low cost material;
- Building materials will cause glare;
- The building ruins the view and will affect property values;
- As the building is closer to the residential boundaries, existing problems with noise will be exacerbated;
- There will be a lack of privacy with the building so close to the residential boundaries;
- Security lighting will cause sleep disturbances;
- The extension of the Church facilities will increase the parking problems being experienced at the moment, where people are already parking on the road; and
- The Church has shown itself to not comply with Council rules by not complying with conditions from the original consent and by moving the building on prematurely.

The reporting planner addressed these issues within the context of her report.

STATUTORY CONTEXT

Consideration of applications for Discretionary Activity resource consent must pass two statutory tests.

Public Notification

The first test is contained in Sections 93 and 94 of the Resource Management Act 1991. These sections address whether the application can be considered without the need for public notification, invites potentially affected persons to lodge submissions and subsequently giving them rights of appeal if they are unhappy with the outcome of Council's decision on the matter. The test for a Discretionary Activity (pursuant to Section 94(2)(a) & (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991 is in two parts.

- (a) Council must be satisfied that adverse effects associated with the proposed development are no more than minor.

In this respect, the reporting planner concluded on page 10 of the report:

“The adverse effects on the environment of the activity for which consent is sought would be no more than de minimis as discussed in section 5.2.1 to 5.2.12 of this report. In particular, the proposed building addition will be largely located within a paved area on the site, will be small in scale and situated adjacent to the main auditorium, away from the adjoining site boundaries, to ensure that any adverse effects on dominance and visual amenity would be considered to be no more than de minimis. The proposal would not increase the site intensity beyond what has been previously consented to, including the number of persons and associated parking demand for the existing activities on the site. In addition, the relocation / forming and marking out of the on-site parking spaces will ensure that parking is adequately accommodated on the site. The adoption of appropriate mitigation measures during construction will ensure that any adverse effects on soil/ existing landform as a result of the proposed activity would be minimal. Furthermore, the implementation of the proposed landscape planting and fencing would ensure that the proposal would not detract from the visual amenity and surrounding neighbourhood character.”

- (b) Written consent is received from every person the Council is satisfied may be adversely affected by the proposed development.

The reporting planner concluded that the proposed development also met this test. The issues raised by the neighbours were addressed within the body of the report and were summarised within Section 5.3 on pages 9 & 10 of the report reproduced below.

“5.3 Section 94(2) (b) - Adversely Affected Persons

Whilst adjacent neighbours have raised issues regarding the development there are no persons considered to be adversely affected by the proposed activity for the reasons stated in Section 4.4 and Sections 5.2.1 to 5.2.12 above.

In summary issues raised by adjacent property owners have been addressed within this report in the following manner.

- 1. The new building does not blend in with the existing building;*
- 2. The building is of a low cost material;*
- 3. Building materials will cause glare;*
- 4. The building ruins the view and will affect property values;*

Comment: Councils landscape advisor considers that with the provision of appropriate landscaping the development will fit within the landscape without undue effect on visual amenity, views or glare. (See Sections 4.4, 5.2.10 & 5.2.12)

- 5. As the building is closer to the residential boundaries, existing problems with noise will be exacerbated;*
- 6. There will be a lack of privacy with the building so close to the residential boundaries;*
- 7. Security lighting will cause sleep disturbances;*

Comment: No security lighting is proposed on the addition. The number of vehicles parking close to the residential boundary will be reduced and thereby reduce vehicle related disturbance. Whilst the addition is located closer to the northern boundary no change in intensity of the use of the site will occur and the proposed development is oriented southwards away from the relevant northern boundary. The addition is for office and meeting room use; not part of the main auditorium. (See Sections 4.4, 5.2.4, 5.2.10 & 5.2.12)

8. *The extension of the Church facilities will increase the parking problems being experienced at the moment, where people are already parking on the road;*

Comment: Council's traffic engineering advisor considers that the existing and proposed onsite parking make satisfactory provision for the activity and will not increase adverse effects on the safety of the local road network. (See Section 5.2.9)

9. *The Church has shown itself to not comply with Council rules by not complying with conditions from the original consent and by moving the building on prematurely.*

Comment: Not considered to be a relevant environmental consideration in relation to this application. Council can ensure compliance with any proposed conditions related to the development."

The reporting planner concluded that the proposed development met both of these tests and that the proposal could therefore be considered without the need for public notification.

Decision on the Application

This decision addressed all the relevant matters contained within Section 104(1)(a)-(i) of the Act. The reporting planner concluded that:

*"Pursuant to Sections 104, 105 and 108 of the Resource Management Act 1991, being satisfied that no body or person is adversely affected, it is recommended that **consent be granted** to the application by Hebron Trust (as more accurately defined in Sections 3 and 4 of this report) at 115 Royal Road, to locate a 86.4m² prefabricated building on a residential zoned site to be used as part of the existing church facilities, with associated parking and earthworks, for the following reasons:*

- (i) The proposal has been considered in terms of the relevant assessment criteria and is considered to create no more than de minimis adverse effects on the environment. In particular the proposal includes mitigation measures, including proposed planting and sediment control devices.*
- (ii) The non-residential activity is considered to be consistent with the relevant assessment criteria for discretionary activities, and in particular the proposed addition would be small in scale, located a sufficient distance from adjoining residential boundaries, and would replace an existing paved area on the site, so as not to detract from the visual amenity of the rural landscape and neighbourhood character.*
- (iii) The number of people on the site is not proposed to increase beyond the previously required maximums, and the parking generation is not anticipated to increase beyond what is currently existing on-site.*
- (iv) The proposal would not be contrary to the objectives and policies of the Transitional and Proposed District Plans."*

All the principal environmental issues associated with the development were dealt with by the reporting planner and related experts:

- Additional storm water run - off collected from the roof of the new building; (Section 5.2.1, 9.2.1a)
- Visual amenity associated with the design and placement of the building; (Section 4.4, 5.2.10, 5.2.12, 9.2.1b.)
- Additional noise generated by use of the building; (Sections 5.2.4, 9.2.1b)
- Traffic safety related to displacement of parking from the car park on which the building has been placed to on the road. (Sections 5.2.9, 9.2.1 b)
- Siltation and Sedimentation associated with run off from earthworks associated with creation of new car parks to replace those occupied by the new building. (Sections 5.2.1, 5.2.3 & 9.2.1a)
- Cumulative effects associated with this additional development in combination with other non-residential activities associated with this and other churches established in the area. (Section 5.2.12)

The reporting planner researched each issue; sought the advice of appropriate experts where necessary; applied relevant district plan objectives policies and rules and produced sound arguments in support of the recommendation to proceed without public notification and for approval of the substantive application. The recommended conditions of consent recognised the issues and were designed to ensure the appropriate works and mitigation of adverse effects would be undertaken.

The conditions of the consent are as follows:

1. The development shall proceed in accordance with the plans titled "*Site Plan*" and "*Location Plan*", "*Drainage Site Plan*", "*Existing Floor Plan*", "*Proposed Floor Plan*", "*Elevation One*" and "*Elevation Two*" and "*West Elevation*"; all referenced by Council as RMA 20020869 and the information submitted with the application.
2. That the combined capacity of the church and church hall shall not exceed 300 persons for Sunday church services and 200 persons at any other time.
3. All infrastructure relating to storm water treatment and disposal, wastewater disposal, and water supply shall be to the satisfaction of Eco-Water. Compliance with the Waitakere City Council Code of Practice for City Infrastructure and Land Development is deemed to be in accordance with this condition.
4. Provide storm water quality treatment to the proposed car parking areas on site in accordance with the Auckland Regional Council's TP10 '*Stormwater Management Devices Design Guideline Manual*'. Prior to constructing the additional car parking area, provide details and calculations for the storm water treatment system to EcoWater for approval. Pay any engineering approval, works supervision and inspection fees as incurred. These will be charged at Council's advertised schedule of fees.
5. All reticulated services, including power and telephone, shall be provided underground.
6. Before commencement of any works, adequate sediment and erosion control measures shall be constructed and maintained in accordance with Appendix 3, Erosion and Sediment Control Measures Appendix to the Natural Area Rules of the Waitakere City Council Proposed District Plan.
7. All necessary action shall be taken to prevent a dust nuisance to neighbouring properties to the satisfaction of the Manager Resource Consents.

8. All earthworks and fill from earthworks shall be kept outside the drip line of the large oak tree. A protective fence shall be erected around the site's feature oak tree prior to the commencement of any work on the site and shall remain in place until the completion of all works on site.
9. No building, excavation or depositing of materials is permitted within the drip line of any tree protected by the District Plan.
10. Footpaths, berms and kerbs shall be protected from damage by crossing or parking vehicles to the satisfaction of the Manager Resource Consents. Any damage which is attributed to the earthworks operation shall be rectified at the cost of the consent holder.
11. All excess excavated material shall be removed from the site immediately following the completion of earthworks and disposed of to the satisfaction of the Manager Resource Consents.
12. The cut area of the bank at the western end of the building platform shall be sloped back, or a low retaining wall shall be constructed, to the satisfaction of the Manager Resource Consents. This condition is required to ensure that the cut bank is integrated with the existing landform.
13. Prior to the occupation of the building addition, or within 3 months of the granting of consent, all parking areas shall be formed and finished in an all-weather surface and marked out to the satisfaction of the Manager Resource Consents.
14. Prior to the occupation of the building addition, or within 3 months of the granting of consent, all parking spaces on the basketball court (identified on the approved "Site Plan", referred to in Condition 1) shall be marked out to the satisfaction of the Manager Resource Consents.
15. Within 3 months of the granting of this resource consent, signage shall be placed in appropriate locations to direct traffic to the parking spaces on site (including the parking spaces marked out on the basketball court), to the satisfaction of the Manager Resource Consents.
16. Within 3 months of the granting of this resource consent, signage shall be placed in appropriate locations identifying to basketball players when the basketball court is not to be used (i.e. during church services), to the satisfaction of the Manager Resource Consents.
17. The consent holder shall ensure that landscaping is provided with plants at 1 metre spacings along the north boundary (grade Pb12), using species selected from the following list: (exotic) *Camellia sasanqua*, or (native) *Coprosma lucida*, *Pseudopanax purpureus*, *Geniostoma rupestre*, *Griselinia lucida*, *Olearia albida*, *Olearia furfuracea*. This landscaping shall be implemented within the first planting season following the commencement of the activity within the building addition on site. The landscaping shall be maintained and irrigated thereafter.
18. All planting shall be maintained for a period of no less than two years, during which time any plants which do not thrive will be replaced and maintained to the satisfaction of the Manager Resource Consents.
19. Within 6 months of the granting of consent, the consent holder shall ensure that a new fence is erected to 1.6 metres in height (or screen planting, to the satisfaction of the Manager Resource Consents) along the boundary the subject site adjoining the properties at 109 and 111 Royal Road.

20. A consent compliance monitoring fee of \$280.00 (inclusive of GST) shall be paid to the Council. This fee is to recover the actual and reasonable costs incurred ensuring compliance with the conditions of this consent. If, on inspection all conditions have not been satisfactorily met, a reinspection shall be required at a further cost of \$75.00 per hour (inclusive of GST).

Having fully considered the report and supporting information, including letters from local residents and undertaken a site visit, the report was signed off by the Team Leader Resource consents under delegated authority in accordance with the reporting planner's recommendation for approval on 18 February 2003.

ON GOING ISSUES SINCE MARCH 2003

Since 5 March Community Board meeting the following actions have been undertaken.

1. 14 March 2003 - copy of the resource consent and the 14-lot subdivision consent for the land behind the church was distributed to each of the concerned residents.
2. Update via the Committee Secretary's Report to the Community Board's April meeting.
3. 2 April 2003 - meeting with residents and Massey Community Church to openly discuss the consent issues and to assist them in achieving a satisfactory resolution of the wide or ongoing issues with the Church. Roger Wilson facilitated the meeting.
4. Letter sent to the residents on 18 July 2003 in response to their presentation at the July Community Board meeting. A copy the resource consent application to develop the vacant land known as lot 24 into 18 residential lots was attached to the letter. Note that this consent is due to be completed in the week ending 24 October 2003.
5. Update via the Committee Secretary's Report to the Community Board's August 2003 meeting.
6. Council's Environmental Monitoring staff have undertaken checks of compliance with the conditions of consent. All the conditions were required to be met within 6 months (mid August) of the consent being issued. There are a number of issues that still require compliance and Council staff are working through these with the Church. These include conditions 13 to 16 related to car parking. Noting the parking in front of the office has been marked out and non-complying matters relates to the netball court parking and the provision of additional parking to bring the total required on the site to 60 spaces.
7. The on-going issue on the fencing of the residents' properties has fully been resolved after a long and difficult period of negotiation between the parties. There is a 1.8m high wooden close board fence along the rear boundaries of 107 to 111 Royal Road. Landscaping has been established on the church side along the face of the fence. Note that the residents and Church only came to agreement on the fence and its location in September 2003.
8. Parking issue - The Church has indicated that the additional parking spaces will be provided in late November 2003 when the road from Royal Road to the new subdivision is constructed. Written confirmation of the deal and timetable is currently being sought from the Church. In addition the Church is in the process of preparing a resource consent for additional parking on the site. The initial application was rejected due to lack of details and an amended version is expected soon.

Getting completion of the required conditions has proved to be slow and difficult for all parties however progress is being made. At no point has the Church indicated that they are unwilling to meet the conditions however the use of members of the church to undertake the work is not the quickest method to achieve the desired outcomes.

The final outstanding issues related to parking are being worked through with the Church.

CONCLUSION

It is considered that the Council have acted appropriately in the assessment and approval of the application by Hebron Trust for the extension to the Massey Community Church. While compliance with all the conditions of consent has taken longer than expected progress has been made. The Church is working through the final parking conditions and compliance will be achieved hopefully by the end of November 2003.

It has to be recognised that there have been and may still be on-going communication issues for the residents and Church to resolve. These communication issues are for the parties to sort out, not Council.

RECOMMENDATION

That the information be received.

Report prepared by: Graeme McCarrison, Manager: Resource Consents.



9 LEASE OF WHENUAPAI HALL

PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

The purpose of this report is to consider leasing the Whenuapai Hall to the Whenuapai Ratepayers and Residents Association Incorporated, and to consider classifying the area occupied by the Whenuapai Hall under the Reserves Act 1977.

BACKGROUND

Whenuapai Hall is located on the Whenuapai Hall Reserve at 41 Waimarie Road, Whenuapai. The hall is sited on Lot 24, Deposited Plan 15956. This lot is vested in Council in trust as the site for a public hall. Recently Council acquired the next door site at 43 Waimarie Road (Lot 25, Deposited Plan 15956) to add onto the hall site. Neither lot has been brought under the Reserves Act 1977. 41 Waimarie Road is shown as open space under the District Plan while 43 Waimarie Road has a rural village designation.

A1

A map attached at page A1 shows the location of the two lots on Waimarie Road and also the footprint of the hall it is proposed to lease to the Whenuapai Ratepayers and Residents Association.

STRATEGIC CONTEXT

Council's Well-being and Urban Village Pathway strategic and policy objectives aims to provide networks of appropriate and accessible public buildings as key community focal points for a wide range of low cost, low impact community activities.

The Community Leases Policy provides that priority will be given to lease applicants who increase participation in community activities. The policy also provides for a standard five year term and it is proposed that a lease of this term should be granted. The rent the group should pay has been assessed at the standard minimum fee.

ISSUES

Section 61 of the Reserves Act 1977 provides that Council may lease to any voluntary organisation or society part of a reserve for buildings for the purpose of a community building. The Whenuapai Hall was built by the Residents and Ratepayers around 1955 and continues to be owned by that Association. The Association currently has over 60 members but the hall is used by a wider number of people in the community. The village hall is the only facility available for community gatherings in the area.

In order to grant a lease to the Ratepayers and Residents Association it is recommended that the two lots which comprise the reserve should be classified as a local purpose (community buildings) reserve under the Reserves Act 1977. In order to classify Lot 25 on Deposited Plan 15956, public notification of the proposal must be given at least one month before any resolution is passed by Council. The chair of this community board approved this public notification taking place before this meeting and no objections have been received to the proposed classification.

CONCLUSION

It is recommended that a lease be granted to the Whenuapai Ratepayers and Residents Association for the footprint of the Whenuapai Hall and that the two lots which now comprise the Whenuapai Hall Reserve be classified under the Reserves Act as a local purpose (community buildings) reserve.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. That the information be received.
2. That it be recommended to Council that Lot 24, Deposited Plan 15956 be classified under Section 16(1) of the Reserves Act 1977 as a local purpose (community buildings) reserve.
3. That it be recommended to Council that Lot 25, Deposited Plan 15956 be declared under Section 14 (1) of the Reserves Act 1977 to be a reserve to be held for the purposes of a local purpose (community buildings) reserve as defined in Section 23.
4. That the Chief Executive be given authority to negotiate and arrange execution of a lease with the Whenuapai Ratepayers and Residents Association Incorporated for the footprint of Whenuapai Hall under Section 61(2A) of the Reserves Act 1977.

Report prepared by: Alastair Dougal, Solicitor, Legal Services.



10 WESTGATE DRIVE LOADING ZONE FOR BULK WATER CARRIERS

PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

The purpose of this report is to seek approval from the Massey Community Board to relocate a 40 metre long loading zone in Westgate Drive used exclusively by water cartage contractors 35 metres further west along Westgate Drive.

BACKGROUND

There are several water carrier firms who collect water from the Waitakere City Council water supply network at Westgate and deliver this water to Waitakere City and Rodney District Council rural residents and businesses. A significant volume of water is delivered by these carriers, and the provision of a bulk filling station which records the amount of water taken by individual carriers is an important function associated with the water supply system. The regular use of a hydrant for filling purposes is not permitted, and hence the bulk filling station provides a very important role in providing for these carriers.

At the November 1994 meeting of the Massey Community Board, the Board approved the establishment of a 40 metre long loading zone in Westgate Drive for the use of bulk water carriers. The Massey Community Board resolved:

“That in terms of the Traffic Regulations 1976, a 40m long loading zone be located on the south kerb line of Westgate Drive between the meterages 75m and 115m west from the centreline of Fernhill Drive.”

4495/94

A2

This location for the bulk filling point, as attached at page A2 was chosen for several reasons:

- The water supply reticulation can provide a high flow rate with minimal impact on water supply pressures in the area.
- The roading network is good for the tanker truck movements to and from the loading point in Westgate Drive.
- The loading zone is adjacent to a passive recreation reserve and does not impact on local residents or businesses (a restriction on operating hours is in place).

The filling station facility comprises a green cabinet (dimensions 1000 high x 1000 wide x 300 deep) and valve/meter/outlet of similar overall dimensions located in the berm area. A concreted area between the kerb line and filling point facility approximately 5 metres long is provided as a working area for connecting hoses and equipment.

STRATEGIC CONTEXT

The Council's strategic platform for the three waters is that Waitakere is a centre of innovative water management. Some of the success measures that contribute to this platform are as follows:

- Average domestic water use per person in the city is reduced to 160 litres or less per person per day.
- An 'a' grade of water supply is maintained, including compliance with the 2000 NZ Drinking Water Standards.
- 60% or more of residents are aware of actions they might take to conserve water or protect water quality in streams.

ISSUES

When the site was chosen in 1994 the zoning of the land adjacent was in the process of being confirmed as passive recreation reserve. This zoning was confirmed, however, a block of land at the eastern end of the loading zone was subsequently approved for commercial development. The 40 metre loading zone overlaps the frontage of this block of land by approximately 12 metres.

A2

Building on this block of land has now commenced and it has become necessary to relocate the loading zone 25 metres further along Westgate Drive in order that it is well clear of this new development. Attached at page A2 shows the current and proposed location of the loading zone.

The proposed location of the loading zone is between 110 and 150 metres west of the Fernhill Drive intersection on the southern side of Westgate Drive. A 40 metre long loading zone is required in order to accommodate several water carriers, which can arrive at the filling point at one time.

CONSULTATION

The proposed relocation of the loading zone as outlined above has been agreed with Transport Assets staff and the main water carrier. The residents in Cinnabar Place closest to the filling point have also been notified, and no comments have been received.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. That the report on the Westgate Loading Zone for Bulk Water Carriers be received.
2. That in relation to **WESTGATE DRIVE, MASSEY**:
 - (a) That all existing parking restrictions or limitations (affected, or superseded, or replaced by this resolution) applicable to Westgate Drive imposed by any prior resolution (including resolution of any former authority) cease to have any force and effect as from the date of this determination provided however that any current enforcement action by way of prosecution arising from, or infringement notice issued in relation to, any non-compliance with or breach of any such parking restriction or limitation be authorised to be concluded in the normal manner.
 - (b) That, in accordance with the powers conferred by virtue of the Local Government Act 1974, the Transport Act 1962 and the Waitakere City Council Bylaw No 7, 1991 - Traffic, the following parking limitations and restrictions be now resolved to be specified and imposed namely:
 - On the southern kerb line of Westgate Drive from a start point 110 metres west of the centreline of the Fernhill Drive intersection to an end point 40 metres further west, a "**LOADING ZONE**" parking control be put in place.
 - That the appropriate signage and/or marking, in accordance with the Traffic Regulations 1976, be hereby approved to be put in place to properly establish, delineate and record the said parking limitations and restrictions.

Report prepared by: Richard Taylor, Assets and Network Manager.



11 COMMUNITY BOARD MINOR PARK PROJECTS 2003/2004

PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

The purpose of this report is to introduce the Community Board Minor Park Projects and to seek decisions from the Massey Community Board on the appropriate allocation of budget for the 2003/2004 Financial Year.

BACKGROUND

The Community Facilities and Recreation Committee adopted the idea of a discretionary budget for allocation by the Community Boards for parks capital development projects in December 1999. In the Annual Plan for the 2003/2004 financial year, the Council has allocated a total of \$100,000 for this use, to be allocated to each ward on a needs basis. The needs have been calculated based on the current rate of population growth within the ward, and the current population within the ward as a proportion of the City. As a result the fund is to be allocated as follows:

| | |
|----------------|---|
| Henderson Ward | \$24,000 |
| Massey Ward | \$30,000 (plus \$6,000 carry over from 2002/2003) |
| New Lynn Ward | \$29,000 |
| Waitakere Ward | \$17,000 |

A memo was sent to all Community Board members in September requesting project proposals by 11 October for consideration at the November Community Board Meeting. It was outlined to the Board that proposals had also been requested from Resident and Ratepayer groups and the Youth Council.

STRATEGIC CONTEXT

The idea of a discretionary budget for capital projects arose as a result of the work in developing criteria for funding Parks capital works projects. This recognised that there are a number of projects, generally less than \$10,000 in cost, which are raised as possible projects through the Annual Plan submissions, Community Board Open Forum and other consultation processes. These projects generally are not able to compete in terms of the Parks Capital Project Criteria, as the current proposed capital programme contains over 100 large projects. Undertaking these small projects, is however an important means of meeting local needs within the community. It is recognised that Community Boards, being the main link between Council and the local community, are the best decision making body to determine the priority for meeting these local needs.

ISSUES

The following principles provide the framework for decision-making regarding the funding of individual projects:

1. The funds are to be used for Park Development projects – this does not include projects on community buildings, grants to community groups, maintenance or renewal items.
2. A maximum of \$10,000 can be spent on any one project in each financial year.
3. Projects put forward through the Annual Plan and other consultation processes will be ranked according to the Parks Capital Works Criteria, however the decision as to final priority rests with the Community Board.

POSSIBLE DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS

The discretionary budget allows for the allocation of funds to projects with a value of less than \$10,000. The following table outlines the approximate cost of a range of projects that can be undertaken for less than \$10,000. These costs are an average in order to give guidance to the Board, and do not take into account site-specific situations and variability.

| PROJECT TYPE | APPROXIMATE COST |
|---|------------------------------------|
| Basketball half court | \$8000 |
| Petanque court (single) | \$3000 |
| Sealing an existing gravel car park | \$10,000 for 10 car parking spaces |
| 10 metre length of path | \$250- gravel \$800- concrete |
| Creating/extending a gravel car park | \$6000 for 10 car parking spaces |
| 10 metre length of boardwalk | \$3000 |
| Set of two swings with bark under surfacing | \$7000 |
| Seesaw with bark under surfacing | \$3000 |
| Small playground for children under 5 with bark under surfacing | \$10,000 |
| Macrocarpa bench seat | \$1000 |
| Macrocarpa picnic table | \$2000 |
| Town Centre/Urban Seat | \$600 |
| Town Centre/Urban picnic table | \$1800 |
| Walkway light (by power supply) | \$4000 |
| Information Sign | \$3000 |
| Park Entrance Sign | \$1500 |
| Electric BBQ | \$7000 |
| Community Art Project | \$5000 |
| Small skate ramp- on existing slab | \$10,000 |
| Planting - specimen trees | \$150 per tree |
| Planting - shrubbery | \$500 for 10 m ² |
| Barrier fencing | \$500 for 10 metres |
| Drinking Fountain (by water supply) | \$2500 |
| Drainage | \$2000 for 10 metres |
| Standard Rubbish Bin | \$1000 per bin |
| Timber steps & handrail | \$4000 for 10 metres |

A number of projects have been suggested through the 2003/2004 Annual Plan submissions, Community Board open forum and via written correspondence into Council. Projects have also been received from Residents and Ratepayer Groups and Community Board members. These projects are outlined below with their estimated costs.

PROJECT PROPOSALS

1.0 Whenuapai Ratepayers and Residents Association

| Project | Description | Preliminary Assessed Cost | Recommendation In/Out |
|-----------------------------------|---|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| Whenuapai Hall Reserve Playground | Installation of additional play equipment for new playground. | \$10,000 | In |

Parks Officer Comments and Recommendations:

- As part of this years Parks Capital Works Programme there is budget allocated to undertake stage three of the Whenuapai Hall Reserve Development. There is a limited amount of budget for drainage works and the installation of a small playground at the reserve. The Whenuapai Ratepayers and Residents wish to apply for extra funding to allow for additional play equipment to be included in the playground development.

2.0 Herald Island Residents and Ratepayers Association

| Project | Description | Preliminary Assessed Cost | Recommendation In/Out |
|--------------------------------------|---|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| Herald Island Domain Basketball Hoop | Installation of Basketball Hoop on existing concrete pad. | \$1,000 | Out |
| Kingsway Reserve Rubbish Bin | Installation of rubbish bin at Kingsway Reserve. | \$1,000 | Out |

Parks Officer Comments and Recommendations:

- The installation of a Basketball Hoop at Herald Island Domain is not recommended for allocation of funding because there is a consultation/noise issue for surrounding residents. Parks do not have the resources available with the current work programme to undertake a consultation process.
- The installation of a rubbish bin at Kingsway Reserve is not recommended for allocation of funding because in accordance with parks policy rubbish bins are a low priority for passive areas, with no corresponding facilities. This is also in accordance with Council's zero waste policy and the direction that council is heading in the future.

3.0 Youth Council

| Project | Description | Preliminary Assessed Cost | Recommendation In/Out |
|-------------------------|---|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| Pooks Reserve Drainage | Drainage of park required. | \$20,000 + | Out |
| Pooks Reserve Furniture | Installation of a seat and picnic table at Pooks Reserve. | \$3,000 | In |

Parks Officer Comments and Recommendations:

The installation of drainage at Pooks Reserve is not recommended for allocation of funding because in accordance with parks policy drainage of passive areas is a low priority. Drainage of Pooks Reserve is not the type of project intended for the purpose of The Community Board Minor Parks Projects and does not fit the criteria i.e. projects are required to be less than \$10,000 in cost.

4.0 Community Letters to Council and Annual Plan Submissions

| Project | Description | Preliminary Assessed Cost | Recommendation In/Out |
|--|--|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| Skatepark for Herald Island and Surrounding Area | Install Skatepark at Herald Island or surrounding area. | \$100,000 + | Out |
| Herald Island Basketball Hoop/Court | Install Halfcourt and Basketball Hoop at Herald Island Domain. | \$10,000 | Out |

Parks Officer Comments and Recommendations:

- The installation of a Skatepark at Herald Island is not recommended for allocation of funding because this is not the type of project intended for the purpose of the Community Board Minor Parks Projects and does not fit the criteria i.e. projects are required to be less than \$10,000 in cost.
- The installation of a Basketball Halfcourt at Herald Island Domain is not recommended for allocation of funding because there is a consultation/noise issue for surrounding residents. Parks do not have the resources available with the current work programme to undertake a consultation process.

5.0 Parks Officer Suggestions/Recommendations for Possible Projects

| Project | Description | Preliminary Assessed Cost | Recommendation In/Out |
|---|--|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| Luckens Reserve Drinking Fountain | Installation of drinking fountain at Luckens Reserve. | \$3,500 | In |
| Waipareira Walkway Signage | Installation of walkway bollard signage along Waipareira Walkway. | \$3,000 | In |
| Starling Park Skatepark Extension | Extend concrete pad and install additional element. | \$10,000 | In |
| Rush Creek and Royal Reserve Seating | Install seating at Royal Reserve and Rush Creek. | \$4,000 | In |
| Starling Park Path Extension, Trees and Seating | Install path link from toilets to skate area, including seating and trees. | \$10,000 | In |

The total cost of project proposals received that are recommended for funding allocation amounts to \$43,500, the Massey Community Board allocation is only \$36,000. (Including carry over of \$6,000 from 2002/2003.)

CONCLUSION

The Community Board has been granted a discretionary budget for park capital development projects for allocation towards projects of \$10,000 or less value in parks. This report puts forward and evaluates projects that have been raised through the Council's consultation processes. The decision on the priority for expenditure lies with the Community Board.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. That the information be received.
2. That Massey Community Board determine the priority for expenditure of the 2003/2004 Community Board Minor Park Projects Budget.

Report prepared by: Katharine Slack, Parks Project Manager.



12 BOARD MEMBERS' REPORTS

Provision has been made on this agenda for Board Members should they so wish to submit a report on their activities during the month in regard to matters within the scope and delegations of the Board. However, to comply with the provisions of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987, no decision may be made on matters raised in Board Members' reports.

MASSEY COMMUNITY BOARD APPOINTMENTS

| OUTSIDE ORGANISATIONS | APPOINTMENT |
|---|-----------------------------|
| Auckland Region Community Boards' Association Executive Committee | Andrew Good |
| Keep Waitakere Beautiful Committee | Peter Chan |
| Massey Citizens Advice Bureau | Peter Chan |
| Massey Community House Committee | Karen Perri |
| Massey Leisure Centre Community Liaison Group | Jean Webster |
| Ranui Action Plan Project | Cr Nash |
| Ranui Community Centre Committee | Cr Nash |
| Henderson Creek Reserve Management Plan | Cr Hoskin |
| Harbourview People's Park Working Party | Andrew Good |
| Moire Road Community Hall | Cr Nash |
| Safe Waitakere | Peter Chan |
| Westpark Marina Working Group | Andrew Good |
| COUNCIL COMMITTEES | |
| Hearings Committee | Jean Webster Karen Perri |
| Community Sports Fund Allocation Subcommittee | Warren Flaunty |

| WORKING GROUPS | |
|-----------------------|-------------|
| Wai Care Programme | Peter Chan |
| Project Twin Streams | Bob Jessopp |

