

**AGENDA FOR A MEETING OF THE TENDERS SUBCOMMITTEE TO BE HELD IN THE
MANUKAU ROOM AT WAITAKERE CENTRAL, 6 HENDERSON VALLEY ROAD,
HENDERSON, WAITAKERE, ON FRIDAY, 1 MAY 2009,
COMMENCING AT 9.00 AM**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>ITEM</u>		<u>PAGE NO.</u>
1	APOLOGIES	1
2	URGENT BUSINESS	1
3	CONFLICTS OF INTEREST	1
4	CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES	1
5	CONTRACT NO. EW09012B - PROJECT TWIN STREAMS COMMUNITY CONTRACT - OPANUKU STREAM 2009 - 2012	2
6	CONTRACT NO. EW09012C - PROJECT TWIN STREAMS COMMUNITY CONTRACT - ORATIA STREAM 2009 - 2012	5
7	CONTRACT NO. EW09012D - PROJECT TWIN STREAMS COMMUNITY CONTRACT - GLEN EDEN 2009 - 2012	8
8	CONTRACT NO. PK09001A - CONTAINMENT PEST PLANT CONTROL	11
9	CONTRACT NO. SP06002N - PROJECT TWIN STREAMS - UPPER OPANUKU STREAM WALKWAY AND CYCLEWAY	15
10	CONTRACT NO. TA08024C - BRIDGE PIER REPAIRS - PHYSICAL WORKS	20

**AGENDA FOR A MEETING OF THE TENDERS SUBCOMMITTEE TO BE HELD IN THE
MANUKAU ROOM AT WAITAKERE CENTRAL, 6 HENDERSON VALLEY ROAD,
HENDERSON, WAITAKERE, ON FRIDAY, 1 MAY 2009,
COMMENCING AT 9.00 AM**

1 APOLOGIES



2 URGENT BUSINESS

Section 46A(7) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 provides that where an item of business is not on the agenda, it may only be dealt with at the meeting if:

- (i) the Committee by resolution so decides; and
- (ii) the Chairman has explained at the beginning of the meeting (when open to the public) that the item will be raised for discussion and decision, why the item is not on the agenda, and why it cannot be delayed until a subsequent meeting.

The Committee may make a decision on a matter determined to be urgent.

NOTE: Urgent Business need not be dealt with now and may be delayed until later in the meeting.



3 CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The Council has acknowledged in its Code of Conduct that Elected Members need to be vigilant to stand aside from decision making when a conflict arises between their role as a member of the Council and any private or other external interest they might have. This note is provided as a reminder to members to check that no such conflicts arise in relation to any items on this agenda.



4 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

Meeting Minutes - Friday, 17 April 2009

RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Tenders Subcommittee resolve to:

Receive the minutes of the meeting of the Tenders Subcommittee held on Friday, 17 April 2009, as circulated, and that they be taken as read and now be confirmed.



5 **CONTRACT NO. EW09012B - PROJECT TWIN STREAMS COMMUNITY CONTRACT - OPANUKU STREAM 2009 - 2012**

GLOSSARY

Project Twin Streams	(PTS)
McLaren Park Henderson South Community Initiative Inc	(MPHS)
Corban Estate Art Centre	(CEAC)

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The purpose of this report is to seek approval from the Tenders Subcommittee to invite McLaren Park Henderson South Community Initiatives Inc (MPHS) to tender for Contract No. EW09012B - Project Twin Streams Community Contract - Opanuku Stream 2009 - 2012 for a three year period. The contract period will start on 1 July 2009 and end on 30 June 2012. This is a multi-year contract to go through till June 2012 when the original funding from Auckland Regional Holdings (previously Infrastructure Auckland) finishes. It is important to the success of Project Twin Streams (PTS) to provide continuity of funding and planning to the community organisations carrying out the stream restoration work. This will help to ensure ongoing commitment and community ownership.

RECOMMENDATIONS

It is recommended that the Tenders Subcommittee resolve to:

1. **Receive** the Contract No. EW09012B - Project Twin Streams Community Contract - Opanuku Stream 2009 - 2012 report.
2. **Approve** that the Deputy Director: City Services invites McLaren Park Henderson South Community Initiative Inc to tender for Contract No. EW09012B - Project Twin Streams Community Contract - Opanuku Stream 2009 - 2012 for a total period of three years from 1 July 2009 to 30 June 2012.
3. **Approve** that the results of the tender evaluation process for Contract No. EW09012B - Project Twin Streams Community Contract - Opanuku Stream 2009 - 2012 be reported back to the Tenders Subcommittee for approval.

BACKGROUND

1. PTS is an exciting and innovative project aimed at restoring five streams that flow out into the Waitemata Harbour through the Huruahu and Henderson Creek catchments as part of stormwater management. These streams are Waikumete Stream, Opanuku Stream, Oratia Stream, Swanson Stream and Henderson Creek. The project is delivered in ways that interweave Council's strategies for economic, cultural, social and environmental wellbeing.
2. Key to the success and sustainability of this project is the engagement of community contract organisations to implement the project in ways that reflect the identity and aspirations of their community. There are now five community organisations contracted to work with their local communities to restore their stream. This work involves having several large community planting days each year, working with local groups and schools to adopt areas of the stream on a long term basis, and engaging people in creative ways to bring about increased understanding of how to care for our streams, thus ensuring long term and sustainable behaviour change both as individuals and as communities.

3. The Lower Opanuku contract has been held by Corban Estate Art Centre (CEAC) since November 2005. In April 2008 the CEAC Board was advised of the opportunity to extend this contract to include the Upper Opanuku area. The indications at that time were that they intended to take this opportunity. However in December 2008 the CEAC Board made the decision not to undertake work in the Upper Opanuku area. In the meantime their current contract had been extended for three months (until 1 March 2009) to allow discussions to take place.
4. Discussions of the situation with the PTS Opanuku staff and management, and within the PTS team at Council led to the agreement that the Opanuku Stream should be treated as a whole stream, not the Upper and Lower Opanuku as two separate entities. Reasons for this include:
 - Branding - much effort and expense has gone into the branding of the Opanuku stream which should represent the whole stream not just the lower half;
 - It builds on the work already done, particularly in terms of relationship building and finding work and motivation for groups already involved. The PTS Opanuku Community Coordinator had already started planning for this; and
 - It would not be cost effective at this stage in the project to set up a whole new community contract with a new organisation.
5. MPHS have expressed their interest in holding this contract in addition to their current contract for the Oratia Stream. Their reasons for this are as follows:
 - The area that McLaren Park Henderson South Community Initiative covers includes all of Henderson South which is a large portion of the Opanuku stream area;
 - Therefore they already have a large stake in the Opanuku stream communities and have significant community engagement initiatives in place such as an after school programme at Henderson South School;
 - They believe that holding the two contracts in tandem will greatly strengthen the sense of community within the Henderson South area which is currently divided in terms of PTS community contract areas;
 - They are confident that they have the capacity for both contracts and will be working collaboratively with CEAC to accommodate the current Community Coordinator working on the Opanuku Stream; and
 - This means as far as the communities currently engaged with PTS Opanuku are concerned, it will be business as usual and the transition to a new contract holder will be relatively simple.

SCOPE OF WORK

6. This tender is for engaging the local community in the rehabilitation of the riparian margin along the Opanuku Stream and raising awareness of the wider vision of PTS. Using a community development model, the contract holder is required to undertake:
 - Planting, weeding and maintenance work in the Opanuku Stream area;
 - To plan and organise community events;
 - To build the capacity of the local community to undertake guardianship of parts of Opanuku Stream;

- To increase community understanding of the causes and effects of riparian degradation and ways to change behaviour to mitigate the effects;
- To assist with monitoring and evaluation of the quadruple bottom line aspects of the project particularly with regard to community participation; and
- To plan for the long term implementation of this project in the Opanuku Stream area through community planting and maintenance activities.

CONTRACTOR'S PERFORMANCE

7. MPHS has played an important role in enhancing and defining the PTS community model and has contributed significantly to its public awareness and education programme. They have also demonstrated:
 - their ability to carry out the work;
 - their commitment to work in partnership with Council; and
 - their commitment to the wider vision of PTS which is closely aligned with their own values and objectives.
8. Inviting MPHS to tender for this contract as a preferred supplier has the following benefits to Council:
 - MPHS has a proven record as well as resources and management competencies to carry out the work required;
 - Learning's from the past three years will be effectively utilised and further developed into the future to the benefit of the whole project; and
 - It builds on the mutually beneficial relationship that Council has developed MPHS.
9. Presently, no other community organisation in the Opanuku Stream area has emerged as a broad based community organisation with the necessary resources and skill set required to carry out the work as outlined in the scope of works above.
10. MPHS has formally expressed its interest in holding this contract.

CREDIT CHECK

11. A credit check on MPHS dated 7 April 2009 revealed no adverse information.

JOB COSTS

12. It is proposed to enter into negotiations with MPHS for work similar to the work carried out by CEAC over the previous contract period from 2007 - 2009. The negotiations over cost will be based on the costs of the 2008/2009 contract with CEAC including consideration of the additional work in the Upper Opanuku area.

SOURCE OF FUNDING

13. The engagement of community organisations to achieve the outcomes and deliverables of PTS is a direct cost associated to the project and as such is claimable against the Auckland Regional Holdings (formerly Infrastructure Auckland) grant.
14. Funding of \$ 4.733 million for this project has been provided in the draft Annual Plan 2009/2010.

IMPLEMENTATION ISSUES

15. There are no expected implementation issues with this contract.

Report prepared by: Meredith Youngson, Social Programme Coordinator, Project Twin Streams.



6 CONTRACT NO. EW09012C - PROJECT TWIN STREAMS COMMUNITY CONTRACT - ORATIA STREAM 2009 - 2012

GLOSSARY

Project Twin Streams	(PTS)
McLaren Park Henderson South Community Initiative Inc	(MPHS)

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The purpose of this report is to seek approval from the Tenders Subcommittee to invite McLaren Park Henderson South Community Initiatives Inc (MPHS) to tender for Contract No. EW09012C - Project Twin Streams Community Contract - Oratia Stream 2009 - 2012 for a three year period. The contract period will start on 1 July 2009 and end on 30 June 2012. This is a multi-year contract to go through till June 2012 when the original funding from Auckland Regional Holdings (previously Infrastructure Auckland) finishes. It is important to the success of Project Twin Streams (PTS) to provide continuity of funding and planning to the community organisations carrying out the stream restoration work. This will help to ensure ongoing commitment and community ownership.

RECOMMENDATIONS

It is recommended that the Tenders Subcommittee resolve to:

1. **Receive** the Contract No. EW09012C - Project Twin Streams Community Contract - Oratia Stream 2009 - 2012 report.
2. **Approve** that the Deputy Director: City Services invite McLaren Park Henderson South Community Initiative Inc to tender for Contract No. EW09012C - Project Twin Streams Community Contract - Oratia Stream 2009 - 2012 for a total period of three years from 1 July 2009 to 30 June 2012.
3. **Approve** that the results of the tender evaluation process for Contract No. EW09012C - Project Twin Streams Community Contract - Oratia Stream 2009 - 2012 be reported back to the Tenders Subcommittee for approval.

BACKGROUND

1. PTS is an exciting and innovative project aimed at restoring five streams that flow out into the Waitemata Harbour through the Huruahu and Henderson Creek catchments as part of storm water management. These streams are Waikumete Stream, Opanuku Stream, Oratia Stream, Swanson Stream and Henderson Creek. The project is delivered in ways that interweave Council's strategies for economic, cultural, social and environmental wellbeing.

2. Key to the success and sustainability of this project is the engagement of community contract organisations to implement the project in ways that reflect the identity and aspirations of their community. There are now five community organisations contracted to work with their local communities to restore their stream. This work involves having several large community planting days each year, working with local groups and schools to adopt areas of the stream on a long term basis, and engaging people in creative ways to bring about increased understanding of how to care for our streams, thus ensuring long term and sustainable behaviour change both as individuals and as communities.
3. MPHS has held the community contract for the Oratia Stream since 1 January 2007. The initial contract followed a selection process which involved interviewing several community organisations in the Oratia Stream area and establishing their suitability according to the following criteria:
 - Governance;
 - Management Structure;
 - Resources;
 - Track Record; and
 - Financial stability.
4. MPHS has made significant progress in terms of contributing to the rehabilitation of the riparian margins in the Oratia Stream area, and engaging with local residents, schools, groups and businesses towards the quadruple bottom line aims of PTS. Particular achievements include:
 - Over 36,000 plants planted by the community;
 - Six schools and over twenty community groups and businesses actively engaged in regular planting and maintenance activities;
 - The Millbrook Edible Garden has been an exciting and innovative community engagement tool that also encourages people to think about the wider PTS vision of sustainability. The success of this initiative has also focused attention and energy on the stream restoration aspects of the project;
 - MPHS has successfully engaged with Westfield at West City which has led to increased work on the Oratia Stream around West City as well as opportunities to have PTS displays in the Westfield Mall;
 - Workshops aimed at raising awareness of environmental issues and supporting positive behaviour changes in the community have been carried out with community groups and the wider community. These include Stream Restoration workshops and Maori Traditional Uses of the Forest. Every community planting day is also used as an opportunity to educate and inspire people to look after their streams and waterways; and
 - Creative engagements have included the creation of a PTS rap by the Young Believers Youth Group, and stunning murals at Bruce McLaren Intermediate, Sunnyvale Primary and Holy Cross School.

SCOPE OF WORK

5. This tender is for engaging the local community in the rehabilitation of the riparian margin along the Oratia Stream and raising awareness of the wider vision of PTS. Using a community development model, the contract holder is required to undertake:
 - Planting, weeding and maintenance work in the Oratia Stream area;
 - To plan and organise community events;

- To build the capacity of the local community to undertake guardianship of parts of Oratia Stream;
- To increase community understanding of the causes and effects of riparian degradation and ways to change behaviour to mitigate the effects;
- To assist with monitoring and evaluation of the quadruple bottom line aspects of the project particularly with regard to community participation; and
- To plan for the long term implementation of this project in the Oratia Stream area through community planting and maintenance activities.

CONTRACTOR'S PERFORMANCE

6. MPHS has played an important role in enhancing and defining the PTS community model and has contributed significantly to its public awareness and education programme. They have also demonstrated:
 - their ability to carry out the work;
 - their commitment to work in partnership with Waitakere City Council; and
 - their commitment to the wider vision of PTS which is closely aligned with their own values and objectives.
7. Inviting MPHS to tender for this contract as a preferred supplier has the following benefits to Council:
 - MPHS has a proven record as well as resources and management competencies to carry out the work required;
 - Learning's from the past three years will be effectively utilised and further developed into the future to the benefit of the whole project; and
 - It builds on the mutually beneficial relationship that Council has developed MPHS.
8. Presently, no other community organisation in the Oratia Stream area has emerged as a broad based community organisation with the necessary resources and skill set required to carry out the work as outlined in the scope of works above.
9. MPHS has expressed its interest in renewing its contract for a further period.

CREDIT CHECK

10. A credit check on MPHS dated 7 April 2009 revealed no adverse information.

JOB COSTS

11. It is proposed to enter into negotiations with MPHS for work similar to the work carried out by them over the previous contract period from 2007 - 2009. The negotiations over cost will be based on the costs of the 2008/2009 contract.

SOURCE OF FUNDING

12. The engagement of community organisations to achieve the outcomes and deliverables of PTS is a direct cost associated to the project and as such is claimable against the Auckland Regional Holdings (formerly Infrastructure Auckland) grant.

13. Funding of \$4.733 million for this project has been provided in the draft Annual Plan 2009/2010.

IMPLEMENTATION ISSUES

14. There are no expected implementation issues with this contract.

Report prepared by: Meredith Youngson, Social Programme Coordinator, Project Twin Streams.



7 CONTRACT NO. EW09012D - PROJECT TWIN STREAMS COMMUNITY CONTRACT - GLEN EDEN 2009 - 2012

GLOSSARY

Project Twin Streams	(PTS)
EcoMatters Environment Trust	(EcoMatters)

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The purpose of this report is to seek approval from the Tenders Subcommittee to invite EcoMatters Environment Trust (EcoMatters) to tender for Contract No. EW09012D - Project Twin Streams Community Contract - Glen Eden 2009 - 2012 for a three year period. The contract period will start on 1 July 2009 and end on 30 June 2012. This is a multi- year contract to go through till June 2012 when the original funding from Auckland Regional Holdings (previously Infrastructure Auckland) finishes. It is important to the success of Project Twin Streams (PTS) to provide continuity of funding and planning to the community organisations carrying out the stream restoration work. This will help to ensure ongoing commitment and community ownership.

RECOMMENDATIONS

It is recommended that the Tenders Subcommittee resolve to:

1. **Receive** the Contract No. EW09012D - Project Twin Streams Community Contract - Glen Eden 2009 - 2012 report.
2. **Approve** that the Deputy Director: City Services invite Ecomatters Environment Trust to tender for Contract No. EW09012D - Project Twin Streams Community Contract - Glen Eden 2009 - 2012 for a total period of three years from 1 July 2009 to 30 June 2012.
3. **Approve** that the results of the tender evaluation process for Contract No. EW09012D - Project Twin Streams Community Contract - Glen Eden 2009 - 2012 be reported back to the Tenders Subcommittee for approval.

BACKGROUND

1. PTS is an exciting and innovative project aimed at restoring five streams that flow out into the Waitemata Harbour through the Huruuru and Henderson Creek catchments as part of storm water management. These streams are Waikumete Stream, Opanuku Stream, Oratia Stream, Swanson Stream and Henderson Creek. The project is delivered in ways that interweave Council's strategies for economic, cultural, social and environmental wellbeing.

2. Key to the success and sustainability of this project is the engagement of community contract organisations to implement the project in ways that reflect the identity and aspirations of their community. There are now five community organisations contracted to work with their local communities to restore their stream. This work involves having several large community planting days each year, working with local groups and schools to adopt areas of the stream on a long term basis, and engaging people in creative ways to bring about increased understanding of how to care for our streams, thus ensuring long term and sustainable behaviour change both as individuals and as communities.
3. EcoMatters has held the community contract for the Waikumete Stream since 1 September 2006. The initial contract followed a selection process which involved interviewing several community organisations in the Glen Eden area and establishing their suitability according to the following criteria:
 - Governance;
 - Management Structure;
 - Resources;
 - Track Record; and
 - Financial stability.
4. EcoMatters have met their contract deliverables for current PTS Glen Eden Community Contract. Their contract is delivered in collaboration with Glen Eden Primary School and works closely with all the schools in the area. As a result a number of schools have incorporated work on PTS into their school curriculum
5. EcoMatters has made significant progress in terms of contributing to the rehabilitation of the riparian margins in the Glen Eden area, and engaging with local residents, schools, groups and businesses towards the quadruple bottom line aims of PTS. Particular achievements include:
 - Over 36,000 plants planted by the community.
 - Seven schools and over fifteen community groups and organisations are actively engaged in regular planting and maintenance activities. PTS Glen Eden enjoys the involvement of a diverse range of Community organisations, cultures, religious groups and ethnicities on our project including members of Genesis Training Centre, Sukyo Mahikari and the Auckland Muslim Girls Association.
 - Weed and Native Plant flipcharts have been produced by PTS Glen Eden in partnership with Weedfree Waitakere Trust with input from all the PTS Community Coordinators and Riparian Coordinators.
 - Due to the large number of Enviroschools in the area, PTS Glen Eden has held workshops with teachers on a regular basis to find ways to incorporate PTS work and learnings into curriculum activities. This has included a very successful two day session with children at Glen Eden Primary where each class participated in a rotating range of PTS based activities. These were an art activity, a library activity, a planting activity, a drama activity, a writing activity and viewing the PTS movie - "Guardians on the Mauri".
 - Workshops aimed at raising awareness of environmental issues and supporting positive behaviour changes in the community have been carried out with community groups and the wider community. These include Stream Restoration workshops and Maori Traditional Uses of the Forest. Every community planting day is also used as an opportunity to educate and inspire people to look after their streams and waterways.

- Creative engagements have included working with Kids for Drama to provide Drama Workshops for 10 schools in the PTS area - the PTS Glen Eden Theatre in Education Project. Green Bay Primary created a costume for PTS in the Schools Trash to Fashion event. Konini School, Kaurilands School and Titirangi School will participate in an art exhibition at Lopdell House in November with the theme 'Our Streams, Our Dreams'.

SCOPE OF WORK

6. This tender is for engaging the local community in the rehabilitation of the riparian margin along Waikumete Stream and raising awareness of the wider vision of PTS. Using a community development model, the contract holder is required to undertake:
 - Planting, weeding and maintenance work of the Waikumete Stream;
 - To plan and organise community events;
 - To build the capacity of the local community to undertake guardianship of parts of Waikumete Stream;
 - To increase community understanding of the causes and effects of riparian degradation and ways to change behaviour to mitigate the effects;
 - To assist with monitoring and evaluation of the quadruple bottom line aspects of the project particularly with regard to community participation; and
 - To plan for the long term implementation of this project in the Glen Eden area through community planting and maintenance activities.

CONTRACTOR'S PERFORMANCE

7. EcoMatters has played an important role in enhancing and defining the PTS community model and has contributed significantly to its public awareness and education programme. They have also demonstrated:
 - their ability to carry out the work;
 - their commitment to work in partnership with Council; and
 - their commitment to the wider vision of PTS which is closely aligned with their own values and objectives.
8. Inviting EcoMatters to tender for this contract as a preferred supplier has the following benefits to Council:
 - EcoMatters has a proven record as well as resources and management competencies to carry out the work required;
 - Learning's from the past three years will be effectively utilised and further developed into the future to the benefit of the whole project; and
 - It builds on the mutually beneficial relationship that Council has developed EcoMatters.
9. Presently, no other community organisation in the Glen Eden area has emerged as a broad based community organisation with the necessary resources and skill set required to carry out the work as outlined in the scope of works above.
10. EcoMatters has expressed its interest in renewing its contract for a further period.

CREDIT CHECK

11. A credit check on EcoMatters dated 2 April 2009 revealed no adverse information.

JOB COSTS

12. It is proposed to enter into negotiations with Ecomatters for work similar to the work carried out by them over the previous contract period from 2006 - 2009. The negotiations over cost will be based on the costs of the 2008/2009 contract.

SOURCE OF FUNDING

13. The engagement of community organisations to achieve the outcomes and deliverables of PTS is a direct cost associated to the project and as such is claimable against the Auckland Regional Holdings (formerly Infrastructure Auckland) grant.
14. Funding of \$4.733 million for this project has been provided in the draft Annual Plan 2009/2010.

IMPLEMENTATION ISSUES

15. There are no expected implementation issues with this contract.

Report prepared by: Meredith Youngson, Social Programme Coordinator, Project Twin Streams.



8 CONTRACT NO. PK09001A - CONTAINMENT PEST PLANT CONTROL

GLOSSARY

Targeted Pest Solutions Limited (TPS)

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The purpose of this report is to seek approval from the Tenders Subcommittee to award Contract No. PK09001A - Containment Pest Plant Control for a period of two (2) years from 1 July 2009 to 30 June 2011 on the basis of one (1) year plus one (1) year subject to the performance of the contractor, the availability of funding and at the sole discretion of the Council.

RECOMMENDATIONS

It is recommended that the Tenders Subcommittee resolve to:

1. **Receive** the Contract No. PK09001A - Containment Pest Plant Control report.
2. **Approve** that, subject to a satisfactory credit check, the tender from Targeted Pest Solutions Limited for Contract No. PK09001A - Containment Pest Plant Control in the sum of \$146,646.16 plus \$18,330.77 GST, totalling \$164,976.93 be accepted.
3. **Approve** the commitment of \$146,646.16 excluding GST to the draft Annual Plan 2009/2010 for Contract No. PK09001A - Containment Pest Plant Control.

4. **Approve** the commitment of \$146,646.16 excluding GST to the draft Annual Plan 2010/2011 for Contract No. PK09001A - Containment Pest Plant Control.
5. **Approve** that authority to enter into Contract No. PK09001A - Containment Pest Plant Control on behalf of the Council be delegated to the Deputy Director: City Services.
6. **Approve** that authority to award cumulative contract variations to Contract No. PK09001A - Containment Pest Plant Control, up to the value of the contingency sum of \$14,640.00 plus GST for each year of the contract, on behalf of the Council be delegated to the Deputy Director: City Services.

BACKGROUND

1. During the 1996/1997 financial year a comprehensive Woolly Nightshade control programme began in various parks within Waitakere. In the 1999/2000 financial year, an intensive control programme was set up to control two (2) species of Wild Ginger.
2. In 2003 as part of Council's weed management programme, a contract was created to meet Council's legal obligation as a land owner under the Auckland Regional Pest Management Strategy.
3. In 2003 Techscape Limited was awarded, through the public tender process, Contract No. PK03623B - Legal Weed Control for a period of two (2) years with a renewal period one (1) plus one (1) plus one (1) years rolled over at the discretion of the Principal. Two (2) extension periods of six (6) months each were awarded during the 2008/2009 financial period.
4. Although the contractor has performed well during the contract period it was considered that there were potential benefits to Council in publicly calling for tenders on the basis of updating the contract specifications and requirements. The existing contract has completed all rights of renewal and will terminate on 30 June 2009.

SCOPE OF WORK

5. The requirements of the contract are to control or contain the following weed species in 381 parks and reserves citywide as follows:

Containment Pest Plants (removal)

- Bathurst bur (xanthium spinosum);
- Bushy asparagus (asparagus densiflorus);
- Evergreen buckthorn (Rhamnus alaternus);
- Kahili ginger (Hedychium gardnerianum);
- Mignonette vind (Anredera cordifolia);
- Moth plant (Araujia sericifera);
- Nodding thistle (Carduus nutans);
- Smilax (Asparagus asparagoides);
- Variegated thistle (Silybum marianum);
- Woolly nightshade (Solanum maruritianum); and
- Yellow ginger (Hedychium flavescens).

Containment Pest Plants (boundary control)

- Australian sedge (*Carex longbrachiata*);
 - Gorse (*Ulex europaeus*); and
 - Ragwort (*Senecio jacobaea*).
6. The contract requires weekly work programmes and reporting on work progress. Information is required about populations of weeds found and controlled, and populations of additional weed species. There is also provision in this contract for service requests for weed control in parks and reserves.

TENDERS RECEIVED

7. Tenders were publicly advertised on Tenderlink with eleven (11) sets of documents being uplifted. Three (3) tenders were received by the closing date of 10 March 2009. These are summarised in Table 1 below:

TENDERER	REG OFFICE	TENDER PRICE (excl. GST)
Target Pest Solutions Limited	Mairangi Bay	\$146,646.16
Bilfinger Berger (New Zealand) Limited	Henderson	\$211,870.46
City Parks Services Limited	Onehunga	\$233,860.75
Pre-tender Engineer's estimate (based on most up-to-date tender rate)		\$209,000.00
Budget estimate		\$210,000.00

Table 1 - Summary of Tender Prices

TAGS, ERRORS OR OMISSIONS

8. There was one (1) mathematical error in the tender submitted by City Parks Services Limited whereby one (1) item was calculated incorrectly. This was not addressed as it did not affect the outcome of the tender evaluation process.

TENDER EVALUATION

9. In accordance with the conditions of tendering, tenders were evaluated in line with the Weighted Attribute Method contained in the New Zealand Transport Agency's "Competitive Pricing Procedures Manual".
10. These procedures require that where the tender includes Provisional Sums (work valued by the Principal and placed within the Schedule of Prices) they are deducted from the Tender Sum prior to evaluating each tender. In this contract there are no Provisional Sums.

11. A summary of the evaluation results is shown in Table 2:

Tender Evaluation	Attributes	Exper.	Track Record	Tech. Skills	Resources	Manag. Skill	Method.	Price	Total Weight
	Weights Tender Sum	8	8	9	8	9	8	50	100
Tenderer		Grades							INDEX
Targeted Pest Solutions Limited	\$146,646.16	58	75	75	75	64	72	81	75
Bilfinger Berger Services (New Zealand) Limited	\$211,870.46	85	75	80	85	75	80	50	65
City Parks Services	\$223,860.75	65	70	80	85	75	75	44	60
Pre-tender Engineer's Estimate	\$209,000.00								

Table 2 - Summary of Tender Evaluation

12. Scores for the non-price attributes were determined on the basis of information provided in each tender, on the information gathered from the referees nominated by the tenderers and on any recent Council experience with the tenderers.
13. The results of the tender evaluation as summarised in Table 2 shows that the tender submitted by Targeted Pest Solutions Limited (TPS) achieved the highest index of seventy five (75). This tender is also the lowest priced tender.
14. TPS are a privately owned company that was formed in June 2007.
15. TPS have successfully completed work of a similar nature in the 2008/2009 financial year.
16. TPS has the necessary expertise and resources to satisfactorily carry out the works.
17. Reference checks made on a selection of clients indicated a well-resourced, well-managed and capable contractor.
18. The lowest tender is approximately 30% lower than the pre-tender contract estimate.
19. Methodologies submitted by tenderers all met the requirements of Contract No. PK09001A - Containment Pest Plant Control. All companies are incumbent park service providers for similar works to territorial local authorities, with all tenderers able to provide examples of successful contracts.
20. The price difference between the lowest and highest priced tenderers primarily related to scheduled rates and methodologies for contract requirements. It is not considered that the difference between tendered prices represents a failure by any tenderer to understand the terms of Contract No. PK09001A - Containment Pest Plant Control, nor is it considered that the lowest price submitted is inadequate to service the requirements of the contract.
21. As part of Council's contracting process "Quality, Health and Safety and Environmental compliance are not negotiable" - all contractors have had this focus clearly reinforced through the contract documents and this will be strongly reiterated at the subsequent pre-start meeting and imposed during the contract period.

CREDIT CHECK

22. A credit check on TPS dated 14 April 2009 revealed no adverse information.

JOB COSTS

23.		excl. GST
	Tender sum - (TPS)	\$146,646.16
	Contingency	\$ 14,640.00

	Total Job Cost	\$161,286.16

SOURCE OF FUNDING

24. Source of funding is shown in Table 3 below:

Budget Description (from annual budget line item) Annual Plan 2009/2010	Codes	Budget	Committed to other projects	Allocation to this project	Unallocated balance	Potential surplus
Weed and Pest Maintenance	5190	\$888,000	\$678,000	\$161,286.16	\$48,713.84	\$48,713.84
	Total			\$161,286.16		

Table 3 - Source of Funding

IMPLEMENTATION ISSUES

25. There are no expected implementation issues with this contract.

Report prepared by: Roscoe Webb, Principal Contracts Planner.



9 CONTRACT NO. SP06002N - PROJECT TWIN STREAMS - UPPER OPANUKU STREAM WALKWAY AND CYCLEWAY

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The purpose of this report is to seek approval from the Tenders Subcommittee to award Contract No. SP06002N - Project Twin Streams - Upper Opanuku Stream Walkway And Cycleway.

RECOMMENDATIONS

It is recommended that the Tenders Subcommittee resolve to:

1. **Receive** the Contract No. SP06002N - Project Twin Streams - Upper Opanuku Stream Walkway And Cycleway report.
2. **Approve** that the tender from Smith & Davies Civil Limited for Contract No. SP06002N - Project Twin Streams - Upper Opanuku Stream Walkway And Cycleway in the sum of \$1,006,142.71 plus \$125,767.84 GST, totalling \$1,131,910.55 be accepted.

3. **Approve** that authority to enter into Contract No. SP06002N - Project Twin Streams - Upper Opanuku Stream Walkway And Cycleway on behalf of the Council be delegated to the Group Manager: Project Services.

BACKGROUND

1. Contract No. SP06002N forms part of the Council's programme of construction of walkway and cycleways as part of Project Twin Streams. This contract is the seventh stage in the construction of these walkway and cycleways. This stage is new work approved through the Annual Plan 2008/2009 and partially funded through grants from New Zealand Transport Agency and Infrastructure Auckland (administered by Auckland Regional Holdings).

SCOPE OF WORK

2. The scope of work includes the construction of a 1.8 kilometre long, 3.0 metre wide walkway and cycleway between Border Road and South Kensington Way, adjacent to the Opanuku Stream, Henderson. The walkway and cycleway will be predominately constructed of cast in-situ concrete, with two steel/timber bridges and two timber retaining walls. Fencing, stormwater drainage and stream bank stabilisation will be required. Signage and transition work will also be required where the walkway and cycleway intersects with the local streets.
3. The walkway and cycleway is located in a highly sensitive environment, with the majority of the works being undertaken within 20 metres of the Opanuku and Anamata Streams.

TENDERS RECEIVED

4. Tenders were publicly advertised on Tenderlink with 69 sets of documents being uplifted. Seventeen tenders were received by the closing date of 31 March 2009. These are summarised in Table 1.

TENDERER	REG OFFICE	TENDER PRICE (excl. GST)
Smith & Davies Civil Limited	1-5 Kawana Street, Northcote	\$1,006,142.71
Ground Structures Limited	215 Point View Drive, Howick	\$1,147,750.60
HEB Construction Limited	Firth Street, Drury	\$1,245,544.47
Infrastructure & Civilworks Limited	16 Saturn Place, North Harbour	\$1,253,358.88
H & H Contractors Limited	12A Culperry Road, Glendene	\$1,285,625.00
Traffic Systems Limited	681E Rosebank Road, Avondale	\$1,285,808.69
Nasey Contractors Limited	6 Tait Place, Albany	\$1,322,099.00
North Harbour Paving & Construction Limited	102 Beach Haven Road, Beach Haven	\$1,382,449.20
Adams Landscapes Limited	53 Tidal Road, Mangere	\$1,418,787.55
Downer EDI Works Limited	103 Carbine Road, Mount Wellington	Disqualified
McKenzie & Parma Limited	14 Rawson Way, Takanini	Disqualified
City Siteworks Limited	2/31 Victoria Street, Onehunga	Disqualified
Fort Project Management Limited	Level 7, 85 Fort Street, Auckland	Disqualified
GK Civil Limited	339 Lincoln Road, Henderson	Disqualified
John Fillmore Contracting Limited	Corner of Kirkbride Road & Creamery Road, Mangere	Disqualified
P & M Civil Limited	15 Mamari Road, Whenuapai	Disqualified
Rogers Civil Limited	79 Patiki Road, Avondale	Disqualified
Pre-tender contract estimate (based on most up-to-date tender rate)		\$1,750,000.00
Budget estimate		\$1,800,000.00

Table 1 - Summary of Tender Prices

TAGS, ERRORS OR OMISSIONS

5. The tenders from Adams Landscapes Limited and Traffic Systems Limited both had one tag each. These were not addressed as they did not affect the outcome of the tender evaluation process.
6. The tender from Infrastructure & Civilworks Limited had two tags. The tenderer withdrew the tags and confirmed that their tender price was not affected.
7. The tenders from Ground Structures Limited, HEB Construction Limited, H & H Contractors Limited and North Harbour Paving & Construction Limited all contained minor mathematical errors. These were not addressed as they did not affect the outcome of the tender evaluation process.
8. The tender from Smith & Davies Civil Limited contained two mathematical errors resulting in the tender sum in their Schedule of Prices being \$17,500.00 higher than the tendered price. When advised of the error, the tenderer confirmed that their price was not affected.
9. There were no other tags, errors or omissions in the other tenders.

TENDER EVALUATION

10. In accordance with the conditions of tendering, tenders were evaluated in line with the Weighted Attribute Method contained in the New Zealand Transport Agency's "Competitive Pricing Procedures Manual".
11. These procedures require that where the tender includes Provisional Sums (work valued by the Principal and placed within the Schedule of Prices) they are deducted from the Tender Sum prior to evaluating each tender.
12. In this contract the value of Provisional Sums is \$43,000.
13. The "Two Envelope Process," as described in Section 2.7 of the above manual, was followed. Under this method, only the first tender envelope is opened and the non-price attributes evaluated for all tenders before the second envelope containing the tender price is opened, and the tender prices are then duly included in the evaluation.
14. A summary of the evaluation results is shown in Table 2.

Tender Evaluation	Attributes	Exper	Track Record	Tech. Skill	Resour	Manag. Skill	Method	Price	Contract Number SP06002N
	Weights	10	10	2	1	2	5	70	Total Weights
Tenderer	Tender Sum	Grades							INDEX
Smith & Davies Civil Limited	\$963,142.71	55	50	63	55	50	43	72	66
Ground Structures Limited	\$1,104,750.60	60	65	55	58	60	65	61	61
Nasey Contractors Limited	\$1,279,099.00	93	93	75	60	80	70	47	59

Tender Evaluation	Attributes	Exper	Track Record	Tech. Skill	Resour	Manag. Skill	Method	Price	Contract Number SP06002N
									Total Weights
HEB Construction Limited	\$1,202,544.47	85	60	75	75	55	65	53	58
Traffic Systems Limited	\$1,242,808.69	85	53	65	65	55	55	50	55
H & H Contractors Limited	\$1,242,625.00	55	65	65	63	60	50	50	53
Infrastructure & Civilworks Limited	\$1,210,358.88	63	40	53	55	40	50	53	52
North Harbour Paving & Construction Limited	\$1,339,449.20	55	63	65	68	65	55	42	47
Adams Landscapes Limited	\$1,375,787.55	55	53	53	55	63	60	39	44
Downer EDI Works Limited	Disqualified							0	Disqualified
McKenzie & Parma Limited	Disqualified							0	Disqualified
City Siteworks Limited	Disqualified						35		Disqualified
GK Civil Limited	Disqualified		35						Disqualified
Rogers Civil Limited	Disqualified						35		Disqualified
John Fillmore Contracting Limited	Disqualified							0	Disqualified
Fort Project Management Limited	Disqualified						0		Disqualified
P & M Civil Limited	Disqualified						0		Disqualified
Median Tender Price	\$1,242,625.00								

Table 2 - Summary of Tender Evaluation

15. Scores for the non-price attributes were determined on the basis of information provided in each tender, on the information gathered from the referees nominated by the tenderers and on any recent Council experience with the tenderers.
16. John Fillmore Contracting Limited faxed the Council to advise that they had completed and submitted the wrong Schedule of Prices with their tender. This tender was disqualified.
17. Downer EDI Works Limited and McKenzie & Parma Limited also completed and submitted the wrong Schedule of Prices with their tenders. These tenders were disqualified on opening of the price envelopes.
18. The tenders submitted by Fort Project Management Limited and P & M Civil Limited did not include a methodology. These tenders failed the methodology attribute and were disqualified.

19. City Siteworks Limited were disqualified for methodology. The methodology statement in their tender contained generic contract management information only and failed to demonstrate any understanding of the scope of work to be undertaken, or of the site specific issues and conditions likely to be encountered, particularly with relation to working in a stream environment. The tenderer did not demonstrate any relevant experience, having worked predominantly on car park construction contracts of a much smaller value. The tenderer also proposed a large number of subcontractors, but did not provide any information about them.
20. GK Civil Limited were disqualified for track record due to their poor performance in complying with consent conditions relating to works in the stream bank on a previous contract for the Council. The walkway and cycleway is located in a highly sensitive environment close to the Opanuku and Anamata Streams. The consent conditions for this contract are extremely onerous, so it is critical that the Contractor has an acceptable track record in this regard. In their methodology, the tenderer did not demonstrate any understanding of the environment in which they would be working. Their methodology did not include any information on methods to minimise environmental damage, even though these were specifically requested in the Conditions of Tendering and Tender Process.
21. Rogers Civil Limited were disqualified for methodology. The methodology statement in their tender did not include any of the information specifically requested in the Conditions of Tendering and Tender Process. The tenderer stated in their methodology that this information would not be provided until contract award. This was deemed unacceptable.
22. The results of the tender evaluation as summarised in Table 2 shows that the tender submitted by Smith & Davies Civil Limited achieved the highest index of 66. This tender is also the lowest priced tender.
23. Smith & Davies Civil Limited have little relevant experience and have previously only undertaken two contracts of much lesser value for the Council. The tenderer's management of these contracts was poor, with liquidated damages being imposed on one of the contracts. For these reasons, Smith and Davies Civil Limited scored 55 and 50 for Relevant Experience and Track Record respectively. The contract management and supervision costs associated with this contract have therefore been increased from \$100,000 to \$130,000 to ensure that sufficient time and resources can be allocated to monitor and manage the additional risks associated with this contract.
24. The lowest tender is 43% below the pre-tender contract estimate.
25. There is a significant difference between the pre-tender contract estimate and the submitted tenders. The pre-tender contract estimate was carried out by a consultant and was based on tendered rates for walkway and cycleway contracts that were tendered prior to the recent economic downturn.
26. As part of Council's contracting process, "Quality, Health and Safety and Environmental compliance are not negotiable." All contractors have had this focus clearly reinforced through the contract documents and this will be strongly reiterated at the subsequent pre-start meeting and imposed during the contract period.

CREDIT CHECK

27. A credit check on Smith & Davies Civil Limited dated 25 March 2009 revealed no adverse information.

JOB COSTS

28.		excl. GST
	Tender sum - Smith & Davies Civil Limited	\$1,006,142.71
	Contingency	\$ 100,000.00
	Engineering and Supervision	\$ 130,000.00

	Total Job Cost	\$1,236,142.71

SOURCE OF FUNDING

29. Source of funding is shown in Table 3 below:

Budget Description (from annual budget line item) Annual Plan 2008/2009	Codes	Budget	Committed to other projects	Allocation to this project	Unallocated balance	Potential surplus
Upper Opanuku Walkway & Cycleway	8AMTA-07-101	\$2,800,000.00	\$604,467.00	\$1,236,142.71	\$959,390.29	\$0.00
	Total			\$1,236,142.71		

Table 3 - Source of Funding

IMPLEMENTATION ISSUES

30. Additional monitoring and management of this contract will be required to mitigate against the perceived risks due to the tenderer's previous performance on physical works contracts for the Council.

Report prepared by: Jacqui Robson, Principal Engineer: Special Projects.



10 CONTRACT NO. TA08024C - BRIDGE PIER REPAIRS - PHYSICAL WORKS

GLOSSARY

Triangle Road Bridge	(TRB)
Central Park Drive Bridge	(CPDB)
The Fletcher Construction Company Limited trading as Brian Perry Civil	(BPC)

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The purpose of this report is to seek approval from the Tenders Subcommittee to award Contract No. TA08024C - Bridge Pier Repairs - Physical Works.

RECOMMENDATIONS

It is recommended that the Tenders Subcommittee resolve to:

1. **Receive** the Contract No. TA08024C - Bridge Pier Repairs - Physical Works report.
2. **Approve** that the tender from The Fletcher Construction Company Limited trading as Brian Perry Civil for Contract No. TA08024C - Bridge Pier Repairs - Physical Works in the sum of \$372,622.36 plus \$46,577.80 GST, totalling \$419,200.16 be accepted.

3. **Approve** that authority to enter into Contract No. TA08024C - Bridge Pier Repairs - Physical Works on behalf of the Council be delegated to the Group Manager: Project Services.

BACKGROUND

1. Triangle Road Bridge (TRB) and Central Park Drive Bridge (CPDB) are fundamental to local traffic circulation and distribution in Waitakere and surrounding regions. TRB was built in 1966 and CPDB in 1974.
2. The bi-annual bridge inspections programme 2006/2007 revealed cracking, rust staining and signs of concrete spalling in the bridge piers of TRB and CPDB. Following further investigation including core sampling and testing, the pier reinforcing steel of both bridges was found to have advanced corrosion above low tide level, and the concrete strength compromised due to mosaic cracking from chloride contamination. TRB and CPDB have been assessed to be in a critical state of repair and requiring urgent attention. Ten (10) of the twelve (12) reinforced concrete structural piers - four (4) at TRB and six (6) at CPDB - require substantial remedial work as reported in the consultant's assessment carried out in early 2008.
3. Funding for this work was approved by the Council in the Annual Plan 2008/2009.

SCOPE OF WORK

4. This contract is for the physical works associated with supply, fabrication and installation of steel jackets to be constructed around 10 structural bridge piers. The structural piers are at TRB and CPDB in Henderson.
5. The retrofitted jackets consist of 17 tonnes of grade 250 steel protected by a 200 micron thick corrosion protection layer (combination of zinc and epoxy)

TENDERS RECEIVED

6. Tenders were publicly advertised on Tenderlink with twenty seven (27) sets of documents being uplifted. Five (5) tenders were received by the closing date of 31 March 2009. These are summarised in Table 1 below:

TENDERER	REG OFFICE	TENDER PRICE (excl. GST)
The Fletcher Construction Company Limited trading as Brian Perry Civil	810 Great North Road, Penrose, Auckland	\$372,622.36*
FibreTek Limited	8 Pintner Place , Howick , Auckland	\$495,381.90
Conspec Construction Limited	273 Devonport Road, Tauranga	\$508,347.00
Fort Project Management Limited	Level 7, 85 Fort Street, CBD, Auckland City 1010	Disqualified
Auckland Balustrade, Fence and Gate Installers	50 Keeling Road, Henderson, Waitakere City.	Disqualified
Pre-tender contract estimate (based on most up-to-date tender rates)		\$534,349.00
Budget estimate		\$550,000.00

*Refer to item nine (9)

Table 1 - Summary of Tender Prices

TAGS, ERRORS OR OMISSIONS

7. The tender submitted by The Fletcher Construction Company Limited trading as Brian Perry Civil (BPC) contained minor tags within their non-price attribute submission that were addressed and removed. BPC confirmed in writing that they are able to meet the tight 50 day contract timeframe assuming a tender award date of 4 May 2009 and an assumed start on site by 11 May 2009.
8. The tenders submitted by Conspec Construction Limited and FibreTek Limited contained minor tags and ambiguities within their non-price attribute submissions that were acceptably clarified. Both confirmed their ability to meet the assigned 50 day programme assuming a start on site date by 11 May 2009.
9. The original tender price from BPC (\$344,625.36 excl. GST) omitted the two Provisional Sum amounts (amounts valued by the Principal) together with the value of the percentage mark-up resulting in the tender sum being \$27,997.00 lower than the true total. These errors were discussed with BPC who requested that this be taken into account and their tender price adjusted to the correct Schedule of Prices total. This was carried out in accordance with clauses WCT6.1, 11.2 and 11.3 of the General Conditions of Tender and Tender Process.
10. There were no other errors or omissions in the other tenders.

TENDER EVALUATION

11. In accordance with the conditions of tendering, tenders were evaluated in line with the Weighted Attribute Method contained in the New Zealand Transport Agency's "Competitive Pricing Procedures Manual".
12. These procedures require that where the tender includes Provisional Sums (work valued by the Principal and placed within the Schedule of Prices) they are deducted from the Tender Sum prior to evaluating each tender.
13. In this contract the value of Provisional Sums is \$24,997.
14. The "Two envelope process" as described in Section 2.7 of the above manual was followed. Under this method, only the first tender envelope is opened and the non-price attributes evaluated for all tenders before the second envelope containing the tender price is opened, and the tender prices duly included in the evaluation.

15. A summary of the evaluation results is shown in Table 2:

Tender Evaluation	Attributes	Exper.	Track	Tech.	Resour.	Manag.	Method.	Price	Contract Number TA08024C
			Record	Skill		Skill			Total Weights
	Weights	4	4	8	2	2	10	70	100
Tenderer	Tender Sum	Grades							INDEX
Brian Perry Civil	\$347,625.36	63	66	66	89	87	79	76	75
FibreTek Limited	\$470,384.90	91	88	84	80	87	73	50	60
Conspec Construction Limited	\$483,350.00	87	75	71	77	77	74	47	56
Fort Project Management Limited					0			Not opened	Disqualified
Auckland Balustrade Fence and Gate		0						Not opened	Disqualified
Med.n Tender Price	\$470,384.90								

Table 2 - Summary of Tender Evaluation

16. The tender submitted by Auckland Balustrade Fence and Gate was disqualified as their submitted tender failed to include details of relevant experience, track record and technical skills as requested by the tender and required for evaluation in line with the Weighted Attribute Method. They are also not listed on the Companies Register held by the New Zealand Companies Office. As they are not a legal entity Council could not enter into a contract with them.
17. The tender submitted by Fort Project Management Limited was disqualified as their submitted tender proposal for an 80 day construction period exceeded the required 50 day works period as set out in clause 10.2.1 of the Specific Conditions of Contract. When contacted regarding the tender timeframe, Fort Project Management Limited stated that they were unable to meet the contract work timeframes stipulated in the tender documents. Furthermore the methodology submitted for CPDB proposed site clearance and possible earthworks to the northern bank which is outside the submitted resource consent application. The resource consent applications were included as part of the tender documents and all tenderers were instructed to comply with the information outlined in the applications. Failure to meet the required timeframe and comply with the resource consent application meant this tender could not be considered further.
18. Scores for the non-price attributes were determined on the basis of information provided in each tender, on the information gathered from the referees nominated by the tenderers and on any recent Council experience with the tenderers.
19. FibreTek Limited was given a very high score for relevant experience and track record due to their excellent reviews from contacted referees and the direct relevance of all of their submitted projects.
20. All tender prices that were opened were lower than the pre-tender contract estimate. It was concluded that the reduction in tendered rates are likely to be related to a highly competitive market resulting from the economic downturn. Savings have also been achieved by the contractors by using a combination of cranes and barges to manoeuvre resources rather than the haul road priced for in the estimate.

21. The results of the tender evaluation as summarised in Table 2 shows that the tender submitted by BPC achieved the highest index of 75. This tender is also the lowest priced tender.
22. The lowest tender is 30% below the pre-tender contract estimate.
23. It should be noted that the adjusted tender price for BPC of \$372,622.36 is still significantly lower than that of Conspec Construction Limited (\$508,347.00), FibreTek Limited (\$495,381.90) and the Engineer's estimate (\$534,349.00). BPC has a strong reputation and track record and it should be assumed that the work carried out by BPC will be of high quality and readily achievable within the price put forward, however a contingency sum of \$80,000 plus GST has been included in the total job cost.
24. Established by Brian Perry in 1954, BPC is a contractor with a reputation for performance, innovation and quality in high risk civil engineering projects. The Company was bought by The Fletcher Construction Company Limited in 1986 and is now undertaking civil engineering projects ranging from small drainage jobs to major infrastructure projects up to \$40 million in value.
25. BPC successfully completed the Tainui Bridge Strengthening contract (work of a similar nature to this contract) in the 2005/2006 financial year. BPC has the necessary expertise and resources to satisfactorily carry out the works. Reference checks made on a selection of clients indicated a well-resourced, well-managed and capable contractor.
26. As part of Council's contracting process "Quality, Health and Safety and Environmental compliance are not negotiable" - all contractors have had this focus clearly reinforced through the contract documents and this will be strongly reiterated at the subsequent pre-start meeting and imposed during the contract period.

CREDIT CHECK

27. A credit check on The Fletcher Construction Company Limited dated 16 April 2009 revealed no adverse information.

JOB COSTS

28.		excl. GST
	Tender sum - BPC (<i>includes provisional sums</i>)	\$372,622.36
	Contingency	\$ 80,000.00

	Total Job Cost	\$452,622.36

29. Engineering and supervision costs of \$128,777.00 are specifically itemised in the professional services contract - Contract No. TA08024A Engineering Design Services for Bridge Repair.
30. Due to higher than normal levels of risk presented by retrofit construction and the information noted in item 23, approximately 20% contingency has been provided to allow for some additional risks.

SOURCE OF FUNDING

31. Source of funding is shown in Table 3 below:

Budget Description (from annual budget line item) Annual Plan 2008/2009	Codes	Budget	Committed to other projects	Allocation to this project	Unallocated balance	Potential surplus
Bridge Renewals	8AMTA-07-010	\$780,000	\$279,350	\$452,650	\$48,000	\$48,000
	Total			\$452,650		

Table 3 - Source of Funding

IMPLEMENTATION ISSUES

32. Applications for resourcing consent for both bridges have been submitted to both Waitakere City Council and Auckland Regional Council. Early feedback from the relevant authorities suggests that all of these consents will be granted in time for the tender award. However, there remains a risk that unforeseen requirements may be imposed by these consents that could delay the Contractor's start on site.

Report prepared by: Alan Tresadern, Group Manager: Project Services.

