



Waitakere City Council  
*Te Taiao o Waitakere*

## NOTICE OF MEETING

# TENDERS SUBCOMMITTEE

I hereby give notice that a Meeting of the Tenders Subcommittee will be held on:-

**DATE:**        **Friday**                    **21 December 2007**                    **TIME:**        **9.00 am**

**VENUE:**        **Waitakere Central, 6 Henderson Valley Road, Henderson, Waitakere**

to consider the business as set out herein and to take any necessary action connected therewith.

13 December 2007

Sharlene Riley  
**COMMITTEE SECRETARY**

Telephone (09) 836 8000 extn 8851

### **MEMBERSHIP:**

Councillors    RI       Clow (Chairman)  
                  JM       Clews, QSO, JP (Deputy Chairman)  
                  WW       Flaunty, QSM, JP

Chief Executive Officer  
Director: City Services  
Director: Corporate & Civic Services  
Director: Strategic Performance  
Director: Strategic Planning  
Director: Public Affairs  
Director: Finance

Mayor RA Harvey, QSO, JP (ex officio)  
Deputy Mayor PA Hulse (ex officio)

(Quorum 3 members)

★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★

(The reports and recommendations contained in all agendas are reports and recommendations only and are not to be construed, in any way, as Council policy until adopted.)

**AGENDA FOR A MEETING OF THE TENDERS SUBCOMMITTEE TO BE HELD AT  
WAITAKERE CENTRAL, 6 HENDERSON VALLEY ROAD, HENDERSON,  
WAITAKERE, ON FRIDAY, 21 DECEMBER 2007,  
COMMENCING AT 9.00 AM**

---

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<b><u>ITEM</u></b>		<b><u>PAGE NO.</u></b>
1	APOLOGIES	1
2	URGENT BUSINESS	1
3	CONFLICTS OF INTEREST	1
4	CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES	1
5	CONTRACT NO. SP06005F - HENDERSON TOWN CENTRE - GREAT NORTH ROAD STREETScape AND ROADING UPGRADE	2
6	40K SCHOOL ZONES SIGNS - PROPOSED THREE YEAR CONTRACT	3
7	CONTRACT NO. TA07012B - HENDERSON STAGE 2 IMPROVEMENT WORKS - RAILSIDE AVENUE UPGRADE	6
8	CONTRACT NO. TA07010B - SLIP REPAIRS - 245 HUIA ROAD, 132 CORNWALLIS ROAD, 51-53 WAITAKERE ROAD 2007/2008	9
9	CONTRACT NO. TA07041A - TE ATATU ROAD CORRIDOR - CONCEPT DESIGN	12
10	CONTRACT NO. PK06019B - TUI GLEN DEVELOPMENT STAGE 2	16
11	CONTRACT NO. PK07022A - TE ATATU PENINSULA WALKWAY - PROFESSIONAL SERVICES	19
12	CONTRACT NO. EW06006H - SEWER RENEWALS AT MC 7 NEW LYNN - CONTRACT 4	23
13	CONTRACT NO. EW07034B - SEWER RENEWALS AT MC 6 AREA 2 AND MC 5 AREA 1, NEW LYNN	27
14	APPROVAL TO NEGOTIATE EXTENSION OF PROPERTY RESPONSE MAINTENANCE CONTRACT - ELECTRICAL	31
15	PROPERTY RESPONSE MAINTENANCE CONTRACT - PLUMBING	33

**AGENDA FOR A MEETING OF THE TENDERS SUBCOMMITTEE TO BE HELD AT  
WAITAKERE CENTRAL, 6 HENDERSON VALLEY ROAD, HENDERSON,  
WAITAKERE, ON FRIDAY, 21 DECEMBER 2007,  
COMMENCING AT 9.00 AM**

---

**1 APOLOGIES**



**2 URGENT BUSINESS**

Section 46A(7) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 provides that where an item of business is not on the agenda, it may only be dealt with at the meeting if:

- (i) the Committee by resolution so decides; and
- (ii) the Chairman has explained at the beginning of the meeting (when open to the public) that the item will be raised for discussion and decision, why the item is not on the agenda, and why it cannot be delayed until a subsequent meeting.

The Committee may make a decision on a matter determined to be urgent.

**NOTE:** Urgent Business need not be dealt with now and may be delayed until later in the meeting.



**3 CONFLICTS OF INTEREST**

The Council has acknowledged in its Code of Conduct that Elected Members need to be vigilant to stand aside from decision making when a conflict arises between their role as a member of the Council and any private or other external interest they might have. This note is provided as a reminder to members to check that no such conflicts arise in relation to any items on this agenda.



**4 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES**

Meeting Minutes - 7 December 2007

**RECOMMENDATION**

That the minutes of the Meeting of the Tenders Subcommittee held on Friday, 7 December 2007, as circulated, be taken as read and now be confirmed.



5 **CONTRACT NO. SP06005F - HENDERSON TOWN CENTRE - GREAT NORTH ROAD  
STREETScape AND ROADING UPGRADE**

**PURPOSE OF THE REPORT**

The purpose of this report is to seek delegated authority from the Tenders Subcommittee to award Contract No. SP06005F - Henderson Town Centre - Great North Road Streetscape and Roading Upgrade.

**BACKGROUND**

The 2007/2008 Annual Plan provides for upgrading of Great North Road, Henderson, from Henderson Valley Road to Edmonton Road.

Consultation with representatives of the business community has identified that the desired timeline for the project is to begin the works in February 2008 and complete them urgently, to minimise disruption to businesses.

The tendering process which includes a Registration of Interest has been approved by the Tenders Subcommittee and the Subcommittee approved a short listing of contractors at its meeting of 27 November 2007, as follows:

- HEB Smithbridge Ltd;
- P&M Paving and Construction Ltd;
- John Fillmore Contracting Ltd.

Due to the constraints between the tender closing date and the next meeting of the Tenders Subcommittee, it is recommended that the Chief Executive Officer be delegated authority to award the contract and report back on the action taken.

**SCOPE OF WORK**

The scope of work includes the following:

- traffic improvements;
- streetscape improvements;
- new footpaths;
- new streetlights and planter boxes.

**TENDER AWARD PROCESS**

Tenders have been invited on 29 November 2007 and close on 18 December 2007.

In order to meet the desired project timelines, it is necessary to award the contract in January 2008 to enable construction to begin in February 2008.

Accordingly, it is recommended that the Chief Executive Officer be delegated authority to award this contract, with reporting back to the Tenders Subcommittee on the action taken.

**JOB COSTS**

The estimated cost of the project is \$4.3 million plus GST.

## SOURCE OF FUNDING

Budget Description (from Annual Budget line item)	Codes	Budget	Committed to Other Projects	Allocation to this Project	Unallocated Balance
Henderson Streetscape	8AMTA-07-142	\$3,511,300	Nil	100%	Nil
Great North Road - Edmonton/Ratanui	8AMTA-07-047	\$689,000	Nil	100%	Nil
Great North Road Railside	8AMTA-07-048	\$148,000	Nil	100%	Nil

## SUMMARY

In order to enable improvements planned for Great North Road, Henderson, it is beneficial that works are started in February 2008. This will require a tender to be awarded in January 2008.

As there are no suitable meeting dates for the Tenders Subcommittee in January 2008, it is recommended that the Chief Executive Officer be delegated authority to enter into a contract for this purpose, with reporting back on the action taken.

## RECOMMENDATIONS

1. That the Contract No. SP06005F - Henderson Town Centre - Great North Road Streetscape and Rooding Upgrade report be received.
2. That authority to enter into Contract No. SP06005F - Henderson Town Centre - Great North Road Streetscape and Rooding Upgrade on behalf of Council be delegated to the Chief Executive Officer.
3. That a report be submitted to the Tenders Subcommittee in February 2008 to confirm the action taken with regard to Contract No. SP06005F - Henderson Town Centre - Great North Road Streetscape and Rooding Upgrade.

Report prepared by: Tony Miguel, Group Manager: Asset Management.



## 6 40K SCHOOL ZONES SIGNS - PROPOSED THREE YEAR CONTRACT

### GLOSSARY

HMI Technologies	(HMI)
Long Term Council Community Plan	(LTCCP)
40 kilometres per hour electronic signs to control speeds outside school zones at designated times	(40k)

### PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

The purpose of this report is to seek approval of the Tenders Subcommittee to enter into a contract with HMI Technologies (HMI) for the installation of 40k school zone signs in 66 of Waitakere's schools within twelve months.

## BACKGROUND

The 2006-2016 Long Term Council Community Plan (LTCCP) provided funding to complete a programme for installation of 40k school zone signs over ten years but through the 2007/2008 Annual Plan deliberations, the Council resolved to complete the programme in three years. Currently there are 40k school zone signs in four of Waitakere's schools and 66 schools remain on the list.

In September 2007 a report was prepared for the City Development Committee which set out the criteria and processes for prioritising 40k school zone signs for all Waitakere's schools.

The recommendations were approved by the Committee and the prioritisation criteria and work programme were approved.

## SCOPE OF WORK

The 40k school zone signs programme of works is as follows:

- The first year's programme included 22 schools that would be funded and completed in 2007/2008;
- The second year had 23 schools and would be funded and completed in 2008/2009;
- The third year had 21 schools and would be funded and completed in 2009/2010.

The first two 40k school zone signs were contracted and installed by Road Signs and Traffic Equipment Ltd and the last two 40k school zone signs were successfully tendered and installed by HMI.

## PROPOSED CONTRACT

*AI-A4*

HMI have submitted a proposal to Council that will enable installation of 40k school zone signs throughout the whole city in a 12 month period, with substantial cost savings. In turn Council would enter into a commitment to pay off the installation over three financial years. Their proposal is detailed at pages A1 to A4.

The proposed contract has a value of \$1,044,275.70 plus GST and represents a total savings to Council of \$313,550 plus GST. In addition, it has the benefit of achieving the installation of the signs in a shorter timeframe than planned and therefore enhancing the safety of school zones.

HMI have provided the Council with 12 variable speed feedback signs recently installed city wide. They currently have a nation wide contract with Transit NZ for motorway ramp meters and variable message boards. They have just won a contract with Hamilton City Council to provide 40k school zone signs in the Waikato.

By implementing this programme, Council would be the first local authority to have 40k school zone signs around all its schools.

The HMI offer includes an extended two years warranty without extra charge to the Council compared to the normal one year warranty.

## JOB COSTS

Contract sum from HMI Technologies is \$1,044,275.70 plus GST.

## SOURCE OF FUNDING

Year	Budget Description (from Annual Budget line item)	Codes	Budget	Committed to Other Projects	Allocation to this Project	Unallocated Balance
2007/2008	School 40k zones	6310-8AMT-07-122	\$365,000	\$0	\$365,000.00	\$0
2008/2009	School 40k zones	6310-8AMT-07-122	\$400,000	\$0	\$400,000.00	\$0
2009/2010	School 40k zones	6310-8AMT-07-122	\$400,000	\$0	\$279,275.70	\$120,724.30

## CONCLUSION

HMI has already won a contract for installation of 40k school zone signs based on their experience and best prices to install similar types of signs.

As Council has approved implementation of the 40k school zone signs programme over three years, this proposal enables the work to be completed in a shorter timeframe.

The offer from HMI to supply and install Council's 40k school zone signs within twelve months, starting from February 2008, will provide the Council with a saving of \$313,550. The remainder can be paid in three instalments ending June 2010 without any changes to the planned LTCCP budget.

## RECOMMENDATIONS

1. That the 40k School Zones Signs - Proposed Three Year Contract report be received.
2. That the proposal from HMI Technologies to install 40k school zone signs citywide, in the sum of \$1,044,275.70 plus GST plus \$130,534.46, totalling \$1,174,810.10 be accepted.
3. That the commitment to the 2008/2009 financial year for 40k School Zones Signs - Proposed Three Year Contract totalling \$400,000 plus GST be approved.
4. That the commitment to the 2009/2010 financial year for 40k School Zones Signs - Proposed Three Year Contract totalling \$279,275.70 plus GST be approved.
5. That authority of entering into a three year contract with HMI Technologies for installation of 40k school zone signs Citywide be delegated to the Group Manager: Asset Management.

Report prepared by: Kitch Cuthbert, Road Safety Co-ordinator.



7 **CONTRACT NO. TA07012B - HENDERSON STAGE 2 IMPROVEMENT WORKS - RAILSIDE AVENUE UPGRADE**

**PURPOSE OF THE REPORT**

The purpose of this report is to seek approval from the Tenders Subcommittee to award Contract No. TA07012B - Henderson Stage 2 Improvement Works: Railside Avenue Upgrade.

**BACKGROUND**

The Henderson Transport Interchange project consists of a package of passenger transport, walking and roading initiatives to redevelop the Henderson Rail Station and create an interchange for pedestrians, cyclists, rail users, buses and cars.

Stage 2 of the project builds on the stage 1 improvements undertaken in 2006/2007 and enables linkages to public transport infrastructure on Great North Road from Edmonton Road to Henderson Valley Road. It focuses on continuing improvements on Railside Avenue from Westfield Shopping Centre to View Road roundabout.

Railside Avenue has been identified as a key walking and cycling route in Council's Walking and Cycling Strategy and is in line with it's strategy to provide high amenity routes linking key destinations through and around the town centre and rail precincts.

**SCOPE OF WORK**

The work in this contract is for the reconstruction of existing kerb and channel, footpath and vehicle crossings on the east side of Railside Avenue between No.14 and No.94, on the west side of Railside Ave between Pioneer Street and the View Road Roundabout and construction of a new concrete footpath, fencing and landscaping adjacent to the existing "Park & Ride".

The works include, but are not limited to:

- Site clearance and minor earthworks (300m<sup>3</sup>);
- Pavement rehabilitation and asphaltic concrete sealing (7,000m<sup>2</sup>);
- Kerb and channel (700m);
- Concrete footpath and vehicle crossings (800m<sup>2</sup>);
- Roadmarking and traffic signs;
- Landscaping, fencing and street furniture.

**TENDERS RECEIVED**

Tenders were advertised on Tenderlink with thirteen (13) sets of documents being uplifted. Two (2) tenders were received by the closing date of 29 November 2007. These are summarised in Table 1 below:

TENDERER	REG OFFICE	TENDER PRICE (excl. GST)
HEB Smithbridge Limited	Drury	\$ 892,419.42
Fulton Hogan Limited	Silverdale	\$1,011,120.58
<b>Pre-tender contract estimate</b>		<b>\$ 908,620.00</b>

**Table 1 - Summary of Tender Prices**

## TENDER EVALUATION

In accordance with the conditions of tendering, tenders were evaluated in line with the Weighted Attribute Method contained in Transfund New Zealand's "Competitive Pricing Procedures Manual".

These procedures require that where the tender includes Provisional Sums (work valued by the Principal and placed within the Schedule of Prices) they are deducted from the Tender Sum prior to evaluating each tender.

In this contract the value of Provisional Sums is \$2,000.

The "Two envelope process" as described in Section 2.7 of the above manual was followed. Under this method, only the first tender envelope is opened and the non-price attributes evaluated for all tenders before the second envelope containing the tender price is opened, and the tender prices duly included in the evaluation.

A summary of the evaluation results is shown in Table 2:

Tender Evaluation	Attributes	Exper.	Track Record	Tech. Skill	Resour.	Manag Skill	Method	Price	Contract Number TA07012B
									Total Weights
	<b>Weights</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>Tenderer</b>	<b>Tender Sum</b>	<b>Grade</b>							<b>INDEX</b>
HEB Smithbridge Limited.	\$890,419.42	<b>73</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>73</b>	56	61
Fulton Hogan Limited	\$1,009,120.58	<b>70</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>80</b>	44	52
<b>Med.n Tender Price</b>	\$949,770.00								

**Table 2 - Summary of Tender Evaluation**

HEB Smithbridge Limited is a large privately owned construction company with good resources and a satisfactory track record. They have significant experience in the type of work involved in this contract. Their staff are well known to Council and have proved to be very co-operative and competent with minimal quality or management issues related to their work. They recently completed stage 1 of the Henderson Transport Interchange to a good standard of workmanship.

Fulton Hogan Limited is a large privately owned construction company with good resources and a satisfactory track record. They have significant experience in the type of work involved in this contract.

## TAGS, ERRORS OR OMISSIONS

The tender from HEB Smithbridge Limited contained no tags, errors or omissions.

The tender from Fulton Hogan Limited contained no errors or omissions but did contain several tags. The tags concerning working hours and commencement date were resolved. The remainder of the tags had no bearing on the outcome of the evaluation, therefore these issues were not investigated further.

## CREDIT CHECK

A credit check on HEB Smithbridge Limited, dated 12 November 2007, revealed no adverse information.

## JOB COSTS

	excl. GST
Tender sum - HEB Smithbridge Limited	\$ 892,419.42
Contingency	\$ 89,240.00
Engineering & Supervision	\$ 84,800.00
Other (estimated services relocations)	\$ 10,000.00
	-----
Total Job Cost	\$1,076,459.42

## SOURCE OF FUNDING

Budget Description (from Annual Budget line item)	Codes	Budget	Committed to Other Projects	Allocation to This Project	Unallocated Balance
Henderson Town Centre - Railway Station	8AMTA-07-070	\$480,000	\$0	\$480,000	\$0
Henderson Transport Interchange	8AMTA-07-114	\$600,000	\$0	\$526,460	\$73,540
Henderson Station	8AMTA-07-088	\$70,000	\$0	\$70,000	\$0
	<b>Total</b>			<b>\$1,076,460</b>	

## SUMMARY

The results of the tender evaluation as summarised in Table 2 shows that the tender submitted by HEB Smithbridge Limited achieved the highest index of 61. This tender is also the lowest priced tender.

HEB Smithbridge Limited has a recent history with Council as being a contractor with a satisfactory standard of workmanship and management. The nominated staff are well known to Council having been involved in Waitakere City Council's roading contracts for several years. They have recently successfully completed the following projects in the area including:

- Henderson Valley Road Kerb and Channel;
- Clark Street Widening;
- Lincoln Road Widening Stage 1;
- Luanda Drive/ Waitemata Drive Roundabout;
- Rainside Avenue / View Road Roundabout Reconstruction;
- Henderson Transport Interchange.

In general their staff have proved to be very co-operative and competent with minimal issues related to their work.

As part of Council's contracting process "Quality and Health and Safety is not negotiable" - all contractors have had this focus clearly reinforced through the contract documents and this will be strongly reiterated at the subsequent pre-start meeting and imposed during the contract period.

## **RECOMMENDATIONS**

1. That the Contract No. TA07012B - Henderson Stage 2 Improvement Works: Railside Avenue Upgrade report be received.
2. That the tender from HEB Smithbridge Limited for Contract No. TA07012B - Henderson Stage 2 Improvement Works: Railside Avenue Upgrade in the sum of \$892,419.42 plus \$111,552.43 GST, totalling \$1,003,971.85 be accepted.
3. That authority to enter into Contract No. TA07012B - Henderson Stage 2 Improvement Works: Railside Avenue Upgrade on behalf of Council be delegated to the Group Manager: Project Services.

Report prepared by: Rob Munro, Senior Engineer: Project Services.



## **8 CONTRACT NO. TA07010B - SLIP REPAIRS - 245 HUIA ROAD, 132 CORNWALLIS ROAD, 51-53 WAITAKERE ROAD 2007/2008**

### **PURPOSE OF THE REPORT**

The purpose of this report is to seek approval from the Tenders Subcommittee to award Contract No. TA07010B - Slip Repairs - 245 Huia Rd, 132 Cornwallis Rd, 51-53 Waitakere Rd 2007/2008.

### **BACKGROUND**

Within Waitakere there are currently a total of 14 slip sites identified where road integrity has been compromised. Several of these sites have been identified as priority repairs for the 2007/2008 programme. The three road reserve slip sites included in this report are denoted by the following addresses:

- 245 Huia Road;
- 132 Cornwallis Road;
- 51-53 Waitakere Road.

### **SCOPE OF WORK**

This contract is for the construction of road retaining structures, drainage and ancillary works as follows:

- **245 Huia Road**
  - Site clearance;
  - Construction of 11 metres of gabion basket retaining wall;
  - Footpath reconstruction;
  - Pavement reconstruction.
- **132 Cornwallis Road**
  - Site clearance;
  - Construction of 12 metres of gabion basket retaining wall;
  - Pavement reconstruction.

- **51-53 WAITAKERE ROAD**
  - Site clearance;
  - Construction of 6 metres of gabion basket retaining wall.
  - Pavement reconstruction;
  - Drainage works.

### TENDERS RECEIVED

Tenders were publicly advertised in Tenderlink with twelve (12) sets of documents being uplifted. Three (3) tenders were received by the closing date of Tuesday 13 November, 2007. These are summarised in Table 1:

TENDERER	REG OFFICE	TENDER PRICE (excl. GST)
Sibley Soil Retention Limited	Massey	\$ 198,536.00
Infrastructure New Zealand Limited	North Harbour	\$ 201,588.00
Gibbons Contractors Limited	Albany	\$ 215,876.10
<b>Pre-tender contract estimate</b>		<b>\$ 269,852.48</b>

**Table 1 - Summary of Tender Prices**

### TENDER EVALUATION

In accordance with the conditions of tendering, tenders were evaluated in line with the Weighted Attribute Method contained in Land Transport New Zealand's "Competitive Pricing Procedures Manual".

These procedures require that where the tender includes Provisional Sums (work valued by the Principal and placed within the Schedule of Prices) they are deducted from the Tender Sum prior to evaluating each tender.

In this contract the value of Provisional Sums is \$2,700.

The 'Two envelope process' as described in Section 2.7 of the above manual was followed. Under this method, only the first tender envelope is opened and the non-price attributes evaluated for all tenders before the second envelope containing the tender price is opened, and the tender prices duly included in the evaluation.

A summary of the evaluation results is shown in Table 2:

Tender Evaluation	Attributes	Experience	Track Record	Technical Skills	Resources	Management Skills	Methodology	Price	Contract Number TA07010B
									Total Weights
	Weights	8	8	4	3	4	3	70	100
Tenderer	Tender Sum	Grades							INDEX
Infrastructure New Zealand Limited	\$198,888.00	70	70	75	68	68	78	50	56
Sibley Soil Retention Limited	\$195,836.00	60	65	55	58	65	53	52	54
Gibbons Contractors Limited	\$213,176.10	78	75	75	73	68	65	43	52
<b>Median Tender Price</b>	<b>\$198,888.00</b>								

**Table 2 - Summary of Tender Evaluation**

Sibley Soil Retention Limited were given a lower score for the Experience attribute as there was no evidence provided of experience dealing with traffic management and traffic safety. No project specific methodology was submitted which resulted in a lower score in the Methodology attribute.

The attributes for the tenders from Gibbons Contractors Limited and Infrastructure New Zealand Limited were very close. Price was the deciding factor that gave Infrastructure New Zealand the highest index score.

Infrastructure New Zealand Limited is a relatively new but high quality construction company. They have carried out several recent projects to a high level of quality for Waitakere City Council. They are technically very good, have a number of highly experienced personnel, are co-operative to work with, and come with good references from past clients.

### TAGS, ERRORS OR OMISSIONS

Infrastructure New Zealand Limited had some tags included in a cover letter with their tender, all of which were withdrawn or modified to our satisfaction. This letter will become part of the tender documents. There was one minor mathematical error which had a negligible cost implication. This error was not addressed since it would have no impact on the evaluation outcome.

Sibley Soil Retention Limited also had three minor mathematical errors in their tender. These errors were not addressed as they had no impact on the evaluation outcome.

### CREDIT CHECK

A credit check of Infrastructure New Zealand Limited dated 4 October 2007 revealed no adverse information.

### JOB COSTS

	<b>excl. GST</b>
Tender sum - Infrastructure NZ Limited	\$201,588.00
Contingency	\$ 20,000.00
Engineering & Supervision	\$ 30,000.00
	-----
Total Job Cost	\$251,588.00

### SOURCE OF FUNDING

Budget Description (from Annual Budget line item)	Codes	Budget	Committed to Other Projects	Allocation to This Project	Unallocated Balance
Flood Damage Miscellaneous	8AMTA-07-124	\$826,000	\$574,412	\$251,588	\$0

### SUMMARY

The results of the tender evaluation as summarised in Table 2 shows that the tender submitted by Infrastructure New Zealand Limited achieved the highest index of 56. This tender is the second lowest priced tender.

Infrastructure New Zealand Limited have proven to be capable contractors who work to a high quality, and with good communication and management, and are highly regarded by Council staff.

As part of Council's contracting process "Quality and Health and Safety is not negotiable" all tenderers have had this focus clearly reinforced through the contract documents and this will be strongly reiterated at the subsequent pre-start meeting and imposed during the contract period.

### **RECOMMENDATIONS**

1. That the No. TA07010B - Slip Repairs - 245 Huia Road, 132 Cornwallis Road, 51-53 Waitakere Road 2007/2008 be received
2. That the tender from Infrastructure New Zealand Limited for Contract No. TA07010B - Slip Repairs - 245 Huia Road, 132 Cornwallis Road, 51-53 Waitakere Road 2007/2008 in the sum of \$201,588.00 plus \$25,198.50 GST, totalling \$226,786.50 be accepted.
3. That the tender for Contract No. TA07010B - Slip Repairs - 245 Huia Road, 132 Cornwallis Road, 51-53 Waitakere Road 2007/2008 be awarded to other than the lowest priced tender, because the weighted attributes tender evaluation method requires the tendering authority to enter into a contract only with the tender that scores the highest overall index.
4. That authority to enter into Contract No. TA07010B - Slip Repairs - 245 Huia Road, 132 Cornwallis Road, 51-53 Waitakere Road 2007/2008 on behalf of Council be delegated to the Group Manager: Project Services.

Report prepared by: Phil Bull, Roding Engineer.



## **9 CONTRACT NO. TA07041A - TE ATATU ROAD CORRIDOR - CONCEPT DESIGN**

### **GLOSSARY**

High Occupancy Vehicles (including buses) (HOV)

### **PURPOSE OF THE REPORT**

The purpose of this report is to seek approval to award Contract No. TA07041A - Te Atatu Road Corridor - Concept Design.

### **BACKGROUND**

Council has undertaken comprehensive studies of the City's main transport corridors. Officers have been engaged in determining draft future infrastructures, through traffic surveys, medium to long term traffic projections, concept plans for the geometry and control of intersections and traffic lanes, level-of-service determination for these plans, and indicative cost estimates.

The studies identify many improvements required to achieve the Council's vision and objectives, and the Te Atatu Road corridor has been identified as having a very high priority in the 2006-2016 Long Term Council Community Plan. Te Atatu Road is a congested main entrance to Waitakere from State Highway 16. Between the Motorway interchange and Edmonton Road it is a regional arterial road and currently carries 45,000 vehicle movements daily.

The objective of the transport analysis has been to find what is sensibly required to limit congestion to an acceptable degree to year 2021 and beyond, given that Council's strategic direction is to increase the proportion of travel made by buses, trains, ferries, and by walking and cycling.

### **SCOPE OF WORK**

Concept plans developed initially will be reviewed to ensure that recent innovations, including motorway ramp-meters, exclusive lanes for high occupancy vehicles (HOV's) and / or buses, and bus priority measures, are taken into account.

A report prepared by Boffa Miskell for Council identifies opportunities to redevelop land in the study area in association with any road redevelopment. The viability of these options is dependant upon the type of road redevelopment that is preferred. The analysis to be commissioned in this Contract will build upon the Boffa Miskell investigation and consider ways in which land redevelopment that supports Council's strategic goals can support road redevelopment. This includes consideration of new road connections to support land use and transport integration.

The outcomes of this study will enable Council, Transit and Auckland Regional Transport Authority to develop a preferred option for the route integrating land use and transport goals for creating sustainable, liveable, pedestrian friendly town centres and corridors, which promote social, economic and environmental wellbeing.

The scope of work includes the following:

- Build upon the transport and land use analysis previously carried out;
- Consider how road alignment and any widening interacts with land use opportunities to influence safety and efficiency, reduce driveways onto the route, and promote redevelopment of land to support passenger transport, walking, cycling and local retail;
- Carry out all necessary geotechnical, stormwater and pavement design investigations to speed the detailed design process at a later stage;
- Determine the feasibility of installing bus/HOV lanes along Te Atatu Road and develop an implementation programme if economically viable;
- Consider opportunities to improve functioning of the road network and land redevelopment by adding road connections;
- Seek to support Transit New Zealand's goals for redevelopment of the North-western Motorway and associated overbridge works and ramps meters;
- Seek to support Council's and the Auckland Regional Transport Authority's goals for improved use of passenger transport, walking and cycling;
- Identify and review the effects of options on adjacent land owners and the road network effects in the overall area; and
- Assess the economic feasibility of any land redevelopment that may occur in conjunction with the proposed road redevelopment.

Technical reports will also have to be prepared to justify the preferred option.

### **TENDERS RECEIVED**

Tenders were publicly advertised with three (3) sets of documents being uplifted. Two (2) tenders were received by the closing date of 27 November 2007. These are summarised in Table 1 below:

TENDERER	REGISTERED OFFICE	TENDER PRICE (exc. GST)
GHD Limited	Auckland	\$400,697.50
Maunsell Limited	Auckland	\$443,798.00
<b>Pre-tender contract estimate</b>		<b>\$411,880.00</b>

**Table 1 - Summary of Tender Prices**

## TENDER EVALUATION

In accordance with the conditions of tendering, tenders were evaluated in line with the Weighted Attribute Method contained in Transfund New Zealand's "Competitive Pricing Procedures Manual".

The "Two envelope process" as described in Section 2.7 of the above manual was followed. Under this method, only the first tender envelope is opened and the non-price attributes evaluated for all tenders before the second envelope containing the tender price is opened, and the tender prices duly included in the evaluation.

A summary of the evaluation results is shown in Table 2:

Tender Evaluation	Attributes	Exper.	Track Record	Tech. Skills	Manag. Skill	Method.	Price	Contract Number TA07 041A
								Total Weights
Weights		20	20	20	10	10	20	100
Tenderer	Tender Sum	Grades						INDEX
GHD Limited	\$400,697.50	75	71	70	80	86	53	70
Maunsell Limited	\$443,798.00	80	79	75	80	85	42	72
<b>Engineer's Estimates</b>	<b>\$411,880.00</b>							

**Table 2 - Summary of Tender Evaluation**

The tender evaluation team concluded that Maunsell Limited's team had a greater level of relevant experience and technical skills than the other tenderer. This particularly stood out in relation to their experience and skills in the analysis of HOV/bus lanes which is a critical component of the project. Maunsell Limited also have a stronger track record on jobs they have recently carried out.

Both tenderers were concluded to have a comparable level of management skills and the methodologies proposed by both tenderers were of a high calibre and very similar.

## TAGS, ERRORS OR OMISSIONS

The tender from Maunsell Limited contained a tag in their methodology. This was investigated and discussed with Maunsell and consequently they removed the tag.

The tender from Maunsell Limited contained a minor arithmetical error in the schedule of prices. The tendered sum was \$1,255 less than the total value of items listed in the pricing schedule. The error has no effect on the outcome of the tender.

## CREDIT CHECK

A credit check dated 15 November 2007 revealed no adverse information.

## JOB COSTS

	excl. GST
Tender sum - (Maunsell Limited)	\$443,798.00
Contingency	\$ 45,000.00
Other	\$ 65,000.00
	-----
Total Job Cost	\$553,798.00

## SOURCE OF FUNDING

Budget Description (from Annual Budget line item)	Codes	Budget	Committed to Other Projects	Allocation to This Project	Unallocated Balance
Te Atatu Road - Vera Road	8AMTA-07-55	\$617,000	\$0	\$554,000	\$63,000

## SUMMARY

The results of the tender evaluation as summarised in Table 2 shows that the tender submitted by Maunsell Limited achieved the highest index of 72.

As part of Council's contracting process "Quality and Health and Safety is not negotiable" - all contractors have had this focus clearly reinforced through the contract documents and this will be strongly reiterated at the subsequent pre-start meeting and imposed during the contract period.

## RECOMMENDATIONS

1. That the tender from Maunsell Limited for Contract No. TA07041A - Te Atatu Road Corridor - Concept Design in the sum of \$443,798.00 plus \$55,474.75 GST, totalling \$499,272.75 be accepted.
2. That authority to enter into Contract No. TA07041A - Te Atatu Road Corridor - Concept Design on behalf of Council be delegated to Group Manager: Asset Management.
3. The tender for Contract No. TA07041A - Te Atatu Road Corridor - Concept Design be awarded to other than the lowest priced tender because the weighted attributes tender evaluation method requires the tendering authority to enter into a contract only with the tenderer that scores the highest overall index.

Report prepared by: Adam Moller, Senior Transport Engineer, Planning, Transport Assets.



**10 CONTRACT NO. PK06019B - TUI GLEN DEVELOPMENT STAGE 2**

**PURPOSE OF THE REPORT**

The purpose of this report is to seek approval from the Tenders Subcommittee to award Contract No. PK06019B - Tui Glen Development Stage 2.

**BACKGROUND**

This Stage 2 Development is the continuation of a staged upgrading programme of the Tui Glen Recreational Reserve that commenced in the 2005/2006 year, being part of Council's Parks Assets Development and Renewal Programme.

**SCOPE OF WORK**

The work content is primarily the reconstruction and kerb and channelling of the balance of the internal roading system, installation of parking bays, stormwater piping, rain garden construction for sediment control, concrete footpaths, and timber pole and keystone retaining walls on the entrance road to the reserve proper.

**TENDERS RECEIVED**

Tenders were publicly advertised through Tenderlink with twenty-three (23) sets of documents being downloaded. Nine (9) tenders were received by the closing date of Thursday, 22 November 2007. These are summarised in Table 1 below:

<b>TENDERER</b>	<b>REG OFFICE</b>	<b>TENDER PRICE (excl. GST)</b>
D and F Contracting Limited	Takanini	\$ 466,827.50
HEB Smithbridge Limited	Drury	\$ 582,732.71
Arrow Civil Engineers Limited	Onehunga	\$ 632,600.00
Auckland Civil Limited	North Shore City	\$ 647,171.20
Infrastructurenz	North Harbour	\$ 648,888.00
Rockhard Civil and Drainage Limited	North Shore	\$ 675,897.90
Fulton Hogan Limited	Silverdale	\$ 709,823.12
Gibbons Contractors Limited	Glenfield	\$ 713,039.00
H and H Contractors Limited	New Lynn	\$ 715,613.00
<b>Pre-tender contract estimate</b>		\$ 666,446.90

**Table 1 - Summary of Tender Prices**

**TENDER EVALUATION**

In accordance with the conditions of tendering, tenders were evaluated in line with the Weighted Attribute Method contained in Transfund New Zealand's "Competitive Pricing Procedures Manual".

These procedures require that where the tender includes Provisional Sums (work valued by the Principal and placed within the Schedule of Prices) they are deducted from the Tender Sum prior to evaluating each tender.

In this contract the value of Provisional Sums is \$2,000.

A summary of the evaluation results is shown in Table 2:

Tender Evaluation	Attributes	Exper.	Track Record	Tech. Skill	Resour.	Manag. Skill	Method.	Price	Contract Number PK06019 B
									Total Weights
	<b>Weights</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>100</b>
Tenderer	Tender Sum	Grades							INDEX
D and F Contracting Limited	\$464,827.50	50	55	50	58	48	48	78	68
HEB Smithbridge Limited	\$580,732.71	68	55	65	68	58	70	60	61
Arrow Civil Engineers Limited	\$630,600.00	65	65	70	60	65	60	53	57
Auckland Civil Limited	\$645,171.20	70	70	70	70	70	65	50	58
Infrastructurenz	\$646,888.00	70	70	70	60	65	60	50	57
Rockhard Civil and Drainage Limited	\$673,897.90	60	65	65	65	60	65	46	53
Fulton Hogan Limited	\$707,823.12	65	70	70	70	60	65	41	51
Gibbons Contractors Limited	\$711,039.00	65	70	65	65	60	65	40	50
H and H Contractors Limited	\$713,613.00	70	70	65	65	65	65	40	51
<b>Med.n Tender Price</b>	<b>\$646,888.00</b>								

**Table 2 - Summary of Tender Evaluation**

D and F Contracting Limited's tender sum was significantly lower than the engineer's estimate, the variance generally being throughout the spread of schedule items. This was of some concern to the evaluation team and therefore a pre-letting meeting was held to discuss aspects of their experience, resources, personnel and in particular pricing.

They were given the opportunity to reconsider their pricing and confirm their tender sum or withdraw their tender. D and F Contracting Limited subsequently confirmed their tender price giving assurances that they will be able to competently undertake the works at those rates.

Reference checks on D and F Contracting Limited were also undertaken. Comments from referees ranged from adequate to very good and co-operative, but the companies past experience appeared primarily in earthworks and drainage, with only very limited experience in concrete work. D and F Contracting Limited however assured Council that all concrete work would be undertaken by an approved sub-contractor.

**TAGS, ERRORS OR OMISSIONS**

D and F Contracting Limited's tender contained no tags, errors or omissions.

Of the balance of tenders, six similarly contained no tags, errors or omissions and two contained various minor tags. The latter were not addressed as they were considered as having no bearing on the overall outcome of the tender evaluation.

**CREDIT CHECK**

A credit check of D and F Contracting Limited dated 26 November 2007 revealed no adverse information.

## JOB COSTS

excl. GST

Tender sum - D and F Contracting Limited	\$466,827.50
Contingency	\$ 50,000.00
Engineering & Supervision	\$104,325.18
Utility service relocations	\$ 15,000.00
	-----
Total Job Cost	\$636,152.68

## SOURCE OF FUNDING

Budget Description (from Annual Budget line item)	Codes	Budget	Committed to Other Projects	Allocation to This Project	Unallocated Balance
Tui Glen Stage 2 Parking, pathways and Landscaping	8AMPK-08-006	\$725,000	\$ 88,847	\$ 636,153	Nil

## SUMMARY

The results of the tender evaluation as summarised in Table 2 shows that the tender submitted by D and F Contracting Limited achieved the highest index of 68. This tender is also the lowest priced tender.

D and F Contracting Limited are a duly registered company incorporated in 2004 and commencing work as a small earthworks operation, expanding somewhat since that time into predominantly larger earthworks and drainage works. They currently have a medium value contract with Council for drainage works and have to date been performing well and to Council's satisfaction.

As part of Council's contracting process "Quality and Health and Safety is not negotiable" - all contractors have had this focus clearly reinforced through the contract documents and this will be strongly reiterated at the subsequent pre-start meeting and imposed during the contract period.

## RECOMMENDATIONS

1. That the Contract No. PK06019B - Tui Glen Development Stage 2 report be received
2. That the tender from D and F Contracting Limited for Contract No. PK06019B - Tui Glen Development Stage 2 in the sum of \$466,827.50 plus \$ 58,353.44 GST, totalling \$525,180.94 be accepted.
3. That authority to enter into Contract No. PK06019B - Tui Glen Development Stage 2 on behalf of Council be delegated to the Group Manager: Project Services.

Report prepared by: Colin Bott, Senior Engineer Roading.



11 **CONTRACT NO. PK07022A - TE ATATU PENINSULA WALKWAY - PROFESSIONAL SERVICES**

**PURPOSE OF THE REPORT**

The purpose of this report is to seek approval from the Tenders Subcommittee to award Contract No. PK07022A - Te Atatu Peninsula Walkway - Professional Services for a period of three (3) years.

**BACKGROUND**

This contract forms a part of Council's Parks Asset Development Programme as provided for in the 2006-2016 Long Term Council Community Plan. This contract is for the professional services associated with the detail design and construction of the Te Atatu Peninsula Walkway - Chapman Strand to Taipari Strand link over a period of three (3) financial years.

**SCOPE OF WORK**

The contract term is for a period of three (3) years rolled over at the discretion of the Principal on a one (1) + one (1) + one (1) basis subject to consultant performance. Year one (1) of the contract will commence in January 2008 and will conclude on 30<sup>th</sup> June 2008.

The scope of work for this contract involves the following:

- **Year one (1) - 2007/2008** - Undertake further detail design including geotechnical design, obtain further arborist input, consultation with landowners regarding construction access, obtaining of building consent and preparation of tender documentation in readiness for physical works in 2008/2009.
- **Year two (2) - 2008/2009** - Public tendering and supervision of the physical works contract for Stage One of the walkway (designed in 2007/2008).
- **Year three (3) - 2009/2010** - Supervision of the physical works contract for Stage Two of the walkway (designed in 2007/2008), project close out.

**TENDERS RECEIVED**

Contract No. PK07022A - Te Atatu Peninsula Walkway - Professional Services was publicly tendered with fifteen (15) sets of documents being downloaded. Two (2) tenders were received by the closing date of 30 October 2007. Both tenders were received after the closing time of 4.00 pm on the day of closing and both tenders were therefore disqualified.

The contract was publicly re-tendered on 5 November 2007 with eleven (11) sets of documents being downloaded. Two (2) tenders were received by the closing date of 13 November 2007. These are summarised in Table 1 below:

TENDERER	REG OFFICE	TENDER PRICE (excl. GST)
Tse Group Limited	Auckland City	\$242,700.00
Isthmus Group Limited	Auckland City	\$255,806.00
<b>Pre-tender contract estimate</b>		<b>\$264,400.00</b>

**Table 1 - Summary of Tender Prices**

## TENDER EVALUATION

In accordance with the conditions of tendering, tenders were evaluated in line with the Weighted Attribute Method contained in Transfund New Zealand's "Competitive Pricing Procedures Manual".

A summary of the evaluation results is shown in Table 2:

Tender Evaluation	Attributes	Exper.	Track Record	Tech Skills / Resources	Manag-ement.	Method	Price	Total Weight
Tenderer	Weight	25	15	20	10	10	20	100
	Tender Sum	Grades						Index
Tse Group Limited	\$242,700.00	80	80	85	85	85	58	78
Isthmus Group Limited	\$255,806.00	90	90	85	90	90	53	82
Engineers Estimate	\$264,400.00							

**Table 2 - Summary of Tender Evaluation**

Tse Group Limited is currently providing professional services for Ecowater and Transport Assets, and for a number of Park projects. This includes the design of the new Piha footbridge and general structural assessments of footbridges in parks throughout the city. They have demonstrated they possess the required technical skills and resources to undertake this project.

Isthmus Group Limited does not have any current contracts with Waitakere City Council. However they have undertaken a number of previous Park projects which have been completed to a high standard including the recent Swanson Station Park development. They have also recently completed the investigation, concept design and consent requirements for the Te Atatu Peninsula Walkway - Chapman Strand to Taipari Strand link. This component of the project was delivered to a high standard with excellent results and this is reflected in the scores above. The transition to the detail design stage of the Te Atatu Peninsula Walkway - Chapman Strand to Taipari Strand link has been successfully managed due to the thorough completion of the initial concept planning and consent phase undertaken by Isthmus Group Limited. Their track record from previous Council projects has demonstrated an ability to manage and deliver professional services projects within budget, on time, and with quality outcomes.

Despite the tender sum from Isthmus Group Limited being higher than Tse Group Limited, their overall index rating is higher. This is a reflection of their weighted attribute scores being higher than Tse Group Limited.

Isthmus Group Limited scored higher than Tse Group Limited in the area of experience, track record, management and methodology as they demonstrated the following:

- Experience directly comparable with this project;
- Strong familiarity with the requirements for completing this project;
- Excellent methodology proposed for completing this project;
- Allocation of key staff from the previous stage to completing this project;
- Excellent working relationship with Council established over many projects.

The difference in tender sums between the two tenderers is not considered to be significant for this project. Rather the proven ability of Isthmus Group Limited to perform on Parks projects, and in particular their excellent track record and completion of the first stage of this project, is seen as a more significant attribute of both tenders received.

For these reasons it is considered that there is considerable benefit, and less risk to Council entering into a contract with the higher priced tenderer.

### TAGS, ERRORS OR OMISSIONS

There were no errors or omissions contained in any of the tenders received.

There were tags contained in both tenders received from Tse Group Limited and Isthmus Group Limited.

The tender from Tse Group Limited contained a number of tags that were minor in nature and they had no bearing on the outcome of the evaluation.

A Tender Clarification meeting was held with Isthmus Group Limited on 3 December 2007 to discuss the tags. Isthmus Group Limited agreed to remove a number of tags that had a financial component to them. Agreement was reached to retain the remaining tags as they were minor in nature and were acceptable.

### CREDIT CHECK

A credit check on Isthmus Group Limited has been requested.

### JOB COSTS

	<b>excl. GST</b>
Three Year Tender sum - (Isthmus Group Limited)	\$255,806.00
Contingency	\$ 25,000.00
	-----
Total Job Cost	\$280,806.00

### SOURCE OF FUNDING

#### 2007/2008

Budget Description (from Annual Budget line item)	Codes	Budget	Committed to Other Projects	Allocation to This Project	Unallocated Balance
Te Atatu Peninsula Walkway - Chapman Strand to Taipari Strand	8AMPK-08-042	\$85,000	\$0	\$85,000	\$0
Structures Upgrade - associated with renewals	8AMPK-08-038	\$406,000	\$377,350.00	\$28,650	\$0
<b>Total for 2007/2008</b>		<b>\$491,000</b>		<b>\$113,650</b>	

**2008/2009**

Budget Description (from Annual Budget line item)	Codes	Budget	Committed to Other Projects	Allocation to This Project	Unallocated Balance
Te Atatu Peninsula Walkway - Chapman Strand to Taipari Strand	8AMPK-08-042	\$572,056	\$502,246	\$69,810	\$0
<b>Total for 2008/2009</b>		<b>\$572,056</b>		<b>\$69,810</b>	

**2009/2010**

Budget Description (from Annual Budget line item)	Codes	Budget	Committed to Other Projects	Allocation to This Project	Unallocated Balance
Te Atatu Peninsula Walkway - Chapman Strand to Taipari Strand	8AMPK-08-042	\$702,448	\$630,102	\$72,346	\$0
<b>Total for 2009/2010</b>		<b>\$702,448</b>		<b>\$72,346</b>	
<b>Total over three years</b>		<b>\$1,765,504</b>		<b>\$255,806</b>	

**SUMMARY**

The results of the tender evaluation as summarised in Table 2 shows that the tender submitted by Isthmus Group Limited achieved the highest index of 82. This is the second lowest priced tender and also lower than the pre-tender contract estimate.

Isthmus Group Limited has a proven track record and has the necessary skills and experience to successfully complete this project. There are efficiencies and reduced risk for Council to use a firm with a proven track record for the following reasons:

- A proven ability to deliver projects on time, on budget, with quality outcomes.
- An extensive knowledge of the background to this project through the initial concept and resource consent phase.
- An excellent understanding of the requirements for the completion of this project through the detailed design phase and the supervision of the physical works.

As part of City Services vision "Quality and Health and Safety is not negotiable" all contractors have had this focus clearly reinforced through the contract documents and this will be strongly reiterated at the subsequent pre-start meeting and imposed during the contract period.

## **RECOMMENDATIONS**

1. That the Contract No. PK07022A - Te Atatu Peninsula Walkway - Professional Services - report be received.
2. That subject to a satisfactory credit check the tender from Isthmus Group Limited for Contract No. PK07022A - Te Atatu Peninsula Walkway - Professional Services in the sum of \$255,806.00 plus \$31,975.75 GST, totalling \$287,781.75 be accepted.
3. That the tender for Contract No. PK07022A - Te Atatu Peninsula Walkway - Professional Services be awarded to other than the lowest priced tender, because the weighted attributes tender evaluation method requires the tendering authority to enter into a contract only with the tender that scores the highest overall index.
4. That the commitment to the 2008/2009 financial year for Contract No. PK07022A - Te Atatu Peninsula Walkway - Professional Services totalling \$69,810.00 plus GST be approved.
5. That the commitment to the 2009/2010 financial year for Contract No. PK07022A - Te Atatu Peninsula Walkway - Professional Services totalling \$72,346.00 plus GST be approved.
6. That authority to enter into Contract No. PK07022A - Te Atatu Peninsula Walkway - Professional Services on behalf of Council be delegated to the Group Manager: Asset Management.

Report prepared by: Aman Kumar, Parks Project Development Officer.



## **12 CONTRACT NO. EW06006H - SEWER RENEWALS AT MC 7 NEW LYNN - CONTRACT 4**

### **GLOSSARY**

H&H Contractors Limited	(HHCL)
Pipeworks Rehabilitation Solutions Limited	(PRSL)
Horizontal Earth Drilling Limited	(HEDL)
JB Contractors Limited	(JBCL)
Advanced Pipeline Services Ltd	(APSL)
Mini Catchment	(MC)
Polyethylene	(PE)
Polyvinylchloride	(PVC)

### **PURPOSE OF THE REPORT**

The purpose of this report is to seek approval from the tenders Subcommittee to award Contract No. EW06006H - Sewer Renewals at MC 7 New Lynn - Contract 4.

### **BACKGROUND**

Council has an objective to reduce flows in the wastewater network by 25% through the Infiltration and Inflow Control Programme. The New Lynn wastewater catchment is the first catchment to be assessed under this programme and rehabilitation work has already been carried out in several mini-catchments (MC).

The rehabilitation of the remaining sections of MC 7 is the next area on the priority list. The existing public sewers and manholes in Karaka Street and Wattle Street are in poor condition and require rehabilitation. In addition parts of the public sewers in the area are under capacity and require upsizing and renewal.

### SCOPE OF WORK

The scope of the work includes:

- Construction of approximately 160 metres of 160 mm diameter Polyethylene (PE)80 wastewater pipeline using pipe bursting;
- Construction of approximately 90 metres of 160 mm diameter PE80 wastewater pipe using drilling;
- Construction of approximately 20 metres of 225 mm diameter PE80 wastewater pipe using micro-tunnelling;
- Construction of approximately 170 metres of 150 mm diameter polyvinylchloride (PVC) wastewater pipe using open excavation;
- Construction of approximately 30 metres of 200 mm diameter concrete lined mild steel pipe wastewater pipe bridge;
- Rehabilitation of approximately 120 metres of 150 mm diameter earthenware wastewater pipe using structural lining or slip lining techniques;
- Upsizing of approximately 130 metres of 150 mm diameter earthenware wastewater pipe to 225mm PE80 by using pipe bursting;
- Construction of 16 new concrete manholes;
- Construction of two new plastic manholes and rehabilitation of five existing manholes;
- Replacement of existing service connections.

### TENDERS RECEIVED

Tenders were publicly advertised in Tenderlink with sixteen (16) sets of documents being uplifted. Four (4) tenders were received by the closing date of Tuesday, 4 December 2007. These are summarised in Table 1 below:

TENDERER	REG OFFICE	TENDER PRICE (excl. GST)
JB Contractors Limited	PO Box 76 885, Manukau, Auckland	\$474,965.00
H&H Contractors Limited	PO Box 15084, New Lynn, Auckland	\$504,570.00
Horizontal Earth Drilling Limited	PO Box 65133, Mairangi Bay, Auckland	\$520,735.00
Pipeworks Rehabilitation Solutions Limited	PO Box 62077, Mount Wellington, Auckland	\$597,762.68
Pre-tender contract estimate		\$497,410.00

**Table 1 - Summary of Tender Prices**

## TENDER EVALUATION

In accordance with the conditions of tendering, tenders were evaluated in line with the Weighted Attribute Method contained in Transfund New Zealand's "Competitive Pricing Procedures Manual".

Tender Evaluation	Attributes	Exper.	Track Record	Tech. Skill	Resour	Manag. Skill	Method.	Price	Contract No. EW06006H
									Total Weights
	<b>Weights</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>100</b>
Tenderer	Tender Sum	Grades							INDEX
JB Contractors Limited	\$474,965.00	75	75	70	60	70	70	57	64
H&H Contractor Limited	\$504,570.00	70	55	65	65	45	70	52	56
Horizontal Earth Drilling Limited	\$520,735.00	70	70	65	36	60	70	48	55
Pipeworks Rehabilitation Solutions Limited	\$597,762.68	75	65	70	70	65	70	33	51
<b>Median Tender Price</b>	\$512,652.50								

**Table 2 - Summary of Tender Evaluation**

Scores for the non-price attributes were determined on the basis of information provided in each tender, on the information gathered from the referees nominated by the tenderers and on any recent Council experience with the tenderers. The level of information supporting each of the tenders received was of a good quality.

H&H Contractors Limited (HHCL) has been marked low on Management Skills based on the overall experience Council has had with HHCL in some of the recent contracts.

Horizontal Earth Drilling Limited (HEDL) has been marked low on Resources as per their tag in the Tender, which suggest that they can only commence the work in three months which is not acceptable to EcoWater.

The lowest priced tender was from JB Contractors Limited (JBCL) and the price is approximately 4.5% under the pre-tender contract estimate.

JBCL and their associated partner company Advanced Pipeline Services Limited (APSL) have already been awarded two large drainage contracts by Council this financial year. Project Services requested confirmation from the contractor that they would have adequate resources to undertake this contract as well. JBCL confirmed by e-mail that they have adequate resources to complete all current contracts as well as this within the current construction season.

## TAGS, ERRORS OR OMISSIONS

There were no tags, errors or omissions in the tenders received from JBCL and Pipeworks Rehabilitation Solutions (PRSL).

The tender received from HHCL contained tags and arithmetic errors. When advised by e-mail of these errors the tenderer confirmed in writing that its tender remained open for acceptance at the tendered sum. However their tags were not evaluated as to their acceptability as its resolution would not affect the outcome of the tender evaluation due to the tender price of HHCL being significantly higher than that of the lowest tender.

The tender received from HEDL also contained tags and had a minor arithmetic error of \$20. These were not addressed as resolution would not have affected the outcome of the tender evaluation.

### CREDIT CHECK

A credit check on JBCL dated 6 December 2007 revealed no adverse information.

### JOB COSTS

	excl. GST
Contract Price - JBCL	\$474,965.00
Contingency (10%)	\$ 47,496.50
Engineering & Supervision (10%)	<u>\$ 47,496.50</u>
Total Job Cost	\$569,958.00

### SUMMARY

The results of the tender evaluation as summarised in Table 2 show that the tender submitted by JBCL achieved the highest overall index of 64. This tender is the lowest priced tender received.

It is considered that JBCL have the necessary expertise and resources to satisfactorily carry out the works.

As part of the Council's contracting process "Quality and Health and Safety are not negotiable" - all contractors have had this focus clearly reinforced through the contract documents and this will be strongly reiterated at the subsequent pre-start meeting and imposed during the contract period.

### SOURCE OF FUNDING

Budget Description (from Annual Budget line item)	Codes	Budget	Committed to Other Projects	Allocation to This Project	Unallocated Balance
WW Renewals I/I Programme	74000-6740-8AMEW-08-027	\$4,200,000.00	\$2,498,711.70	\$469,958.00	\$1,231,330.30
Other Wastewater Network Upgrade Programme	74000-6740-8AMEW-07-081	\$400,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$100,000.00	\$ 0.00

### RECOMMENDATIONS

1. That the Contract No. EW06006H - Sewer Renewals in MC 7 New Lynn - Contract 4 report be received.
2. That the tender from JB Contractors Limited for Contract No. EW06006H - Sewer Renewals in MC 7 New Lynn - Contract 4 in the sum of \$474,965.00 plus \$59,370.63 GST, totalling \$534,335.63 be accepted.
3. That authority to enter into Contract No. EW06006H - Sewer Renewals in MC 7 New Lynn - Contract 4 on behalf of Council be delegated to the Group Manager: Project Services.

Report prepared by: Fee Chin , Water Projects Engineer, Project Services.



13 **CONTRACT NO. EW07034B - SEWER RENEWALS AT MC 6 AREA 2 AND MC 5 AREA 1, NEW LYNN**

**GLOSSARY**

Advanced Pipeline Services Limited	(APSL)
H&H Contractors Limited	(HHCL)
Pipeworks Rehabilitation Solutions Limited	(PRSL)
Horizontal Earth Drilling Limited	(HEDL)
JB Pipeline Services	(JBPS)
JB Contractors Limited	(JBCL)
Mini Catchments	(MC)
Polyethylene	(PE)

**PURPOSE OF THE REPORT**

The purpose of this report is to seek approval from the Tenders Subcommittee to award Contract No. EW07034B - Sewer Renewals at MC 6 Area 2 and MC 5 Area 1, New Lynn.

**BACKGROUND**

Council has an objective to reduce flows in the wastewater network by 25% through the Infiltration and Inflow Control Programme. The New Lynn wastewater catchment is the first catchment to be assessed under this programme and rehabilitation work has already begun in several mini-catchments (MC).

The rehabilitation of the first part of MC 6 is currently in progress and the second part of MC 6 and part of MC 5 are the next catchments on the priority list. The existing manholes and the public sewers in both areas are in poor condition and require rehabilitation.

**SCOPE OF WORK**

The scope of the work includes:

- Construction of approximately 800 metres of 160 mm diameter Polyethylene (PE)80 wastewater pipeline using pipe bursting;
- Construction of approximately 400 metres of 160 mm diameter PE80 wastewater pipeline using drilling;
- Construction of approximately 425 metres of 200 mm diameter PE80 wastewater pipeline using drilling;
- Rehabilitation of approximately 1200 metres of 150 mm diameter earthenware wastewater pipeline using lining techniques;
- Upsizing of approximately 30 metres of 150 mm diameter earthenware wastewater pipeline to 225mm PE80 by using pipe reaming;
- Construction of 29 new concrete manholes;
- Construction of 5 new plastic manholes and rehabilitation of 35 existing manholes;
- Replacement of existing service connections.

**TENDERS RECEIVED**

Tenders were publicly advertised in Tenderlink with eighteen (18) sets of documents being uplifted. Five (5) tenders were received by the closing date of Tuesday, 27 November 2007. These are summarised in Table 1:

TENDERER	REG OFFICE	TENDER PRICE (excl. GST)
<b>Advanced Pipeline Services Limited - Alternative Tender</b>	PO Box 13447, Onehunga, Auckland	\$1,279,655.00
<b>H&amp;H Contractors Limited</b>	PO Box 15084, New Lynn, Auckland	\$1,396,560.00
<b>Horizontal Earth Drilling Limited</b>	PO Box 65133, Mairangi Bay, Auckland	\$1,399,030.00
<b>Advanced Pipeline Services Limited</b>	PO Box 13447, Onehunga, Auckland	\$1,492,155.00
<b>Pipeworks Rehabilitation Solutions Limited</b>	PO Box 62077, Mount Wellington, Auckland	\$1,497,299.33
Pre-tender contract estimate		\$1,449,315.00

**Table 1 - Summary of Tender Prices**

## TENDER EVALUATION

In accordance with the conditions of tendering, tenders were evaluated in line with the Weighted Attributes Method contained in Transfund New Zealand's "Competitive Pricing Procedures Manual".

The lowest priced tender was the Alternative Tender from Advanced Pipeline Services Limited (APSL) and the price is approximately 12% lower than the pre-tender contract estimate. However, the methodology proposed in the tender for rehabilitation of certain pipeline sections is different to the methodology specified in the design drawings. Some alternative methodologies were acceptable to EcoWater, but some were not acceptable.

As per General Conditions of Tender Clause WCT6.1, the Engineer to the Contract on behalf of the Principal, entered into negotiations with APSL and they agreed to amend the methodology in their alternative tender to suit EcoWater requirements. APSL then submitted their revised Alternative Tender with a revised price of \$1,387,105 which has been used in Table 3 for evaluating the Tenders.

The second lowest priced tender was from H&H Contractors Limited (HHCL). HHCL have submitted a conforming Tender, but there were six tags in their tender. They nominated JB Contractors as their sub contractor for structural lining, but when verified with JB Contractors Limited (JBCL), they confirmed that JBCL had been nominated by HHCL without consulting them.

As per the General Conditions of Tender Clause 5.2, the Engineer to the Contract on behalf of the Principal requested HHCL either withdraw all the tags without adjusting the original tender price or to price each tag. HHCL were also requested to confirm their sub contractor for structural lining and to submit the complete attributes of the nominated sub contractor.

In responding to the request by the Engineer to the Contract, HHCL advised that they would withdraw all tags except one regarding reinstatement of driveways and access ways but that consideration would be given on a site by site basis which they also refused to price. An experienced contractor should be able to quantify this risk when planning and pricing the work. This tag was not addressed as resolution would not have affected the outcome of the evaluation. HHCL nominated Pipeworks Rehabilitation Solutions Limited (PRSL) as their sub contractor for structural lining and submitted the complete attributes for PRSL.

The third lowest priced tender was from Horizontal Earth Drilling Limited (HEDL). HEDL have submitted a conforming tender, but they have stated in their tender that they can only commence the work in three to four months time due to other work commitments for North Shore City Council. Late commencement of construction was not acceptable to EcoWater and when requested to withdraw this tag HEDL confirmed in writing that they were not in a position to withdraw the tag.

There were no conditions or tags in the Tender submitted by PRSL.

In line with Transfund New Zealand's Competitive Pricing Procedures Manual conforming tenders were evaluated first before evaluation of the highest scoring conforming tender against the alternative tender.

Tender Evaluation	Attributes	Exper.	Track Record	Tech. Skill	Resour.	Manag. Skill	Method	Price	Contract Number EW 07 034B
									Total Weights
	<b>Weights</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>100</b>
Tenderer	Tender Sum	Grades							INDEX
Advanced Pipeline Services Limited	\$1,492,155.00	75	75	70	75	70	70	50	60
Pipeworks Rehab Solutions Limited	\$1,497,299.33	75	65	70	70	65	70	50	58
H&H Contractors Limited	\$1,396,560.00	70	55	65	65	45	70	56	57
Horizontal Earth Drilling Limited	\$1,399,030.00	70	70	65	36	60	70	56	57
Median Tender Price	\$1,445,592.50								

**Table 2 - Summary of Tender Evaluation - Conforming Tenders**

Tender Evaluation	Attributes	Exper.	Track Record	Tech. Skill	Resour.	Manag. Skill	Method.	Price	Contract Number EW 07034B
									Total Weights
	<b>Weights</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>100</b>
Tenderer	Tender Sum	Grades							INDEX
Advanced Pipeline Services Limited – Alternative Tender	\$1,387,105.00	75	75	70	75	70	60	54	63
Advanced Pipeline Services Limited – Conforming Tender	\$1,492,155.00	75	75	70	75	70	70	46	60
Median Tender Price	\$1,445,592.50								

**Table 3 - Summary of Tender Evaluation – Alternative Tender with the Highest Index Conforming Tender**

Scores for the non-price attributes were determined on the basis of information provided in each tender, on the information gathered from the referees nominated by the tenderers and on any recent Council experience with the tenderers.

HHCL has been marked low on Management Skills based on overall experience Council has had with HHCL in some of the recent contracts.

HEDL has been marked low on Resources due to their resources being committed elsewhere.

Out of the four conforming tenders considered for evaluation, the highest score was gained by the tender submitted by APSL (Ref Table 2). When APSL's conforming tender was compared with APSL's alternative tender then the highest score was gained by APSL's alternative tender which is approximately 4% lower than the pre-tender contract estimate.

APSL have proposed a team with the necessary resources and expertise to manage and undertake the work. APSL was formed in September 2006 as a result of re-structuring JB Pipeline Services (JBPS). JBPS have successfully undertaken similar works for Waitakere City Council for many years.

### TAGS, ERRORS OR OMISSIONS

The tenders from HHCL and HEDL contained tags, which were described under Tender Evaluation section above. Tenders from APSL and PRSL contained no tags.

There were no omissions in the tender submitted by HHCL, but a summation error was detected resulting in the tender sum being \$10,800 higher than the true total. This was not considered in the tender evaluation as resolution would not affect the outcome of the tender evaluation.

There were no omissions, in the tender submitted by HEDL, but a summation error was detected resulting in the tender sum being \$2,384,700 lower than the true total. In one of the scheduled items with a quantity of 3,000 metres, this tenderer has put a price of \$800/metre where as other's prices varied from \$5/metre to \$10/metre. Staff believe that instead of \$8/metre he has put \$800/metre which resulted in this error. This was not considered in the tender evaluation as resolution would not affect the outcome of the tender evaluation.

There were no omissions or errors in the tenders submitted by APSL and PRSL.

### CREDIT CHECK

A credit check on APSL dated 17 October 2007 revealed no adverse information.

### JOB COSTS

	<b>excl. GST</b>
Contract Price - Alternative tender from APSL	\$1,387,105.00
Contingency (10%)	\$ 138,710.50
Engineering & Supervision (10%)	<u>\$ 138,710.50</u>
Total Job Cost	<u>\$1,664,526.00</u>

### SOURCE OF FUNDING

Budget Description (from Annual Budget line item)	Codes	Budget	Committed to Other Projects	Allocation to This Project	Unallocated Balance
WW Renewals I/I Programme	74000-6740-8AMEW-08-027	\$4,200,000.00	\$ 1,134,185.70	\$ 1,364,526.00	\$ 1,701,288.30
Other Wastewater Network Upgrade Programme	74000-6740-8AMEW-07-081	\$400,000.00	\$0.00	\$ 300,000.00	\$ 100,000.00

## **SUMMARY**

The results of the tender evaluation as summarised in Tables 2 and 3 show that the alternative tender submitted by APSL achieved the highest overall index of 63. This tender is the lowest priced tender received.

It is considered that APSL has the necessary expertise and resources to satisfactorily carry out the works.

As part of the Council's contracting process "Quality and Health and Safety is not negotiable" - all contractors have had this focus clearly reinforced through the contract documents and this will be strongly reiterated at the subsequent pre-start meeting and imposed during the contract period.

## **RECOMMENDATIONS**

1. That the Contract No. EW07034B - Sewer Renewals in MC 6 Area 2 and MC 5 Area 1, New Lynn report be received.
2. That the alternative tender from Advanced Pipeline Services Limited for Contract No. EW07034B - Sewer Renewals in MC 6 Area 2 and MC 5 Area 1, New Lynn, in the sum of \$1,387,105.00 plus \$173,388.13 GST, totalling \$1,560,493.13 be accepted.
3. That authority to enter into Contract No. EW07034B: Sewer Renewals in MC 6 Area 2 and MC 5 Area1, New Lynn on behalf of Council be delegated to the Group Manager: Project Services.

Report prepared by: Disna Pathirage , Principal Engineer, Project Services.



## **14 APPROVAL TO NEGOTIATE EXTENSION OF PROPERTY RESPONSE MAINTENANCE CONTRACT - ELECTRICAL**

### **PURPOSE OF THE REPORT**

The purpose of this report is to seek approval from the Tenders Subcommittee to negotiate with the existing electrical response maintenance contractor, Riteway Electrical, for the extension of the current contract for a further three (3) year period from 1 February 2008 to 31 January 2011 at the sole discretion of the Principal.

### **BACKGROUND**

Contract No. CY03001A - Response Maintenance - Electrical by Riteway Electrical is due to expire on 31 January 2008.

### **SCOPE OF WORK**

The Response Maintenance - Electrical contract provides electrical maintenance for all Council owned and/or operated buildings including response maintenance, electrical tagging and planned maintenance.

### **ISSUES**

There are risks for Council with the public tendering of specialised term maintenance contracts.

The incumbent contractor, Riteway Electrical, is performing exceptionally well and the contracts are operating very successfully. The key performance measures are being met for the contract response times, quality, workmanship, health and safety, and customer satisfaction. The contractor goes beyond their contractual requirements, by providing added value in resolving issues, undertaking minor works and providing an increased service to Council.

It is proposed that Council negotiate an extension of the contract period and scope as necessary with Riteway Electrical.

The main aim of the negotiating process is to achieve additional cost efficiencies from the new contract. If cost savings are not realised then it is proposed that the contracts be publicly tendered. There are also other benefits that will continue to be supplied to Council by negotiating an extension of this contract as follows:

- Building on the successful relationships with existing contractor to continue to provide a high level and very reliable service to our customers. The risk is that new contractor may not provide the same high level of service;
- Continuation of the delivery of quality products by an experienced and competent contractor. Even with performance measures within the contract there is no guarantee that a new contractor will continuously perform to provide quality products;
- Reduction in the risk of engaging a new contract where a settling in period is required for the contractor to come up to speed with contract works. The existing contractor has an established knowledge of Council's buildings;
- Reduction in set up costs in establishing plant and machinery at a time when fuel and machinery costs are significantly increasing;
- Current Contractor's work ethics are aligned with Council's and Property Asset's objectives in the provision of quality service and high customer satisfaction;
- Continuation of innovative practises due to thorough working knowledge of the contract works.

The current trend set by Transit New Zealand and through other councils is to develop long term relationships by forming alliance type contracts with contractors which achieve the benefits as stated above, with an emphasis on relationships.

The new tender will be evaluated and compared with current tender sums and available benchmarking rates. The outcome of contract negotiations will be reported to the Tenders Subcommittee for approval. If the negotiation process does not achieve cost efficiency, the contracts will then be advertised for public tender.

## **RESOURCES**

Funding for these contracts has been included in the 2006-2016 Long Term Council Community Plan.

## **SUMMARY**

It is recommended that authority be delegated to the Chief Executive Officer to negotiate with Riteway Electrical for the extension of the Response Maintenance - Electrical contract for a period of three years from 1 February 2008 to 31 January 2011 at the sole discretion of the Principal and that the outcome of the negotiations be reported back to Tenders Subcommittee.

## **RECOMMENDATIONS**

1. That the Approval to Negotiate Extension of Property Response Maintenance Contract - Electrical report be received.
2. That for the reasons set out in the Agenda report, authority be delegated to the Chief Executive to negotiate CY03001A - Response Maintenance Electrical including the contract sum, period and scope and report back on the outcomes of the negotiations to the Tenders Subcommittee.

Report prepared by: Tina Hemsworth, Manager: Property Assets.



## **15 PROPERTY RESPONSE MAINTENANCE CONTRACT - PLUMBING**

### **PURPOSE OF THE REPORT**

The purpose of this report is to seek approval from the Tenders Subcommittee to negotiate a contract for plumbing maintenance with the existing plumbing response maintenance contractor, Allwest Plumbing, for a period of three (3) years from 1 February 2008 to 31 January 2011, at the sole discretion of the Principal.

### **BACKGROUND**

A report was submitted to the Tenders Subcommittee on 9 February 2007 seeking authority to call tenders for a term maintenance contract for plumbing services and the Tenders Subcommittee resolved as follows;

- “1. That the Contract No. PY06046D - Response Maintenance - Plumbing report be received.*
- 2. That approval be given to publicly call for tenders for Contract No. PY06046D - Response Maintenance - Plumbing, for a three year term. “*

*55/2007*

According tenders were advertised on 19 November 2007 with a closing date of 11 December 2007. Only one tender was received and the hourly rate submitted is significantly higher than the current contract rate. Therefore it is recommended that the tender be rejected and authority be granted to negotiate a term maintenance contract with the existing contractor.

### **SCOPE OF WORK**

The Response Maintenance - Plumbing contract provides plumbing maintenance for all Council owned and/or operated buildings including response and planned maintenance works.

The incumbent contractor, Allwest Plumbing, is performing exceptionally well and the contracts are operating very successfully. The key performance measures are being exceeded met for the contract response times, quality, workmanship, health and safety, and customer satisfaction. The contractor goes beyond their contractual requirements, by providing added value in resolving issues, undertaking minor works and providing an increased service to Council.

It is proposed that Council negotiate a contract with a contract period and scope as necessary with Allwest Plumbing.

The main aim of the negotiating process is to achieve additional cost efficiencies from the new contract. If cost savings are not realised then it is proposed that the contracts be publicly tendered. There are also other benefits that will continue to be supplied to Council by negotiating this contract as follows:

- Building on the successful relationships with existing contractor to continue to provide a high level and very reliable service to our customers. The risk is that new contractor may not provide the same high level of service;
- Continuation of the delivery of quality products by an experienced and competent contractor. Even with performance measures within the contract there is no guarantee that a new contractor will continuously perform to provide quality products;
- Reduction in the risk of engaging a new contract where a settling in period is required for the contractor to come up to speed with contract works. The existing contractor has an established knowledge of Council's buildings;
- Reduction in set up costs in establishing plant and machinery at a time when fuel and machinery costs are significantly increasing;
- Current Contractor's work ethics are aligned with Council's and Property Asset's objectives in the provision of quality service and high customer satisfaction;
- Continuation of innovative practises due to thorough working knowledge of the contract works.

The current trend set by Transit New Zealand and through other councils is to develop long term relationships by forming alliance type contracts with contractors which achieve the benefits as stated above, with an emphasis on relationships.

The new tender will be evaluated and compared with current tender sums and available benchmarking rates. The outcome of contract negotiations will be reported to the Tenders Subcommittee for approval. If the negotiation process does not achieve cost efficiency, the contracts will then be advertised for public tender.

## **RESOURCES**

Funding for these contracts has been included in the 2006-2016 Long Term Council Community Plan.

## **SUMMARY**

It is recommended that authority be delegated to the Chief Executive Officer to negotiate with Allwest Plumbing for the Response Maintenance – Plumbing contract for a period of three years from 1 February 2008 to 31 January 2011 at the sole discretion of the Principal and that the outcome of the negotiations be reported back to Tenders Subcommittee.

## **RECOMMENDATIONS**

1. That Property Response Maintenance - Plumbing report be received.
2. That for the reasons set out in the Agenda report, authority be delegated to the Chief Executive Officer to negotiate PY06046D - Response Maintenance Plumbing including the contract sum, period and scope and report back on the outcomes of the negotiations to the Tenders Subcommittee.

Report prepared by: Tina Hemsworth, Manager: Property Assets.

