

NOTICE OF MEETING

TENDERS SUBCOMMITTEE

I hereby give notice that an Ordinary Meeting of the Tenders Subcommittee will be held on:-

DATE: **Friday** **16 August 2002** **TIME:** **9.00 am**

VENUE: **Civic Centre, 6 Waipareira Avenue, Lincoln, Waitakere City**

to consider the business as set out herein and to take any necessary action connected therewith.

9 August 2002

Sharon Simiona
COMMITTEE SECRETARY

Telephone (09) 836 8000 extn 8820

MEMBERSHIP:

Councillors JM Clews, QSO, JP (Chairperson)
 GB Presland (Deputy Chairperson)

Chief Executive

Director: City Services

Director: Corporate & Civic Services

Director: Strategic Projects

Director: Strategic Group

Director: Finance

Director: City Enterprises

Maori Issues Manager

Mayor, Bob Harvey, QSO, JP (ex officio)

Deputy Mayor, CA Stone (ex officio)

(Quorum 4 members)

★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★

(The reports and recommendations contained in all agendas are reports and recommendations only and are not to be construed, in any way, as Council policy until adopted.)

**AGENDA FOR AN ORDINARY MEETING OF THE TENDERS SUBCOMMITTEE
TO BE HELD IN THE CIVIC CENTRE, 6 WAIPAREIRA AVENUE, LINCOLN,
WAITAKERE CITY, ON FRIDAY, 16 AUGUST 2002,
COMMENCING AT 9.00 AM.**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>ITEM</u>		<u>PAGE NO.</u>
1	APOLOGIES	1
2	URGENT BUSINESS	1
3	CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES	1
4	SERVICE CONNECTIONS FOR WATER SUPPLY, WASTEWATER AND STORMWATER	2
5	LIBRARY COMPUTER SYSTEM (CONTRACT LB010/23A)- RENEGOTIATION	3
6	ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN OF LIBRARIES - GLEN EDEN AND NEW LYNN	7
7	CONTRACT NO. TA 02509.B - STREETLIGHT MAINTENANCE 2002/2003	10

**AGENDA FOR AN ORDINARY MEETING OF THE TENDERS SUBCOMMITTEE
TO BE HELD IN THE CIVIC CENTRE, 6 WAIPAREIRA AVENUE, LINCOLN,
WAITAKERE CITY, ON FRIDAY, 16 AUGUST 2002,
COMMENCING AT 9.00 AM.**

1 APOLOGIES



2 URGENT BUSINESS

Section 46A(7) and (7A) of the Local Government Official Information Act and Meetings Act 1987 provides that where an item of business is not on the agenda, it may only be dealt with at the meeting if:

- (i) the item is a minor matter; and
- (ii) the Chairperson has explained at the beginning of the meeting (when open to the public) that the item will be raised for discussion, why the item is not on the agenda, and why it cannot be delayed until a subsequent meeting; and
- (iii) the Committee resolves to deal with the item.

No resolution, decision, or recommendation may be made in respect of the item except to refer the item to a subsequent meeting for further discussion.

NOTE: Urgent Business need not be dealt with now and may be delayed until later in the meeting.



3 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

Ordinary - 2 August 2002

RECOMMENDATION

That the minutes of the Ordinary Meeting of the Tenders Subcommittee held on Friday, 2 August 2002, as circulated, be taken as read and now be confirmed.



4 **SERVICE CONNECTIONS FOR WATER SUPPLY, WASTEWATER AND STORMWATER**

PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

The purpose of this report is to seek permission to tender for a two-year contract, with options for extension of one year, for the provision of service connections for water supply, wastewater and stormwater in the City.

BACKGROUND

New construction activities in the City result in applications from developers and individuals to Council to provide new service connections for water supply and drainage. Annually, these applications number approximately 1,300 for water supply, 80 for wastewater, and 65 for stormwater. Council provides this service through a term contract with a reputable contractor.

The current contract for service connections commenced in 2000 and is due to expire on 31 October 2002.

ISSUES

In order to maintain the integrity and control of the City's piping networks, it is necessary for Council to have the exclusive right to make new connections to the networks. When the work is performed by a contractor under contract with the Council, Council is more able to ensure that strict quality requirements are followed.

When tendering for a contract that will be longer than one year, contractors should be able to factor in savings that could be gained from the following:

- certainty of having long-term work and income stream;
- better utilisation and commitment of resources; and
- better planning of the firm's activities.

It is expected that contractors will then pass on the savings to Council and to the community in the form of lower prices. Additionally, Council should be able to benefit from:

- lower administration costs;
- continuity of contract; and
- consistency of service.

In order to ensure that the successful contractor continues to perform throughout the duration of the contract, key performance indicators (KPIs) will be incorporated into the proposed contract. Should the contractor not meet expected performance targets, early termination provisions will be available to Council in the proposed contract.

RESOURCES

Letting a two-year contract would involve committing future year's budgets. The estimated budget provisions required are:

Financial Year	Estimated Budget Required
2002/2003	\$240,000.00
2003/2004	\$360,000.00
2004/2005	\$360,000.00
2005/2006	\$120,000.00

These costs are recovered by user charges and as such do not impact on Council's budget.

CONCLUSION

The ability to tender and to let a two-year contract plus one right of renewal should result in Council being able to achieve cost savings and to offer a better level of service to customers.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. That the information be received.
2. That approval be given to tender for a two-year contract, with options for extension of one year and for the provision of service connections for water supply, wastewater and stormwater in the City.

Report prepared by: Tony Miguel, Manager: EcoWater.



5 LIBRARY COMPUTER SYSTEM (CONTRACT LB010/23A)- RENEGOTIATION

PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

The purpose of this report is to seek approval for an extension of two years to the current contract for supply of licensing, maintenance and support of the library's hardware and software held with Datacom Systems Limited.

BACKGROUND

On 26 June 1989 Waitakere Library and Information Services installed a library management software system called Data Research Systems (DRS). A contract was also entered into, to receive Bureau services from Datacom, in partnership with Manukau and North Shore Libraries.

North Shore Libraries, Manukau Libraries and Waitakere Library and Information Services achieved some economies by an agreement to share the hardware platform and the management and maintenance of both platform and software. However, a separate and individual contract is negotiated with each Local Authority by Datacom and the software supplier, Data Research Associates (DRA).

In the period since 1989, Waitakere has continued to roll over the contract every three years until the last contract expiry period in June 1998. At that point the contract has been extended for nearly 10 years without review

In the light of this it was decided to renew the contract on an annual basis and to undertake an Request for Information (RFI), Request for Product (RFP) process in 2000/2001 to assess the current state of the market before awarding a contract for a full three year term. As a secondary consideration two other issues were to be investigated:

- the potential to negotiate a consortium contract between Waitakere and Manukau/North Shore;
- review the use of Datacom as a bureau service provider and consideration of other service options.

As a result of the Request for Information (RFI) six vendors were identified as potential suppliers: Ameritech, Data Research Associates, Sanderson, Liberty, GEAC and Matrix.

During the Request for Information (RFI) phase two significant details became apparent:

Three of the five systems; Data Research Associates (DRA) product TAOS, Sanderson product Spydus and Liberty product Concordance put forward by vendors have not yet fully made the transition for character based user interface to a Windows, Graphical User Interface front end (which is a key requirement) with significant areas of systems still to be completed in the next 12 to 24 months.

Analysis showed no financial benefit in moving to another application or support solution. The benefits that would accrue would be from improved service to borrowers and staff.

It was at the point of concluding from the results of the Request for Information (RFI) process that the optimal path for the library to pursue would be to follow a migration path to the next generation of the Data Research Associates (DRA) product Taos when it was fully developed, that a merger between Data Research Associates (DRA) and another library system supplier company, SIRSI was announced.

ISSUES

There are two key issues which impact on the decision of the future of the Library System software:

a) **Merger between Data Research Associates (DRA) and SIRSI**

After the merger Data Research Associates (DRA) branding and image ceased and Sirsi became the name of the resulting merged company. Sirsi announced that they would be undertaking an evaluation of what was previously, competing products to determine whether both library system products would continue to be developed and marketed or whether one, or the other of the products would be withdrawn from the market.

This had a significant impact on our selection process, as Data Research Associates (DRA) was one of the key suppliers and the Taos product a likely choice for Waitakere City as the Taos product was being offered as the upgrade path for users of Data Research System (DRS) Classic. For this reason there was some concern for the outcome of the merger.

In late 2001 Sirsi announced the company would be offering only one product to the market, the product that is now called Unicorn. The Taos development would cease and the product would be withdrawn from the market, although current clients would be supported. In future, the upgrade path for Data Research System Classic sites would be the Sirsi product Unicorn. Although Data Research System (DRS) Classic is a stable product, with minimal maintenance and support required for the software, it is very old technology and the company has indicated support will eventually be withdrawn, although no date has been given for when this will occur.

The other key issue is:

b) Smarter Systems

Smarter Systems is the name given to the project to undertake a collaborative approach to the review and implementation of a library system for each of the Waitakere, Manukau, North Shore, Auckland and Rodney Libraries.

It was during the process that Manukau, North Shore and Waitakere Libraries undertook when reviewing their contract and system requirements in 2000/2001 that the matter of a consortium contract for the three was raised. Datacom stated that there was a potential for a consortium contract to be negotiated but that this would require there to be additional parties to the contract.

It was at this point that consideration was given to opening discussion with other libraries in the region to consider the potential for a collective system review process with the ultimate goal of entering into a joint system/bureau service contract.

It was clear that this was feasible as Manukau, North Shore and Waitakere have the situation of being without a supported system in the foreseeable future and each having a similar contract expiry period. Auckland and Rodney are both users of another library management system, Dynix and both are willing to consider other systems. In addition both have a similar June 2004 contract expiry period as have Waitakere, North Shore and Manukau.

Given that the Smarter Systems project has some potentially valuable outcomes it was clear that it is advisable to maintain parity between the contract expiry periods of each of the partner libraries. Waitakere's involvement in the Smarter Systems is being evaluated through the Information Management Steering Committee, a sub-group of the SMG.

Current Situation with Timeshare Partners

North Shore Libraries renewed their contract with Datacom for the licensing and support of Data Research System (DRS) Classic software and the Bureau services for the period until October 2004. At the time of the renewal a full Request for Information (RFI) and Request for Product (RFP) process had been undertaken and the conclusions drawn from that process was that the Data Research System (DRS) Classic software and Bureau services from Datacom was the most viable option at that time, given that the second and third generation systems of alternative vendors were still under development. In essence the conclusion that North Shore Libraries drew from their investigation paralleled that of Waitakere.

Manukau Libraries renewed their contract with Datacom for the licensing and support of Data Research System (DRS) Classic software and the Bureau services for the period until October 2004. At the time of the renewal Manukau has undertaken similar process to that of Waitakere having sought a Request for Information (RFI) from all of the vendors in the market in an open process. As had North Shore and Waitakere, Manukau also concluded that the Data Research System (DRS) Classic software and Bureau services from Datacom continued to be the most viable option.

CONCLUSION

While the Library's existing Data Research System Classic system has some substantial shortcomings in comparison to the later generation systems, it still retains its viability, as a reliable and stable system to deliver core business needs. Until the Smarter Systems project is more fully developed and it becomes clear whether Waitakere will continue as a partner in the project through to the final stages of implementation, it is desirable to remain with the Data Research Systems Classic product for a further two-year contract period.

The previous 'computer processing' contract with Datacom Systems Limited which covers the Bureau Timeshare Services and the licensing and support of the Data Research Associates Classic library software has expired and is currently being extended on a monthly basis. It is advised that the contract be extended for 27 months to take the contract to October 2004. The conditions and terms of the contract, including annual costs will remain as before, while noting that the cost of the contract was revised in the 2001/2002 year to accommodate the extended coverage required for enhanced service levels in the Massey 'Cyber café'.

As Datacom Systems Limited is the sole authorized agent in New Zealand for the licensing and maintenance of the Data Research Associates (DRA) software Waitakere City may confidently enter into a contract with Datacom Systems Limited knowing that there are no other competing suppliers.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. That the information be received.
2. That the existing contract with Datacom for the Data Research Associates Classic system and for Bureau Timeshare Services be renewed for a further twenty seven month period to 1 October 2004. The total value of the contract per annum payable to Datacom Limited is \$192,696.
3. That provision is made in the 2003/2004 Annual Plan to fund the value of the contract for the period 1 July 2003 to 30 June 2004 and that further provision is made in the 2004/2005 Annual Plan to fund the value of the contract for the period 1 July 2004 to 1 October 2004.
4. That in accordance with Section 247E of the Local Government Act 1974, this contract not be put to public tender due to the following reasons:
 - Council is evaluating products for its long-term library needs and is not ready to implement a new system at the current time;
 - Council wishes to maintain its existing system until such time as its evaluation has been completed, and Datacom are the recognised suppliers of services to support this system.
5. That the Director: Corporate & Civic Services be delegated authority to enter into the contract on Council's behalf.

Report prepared by: Su Scott, Library and Information Service Manager.



6 ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN OF LIBRARIES - GLEN EDEN AND NEW LYNN

PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

The purpose of this report is to seek approval to invite tenders for architectural services from a shortlist of seven registrants for the proposed Glen Eden Library and New Lynn Library projects.

Since the two library projects bear strong similarities in scope and nature of work, the Registration of Interest process for the two projects has been combined for efficiency. However, the tendering process will be carried out independently for each of the projects.

BACKGROUND

A Registration of Interest from prospective tenderers, for architectural design of the proposed Glen Eden and New Lynn Libraries was called for by way of two insertions in the New Zealand Herald.

The reasons for using the registration of interest process for this project are:

- this is a large-scale project with high costs (over \$ 50,000);
- project has high importance to Council;
- pre-selecting seven specialists to ensure high level of performance during the works and competitive bids during the tender phase.

The registration of interest process is being carried out in accordance with the Council's Procurement Manual. The evaluation of respondents will be generally in accordance with the Transit New Zealand weighted attributes method, with tenderers for Architectural design being drawn from the seven highest ranked respondents.

REGISTRATIONS RECEIVED

Twenty-three registrations of interest were received by the closing date of 26 July 2002:

REGISTRANT (alphabetical order)	REG. OFFICE
Architects NZ	Newmarket
Architecture Brewer Davidson	Auckland
Architecture Warren and Mahoney	Christchurch
Architectus / Athfield	Auckland
ASC Architects	Auckland
Beca Carter Hollings & Ferner	Auckland
Chow Hill Architects	Papatoetoe
City Design	Auckland
Craig Craig Moller	Auckland
Creative Spaces	Auckland
Dodd Paterson + Bukowski Rehm	Auckland
EDI Ellis Dodd & Ingham	Grey Lynn
Graham & Keys	Henderson
Halstead & Associates	Remuera
JASMAX	Auckland

REGISTRANT (alphabetical order)	REG. OFFICE
Lambourne / Walker Architects JV	Auckland
Opus Architecture	Auckland
Peddle Thorp Architects	Auckland
Pepper Dixon Architects	Auckland
Pete Bossley Architects	Auckland
RTA Studio	Newton
Stephenson & Turner	Auckland
The Architecture Office	Ponsonby

Table 1 - Summary of Registrants

REGISTRANT EVALUATION

In accordance with the conditions of registration, registrants were evaluated in line with the Weighted Attribute Method contained in Transfund New Zealand's "Competitive Pricing Procedures Manual".

A summary of the evaluation results is shown in Table 2:

Registration of Interest	Relevant	Track	Technical	Resources	Manag. Skill / Methodology	Contract Number SP02501A
	Experience	Record	Skill			Total Weights
	20	20	20	20	20	100
Registrant	Grades					INDEX
Architecture Warren and Mahoney	85	75	80	80	80	80
Architectus / Athfield	80	75	80	80	80	79
ASC Architects	80	75	80	80	75	78
JASMAX	70	75	75	80	75	75
Creative Spaces	70	75	70	75	75	73
Craig Craig Moller	70	75	70	75	70	72
Chow Hill Architects	65	75	70	75	70	71

Table 2 - Summary of Registration of Interest Evaluation

Individual scores for Registrants with index scores in the highest seven are shown. Since the objective of this registration process is to select the seven highest scoring respondents, no purpose is served by publishing the individual scores of the other respondents.

The evaluation was carried out by a select panel comprising members of the Project Control Group representing end-users, project managers, arts co-ordinator, landscape development and iwi representative. Each registration was evaluated and scored independently and reviewed by members of the panel.

SUMMARY

All registrations evaluated were deemed to be conforming registrations.

The Registration of Interest document for this process specified the information required and the format of the registrant's submissions. This document also identified that only the highest ranked registrants would be invited to tender for each of these projects.

An accepted industry standard of up to seven invited tenderers is deemed appropriate for a contract of this magnitude and encourages serious and competitive tenders. Based on the normal scoring ranges, a grade of 65 represents an "above-average" score. The seven highest ranked registrants all have scores of more than 65 and therefore are well experienced and capable of carrying out the projects in a competent manner. Therefore the seven top scoring registrants will be invited to tender for these projects.

The seven highest ranked registrants as listed in table 2 above, will be invited to tender for the work and the actual tenders will be evaluated using the weighted attributes method, which will take into account nominated personnel, proposed sub-consultants and other specific contract attributes such as team collaboration, methodology and price.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. That the information be received.
2. That the seven top scoring registrants be invited to tender for both the Glen Eden library and New Lynn library projects. These registrants are:
 - Architecture Warren and Mahoney
 - Architectus / Athfield
 - ASC Architects
 - JASMAX
 - Creative Spaces
 - Craig Craig Moller
 - Chow Hill Architects

Report prepared by: John Schermbrucker, Special Projects Engineer.



7 CONTRACT NO. TA 02509.B - STREETLIGHT MAINTENANCE 2002/2003

PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

The purpose of this report is to seek approval to award Contract No. TA 02509.B Streetlight Maintenance 2002/2003.

SCOPE OF WORK

This contract is for the maintenance of streetlights of public roads within Waitakere City. The work includes but is not limited to:

- maintenance of existing streetlights;
- regular patrols to locate faults;
- 24 hour, 7 day reception services for correspondence;
- supply and erect new streetlights and components;
- updating council database and asset management software.

The tender has been advertised with two options. Option 1 allows for a 10 month contract and Option 2 allows for a 22 month contract.

TENDERS RECEIVED

Tenders were publicly advertised with seven (7) sets of documents being uplifted. Five (5) tenders were received by the closing date of Tuesday, 23 July 2002. These are summarised in Table 1 below:

TENDERER	REG OFFICE	Option 1 - Tender Price (excl GST)	Option 2 - Tender Price (excl GST)
CSL Traffic Limited	Albany	<u>Disqualified</u>	
Solar Outdoor Lighting Limited	Kumeu	Disqualified	
Transfield Services Limited	Avondale	\$ 303,578.79	\$ 661,553.00
Alstom NZ Limited	Otara	\$ 362,386.24	\$ 768,464.73
Electrix	Mt Wellington	\$ 290,250.77	\$ 644,364.92
Pre-tender contract estimate		\$290,374.09	\$ 614,864.32

Table 1 - Summary of Tender Prices

The tender prices received are higher than the pre-tender contract estimate with the median price being 4.5% above the estimate. The lowest price received, however, is less than the estimate. This is consistent with the rate of inflation and fluctuations in the value of the New Zealand Dollar internationally.

TENDER EVALUATION

In accordance with the conditions of tendering, tenders were evaluated in line with the Weighted Attribute Method contained in Transfund New Zealand's "Competitive Pricing Procedures Manual".

These procedures require that where the tender includes Provisional Sums (work valued by the Principal and placed within the Schedule of Prices) they are deducted from the Tender Sum prior to evaluating each tender.

In this contract the value of Provisional Sums is \$26,000 for Option 1, and \$60,000 for Option 2.

The “Two envelope process” as described in Section 2.7 of the above manual was followed. Under this method, only the first tender envelope is opened and the non-price attributes evaluated for all tenders before the second envelope containing the tender price is opened, and the tender prices duly included in the evaluation.

A summary of the evaluation of the two options is shown in Table 2A and 2B below:

Tender Evaluation	Attributes	Exper.	Track Record	Tech. Skill	Resour.	Manag. Skill	Method.	Price	Contract Number TA 02509B
		Total Weights							
Weights		6	6	5	4	6	3	70	100
Tenderer	Tender Sum	Grades							INDEX
CSL Traffic Limited		55	65	65	70	30	50		Disqualified
Solar Outdoor Lighting Limited		55	35	55	60	35	60		Disqualified
Transfield Services Limited	\$277,578.79	70	70	65	70	55	65	50	55
Alstom NZ Limited	\$336,386.24	70	65	70	65	65	70	29	40
Electrix Limited	\$264,250.77	65	60	50	60	55	60	55	56
Med.n Tender Price	\$277,578.79								

* Attribute grading as defined by Transit NZ in their CPP Tender Evaluation Manual Effective 1 March 1997

Table 2A - Summary of Tender Evaluation for Option 1 - 10 Month Contract Period

Tender Evaluation	Attributes	Exper.	Track Record	Tech. Skill	Resour.	Manag. Skill	Method.	Price	Contract Number TA 02509B
		Total Weights							
Weights		6	6	5	4	6	3	70	100
Tenderer	Tender Sum	Grades							INDEX
CSL Traffic Limited		55	65	65	70	30	50		Disqualified
Solar Outdoor Lighting Ltd		55	35	55	60	35	60		Disqualified
Transfield Services Limited	\$601,533.00	70	70	65	70	55	65	50	55
Alstom NZ Limited	\$708,464.73	70	65	70	65	65	70	32	43
Electrix Limited	\$584,364.92	65	60	50	60	55	60	53	54
Med.n Tender Price	\$601,533.00								

* Attribute grading as defined by Transit NZ in their CPP Tender Evaluation Manual Effective 1 March 1997

Table 2B - Summary of Tender Evaluation for Option 2 - 22 Month Contract Period

For the ten (10) month option, Electrix Limited scored the highest index with 55 and was the lowest conforming priced tenderer.

For the twenty-two (22) month option, Transfield scored the highest index with 55.

However, Electrix submitted the lowest conforming priced tender.

Electrix scored relatively poorly in Technical Skills and Management Skills due to a lack of contract specific information within their tender submission. They have had a lot of experience working within Waitakere City and this was reflected in the scores for experience and track record.

Transfield performed better in non-priced attributes.

CSL Traffic Limited has good experience with Traffic Signal design and construction, but does not have effective management systems and methods appropriate to the successful management of this relatively large streetlight maintenance contract. Therefore they were disqualified.

Solar Outdoor Lighting Limited scored poorly in Track Record due to their lack of completing contracts to the quality standards required, on schedule and within budget. They also scored poorly in Management Skills due to lack of personnel with appropriate management skills, effective management systems and methods appropriate for the successful management of this contract. They were consequently disqualified.

TAGS, ERRORS OR OMISSIONS

Electrix included a tag in their tender submission that their tender price excluded removal of hazardous material. Electrix was contacted and removed the tag from their submission.

Electrix also had an arithmetic error within their schedule of rates. They neglected to include the unscheduled items in their tender sum. They were notified, and they confirmed that their tender was to remain open for acceptance and the schedule will be adjusted accordingly.

Alstom also had an arithmetic error in their schedule of rates. Due to them not being considered, they were not contacted.

CREDIT CHECK

A credit check on Electrix Limited dated 1 August 2002 revealed no adverse information.

SUMMARY

The tender evaluation for Option 1 as summarised in Table 2A shows that the tender submitted by Electrix Limited achieved the highest index of 55. This was also the lowest priced tender.

The tender evaluation for Option 2 as summarised in Table 2B show that the tender submitted by Transfield Services Limited achieved the highest index of 55. This was the second lowest priced tender. Electrix Limited was the lowest priced tender for Option 2 with an index of 54.

Electrix tender for Option 1 provides a cost to Council of \$29,025.00 per month.

Transfield tender for Option 2 provides a cost to Council of \$30,070.00 per month.

When taking account of all costs including re-tendering at the end of the initial ten-month period there is no financial benefit to Council to accept Option 2.

As part of City Services vision "Quality and Health and Safety is not negotiable" all contractors have had this focus clearly reinforced through the contract documents and this will be strongly reiterated at the subsequent pre-start meeting and imposed during the contract period.

JOB COSTS

	<u>Excl. GST</u>
Tender sum - (<i>Electrix Limited</i>)	\$290,250.77
Contingency	\$ 30,000.00
Engineering & Supervision	\$ 26,350.00

Total Job Cost	\$346,600.77

SOURCE OF FUNDING

Budget Description (From Annual Budget line item)	Codes	Budget	Committed to Other Projects	Allocation to This Project	Unallocated Balance
Streetlight Maintenance	46-7158-24580	\$309,000	\$53,000	\$256,000	NIL
Streetlight Professional Services	46-7158-24360	\$25,000	\$3,600	\$21,400	NIL
Secondary Road Street Lighting	75-9810-30480	\$150,000	NIL	\$70,000	\$80,000
Total	2002/2003	\$484,000	\$56,600	\$347,400	\$80,000

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. That the information be received.
2. That the tender from Electrix Limited for Contract TA 02509B - Streetlight Maintenance 2002/2003 Option 1 in the sum of \$290,250.77 plus \$36,281.35 GST, totalling \$326,532.12 be accepted.
3. That authority to enter into Contract TA 02509B - Streetlight Maintenance 2002/2003 on behalf of Council be delegated to the Director: City Services.

Report prepared by: Hussam Abdul-Rassol, Transport Engineer.

