



**AGENDA FOR AN ORDINARY MEETING OF THE TENDERS SUBCOMMITTEE  
TO BE HELD IN THE CIVIC CENTRE, 6 WAIPAREIRA AVENUE, LINCOLN,  
WAITAKERE CITY, ON FRIDAY, 5 JULY 2002,  
COMMENCING AT 9.00 AM.**

---

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<b><u>ITEM</u></b>		<b><u>PAGE NO.</u></b>
1	APOLOGIES	1
2	URGENT BUSINESS	1
3	CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES	1
4	AQUATIC CENTRE - CAFETERIA LEASE	2
5	CONTRACT TA 2505.A - ROAD DRAINAGE MAINTENANCE 2002/2003	5
6	CONTRACT TA 02506.A - ROAD EMERGENCIES AND SPECIAL WORKS 2002/2003	7
7	FOOTPATH, KERB & CHANNEL AND DRAINAGE MAINTENANCE 2002/2003	10

**AGENDA FOR AN ORDINARY MEETING OF THE TENDERS SUBCOMMITTEE  
TO BE HELD IN THE CIVIC CENTRE, 6 WAIPAREIRA AVENUE, LINCOLN,  
WAITAKERE CITY, ON FRIDAY, 5 JULY 2002,  
COMMENCING AT 9.00 AM.**

---

**1 APOLOGIES**



**2 URGENT BUSINESS**

Section 46A(7) and (7A) of the Local Government Official Information Act and Meetings Act 1987 provides that where an item of business is not on the agenda, it may only be dealt with at the meeting if:

- (i) the item is a minor matter; and
- (ii) the Chairperson has explained at the beginning of the meeting (when open to the public) that the item will be raised for discussion, why the item is not on the agenda, and why it cannot be delayed until a subsequent meeting; and
- (iii) the Committee resolves to deal with the item.

No resolution, decision, or recommendation may be made in respect of the item except to refer the item to a subsequent meeting for further discussion.

**NOTE:** Urgent Business need not be dealt with now and may be delayed until later in the meeting.



**3 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES**

Ordinary - 21 June 2002

**RECOMMENDATION**

That the minutes of the Ordinary Meeting of the Tenders Subcommittee held on Friday, 21 June 2002, as circulated, be taken as read and now be confirmed.



#### 4 AQUATIC CENTRE - CAFETERIA LEASE

##### **PURPOSE OF THE REPORT**

The purpose of this report is to advise the Subcommittee of the outcome of the Registration of Interest for the establishment of a Lease Agreement for the operation of a Café at the upgraded Aquatic Centre.

##### **BACKGROUND**

The process for awarding the lease was approved at the Subcommittee's meeting of 31 May 2002. A two stage tendering process with a publicly advertised Registration of Interest to develop a shortlist of applicants and subsequent invited tenders for the lease was approved.

##### **SCOPE OF WORK**

The operator is to completely fit-out the café with all requirements, including serving counters, benches, sinks, dishwashers, cookers, fridges, etc.

It is proposed to establish a Café Operation Lease Agreement with a minimum initial term of up to 10 years. The actual term of the lease, while expected to be not more than 10 years initially, may include right of renewal provisions based on a 3, 5 or 10 year initial term, followed by renewal periods of 1, 3 or 5 years. The reasons for this approach are:

- The successful operator will be investing a minimum of \$60,000 to fitout the café and will require security of tenure to recoup that initial outlay;
- Security of tenure will provide a degree of confidence and commitment that a short-term arrangement may not. Performance and quality issues can be covered off in the final lease agreement document to ensure the City has the ability to terminate the lease agreement if necessary;
- Longer tenure with a good operator will allow a partnership approach to develop and add value through 'ownership' of the venue, and better involvement in promotional opportunities and venue functions. Right of renewal provisions will further contribute to this.

##### **REGISTRATIONS OF INTEREST RECEIVED**

Registration of Interest was publicly advertised and the main commercial operators were contacted and sent registration documents. Seven sets of documents were uplifted. One registration of interest was received and is summarised in Table 1 below:

<b>REGISTRATION OF INTEREST</b>	<b>REG OFFICE</b>
Eurest Catering Management Specialists	P.O. Box 12 080, Penrose, Auckland

**Table 1 - Summary of Registration of Interest**

## REGISTRATION OF INTEREST EVALUATION

In accordance with the registration of interest documents, registrants were evaluated using a weighted attribute matrix.

A summary of the evaluation results are shown in the table below:

ROI Evaluation Aquatic Centre Cafeteria	Exper.	Track Record	Financial Viability	Mkting	Menu	Contract Number AQ01002
						Total Weights INDEX
Grades						INDEX
Eurest	80	90	80	70	80	80

**Table 2 - Summary of Evaluation Results**

Registrants were evaluated for selection by grading the following attributes:

• Experience:	20%	Experience judged by such indicators as the number of years the company has been in the catering industry and the work they have accomplished.
• Track Record:	20%	Track record differs from experience in that it pertains to the track record of managing similar venues and styles of operation as is required. For example an operator who has experience in out-catering will not score as highly as an operator who has a track record in managing a Café.
• Financial Viability:	20%	With a long term commitment sought from the operator, Council requires a financially stable partner who will be in business for the long term.
• Marketing:	20%	The café will be an important part of the marketing mix to the Aquatic Centre and the presentation of the fit out and other marketing activities will be a factor used in evaluating registrants.
• Menu:	20%	Style of food and drink expected to be on offer.

Eurest scored very highly in all attributes as follows:

Experience:	They have a long experience in the catering industry both in New Zealand and overseas.
Track Record:	Eurest have been awarded contracts to design, build, and operate facilities in Whangarei Hospital, Northshore Hospital, Manukau Super Clinic, NZ Insurance Limited, Wilson and Horton Limited, And Telecom New Zealand Limited. Eurest also operate the cafeteria for the Waitakere City Council Civic Centre and have had a long term relationship with Council in this respect.
Financial Viability:	Eurest New Zealand has a current turnover of \$56 million and is part of a group with global billings in excess of \$32 billion.
Marketing:	The proposal from Eurest is to use their "Café Ritazza" brand which comes complete with style, branding, logos, etc. giving a feel of an upmarket coffee shop chain such as "Robert Harris" or "Muffin Break".
Menu:	Sample menus include the coffee shop style of snacks, at least one hot meal daily, and a selection of snacks from their "Stop Gap" brand, e.g. drinks and sweets, etc.

## SUMMARY

The market has been tested and only one commercial response was received. This indicates that Eurest are the only operators who are interested in a design, build, and operate style of café lease agreement.

Eurest have scored highly in the Registration of Interest evaluation, and have had good feedback from references that were contacted.

The Eurest Registration of Interest gave full and detailed information. This was backed up with a check of references and discussion with facility managers for Northshore Hospital, Manukau Super Clinic, NZ Insurance Limited, Wilson and Horton Limited, and Telecom New Zealand where Eurest provides a similar service. Eurest have sites up and running that are testament to their ability to provide the required service to a high standard. This has given the evaluating team confidence that there is sufficient data to adequately evaluate Eurest's Registration of Interest and confirm that they are capable of competently operating the facility.

Indicative Eurest contracts that have been sighted by the evaluation team are based on standard clauses and conditions and have been accepted by the above private firms and Government operations. Lease payment options are varied and range from payment of a flat fee lease, to flat fee with profit sharing options. Early non-binding discussions with Eurest have indicated that the annual lease fee coming into Council will be between \$45,000 and \$75,000 per annum.

With only one respondent to the Registration of Interest it is proposed to proceed directly with negotiations with Eurest, and not to proceed with the tender process.

In accordance with the "Delegations to Officers - Responsibilities" the Director: City Enterprise has the delegated authority for the letting of cafeteria leases, specifically in respect of the Waitakere Aquatic and Recreation Centre as follows:

*"Waitakere Aquatic and Recreation Centre*

8. *Letting cafeteria leases and catering, coaching, fitness training and all general organisational usage rights for swimming and recreation centres."*

Subject to satisfactory conclusion of negotiations the Director: City Enterprises will award a lease agreement pursuant to the above delegation, providing such lease is within existing contract and financial delegation.

## **RECOMMENDATIONS**

1. That the information be received.
2. That negotiations for a lease agreement for the Aquatic Centre Cafeteria be entered into with Eurest Catering Management Specialists without going to tender, as Eurest were the sole respondents to the Registration of Interest.

Report prepared by: Doug Guthrie, Manager: Aquatic and Recreation Centre.



**5 CONTRACT TA 2505.A - ROAD DRAINAGE MAINTENANCE 2002/2003**

**PURPOSE OF THE REPORT**

The purpose of this report is to seek approval to award Contract TA 2505.A - Road Drainage Maintenance 2002/2003.

**BACKGROUND**

This contract forms part of Council's asset maintenance programme as provided for in the 2002/2003 Annual Plan and specifically the maintenance of culverts and cesspits.

**SCOPE OF WORK**

This contract will provide a 24 hour, 7 days per week response to all cesspit & cesspit lead blockage/flooding call outs throughout the City. It includes the supply of all management, supervision, labour, materials, plant and incidentals necessary for "first call" remedial works at sites of blocked roadside cesspits, water table drains etc within the road reserve anywhere in Waitakere City Council. Quantities are provisional and payment will be made on a measure and value basis. This contract will commence on the 1 of August 2002 and will extend to end 31 July 2003.

**TENDERS RECEIVED**

Techscape was invited to re-tender for this contract as during the past four years this Contractor has provided excellent road drainage emergency works coverage under similar contracts. They are also the current contractor responsible for all drainage maintenance for main drainage systems in Waitakere City. A conforming tender was received by the tender closing date of 20 June 2002. Details of their tender are as follows:

<b>Tenderer</b>	<b>Reg. Office</b>	<b>Tender Price (excl GST)</b>
Techscape Limited	Albany	\$ 48,985.00
<b>Engineer's Estimate ( Contract Value ):</b>		<b>\$ 50,605.00</b>

**Table 1 - Summary of Tender Prices**

**TENDER EVALUATION**

In accordance with the conditions of tendering, tenders were evaluated in line with the Weighted Attribute Method contained in Transfund New Zealand's "Competitive Pricing Procedures Manual".

These procedures require that where the tender includes Provisional Sums (work valued by the Principal and placed within the Schedule of Prices) they are deducted from the Tender Sum prior to evaluating each tender.

In this contract the value of Provisional Sums is \$17,000.00

A summary of the evaluation results is shown in Table 2:

Tender Evaluation	Attributes	Exper.	Track	Tech.	Resour.	Manag.	Method.	Price	Contract Number TA 2505.A
			Record	Skill		Skill			Total Weights
	Weights	5	5	5	5	5	5	70	100
Tenderer	Tender Sum	Grades							INDEX
Techscape Limited	\$31,985.00	80	70	65	70	65	65	50	56
Med.n Tender Price	\$31,985.00								

Techscape Limited (and previously Ecoworks Limited) have been carrying out this contract for the past four years. Their work has always been very responsive in urgent situations and they have a good knowledge of Council's drainage systems and the areas subject to potential flooding. As they are often on site doing works on the main drainage lines they often are proactive in dealing with road drainage problems. They are well resourced and technically proficient .

### TAGS, ERRORS OR OMISSIONS

There were no tags, errors or omissions.

### SUMMARY

Techscape Limited is a medium size company specialising in asset management, construction and maintenance of local authority assets. It currently provides a twenty-four hour emergency service to Waitakere City Council for emergencies arising from road, water, drainage and park facilities. During the past four years it has carried out this type of work to a good standard.

### JOB COSTS

	<u>Excl. GST</u>
Tender sum - Techscape	\$ 48,985.00
Contingency	\$ 5,000.00
Engineering & Supervision	\$ 14,500.00
	-----
Total Job Cost	\$ 68,485.00

### SOURCE OF FUNDING

2002/2003 Draft Annual Plan

Codes	Total Budget	Committed to other Projects	Allocation to this Project	Balance
75-9860-24240-284	165,000	0.0	40,000	116,015
46-7178-24770-284	274,000	0.0	5,000	269,000
75-9860-41370-132	45,000	0.0	<u>13,000</u>	30,500
	Total	2002/2003	\$58,000	
2003/2004 Annual Plan Allocation			10,485	
<b>TOTAL PROJECT COST</b>			<b>\$68,485</b>	

## **RECOMMENDATIONS**

1. That the Information be received.
2. That the tender from Techscape Limited for Contract TA02505.A in the sum of \$48,985.00 plus \$6,123.13 GST, totalling \$55,108.13 be accepted.
3. That the commitment to the 2002/2003 financial year, totalling 58,000.00 plus GST be approved to cover contract costs to 30 June 2003.
4. That the commitment to the 2003/2004 financial year, totalling 10,485.00 plus GST be approved to cover contract costs to 31 July 2003.
5. That the Director: City Services be authorised to enter into Contract TA02505.A - Road Drainage Maintenance Contract 2002/2003 on behalf of Council.

Report prepared by: Ara Ovanessoff, Transportation Engineer, Transport Assets.



## **6 CONTRACT TA 02506.A - ROAD EMERGENCIES AND SPECIAL WORKS 2002/2003**

### **PURPOSE OF THE REPORT**

The purpose of this report is to seek approval to award Contract TA 02506.A - Road Emergencies and Special Works 2002/2003.

### **BACKGROUND**

This contract forms part of Council's asset maintenance programme as provided for in the 2002/2003 Annual Plan and specifically the reacting to emergency situations within the road reserve.

### **SCOPE OF WORK**

This contract will provide a 24-hour, seven days per week service to respond to all road emergency call outs throughout the City. It includes the supply of all management, supervision, labour, materials, plant and incidentals necessary for "first call" repairs or to make safe works at sites of slips, flooding, fallen trees, spillages, traffic accidents etc. within the road reserve anywhere in Waitakere City. In addition minor "special works" may be requested where they do not fit other contracts.

Quantities are provisional and payment will be made on a measure and value basis. This contract will commence on 1 August 2002 and will extend to end 31 July 2003 - Twelve month contract period.

### **TENDERS RECEIVED**

Techscape Limited was solely invited to re-tender for this contract as during the past four years this Contractor has provided excellent road emergency works coverage under similar contracts. A conforming tender was received by the tender closing date of 20 June 2002. Details of their tender are as follows:

<b>Tenderer</b>	<b>Reg. Office</b>	<b>Tender Price (excl GST)</b>
Techscape Limited	Albany	\$114,771.60
<b>Engineer's Estimate ( Contract Value ):</b>		\$118,115.00

**Table 1 - Summary of Tender Prices**

### TENDER EVALUATION

In accordance with the conditions of tendering, tenders were evaluated in line with the Weighted Attribute Method contained in Transfund New Zealand's "Competitive Pricing Procedures Manual".

These procedures require that where the tender includes Provisional Sums (work valued by the Principal and placed within the Schedule of Prices) they are deducted from the Tender Sum prior to evaluating each tender.

In this contract the value of Provisional Sums is \$35,000.00

A summary of the evaluation results is shown in Table 2:

Tender Evaluation	Attributes	Exper.	Track Record	Tech. Skill	Resour.	Manag. Skill	Method.	Price	Contract Number TA2506.A
		Total Weights							
	<b>Weights</b>	5	5	5	5	5	5	70	100
<b>Tenderer</b>	<b>Tender Sum</b>	<b>Grades</b>							<b>INDEX</b>
Techscape Limited	\$79,771.60	80	70	65	70	65	65	50	56
<b>Med.n Tender Price</b>	\$79,771.60								

Techscape Limited (and previously Ecoworks Limited) have been carrying out this contract for the past four years. Their work has always been of a good standard and they have a good knowledge of all aspects required within the contract and the need for rapid response in all situations.

The tender rates were also benchmarked against rates received for similar works let under open tender. The rates were very similar in both contracts.

### TAGS, ERRORS OR OMISSIONS

There were no tags, errors or omissions.

### SUMMARY

Techscape Limited is local company specialising in asset management, construction and maintenance of local authority assets. It currently provides a twenty-four hour emergency service to Waitakere City Council for emergencies arising from road, water, drainage and park facilities. During the past four years it has carried out this type of work to a good standard.

## JOB COSTS

### Excl. GST

Tender sum - Techscape Limited	\$114,771.60
Contingency	\$ 5,000.00
Engineering/Supervision/Administration	\$ 24,000.00
	-----
Total Job	\$ 143,771.60

## SOURCE OF FUNDING

2002/2003 Draft Annual Plan

Codes	Total Budget	Committed to other Projects	Allocation to this Project	Balance
46-7114-24300-284	\$260,000	0.0	25,000	235,000
46-7114-24330-284	\$10,000	0.0	5,000	5,000
46-7118-24330-284	\$15,000	0.00	10,000	5,000
46-7142-24490-284	\$25,000	00.0	10,000	15,000
46-7174-24700-284	\$47,000	0.00	5,000	42,000
46-7180-27530-284	\$31,000	0.00	7,000	24,000
75-9811-33100-284	\$526,000	0.00	30,000	496,000
46-7142-24360-132	\$40,000	0.00	10,000	30,000
75-9811-33100-132	\$526,000	30,000	8,000	488,000
46-7114-24360-132	\$88,000	0.00	4,000	84,000
2003/2004 Annual plan allocation	Total 2002/2003		\$114,000.00	
			\$30,000.00	
			-----	
<b>TOTAL Project Cost</b>			<b>\$144,000.00</b>	
			<b>excl GST</b>	

## RECOMMENDATIONS

1. That the information be received.
2. That the tender from Techscape Limited for Contract TA 02506.A in the sum of \$114,771.60 plus \$14,346.45 GST, totalling \$129,118.05 be accepted.
3. That the commitment to the 2002/2003 financial year, totalling \$114,000.00 plus GST be approved to cover contract costs to 30 June 2003.
4. That the commitment to the 2003/2004 financial year, totalling \$30,000.00 plus GST be approved to cover contract costs to 31 July 2003.
5. That the Director: City Services be authorised to enter into Contract TA 02506.A - Emergencies and Special Works 2002/2003 on behalf of Council.

Report prepared by: Ara Ovanessoff, Transportation Engineer, Transport Assets.



## 7 FOOTPATH, KERB & CHANNEL AND DRAINAGE MAINTENANCE 2002/2003

### PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

The purpose of this report is to seek approval to award Contract TA02503.A - Footpath , Kerb & Channel and Drainage Maintenance 2002/2003

### BACKGROUND

The contract is being let to fulfil a large proportion of the maintenance requirements for footpaths, road drainage and kerb and channel throughout the city. The initial tender process closed on Thursday, 6 June 2002. Due to the submitted tenders exceeding the proposed budget for the contract, the quantum of work was revised and the conforming tenderers were invited to resubmit rates for the new schedule. This report covers the evaluation of those tenders.

### SCOPE OF WORK

This contract covers the supply of all plant, labour and materials including incidentals necessary for the demolition, construction, reinstatement and maintenance of kerb, channel, footpath and drainage assets within Waitakere City.

The work consists of response to emergency works and routine area repairs and is being offered as Option A - ending 30 June 2003 and Option B - ending 30 June 2004.

### TENDERS RECEIVED

Tenders were publicly advertised with six sets of documents being uplifted. Four tenders were received by the closing date of Thursday, 6 June 2002. These are summarised in Table 1 below:

TENDERER	REG OFFICE	Option A (30 June 2003)	Option B (30 June 2004)
Arrow Civil Engineers Limited	Onehunga	\$ 515,967.00	\$ 1,036,738.00
Works Infrastructure Limited	Silverdale	\$ 495,200.00	\$ 982,608.00
Civil Construction Group	Herne Bay	Price not opened due to non-conforming tender	
Excell Corporation	Greenmount	\$ 778,558.50	\$ 1,476,393.80
<b>Pre-tender contract estimate</b>		<b>\$ 447,381.05</b>	<b>\$ 873,301.51</b>

Table 1 - Summary of Tender Prices

### TENDER EVALUATION

In accordance with the conditions of tendering, tenders were evaluated in line with the Weighted Attribute Method contained in Transfund New Zealand's "Competitive Pricing Procedures Manual".

The "Two envelope process" as described in Section 2.7 of the above manual was followed. Under this method, only the first tender envelope is opened and the non-price attributes evaluated for all tenders before the second envelope containing the tender price is opened, and the tender prices duly included in the evaluation.

A summary of the evaluation of the two options is presented in Tables 2A and 2B:

Tender Evaluation	Attributes	Exper.	Track Record	Tech. Skill	Resour.	Manag. Skill	Method.	Price	Contract Number TA02503.A
									Total Weights
	<b>Weights</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>100</b>
Tenderer	Tender Sum	Grades							INDEX
Arrow Civil Engineers	\$515,967.00	70	60	70	65	65	65	50	55
Works Infrastructure Limited	\$495,200.00	60	65	65	60	65	60	54	57
Civil Construction Group				35					Disqualified
Excell Corporation	\$778,558.50	75	50	50	65	55	70	-1	18
<b>Med.n Tender Price</b>	\$515,967.00								

**Table 2A - Summary of Option A - Tender Evaluation**

Tender Evaluation	Attributes	Exper.	Track Record	Tech. Skill	Resour.	Manag. Skill	Method.	Price	Contract Number TA02503.A
									Total Weights
	<b>Weights</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>100</b>
Tenderer	Tender Sum	Grades							INDEX
Arrow Civil Engineers	\$1,036,738.00	70	60	70	65	65	65	50	55
Works Infrastructure Limited	\$982,608.00	60	65	65	60	65	60	55	57
Civil Construction Group				35					Disqualified
Excell Corporation	\$1,476,393.80	75	50	50	65	55	70	8	24
<b>Med.n Tender Price</b>	\$1,036,738.00								

**Table 2B - Summary of Option B - Tender Evaluation**

Works Infrastructure has scored the highest index under both Option A and Option B. In both cases Works Infrastructure has the lowest conforming tender price.

Arrow Civil Engineers scored higher than Works Infrastructure Limited on the non-priced attributes, except on track record as references confirmed that the company has struggled with Traffic Management despite good final quality.

Works Infrastructure Limited has a wide experience in maintenance work. They have obtained and carried out most of the Rodney District maintenance contracts during recent years. According to some of their references they are possibly over-committed and unlikely to field the resources submitted with their tender. However, Works Infrastructure Limited do have an extensive resource base and are able to recruit local labour.

Civil Construction Group did not submit a conforming tender as they did not include relevant information of their technical skills and staffing resources, and were scored with a 35, which under the system is deemed to be a disqualification and thus excluded them from further evaluation.

Excel Corporation, despite having a great deal of experience with this contract, have scored very low due to a very high tender price.

#### **TAGS, ERRORS OR OMISSIONS**

There were no tags, errors or omissions.

#### **CREDIT CHECK**

A credit check on Works Infrastructure Limited has been obtained. The report shows some outstanding payment beyond the normal time. This issue has been followed up satisfactorily with the company.

#### **SUMMARY**

The results of the tender evaluation for Option A as summarised in Table 2A show that the tender submitted by Works Infrastructure achieved the highest index of 57. This was also the lowest priced tender.

The results for the tender evaluation for Option B as summarised in Table 2B show that the tender submitted by Works Infrastructure achieved the highest index of 57. This was also the lowest priced tender.

A comparison between the two options shows that Works Infrastructure offer a total saving of \$7792.00 if Option B is chosen over Option A. Therefore, due to the insignificant savings between the two options, the relative inexperience of Works Infrastructure within Waitakere City and because they have been deemed by some of their references as being over committed with other Local Authorities, it is recommended to use Option A for this Contract.

As part of Council's vision "Quality and Health and Safety is not negotiable" all contractors have had this focus reinforced through this tender statement, contract documents and the subsequent pre-start meeting.

#### **JOB COSTS**

	<u>Excl. GST</u>
Tender sum - Works Infrastructure	\$ 495,200.00
Contingency	\$ 49,500.00
Engineering & Supervision	\$ 42,950.00
	-----
Total Job Cost	\$ 587,650.00

**SOURCE OF FUNDING**

Budget Description (from Annual Budget line item)	Codes	Budget	Committed to Other Projects	Allocation to This Project	Unallocated Balance
Footpath Maintenance	75-9861-24680-280	\$490,000	0.0	\$400,000	\$90,000
Renewals Kerb & channel repairs	75-9860-24270-280	\$220,160	0.0	\$110,000	\$110,160
Amenities-Vehicle crossings	46-7174-24690-280	\$37,000	0.0	\$30,000	\$7,000
Renewal Drainage control system	75-9860-24670-280	\$250,000	0.0	\$5,000	\$245,000
Amenities - Professional services	46-7174-24360-132	\$45,000	0.0	\$33,000	\$12,000
Footpath Maintenance	75-9861-24680-132	\$490,000	\$400,000	\$10,000	\$80,000
<b>Total allocated budget</b>				<b>\$588,000</b>	

**RECOMMENDATIONS**

1. That the information be received.
2. That the tender from Works Infrastructure Limited for Contract TA 02503.A Footpath, Kerb & Channel and Drainage Maintenance 2002/2003 in the sum of \$495,200.00 plus \$61,900.00 GST, totalling \$557,100.00 is accepted as a one-year contract.
3. That the commitment to the 2002/2003 financial year of the amount of \$495,200.00 plus \$61,900.00 GST totalling \$557,100.00 be approved to cover contract costs to 30 June 2003.
4. That the Director: City Services be authorised to enter into Contract No TA02503.A - Footpath, Kerb & Channel and Drainage Maintenance 2002/2003 on behalf of Council.

Report prepared by: Ara Ovanessoff, Transportation Engineer, Transport Assets.

