

12/1

SPORT/ CATEGORY A/B	REGIONAL FACILITY ISSUES	OTHER SPORT/ EVENT ISSUES	REGIONAL FACILITY STRATEGY STATUS	OTHER ISSUES TO FOLLOW UP	FACILITY SOLUTION MAJOR/MINOR SPORT		REGIONAL FACILITY OPTION
					Yes	No	
DIVING NEW ZEALAND	The West Wave Pool (Waitekare) is the only diving venue in the region but is regarded as out of date and lacking contemporary diving facilities. It is also difficult to attract adequate time for training as pool is used by a lot of swimming groups and public lap swimmers etc.	No major spectator seat diving event facility. Seeking better access to suitable deep water, diving and support (dry land training) areas which is restricting the development of the sport.	Provide funding support to complete event overlays for potential joint aquatic event facilities at either Manukau or North Shore CC proposed facilities as an add on to major facilities being planned/proposed	Need to determine status of North Shore Aquatic Centre and Flat Bush Aquatic Centre as potential base facility to build major aquatic event centre onto to reduce duplication of specialist water areas.	Yes Major		West Wave Pool to remain the training and regional competition venue and a new aquatic event centre to be co-located with one of the new proposed aquatic centres (once decided at either Nth Shore CC or Manukau CC).
GYMNASTICS NZ	Three major sub-regional facilities operate out of Bruce Pullman Park, Mount Roskill and North Shore. The decision on which is the major regional facility is yet to be made by the Gymnastics NZ Board. The Board is currently seeing NSEC as preferred centre.	Currently large unmet demand for participation in the north shore area. This is seen by Gym Sports NZ as a sub-regional / community facility issue with potential to consider developments as a regional initiative.	Assess in consultation with Gymnastics NZ which of the three sub-regional facilities is most appropriately recognised as the regional facility or whether a new facility is developed to meet increased participation demand and developed to regional standard. Review potential major event venue for Gymnastics.	.Bruce Pullman Centre has not determined if able to fund proposed major improvements so not able to be considered as a major regional centre and would become a sub-regional centre.	Yes Major		North Shore Events Centre
HOCKEY NZ – A	Currently Hockey has 2 main facilities that are 'owned' and managed by separate associations (North Harbour and Auckland) that are capable of hosting national and international events – with some temporary upgrades to seating etc. Auckland venues host the majority of major events. Hockey NZ was not prepared to nominate which facility was the best regional centre.	The associations have to fund their own facility upgrades with some assistance from government and trusts upon application. Want to have funding included in recurrent government spending. NZ Hockey is very successful internationally and hosts regular international matches and events in the region.	The sport has reviewed the sports regional facility needs and the two facilities but has not made a decision on best regional facility. Hockey NZ would like to see a national hockey stadium built in Auckland so all major events would be held there.	One facility needs to be selected as the regional centre but potentially political issues due to 2 excellent venues and high cost of replacement of pitch surface etc. North Shore has 3 wet based pitches and 1 sand based with 6 change facilities located at Rosedale Park. This venue has shared infrastructure and is regional softball centre nomination.	Yes Major		North Harbour Hockey Centre Rosedale Park off Paul Mathews Road
INDOOR BOWLS NZ - A	National events conducted in country areas due to cost and access. sport uses a number of halls for events.		No dedicated regional standard facility but the sport lends itself to using existing halls and further investigation to justify venue.	Locate at a central facility to help consolidate indoor bowls in the Greater Auckland region.	Yes Minor		Centrally located sport centre or hall.

SPORT/ CATEGORY AB	REGIONAL FACILITY ISSUES	OTHER SPORT/ EVENT ISSUES	REGIONAL FACILITY STRATEGY STATUS	OTHER ISSUES TO FOLLOW UP	FACILITY SOLUTION		REGIONAL FACILITY OPTION
					MAJOR/MINOR	Yes No	
JUDO FEDERATION NZ	Auckland has the national championships every 2 to 3 years and currently hires facilities such as the ASB stadium The sport indicates the hire cost becomes prohibitive of these major stadia.	The sport receives minimal funding from the central government.	Judo has the capacity to utilise a number of facilities according to the size of the event. They hire the halls on a needs basis therefore has more than one suitable regional venue. However the hire cost is an issue. Assess in consultation with Judo NZ which of the "regional" facilities is most appropriately recognised as the regional facility.	Assess the opportunity for a future permanent facility where they can share the mat space with other like sports.	Yes Major		ASB Stadium as part of Regional Martial Arts/Combat Sports Centre
JU-JITSU FEDERATION NZ	ASB Stadium is positioning itself as the major martial arts events and competition centre.	Overall participation numbers are declining. Rural centres are the strong hold of the sport.	Are able to use smaller existing sports halls for their event and competition needs. The sport needs to look at the prospect of sharing a purpose built facility with 'like' sports. Assess in consultation with the sport which of the "regional" facilities is most appropriately recognised as the regional facility.	Review Massey University Stadium compared to ASB as a model for multi use martial arts sports	Yes Minor		ASB Stadium as part of Regional Martial Arts/Combat Sports Centre
MOTORCYCLING NZ - A	Pukekohe Park Raceway is recognised as a regional facility. It is an international standard circuit (FIA Grade 3). Pukekohe is limited in the events it can attract due to its other users. Woodhill Motorcycle Park, home of Waitemata Motorcycle Club provides an off-road motorcycle circuit.		The development (\$120 Million) of a new international standard motor sport facility at Hampton Downs approximately 1 hour south of Auckland provides an opportunity to attract major events to the area. Further assess in consultation with the sport the regional status of off-road and circuit facilities.		Yes Minor		Pukekohe Park Raceway as the regional motor sport training circuit facility. New Hampton Downs venue to be recognised as the regional motor sports event centre for both motorcycling and motor cars.

172

SPORT/ CATEGORY A/B	REGIONAL FACILITY ISSUES	OTHER SPORT/ EVENT ISSUES	REGIONAL FACILITY STRATEGY STATUS	OTHER ISSUES TO FOLLOW UP	FACILITY SOLUTION		REGIONAL FACILITY OPTION
					MAJOR/MINOR	Yes No	
NETBALL NZ - A	Netball is well served with "top end" facilities that are used for top level national and international events in Greater Auckland. The demands being put on Netball by the Broadcasters and the new safety dimensions (larger runoff areas) have meant that some of the venues previously used now need to be upgraded.	Need to consider home for netball and high performance training centre options. Waitakere Trust Stadium appears best regional facility option at this stage. Vector Centre becomes best venue for major games/events.	Manukau and North Harbour need to have further investigation in terms of upgrades to their current facilities to meet sub-regional netball events. Vector is the largest indoor spectator seated venue for netball	New indoor court spaces being built at schools and community facilities are not allowing for the necessary additional court space for netball.	Yes Major		Waitakere Trust Stadium for Regional Competition and Training and Vector Centre for major event games.
OLYMPIC WEIGHTLIFTING NZ	The Oceania Championships have been held at the Bruce Mason Centre at Takapuna Bay.	The cost of hiring event centres (\$130,000 for the Oceania Championships) makes it difficult for the sport to attract and conduct major events.	Bruce Mason Stadium is regarded as the regional event centre. The Millennium Institute is recognised as the regional high performance centre.	Review the impact of hiring major event centres on the smaller/ less financial sports.	Yes Minor		Bruce Mason Stadium for events and The Millennium Institute as the high performance centre.
OLYMPIC WRESTLING UNION NZ	There are two sub-regional centres. These are Dilworth Sports Centre (Dilworth School) and Kelston (Kelston Boys High School). Neither of these two venues is large enough to be developed as a regional facility. Bruce Pullman Park has been used for the hosting of nationals but currently not recognised as regional centre.	Regardless of whether there is a regional standard facility developed Rowing New Zealand reports that operational and facility upgrades are required at sub-regional facilities if the sport is to continue to develop.	Assess in consultation with Wrestling NZ whether one of the centrally located event centres such as ASB Stadium could be recognised as the regional event centre compared to Bruce Pullman Stadium.		Yes Minor		Bruce Pullman Park and ASB Stadium as a Combat Sport Venue for events.
ROWING NZ	There is not a recognised regional facility in Greater Auckland. Major events and high performance training are conducted at Lake Karapiro or at Lake Ruataniwha near Twizel on the South Island. Rowing has nominated Hobson's Bay as a possible regional location		There are a number of possible upgrades under consideration including the possible deepening of sections of Hobson's Bay (ARPASS Study) to bring it up to a regional standard facility	Prioritise sub-regional upgrade requirements. Have investigated proposal to develop Hobson's Bay but this is not supported by ACC and is being reviewed principally due to high development and environmental costs.	No Minor		No final local venue chosen to date and requires further investigation. If Hobson's Bay not suitable then Tamaki River has been nominated as a regional training venue and regional competition venue but not major events area o

A73

SPORT/ CATEGORY A/B	REGIONAL FACILITY ISSUES	OTHER SPORT/ EVENT ISSUES	REGIONAL FACILITY STRATEGY STATUS	OTHER ISSUES TO FOLLOW UP	FACILITY SOLUTION		REGIONAL FACILITY OPTION
					MAJOR/MINOR	Yes No	
RUGBY LEAGUE NZ	Mt Smart' main stadium provides a facility for the majority of rugby league's major events. It is supported by the conduct of lower profile events on site at the No2 Ground. However lack of fighting or lighting to broadcast standard at Mt Smart No2 Stadium is an issue for many events.	Mt Smart No2 Stadium lacks suitable player, official, broadcast and spectator amenity on site but this could be developed as part of Athletics development.	Mt Smart Stadium is the regional centre for rugby league with proposed upgrades to the No2 Ground addressing most concerns raised by Rugby League NZ. North Harbour Stadium is an alternative training and smaller event venue	Rugby League (the Warriors) has long term agreement to stay at Mt Smart Stadium (17 to 18 years)	Yes Major		Mt Smart Stadium.
RUGBY NZ	Eden Park is the major regional venue for the sport and the site of the World Cup final. It is utilised for the large crowd drawing games and hosts Auckland Blues Super 14 matches. North Harbour Stadium hosts some matches of the Super 14 competition as well as a venue for lower profile internationals	A major ongoing issue for the sport is the availability of venues during cross-over periods for rugby and cricket, especially if a block-buster game becomes available at short notice	Eden Park is the recognised regional facility for high profile events, with North Harbour Stadium the regional centre for a range of other outdoor sport activities.	Review the cross-season impact across all sports which share facilities.	Yes Major		Eden Park
SHOOTING FEDERATION NZ	National shooting site was at the Ardmore Shooting Complex, location for the shooting competition at the 1990 Commonwealth Games). Public access was closed off by the Department of Defence in March 2008. There are no other regional standard shooting facilities. Shooting disciplines, such as full-bore rifle are required to be located a large distance from built up areas.	The Auckland Shooting Academy is the NZSA's operational arm. The NZSA's initial function is to oversee the building and construction phases and long-term to administer the final project. It is designed to allow both clubs and individuals to participate in utilising the facilities on an ongoing basis. Fund-raising for the costs of establishment has begun..	The Auckland Shooting Range Development Team is currently looking at options for the development of a new facility. There is the possibility of developing a new range close to the Ardmore site. A decision on whether all the major disciplines be housed on site will be considered as part of the review. Aim to work with the Development team but due to neighbourhood and resource consent effects may need to move to less populated areas either side of Auckland.	Shooting disciplines co-located or need for multiple venues. The ASRDT has acquired land suitable for use as a shooting range. Consent processes have begun and the NZ Shooting Academy Inc (NZSA) formed.	No Minor		Consider joint shooting and archery target sport facility possibly at an outer suburban site in either Rodney or Franklin DC areas..

A74

SPORT/ CATEGORY A/B	REGIONAL FACILITY ISSUES	OTHER SPORT/ EVENT ISSUES	REGIONAL FACILITY STRATEGY STATUS	OTHER ISSUES TO FOLLOW UP		FACILITY SOLUTION		REGIONAL FACILITY OPTION
				Yes	No	Yes	No	
FOOTBALL (SOCCER) NZ	North Harbour Stadium is the designated regional facility supported by a number of sub-regional facilities used for smaller events. Monitor developments at Mt Smart Stadium including potential for Oceania Football Federation to locate major activities at the Stadium.	It is difficult getting access to North Harbour Stadium outside the traditional season whereas Football is becoming more of a year round sport.	North Harbour Stadium is the recognised regional facility for Soccer	Review the cross-season impact across all sports which share facilities.	Yes		North Harbour Stadium	
SQUASH NZ	Focus has been on grass roots development and supporting the small clubs that own/manage their own venues. Currently have the National Squash Centre in Auckland, which is suitable for training but needs to have further development to make it an event centre.	Squash has experienced a decline in memberships but has seen an increase in schools participation and casual usage.	An upgrade to the National Squash Centre to house their glass show court and provide event amenities would resolve many of the issues. It would need further assessment but the likely resolution is at the National Centre.	Assess the costs to complete the National centre and clarify funding status.	Yes		National Squash Centre in Auckland, subject to amenity and other improvements proceeding to improve spectator numbers. Special events can be housed in any major event centre	
SWIMMING NZ	Auckland is the strong hold for competition swimming in NZ with the Millennium Institute providing a central training pool for many swimmers. It cannot not meet the requirements of an event centre such as seating etc. Currently the primary event facility is the West Wave complex but this is considered inadequate in terms of seating, numbers of lanes and warm up facilities.	The sport believes it needs more 50m indoor pools in order to continue the development.	West Wave is the current designated regional facility however it does not meet the necessary requirements for major events and has limited for expansion. A new facility needs to be investigated as the West Wave centre is outdated and only has 8 lanes. West Wave can accommodate regional swimming events and training.	Review the opportunities for a new facility that meets the needs of national and international events can have with plug on events centre. West Wave to continue to be the regional aquatic training and events centre until a new plug on competition pool with temporary seats is added to one of the new facilities.	Yes		Continue West Wave as main regional aquatic training centre for all aquatic training codes. Consider new 50m pool and diving pool with 3,000 seats plus capacity for 3 - 5,000 temporary seats at one of the new proposed aquatic centres at Manukau or North Shore to be value added to deliver a regional aquatic event centre.	

ATS

SPORT/ CATEGORY A/B	REGIONAL FACILITY ISSUES	OTHER SPORT/ EVENT ISSUES	REGIONAL FACILITY STRATEGY STATUS	OTHER ISSUES TO FOLLOW UP		FACILITY SOLUTION		REGIONAL FACILITY OPTION
				Yes	No	Yes	No	
TABLE TENNIS NZ	Table Tennis NZ utilises 3 major facilities in the Greater Auckland region. These are Auckland Table Tennis Centre, North Harbour Table Tennis Centre and Panmure YMCA. There is also a sub-regional facility at Manukau	The cost of hiring event centres for events that are too big or require superior amenity to that available at the Table Tennis Centres is constraining the development of events.	Assess in consultation with Table Tennis NZ which of the three "regional" facilities is most appropriately recognised as the regional facility.	NSEC will host the 2008 World Junior TT Championships. The Auckland Table Tennis Association is based at the Auckland Table Tennis Centre with 19 Quality International tables. The centre is located at 99a Gillies Avenue, Newmarket.	Yes Minor			Auckland Table Tennis Centre for training and competition. North Shore Events Centre for major events
TAEKWONDO NZ	High performance training is conducted at a private club at Albany, (which is owned and operated by the national coach). Avondale College is utilised as the major event centre in the region		The private Albany Centre is recognised as the regional high performance centre with Avondale College recognised as the major event centre. ASB Stadium is a suitable regional centre for higher profile martial arts events.		Yes Minor			Albany Private Centre is the high performance centre ASB Trust Stadium be developed as the regional Combat Sport Centre and become the major event centre.
TENNIS NZ	The national head-quarters and regional centre is housed at ASB Tennis Centre.	There is a need for a number of clay courts at the major centres or consolidated at one of the centres. There is a significant shortfall of courts across Greater Auckland, especially in the growth areas.	The Next Generation Club has submitted a proposal to invest \$25 million at ASB which would see significant upgrades to amenity, public facilities and lighting. The proposal requires an input of \$1.5 million locally. Tennis NZ wishes ARPASS endorsement for the ASB funding submission and requested urgent advice on whether ARPASS has included the project in its forward projections of major development.		Yes Major			ASB Tennis Centre. Support proposed improvement to event facility and service areas.
TRIATHLON NZ	Triathlon NZ is based in Auckland TNZ would like to have permanent base to conduct their development and events out of.	Pool space is an issue for training making the training disjointed. Cycling training is an issue due to the traffic issues in Auckland.	TNZ have identified Mission Bay as a potential place to base their activities for open water swimming. Consider possible links with key component sports (Swimming, Cycle, and Running).	Need to review the outcomes of the Rowing/Paddling study to confirm the most appropriate place. Triathlon have a greater need for water quality	Yes Major			Millennium Centre as the training venue and options including co-locating with one of the key sports such as swimming or cycling

A76

SPORT/ CATEGORY A/B	REGIONAL FACILITY ISSUES	OTHER SPORT/ EVENT ISSUES	REGIONAL FACILITY STRATEGY STATUS	OTHER ISSUES TO FOLLOW UP	FACILITY SOLUTION		REGIONAL FACILITY OPTION
					MAJOR/MINOR	Yes No	
YACHTING NZ – A	<p>There is no one designated regional centre with different sailing disciplines being associated with one or a number of clubs.</p> <p>Yachting NZ endorsed the establishment of a National Sailing Centre at Okahu Bay as identified in the National Sailing Centre Study commissioned by ARPASS.</p>	<p>Yachting NZ identified that there is inadequate access to water space due to lack of launching facilities and increased traffic on waterways.</p>	<p>Yachting NZ's priority is to develop the National Sailing Centre.</p> <p>If not financially supported an alternative is to develop a virtual centre with a number of different clubs supported to take on a regional/ national centre role with specific disciplines complimented by centrally based generic services.</p> <p>In the virtual centre model Yachting NZ would remain at its current site.</p>	<p>Yachting NZ's priority is to develop the National Sailing Centre as outlined in its centre of excellence study. If not financially supported an alternative is to develop a virtual centre with a number of different clubs supported to take on a regional/ national centre role with specific disciplines complimented by centrally based generic services.</p> <p>In this model Yachting NZ would remain at its current site.</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>No</p> <p>Minor</p>	<p>Still to be determined as sport not able to confirm set location for sailing training centre of excellence.</p>	
CANOEING NZ – B	<p>Lake Pupuke is the recognised regional facility in Greater Auckland. Further discussions being held with canoeing but they support Lake Pupuke is preferred for training and competition.</p> <p>A white water facility is being suggested to be built at the Teitira Clear site.</p>	<p>Canoeing is only a small sport and would benefit from combining with other like water sports.</p>	<p>A facility at Hobson's Bay has been suggested as a multi sport facility but this is not supported by canoeing or Auckland City Council.</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Minor</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Minor</p>	<p>Lake Pupuke is regional centre and also home of the Canoeing nationals</p>	
EQUESTRIAN SPORTS NZ – B	<p>There are 2 facilities regarded as regional standard or above in Greater Auckland. These are Woodhill Sands (Kumeu) and Puhinui (Manukau).</p> <p>There is a shortfall of suitable indoor equestrian facilities across the region.</p>		<p>Assess in consultation with Equestrian NZ which of the two "regional" facilities is most appropriately recognised as the regional facility and if indoor centre can also be incorporated.</p>	<p>Regional facility Committee working on development that brings all equestrian disciplines to the one site and new indoor facility developed at the Manukau City site.</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Major</p>	<p>Puhinui Reserve off Price Road, Puhinui</p>	

177

SPORT/ CATEGORY A/B	REGIONAL FACILITY ISSUES	OTHER SPORT/ EVENT ISSUES	REGIONAL FACILITY STRATEGY STATUS	OTHER ISSUES TO FOLLOW UP	FACILITY SOLUTION		REGIONAL FACILITY OPTION
					MAJOR/MINOR	Yes No	
REGIONAL ICE SPORTS - B ICE SKATING NZ, ICE HOCKEY NZ AND CURLING NZ	There are two single rink facilities in Auckland that are currently considered as the regional centres. Both used for all Ice Sports disciplines but all record inadequate time with shared public and commercial usage. Ice Hockey is the highest sport user of these facilities.	The majority of ice sport participants in the country live in the Greater Auckland area. Curling is negotiating for a new regional curling centre and Waitakere CC have offered a site at Parris Park as part of an integrated facility linked to the Waterhole Aquatic Centre.	The Botany Downs facility appears to be the most suitable to be considered as a combined regional ice sports venue as it is the newer rink and international size. A new rink added to this site needs to be investigated to see if there is sufficient land to cater for Ice Hockey and Ice Skating.	Curling has been granted land at Parris Park and Waitakere CC have amended the Reserve Management Plan to allow a regional curling centre to be developed at this site. A facility trust has been formed seeking funding so this appears a good option as Curling does not fit using a multi-use ice centre due to its specialist ice needs	No Major	Review still to determine whether Botany Downs facility's support infrastructure can be upgraded to a regional ice centre for all Ice codes. Review proposed Curling Centre at Waitakere City Council – Parris Park subject to funding	
KARTSPORT NZ – B	Kart Sport has 2 major venues in the Greater Auckland region. These are Mt Wellington and Auckland Kart Sport Club at Avondale. Both facilities operate under tight restrictions for the conduct programs and competitions.		Assess in consultation with Kart Sport NZ, which of the two "regional" facilities is most appropriately recognised as the regional facility and receive priority for upgrade. A key consideration will be operational restrictions due to noise and environmental effects.	Kart Sports NZ reported that there is a proposal to build a major (57 hectare) motor sports facility in Manukau City. Advanced in planning but the re-zoning of the site to enable its development is currently under challenge. The development is close to the airport which assists in event attraction as well as deflecting noise emission issues.	No Major	Still to be confirmed Currently considering Mt Wellington or Auckland Kart Sport Club at Avondale or as part of other development proposals including Manukau City Motor Sport proposal (under Resource Consent review presently).	
MOTORSPORT NZ - B	Pukekohe Park Raceway is an international standard circuit (FIA Grade 3). Pukekohe is limited in the events it can attract.		Development (\$120 Million) of a new international standard motorsport facility at Hampton Downs approx 1 hour south of Auckland provides an opportunity to attract major events to the area. Motor Sports NZ reported that there is a proposal to build a major motor sports facility in Manukau City. If this venue proceeds it will take some of the pressure off Pukekohe		Yes Minor	Pukekohe Park Raceway to remain as a regional training centre. Hampton Downs to become the major motor sports event centre for the region	
NGA KAIHOE O AOTEAROA – B	Refer rowing and water sport facility options comments	Suggest link with final rowing facility site		Possible site with rowing at either Hobson's Bay or Tamaki River	Yes Minor	Link to regional rowing centre site	

178

SPORT/ CATEGORY A/B	REGIONAL FACILITY ISSUES	OTHER SPORT/ EVENT ISSUES	REGIONAL FACILITY STRATEGY STATUS	OTHER ISSUES TO FOLLOW UP	FACILITY SOLUTION		REGIONAL FACILITY OPTION
					MAJOR/MINOR	Yes No	
SOFTBALL NZ – B	<p>Norana Ball Park, Mangere has been regarded as the main regional centre its status is under challenge.</p> <p>Another centre, Rosedale Park's surface was recently upgraded with financial support from North Shore Council. It has 2 fully fenced lit diamonds with unlimited additional field space suitable for marking out diamonds. Up to 5,000 to 7,000 temporary seats have been installed for high profile events.</p>	<p>Cheaper access to easily transportable temporary seating would assist the development of major softball events.</p>	<p>Softball NZ indicates Rosedale Park is most appropriately recognised as the regional facility and receive priority for events.</p> <p>Rosedale Park's surface was recently upgraded with financial support from North Shore Council. It has 2 fully fenced lit diamonds with unlimited additional field space suitable for marking out diamonds. Up to 5,000 to 7,000 temporary seats have been installed for high profile events.</p> <p>This park is a site also recommended for the hockey regional centre so opportunities for seating and infrastructure sharing.</p>	<p>Consider the provision of easily transportable temporary seating in the context of sharing resources across both softball and hockey regional venues.</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Minor</p>	No	<p>Rosedale Park has been identified by Softball New Zealand as the long term location for a regional centre. This is also close to the proposed regional hockey centre so can form a major events zone.</p>
SPEEDWAY NZ – B	<p>Currently housed at Western Springs and likely to have to move in the future</p>		<p>Review best future speedway location and can it be housed at new motorsport complex.</p>			No Major	<p>Western Springs future still being decided and whether speedway's regional centre needs could be met by Manukau Motorsports Complex proposal or Hampton Downs STBD.</p>
TOUCH NZ – B	<p>Programs conducted in the Greater Auckland region utilise outer grounds at North Harbour Stadium, Western Springs College (which has good support services) and South Auckland.</p> <p>Waitakere and North Harbour Stadium (mostly outer grounds) have been used for major events</p>	<p>Many of the quality touch facilities are monopolised by non-affiliated competitions.</p>	<p>Assess in consultation with Touch NZ which of the regional facilities is most appropriately recognised as the regional facility and receive priority for upgrade.</p>	<p>North Harbour Stadium is best site and has large alternative playing fields for major events</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Minor</p>		<p>North Harbour Stadium and support playing fields</p>

BCF

SPORT/ CATEGORY A/B	REGIONAL FACILITY ISSUES	OTHER SPORT/ EVENT ISSUES	REGIONAL FACILITY STRATEGY STATUS	OTHER ISSUES TO FOLLOW UP	FACILITY SOLUTION		REGIONAL FACILITY OPTION
					MAJOR/MINOR	Yes No	
VOLLEYBALL NZ – B	<p>Volleyball has large numbers of players in the school system and the corresponding events.</p> <p>These numbers don't carryover to registrations in the club system however.</p> <p>For the large schools events there is not a facility that is capable of hosting these in Auckland.</p> <p>Trust Stadium is often booked out but this is the most useable of the larger 'hire for purpose' facilities.</p>		<p>Volleyball utilises many facilities dependant on the needs of the event however they have identified Trust Stadium as the most appropriate venue if they could realign the seating to the main court.</p> <p>Assess in consultation with Volleyball NZ which of the "regional" facilities is most appropriately recognised as the regional facility.</p>	<p>Review Trust Stadium's capacity to better accommodate the needs of Volleyball.</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Minor</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>No</p>	Wattakere Trust Stadium

1.9 REVIEW OF NOMINATED FACILITIES

This report is produced as a discussion paper to enable all stakeholders and interested parties to review the current progress of the project and to provide feedback to ARPASS on any issues or nominated regional sport facility. Please forward your comments and feedback to by the 15th of February 2009 to:

Danny O'Donnell
Strategy Director
ARPASS
Sport Auckland House
Level 3, Alexandra Park Function Centre
Greenlane Road West
Epsom, Auckland

Phone (09) 6237850
Mobile 027 2908160
Email: Danny@arpass.co.nz

DRAFT

Waitakere City Council Submission to ARPASS on the Auckland Regional Sporting Facilities Discussion Paper

Waitakere City Council (Council) would like to thank ARPASS for presenting the Auckland Regional Sporting Facilities Discussion Paper (Discussion Paper) to Councillors at a workshop on the 17th November 2008 and inviting Councillor feedback.

Feedback at this workshop was generally supportive of the approach taken for this project and will help provide clarity for the region on what sporting facilities are and are not required for the delivery of sports at the highest levels eg. for the hosting of a Commonwealth Games. For sports that may not be included in events such as the Commonwealth Games there is still value in identifying the best regional facility for regional and national events specific to that sporting code. This is particularly relevant where there are multiple regional sporting organisations in the Auckland region competing against one another to deliver the same services.

Council supports the definitions for International, National and Regional facilities which are clear and definitive. That being said there are differing drivers of regional facilities for sporting codes. Some codes own their own facilities and therefore can determine where the best regional facility sits for themselves. On the other hand some sports play national, international and regional fixtures in rented facilities and stadium and will play these fixtures at facilities which best meet the needs of the sport and provide the best packages.

It is noted that Netball New Zealand have stated that one off internationals are preferred to be played at Vector Arena primarily due to the increased seating capacity, however, The Trusts Stadium is preferred for tournaments and regional events. It is anticipated that the Trusts Stadium will continue to bid competitively for these high profile netball events.

It is recognised that West Wave does not meet FINA standards (nor does any other aquatic facility in NZ) and would not be able to host a Commonwealth Games in the future, however, this facility is still used for national and regional competition and provides a valuable training venue. It is rare that international swimming events are hosted in NZ and it may be worth considering temporary aquatic structures for events such as the Commonwealth Games rather than the region investing in another regional facility for the purpose of possibly one event in the foreseeable future.

The Discussion Paper raises the issue of a funding and governance structure which allows for the Regional Facilities Plan to effectively take place. Council is aware that ARPASS has met with the Royal Commissioners and this issue has been raised. Council will await to see the outcome of the Royal Commission in March 2009 before commenting on the issue.

~~19. Currently the trend towards in the Auckland region is towards paid parking in town centres for example in the Auckland Central Business District, Newmarket and Takapuna town centres and Manukau City Centre.~~

20. Paid parking is a key issue in any parking plan. A key issue for consultation is likely to be whether or not to have paid parking, the amount of the charge and the timing for introduction of paid parking.

Parking Buildings

21. A change to the regulatory approach would therefore be a major driver in any new strategic approach to parking. In this context it is also necessary to consider provision of new car park buildings. This has significant implications in terms of cost (both of the parking building and of parking), use of land in town centres and ~~choice of mode of travel.~~

Options Identified

Regulatory Approach

22. Three regulatory approaches to parking provision have been identified as a means of better delivering on the Council's strategic outcomes:

Option 1 – Minimum parking standards with exceptions: under this approach, minimum parking requirements would remain but greater flexibility could be provided to allow less than the prescribed minimum.

Option 2 – Flexible maximum parking approach: under this approach the Council could exercise discretion to allow parking above the prescribed maximum.

Option 3 – Strict maximum parking approach: under this approach no flexibility will be allowed for developments which require more car parks than the prescribed maximum.

23. Other regulatory approaches have been identified but are not proposed for consideration by the Committee because of inconsistency with the Council's strategic outcomes and the draft ARPS. They include the status quo minimum parking approach, no minimum or maximum rules, and a parking ceiling for a town centre.

Option 1 - Minimum parking standards with exceptions

24. This is essentially retention of the existing parking minimum requirements of the District Plan accompanied by amendments to District Plan rules and the Guideline to make it more flexible for the Council to allow less parking than the prescribed minimum. Currently, with the exception of small commercial sites in New Lynn, Massey North and Hobsonville Airbase, the District Plan rules and the Guideline require parking on site to minimise impacts on surrounding streets and to provide for vehicle use at peak times.

25. This approach would:

- Support low to medium density developments in town centres and growth corridors, because this is the traditional approach taken by developers in suburban centres;
- Allow developments with less parking on site in limited situations;
- Probably result in a continued oversupply of parking in town centres and growth corridors;

A82

- Defer the need for paid parking on street in town centres and growth corridors; and
 - Defer the take-up of sustainable modes of travel in town centres and growth corridors.
26. This approach would rely on applicants voluntarily requesting the Council to approve fewer car parks than the number required by the rules. The Council could impose conditions on the consent such as a workplace travel plan. This approach is unlikely to address the issues of providing a planning regulatory environment supportive of intensification. However, it could be an interim measure until the District Plan rules and the Guideline are changed under the other options. This would assist applicants who wish to provide less than the minimum number of car parks in a town centre or growth corridor.
27. Adjustments to the Guideline, without adjusting the District Plan and without contradicting or undermining the current District Plan, are likely to be limited. Any such changes to the Code of Practice which go further than this are open to being challenged as inconsistent with the prevailing District Plan.

Option 2 - Introduce Parking Maximums, with a flexible approach to exceptions

28. Parking maximums will be set at a similar level to the current minimum standards, with a site cap on parking provision. A flexible approach to granting an exception for parking above the cap would be available where it is justified and supports the town centre goals. This is the approach favoured in both the Waitakere Strategic Parking Advice and the Waitakere Parking Study reports. This approach would send a clear message that applicants need to assess the level of parking they require and if it is above the maximum, then they need to justify that to the Council. This would apply only in town centres and growth corridors where high levels of public transport provide an alternative form of travel. At the same time it makes it clear that there should be flexibility in respect of those developments that of necessity require parking in excess of the maximum. Examples are supermarkets, malls and bulk retail stores. It is envisaged that the process in these instances would be less onerous than that currently required to provide parking below the minimum, with clear guidelines setting out the circumstances in which the Council would allow an exception.
29. Parking buildings will by their very nature exceed the maximum provisions and PMPs need to provide guidance on the circumstances in which these are provided as well as such matters as the number of car parks, location, quality, and other factors.
30. This approach would:
- Support high density mixed use developments in town centres and growth corridors because it would be possible to provide less parking;
 - Support affordable housing as a component of intensive residential developments;
 - Probably result in a shortfall between the supply and demand for parking in town centres and growth corridors;
 - Probably require to be managed by paid parking both on street and off street;
 - Support a shift to more sustainable modes of travel to and from town centres and growth corridors.

X83

Option 3 - Strict maximum parking approach

31. Parking maximum standards would be set at levels which over time would reduce the number of car parks which could be provided on site. No exceptions would be provided under this approach. This is the approach favoured by the Auckland Regional Council in the draft ARPS (with the added complication that the parking maximums be indexed to availability of public transport over time, on a sliding scale).
32. Lack of flexibility brings with it the risk that some car based development may be discouraged in the town centres and growth corridors. There is increased risk that proposed changes to the District Plan could be challenged through legal proceedings.
33. This approach would:
 - Support, in the long term, high density mixed use developments in town centres and growth corridors which provide less parking;
 - Support affordable housing as a component of intensive residential developments;
 - Probably result in a shortfall between the supply and demand for parking in town centres and growth corridors;
 - Probably need to be managed by paid parking on street and off street; and
 - Support a shift to more sustainable modes of travel to and from town centres and growth corridors.

Quadruple Bottom Line Comparative Assessment of Options

34. The table below shows a quadruple bottom line assessment of the three options:

		Social	Economic	Environment	Cultural
Option 1	Disadvantages	Less likely to have affordable housing in town centres.	Less agglomeration benefits in town centres. Provision of car park buildings to meet parking shortfalls less likely	Low densities in town centres creates pressure for sprawl	Fewer opportunities to live in or enliven town centres
	Advantages	Available parking and car based access	Ability to have car based activities in town centres	Encourages car based development	Less changes to current status quo
Option 2	Disadvantages	Likely to result in parking supply not meeting demand for car parks in town centres. May be a push towards accessing town centres by walking cycling and public transport.	Some car based business may locate outside town centres. Car based activities wishing to locate in a town centre would need to justify car parks beyond the maximum.		Clearer contrast between town centres and suburbs.

AS4

		Social	Economic	Environment	Cultural
		Likely to create spill over problems in surrounding residential areas that need to be managed			
	Advantages	Increased densities likely to support higher levels of public transport in town centres. Greater mix of people in town centre.	Easier to provide high density development in town centres. Agglomeration benefits from more people living and working in town centres.	Higher density in town centres reduces pressure to sprawl. Increased use of public transport, walking and cycling to access town centres.	Greater opportunities for cultural activities in town centres, with higher numbers of people living and working therein. A mix of affordable and higher value residential development.
Option 3	Disadvantages	Very likely to result in parking supply not meeting demand for car parks in town centres. Will be a strong push towards accessing town centres by walking cycling and public transport. Very likely to create spill over problems in surrounding residential areas that need to be managed	Car based business will locate outside town centres. Car based activities wishing to locate in a town centre would not be accommodated		Clearer contrast between town centres and suburbs.
	Advantages	Increased densities likely to support higher levels of public transport in town centres. Greater mix of people in town centre.	Easier to provide high density development in town centres. Agglomeration benefits from more people living and working in town centres.	Higher density in town centres reduces pressure to sprawl. Increased use of public transport, walking and cycling to access town centres.	Greater opportunities for cultural activities in town centres, with higher numbers of people living and working therein. A mix of affordable and higher value residential development.

Note: Paid parking is likely to be introduced with all three options, but is most likely with option 3 and least likely with option 1.

A85

APPENDIX AXX:

Statistics New Zealand estimated population figures 2006

City Wide:	PROJECTED POPULATION	NORTH AREA	CENTRAL AREA	SOUTH EAST AREA	KAREKARE
2006	195300	41216	89000	62314	2769
2019	236120	53450	105706	73356	3608
2026	257200	60314	114185	78778	3922
2031	271900	65328	120043	82430	4098
2034	281232	68148	123849	84949	4286
2041	303008	74730	132730	90824	4724
2046	318302	79524	138925	94858	4994
2051	333596	84319	145120	98892	5265
2057	351949	90073	152553	103733	5590