



**AGENDA FOR A MEETING OF THE HERITAGE FUND ALLOCATION SUBCOMMITTEE  
TO BE HELD IN THE WAITEMATA ROOM AT WAITAKERE CENTRAL, 6 HENDERSON  
VALLEY ROAD, HENDERSON, WAITAKERE, ON TUESDAY, 16 FEBRUARY 2010  
COMMENCING AT 9.30 AM**

---

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<b><u>ITEM</u></b>		<b><u>PAGE NO.</u></b>
1	APOLOGIES	1
2	URGENT BUSINESS	1
3	CONFLICTS OF INTEREST	1
4	CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES	1
5	HERITAGE FUND ALLOCATION 2009/2010	2

**AGENDA FOR A MEETING OF THE HERITAGE FUND ALLOCATION SUBCOMMITTEE  
TO BE HELD IN THE WAITEMATA ROOM AT WAITAKERE CENTRAL, 6 HENDERSON  
VALLEY ROAD, HENDERSON, WAITAKERE, ON TUESDAY, 16 FEBRUARY 2010  
COMMENCING AT 9.30 AM**

---

**1 APOLOGIES**



**2 URGENT BUSINESS**

Section 46A(7) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 provides that where an item of business is not on the agenda, it may only be dealt with at the meeting if:

- (i) the Committee by resolution so decides; and
- (ii) the Chairman has explained at the beginning of the meeting (when open to the public) that the item will be raised for discussion and decision, why the item is not on the agenda, and why it cannot be delayed until a subsequent meeting.

The Committee may make a decision on a matter determined to be urgent.

**NOTE:** Urgent Business need not be dealt with now and may be delayed until later in the meeting.



**3 CONFLICTS OF INTEREST**

The Council has acknowledged in its Code of Conduct that Members need to be vigilant to stand aside from decision making when a conflict arises between their role as a member of the Council and any private or other external interest they might have. This note is provided as a reminder to Members to check that no such conflicts arise in relation to any items on this agenda.



**4 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES**

Meeting Minutes – Friday, 17 July 2009

**RECOMMENDATION**

It is recommended that the Heritage Fund Allocation Subcommittee resolve to:

**Receive** the minutes of the meeting of the Heritage Fund Allocation Subcommittee held on Friday, 17 July 2009, as circulated, and that they be taken as read and now be confirmed.



## 5 HERITAGE FUND ALLOCATION 2009/2010

### GLOSSARY

Heritage Fund (HF)

### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The purpose of this report is to seek approval from the Heritage Fund Allocation Subcommittee for the allocation of the Heritage Fund (HF) for 2009/2010.

The HF is intended to provide assistance for works with private landowners also contributing towards the cost.

Applications have been received for various projects from, an oral history by the Waitakere Ranges Protection Society through to a history book on Titirangi sponsored by the West Auckland Historical Society, works to Rutherford High School's marae and physical works projects for buildings and trees.

### RECOMMENDATIONS

It is recommended that Heritage Fund Allocation Subcommittee resolve to:

1. **Receive** the Heritage Fund Allocation 2009/2010 report.
2. **Approve** the Heritage Fund Allocation 2009/2010 as outlined in table 1 below:

Application Number	Applicant	Amount Requested	Amount Recommended
50	D P Cole	\$1,500.00	\$540.00
51	C O Berntsen	\$3,195.00	\$2,000.00
52	F G Edgar	\$12,000.00	\$5,000.00
53	Dragon Group Enterprise Limited	\$13,481.18	pending
54	C Geddes	\$50,000.00	\$1,500.00
55	Glendene Congregational Church	\$4,000.00	pending
57	Glen Eden Methodist Church Trustees	\$5,500.00	\$3,500.00
58	A M Hall	\$4,000.00	\$2,500.00
59	Clive E Hebben	\$13,078.25	\$4,000.00
60	Mccahon House Trust	\$4,000.00	\$4,000.00
61	B C Pentecost	\$1,782.80	\$1,000.00
62	P A Lonie	\$20,984.63	\$4,000.00
63	K J Shepherd	\$1,500.00	\$1,000.00
64	Waitakere Ranges Protection Society	\$4,200.00	\$3,000.00
65	West Auckland Historical Society	\$10,000.00	\$6,000.00
66	M J Whyte	\$1,500.00	\$1,200.00
67	R M Yozin	\$1,613.23	\$1,000.00
68	Te Atatu Tu Tangata Incorporation	\$14,000.00	\$7,000.00
69	Paul Jenkins	\$4,000.00	\$4,000.00

Application Number	Applicant	Amount Requested	Amount Recommended
70	Holy Cross Parish	\$10,000.00	pending
71	M D Milburn	\$4,000.00	\$2,000.00
	<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>\$184,135.09</b>	<b>\$53,240.00</b>

Table 1

3. **Agree** that it be recommended that the Heritage Fund Allocation 2009/2010 be forwarded to the March 2010 Culture and Community Committee meeting for confirmation.

## BACKGROUND

1. Waitakere is fortunate to possess a wide range of heritage resources and themes. The Council has funding set aside in the Long Term Council Community Plan 2009-2019 for heritage projects. An essential part of Council fulfilling its heritage strategy and action plan is to enable residents in the community to value historic heritage. This outcome is possible through Council providing private landowners, local museums and marae with the assistance that they require in restoring and appropriately managing their heritage resources.
2. The HF aims to provide assistance to owners of heritage buildings or local museums with an annual turnover of less than \$50,000, local marae, owners of heritage trees and archaeological sites. The fund can also be used to refund building consent fees for physical works once these have been completed.
3. The HF opened for applications in mid-November 2009 and closed on 18 December 2009. All owners of heritage features, local museums and marae received a letter from Council advising the availability of the fund and inviting applications. The HF was advertised on Council's website and appeared in the Western Leader's public notices section.
4. The Culture and Community Committee appointed the Heritage Fund Allocation Subcommittee to hear HF applications at its April 2008 meeting, where it was resolved to:

*"The Culture and Community Committee resolved to:*

1. **Receive the Heritage Fund – Appointment of Subcommittee report.**
2. **Agree that the Chairman, Councillor Lawley, and Crs Brady and Mitchell as representatives and Cr Corban as alternate be appointed to the Heritage Fund Subcommittee."**

603/2008

## DECISION MAKING

A1-A21

5. Applicants are eligible for funding provided that they supply competitive quotes for the work, the application form is completed and received within the specified timeframe and the application meets the scope of works criteria for funding. All applications received for this financial year were completed within the parameters of works funded by Council or amended to comply with the eligibility criteria. A summary of the applications is attached at pages A1 to A21.

6. The HF can be used for:
- Education Programmes, operational costs and expenses;
  - Physical works;
  - Refund of building consent fees; and
  - Specialist care related to care of artefacts.

### Promotion

7. The following methods were used to advertise and promote the HF:
- One advertisement in the Western Leader on 30 October 2008;
  - Waitakere City Council website; and
  - Letters sent to all owners of heritage features.
8. Table 1 below sets out the applicants to the HF for the 2009/2010 financial year and suggested allocations.

Application Number	Applicant	Amount Requested	Amount Recommended
50	D P Cole	\$1,500.00	\$540.00
51	C O Berntsen	\$3,195.00	\$2,000.00
52	F G Edgar	\$12,000.00	\$5,000.00
53	Dragon Group Enterprise Limited	\$13,481.18	pending
54	C Geddes	\$50,000.00	\$1,500.00
55	Glendene Congregational Church	\$4,000.00	pending
57	Glen Eden Methodist Church Trustees	\$5,500.00	\$3,500.00
58	A M Hall	\$4,000.00	\$2,500.00
59	Clive E Hebben	\$13,078.25	\$4,000.00
60	Mccahon House Trust	\$4,000.00	\$4,000.00
61	B C Pentecost	\$1,782.80	\$1,000.00
62	P A Lonie	\$20,984.63	\$4,000.00
63	K J Shepherd	\$1,500.00	\$1,000.00
64	Waitakere Ranges Protection Society	\$4,200.00	\$3,000.00
65	West Auckland Historical Society	\$10,000.00	\$6,000.00
66	M J Whyte	\$1,500.00	\$1,200.00
67	R M Yozin	\$1,613.23	\$1,000.00
68	Te Atatu Tu Tangata Incorporation	\$14,000.00	\$7,000.00
69	Paul Jenkins	\$4,000.00	\$4,000.00
70	Holy Cross Parish	\$10,000.00	pending
71	M D Milburn	\$4,000.00	\$2,000.00
	<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>\$184,135.09</b>	<b>\$53,240.00</b>

Table 1

## Issues

9. The applications received for this year's HF cover a range of items. The majority of applications are for physical works on buildings or trees. However, there are several applications that merit further discussion.
10. The first of the three applications showing as pending is from Dragon Group Enterprise Limited (application number 53) and relates to a Category II historic church owned by Mr Ting on the corner of Margan Ave and Rankin Ave, New Lynn. The church and pohutukawa trees are listed. The site includes a manse. The applicant has not provided a quote. Council staff have contacted the applicant by telephone and e-mail. Unless further information is presented at the hearing, which would enable the Council to make a recommendation to award funding, it is recommended that the application be deferred until the next funding round to allow the applicant to provide the outstanding information.
11. The Waitakere Ranges Protection Society (application number 64) has applied for funding for professional services to interview and make an oral history of ten people who have contributed towards the protection of the Ranges area. The recommendation is to partially fund this work, and to ensure that the Society contributes the remainder of the costs. The oral histories will be deposited at the Waitakere Central Library.
12. The West Auckland Historical Society (application number 65) has applied for funding of a history book on Titirangi. Bruce and Trixie Harvey and Marc Bonney will be the co-authors. The cost of producing the book and printing 2,000 copies is estimated to be \$30,000. Bruce and Trixie Harvey are known authors in the publishing world and given the Society's consistent record on delivering projects, it is considered to be a worthwhile venture. Funding of \$6,000 is recommended.
13. The application by Paul Jenkins (application number 69) is for restoration work on his Category I Modernist house in Titirangi. The applicant's house was designed and built by the Modernist architect, Tibor Donner. Tibor Donner designed the Parnell Baths, Ellen Melville Hall in Auckland's Central Business District and Auckland City's Greys Avenue civic building that is scheduled by Auckland City Council. The house is a one-off creation by that architect and utilised novel building design and techniques. It has been opened up for tours by architects and has been listed together with one of the studios on site in the Heritage Appendix of the District Plan by this Council.
14. The application lodged by Clive E Hebben (application number 59) is for the partial refund of work done to the foundations of his category II house in Glen Eden. The dwelling at Lucinda Place was formerly owned by Bruce Levy, an orchardist who planted many of the avenue trees in Waikumete Cemetery. Clive Hebben has received funding in the past from Council to repaint the dwelling and half of the costs of work. The foundation works have also been partially funded (half of the cost) by the Auckland Regional Council through its Environmental Initiatives Fund. A modest amount of funding has been suggested for this project.
15. The only application that has been lodged in support of the preservation of Maori culture has been from the Te Atatu Tu Tangata Incorporation who operate from Rutherford High School. That group provide a marae experience for pupils of the school and the broader community in Te Atatu. Funding is specifically sought for re-fixing carvings to the wall and refurbishment of an ablution block attached to the marae. It is considered that this is a worthwhile project, supporting Maori culture and heritage in an urban context.

16. There are two other applications that are recommended as being pending. The first from the Glendene Congregational Church (application number 55) was lodged by their solicitor within time. Council officers wrote to the applicant's solicitor requesting a quote for the tree works before Christmas and followed this up with a phone call. The applicant agreed to supply the information but this has not yet been lodged. The second application that has outstanding concerns is that of the Holy Cross Parish in Henderson (application number 70). The applicant is not prepared to list the church in the heritage appendix of the District Plan or Auckland Regional Council's cultural heritage inventory. The HF cannot allocate funds where the item is not listed. The parish is aware of the significance of the art works through an item prepared for the Culture and Community Committee in 2008. Council officers believe that the art works should be preserved but cannot allocate funding outside the terms of the policy. Therefore, the application should be deferred until the next funding round.

### **Consideration of Community Views**

17. The community at large is supportive of the HF and its aspirations for the long term preservation of the City's heritage. The fund supports a wide cross-section of the community and provides tangible support for many groups that would otherwise struggle to find funding for heritage preservation.

### **STRATEGIC CONTEXT**

18. Historic heritage is a matter of national importance under section 6(f) of the Resource Management Act 1991 and Council has a wide range of duties and responsibilities to protect built natural and cultural heritage resources.

### **CONSULTATION**

19. The Council has consulted with the applicants in preparing this report for allocations.

### **RESOURCES**

20. There is a budget of \$54,000 in the HF for 2009/2010.

### **IMPLEMENTATION ISSUES**

21. There are no implementation issues associated with this report. The recommendations of the Heritage Fund Allocation Subcommittee will be confirmed at the March 2010 meeting of the Culture and Community Committee.

### **AUCKLAND COUNCIL TRANSITION ISSUES**

22. The decision making proposed in this report is not constrained by section 31 of the Local Government (Tamaki Makaurau Reorganisation) Act 2009, as it does not directly or because of its consequences: significantly prejudice the reorganisation, significantly constrain the powers or capacity of the Auckland Council or any subsidiary of the Auckland Council following the reorganisation, or have a significant negative impact on the assets or liabilities that are transferred to the Auckland Council as a result of the reorganisation.

**Report prepared by:** Alina Wimmer, Principal Advisor: Heritage

