

No	Issue Raised	Submitter	Comments	Recommended Amendments
1	Photographs of Reserves			That the photographs of the reserves used in the draft plan along with additional photos as required, be included in the final Management Plan
2	General Typographical and Grammatical Errors		It is considered appropriate to amend all typographical and grammatical errors that may be discovered in the revision of the Plan and those highlighted by submissions.	That all typographical and grammatical errors highlighted in submissions and discovered during the amendments to the Plan be corrected.
<b>3</b>	<b>Auckland Regional Council</b>			
i	Additional information has come to hand from the ARC relating to archeological sites in the Henderson Creek Corridor reserves. At the instigation of Mary Gilligan (Submission No 10), the reported site of Duncan's house and early brickworks below the Sports Complex, has been included in the ARC Cultural Heritage Index (CHI). Additional information relating to Henderson Creek sites listed in the CHI has been supplied to Council by Vanessa Tanner, archeologist at ARC Heritage.		It is considered that the heritage content of the Plan would be strengthened by the inclusion of additional information from ARC Heritage and contained in the CHI reports for known Heritage Sites in Henderson Creek.	<p>That the following paragraph be added to the end of <b>Part 1 6.2.2</b> of the Plan: Although the Te Huru-huru - Henderson Creek Corridor was an important area both prehistorically and historically, with many recorded archeological sites, it has never been systematically surveyed. As a result, records are not definitive and some are by report only. It is likely that further unrecorded sites will be present. Some sites have already been inadvertently damaged by earthworks. The original site of Duncan's house and brickworks below the Sports Complex was reportedly damaged by earthworks when the Stadium was first built. Some of the bricks from this previous disturbance were uncovered by recent work and have been saved by members of the Historical Society with a view to using them to erect an appropriate signifier on the site.</p> <p>That the following be added to the third paragraph of <b>Part 1 6.2.2</b> <b>Falls Park:</b> The Falls Hotel was originally located in Rainside Ave and was called the Oratia Hotel after the nearby stream. It is believed to have been built by Thomas Henderson between 1856 and 1858, when it had 22 rooms and served as the store and post office for Henderson's Mill. Today it is one of the oldest hotel buildings in New Zealand and the second oldest in the Auckland region. It is made of kauri weatherboard, and is a notable example of a 'stripped down Georgian' style, wooden corner hotel. In the 1880s, the hotel became popular as an overnight venue for tourists travelling out from Henderson's Landing to the Waitakere Falls. Thus it became known as the Falls Hotel. During the nineteenth century, it was an important venue for local government meetings. It subsequently had a chequered history and was relocated to its present site in 1996. It has been meticulously renovated by the Falls Hotel Preservation Trust. This rare building is one of the oldest and largest of the Auckland suburban hotels still standing.</p>
ii			In addition, it would raise the profile of the known sites and give them greater protection from inadvertent damage if they were marked onto the <b>Concept Plans in Part 3</b> of the Management Plan.	That the <b>Concept Plans Part 3</b> be amended to show known archeological sites.
iii	The additional information includes a map drawn by Jack Diamond showing the likely site of Duncan's brickworks below Waitakere Sports Complex, the subject of Mary Gilligan's submission.		It would add to the heritage information contained in the Plan if the CHI Historic Place Record Descriptions, the CHI map and the Jack Diamond Map were included and referenced as Appendices to the Plan.	<p>That the following be added to the list of <b>Appendices:</b></p> <p><b>Appendix 5 Cultural Heritage Inventory - CHI Historic Place Record Descriptions, CHI map.</b></p> <p><b>Appendix 6 Jack Diamond map.</b></p> <p>And that the above documents be attached to the Plan as Appendices.</p>

No	Issue Raised	Submitter	Comments	Recommended Amendments
<b>4</b>	<b>Ecowater Solutions</b>			
i	<p>Ecowater Solutions have constructed a Stormwater Quality Pond in the large tributary gully in Henderson Creek Esplanade Reserve near the north-western motorway since the draft plan was released.</p>		<p>All of Henderson Creek Esplanade Reserve including this gully is identified as a Priority Conservation Area in the draft Plan. The construction of the stormwater collection pond which will filter stormwater before it enters the Creek and the associated revegetation of the surrounding gully with natives, is in keeping with the Objectives and Policies of the Plan that relate to this area.</p>	<p>That the Stormwater Quality Pond be shown in <b>Concept Plan No 7</b> in <b>Part 3</b> of the Management Plan.</p> <p>That the following be added to the list of <b>Appendices</b>:</p> <p><b>Appendix 7 Stormwater Pond Planting Plan</b></p> <p>And that the Planting Plan be attached as Appendix 7.</p> <p>That the following bullet point be added to <b>Policy 5.1</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Allow for access to stormwater infrastructure by Ecowater staff and contractors.</li> </ul> <p>That the following bullet point be added to <b>Policy 3.1</b>;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Liase with Ecowater Solutions regarding work around the stormwater ponds in Henderson Creek Esplanade Reserve.</li> </ul>
<b>5</b>	<b>Barry Carter WCC Consultancy Services</b>			
i	<p>This submission concerns the relocation of a heritage building in Tui Glen</p> <p>“Following a hearing by Commissioner, the heritage building at 285 Great North Road is to be moved to Tui Glen Reserve.</p> <p>Specific reference to “McLeods Cottage” plus an amendment to the Reserve Management Plan Map are requested to provide for this cottage within the Management Plan.</p> <p>This should include an amendment to Policy 4.2.1, an amendment to the Tui Glen section of 6.2.2 European Heritage and Archeological Sites, and an amendment to the Tui Glen Concept Plan in Part 3.”</p>	<p>Barry Carter, Business Manager, Consultancy Services. WCC.</p>	<p>Commissioner HF Bhana was appointed by Waitakere City Council to hear the application for a Resource Consent to relocate or demolish a Category II Heritage Building at 285A Great North Road.</p> <p>The house is one of the first in the Henderson area and is one of the area’s oldest surviving buildings. It is believed to have been built by Shepherd John McLeod. In order to save the historic cottage from demolition, the owner and Council reached a formal agreement that the cottage be purchased by Council and be relocated to Tui Glen Reserve to be preserved and restored. The cottage, when restored will be welcome addition to the already significant collection at Tui Glen.</p> <p>Condition 2 of the Resource Consent states that ‘The location of the building on Tui Glen Reserve shall be within the Proposed Accommodation Lease Area (identified in the draft Henderson Creek Management Plan) being within Pt Lot 12..., and within 40 metres of the southernmost point of the Glen Oaks Cottage, and be southeast of the entrance road to the Tui Glen Reserve”.</p>	<p>That the <b>Concept Plan</b> for Tui Glen Reserve be amended to show the proposed new location for the cottage.</p> <p>That the following paragraphs be inserted in <b>Part 1 Section 6.2.2</b>;</p> <p>In November 2002, Resource Consent was granted for a historic building known as McLeods Cottage to be relocated to Tui Glen from its original location at 285A Great North Road. Council had purchased the run down building in order to save it from demolition. The building, listed as a Category II Heritage Item in the District Plan, was one of the first to be built and one of the oldest surviving buildings in the Henderson area.</p> <p>Although a humble building, it is highly significant in terms of its design and early construction techniques as well as its links to the earliest European inhabitants of the area. It is reputed to be the residence of Shepherd John McLeod who managed Thomas Henderson’s Mill farm about 1857 and owned the Tui Glen site from 1876 to 1879. In addition, Its design and early construction techniques are unusual and significant.</p> <p>That the following bullet points be added to <b>Part 1 Policy 4.2.1</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Support the appropriate restoration and use of the Tui Glen cottages including McLeods Cottage.</li> <li>Install appropriate signage relating to the history of McLeods Cottage.</li> </ul> <p>That the list of <b>Appendices</b> be amended to include the relocation Plan for Mcleods Cottage.</p>
<b>6</b>	<b>Ben Copedo (from the West Auckland Historical Society)</b>			
i	<p>Ben Copedo submitted a detailed report reviewing the draft plan and highlighting a number of historical oversights, omissions and inaccuracies. The majority of the comments relate to words, dates, names and minor details in Part 1 of the Plan.</p> <p>In summary “The Draft reserves Management Plan ... is an excellent, far-reaching report marred, in the eyes of some people anyway, by a number of</p>	<p>Ben Copedo</p>	<p>None of the corrections affect the meaning or intention of the Plan. They all refer to the information in <b>Part 1 Background</b> and not to <b>Part 2 Policies and Objectives</b> of the Plan. In particular, they affect the following sections:</p> <p><b>Summary</b></p>	<p>That all amendments be made where they relate to questions of historical accuracy, as suggested in the submission by Ben Copedo.</p>

No	Issue Raised	Submitter	Comments	Recommended Amendments
	<p>historical errors.</p> <p>The situation draws attention, once again, to the fact that there is no reliable one-volume book about Henderson's Mill, Swans Arch or the Oratia/Falls Hotel. ...All that is available at the present time is an array ...of information that can be misleading in many cases and sometimes, totally incorrect".</p>		<p><b>1.1 Context</b>  <b>2.3 District Plan - heritage list</b>  <b>6.2.1 Natural Heritage</b>  <b>6.2.2 Cultural Heritage</b></p> <p>The amendments relate to the historical accuracy of the Plan. The majority relate to single words, dates, names, and minor details.</p> <p>Ben Copedo is a well-known authority on west Auckland history and there is no reason to doubt the accuracy of the submission. It is therefore proposed that all amendments be made as suggested by the submitter.</p>	
ii	The submission questions the use of quoting from a novel when writing a serious report, which, the submitter suggests, lends historical credibility to Maurice Shadbolt's work of fiction.		<p>There are many facts and fictions circulating around the life of Henry Swan. The fact that a celebrated New Zealand author has chosen to include his life in a work of fiction neither adds nor detracts from these. The reference does not intend to suggest that the work is a historically accurate account, but to recognise the work of a west Auckland artist whose writing helps to keep our local history alive. It is recommend that the quotes from Maurice Shadbolt are retained as they are, but that the reference is altered to clarify that the quote refers to a work of fiction.</p>	<p>That the following amendment be made to the quotation immediately after the heading</p> <p><b>INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND:</b></p> <p>That "From <i>Dove On The Waters</i> Maurice Shadbolt 1996". be amended to read  "From <u>the novel</u> <i>Dove On The Waters</i> by Maurice Shadbolt 1996".</p>
iii	The submission questions the accuracy of captions on two of the photos in the plan – those from the Frank Morris Collection at the Waitakere Libraries and Information Services.		<p>After some discussion with the Waitakere Libraries and Information Services, it has been agreed that the references to Averil are most likely not correct. They have agreed to the captions to these two photos being amended so that the name of the man in the dinghy is Roy Williams.</p>	<p>That the captions for the two photos from the F.Morris Collection that read:  <b>Averil in dinghy – Henderson Creek</b>  and  <b>Averil in dinghy at Swans Arch</b>  be amended to read:  <b>Roy Williams in dinghy - Henderson Creek</b>  and  <b>Roy Williams in dinghy at Swans Arch</b></p>
<b>7 John D R Scott</b>				
i	<p>The submitter uses the cycleway on a regular basis and addresses the following issues relating to the cycleway.</p> <p>1 My particular perspective is in the area of using the reserve as a key part of a regional walking/cycling network.</p> <p>2.The reserve is a key regional link ... This should be recognised in any development plan.</p> <p>4. Henderson Creek is a unique place in the natural landscape of the Auckland region. ...I strongly support Policies 3 and 5 as ways to maintain and enhance the natural values of this beautiful area.</p> <p>3. Practical issues that affect a commuting cyclist using the reserves are identified as following:</p>	John D R Scott		No change required.
ii	Poor quality path between Sherwood Reserve and Quiet Street.		<p>The need to "Upgrade all existing and new entrances to the reserves..." is already addressed in bullet point 2 of <b>Policy 2.2</b> of the plan. Therefore no change is required to the Policies and Objectives. Include in Table of Works.</p>	<p>That the following item be added to the <b>Table Of Works</b> under Sherwood Reserve:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Upgrade the surface of the access to Sherwood Park from Quiet Street.</li> </ul>
iii	Difficulty crossing CPD. Central Park Drive is unfriendly to cyclists. The new bridge would make a big difference and needs to be designed for		<p>The creation of a direct cycle link from Central Park Drive to the east bank is already allowed for by bullet</p>	<p><b>Part 2</b>  That implementation bullet point 5 of <b>Policy 2.1</b> be</p>

No	Issue Raised	Submitter	Comments	Recommended Amendments
	bicycle use.		<p>point 4 of <b>Policy 2.3</b>.</p> <p>The intention that the new bridge be shared-use is in line with the overall provisions of the Plan regarding access and use. However, the new bridge will be a suspension bridge and the Plan must also allow for the fact that it may be difficult and expensive to make the bridge wide-enough for shared-use due to the large span required.</p> <p>Since the draft Plan was released, the location of the bridge and jetty have been finalised as part of the Landscape Concept Plan for the Esplanade Reserve below the Sports Centre. It is proposed that the Plan be amended accordingly to show the final location of the bridge and jetty.</p>	<p>amended to read:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Support the location of the jetty and suspension bridge connecting the Oval Lawn below the Sports Centre to Sherwood Park, as shown in Concept Plan 6, Part 3 of this Plan.</li> </ul> <p>That the following bullet point be added to <b>Policy 2.1</b>:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>That the footbridge connecting the Waitakere Sports Complex with Sherwood Park be designed and built to accommodate shared pedestrian and cycle use if engineering and budget requirements allow.</li> </ul> <p><b>Part 3</b> That <b>Concept Plan 6</b> be amended to show the actual location of the suspension bridge and jetty below the oval lawn.</p> <p>That the third bullet point of the <b>Table Of Works</b> Section 5 be deleted.</p> <p>That the following bullet points be added to the <b>Table Of Works</b> Section 5:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Implement suspension bridge connecting Sherwood Park to the Oval Lawn.</li> </ul> <p>Implement jetty adjacent to the bridge below the Oval Lawn.</p>
iv	Develop access to Waitakere Hospital. Currently no alternative to congested Lincoln Road. Suggest Epping, Buscomb and Jubaea.		<p>Developing access to Waitakere Hospital from the Henderson Creek Corridor pedestrian/cycleway would be in keeping with the general recommendation made in <b>Policy 2.2</b> the draft Plan:</p> <p><i>To improve the connectivity between the pedestrian network in the reserves and the local neighbourhood.</i></p> <p>Aside from this, developing access outside the reserves is beyond the authority of this Plan. However, the Roading section of Council is currently reviewing access to the Hospital.</p>	No change required.
v	Missing gaps along the west bank must be bought into public ownership and complete route along west bank as a matter of priority. Plan must allow for the fact that cyclists will use the west bank anyway, even if identified for walking.		<p>The Plan already recommends that the missing links in the west bank esplanade reserves be acquired and does not have the authority to do more.</p> <p>Council's Parks Strategy identifies Henderson Creek Corridor as a multi-use City-wide park, expected to cater for "a variety of landscape and recreation opportunities". The Plan proposes to do this by developing and managing the two banks differently, (ie the east bank as an open landscape with high-use walk/cycleway and the west bank as mixed use informal nature trail), in order to create a wide range of experiences in the corridor to cater for all tastes and abilities.</p> <p>The Plan does not attempt to prevent cyclists from using the west bank walkway but rather to promote the use of the east bank by providing an all-weather surface of consistent standard with good quality access.</p>	No change required.
<b>8</b>	<b>Cycle Action Auckland</b>			
i	<p>The submission includes the following points:</p> <p><b>General</b> "We are delighted to see upgrading the present pathway through the reserve..."</p>	Dr Adrian Croucher Chairperson CAA. CAA is a registered charitable trust		No change required.

No	Issue Raised	Submitter	Comments	Recommended Amendments
		affiliated to the NZ Cycling Advocates' Network, the national voice for New Zealand cyclists.		
ii	<b>Introduction And Background - Summary</b> Final paragraph - add 'the North West Cycle Route' to the linkages mentioned".			<b>Introduction And Background - Summary</b> That the final paragraph of this section of <b>Part 1</b> be amended to read: 'The Corridor also has potential as a major linkage and connective route... with the wider environs by means of a walkway and the North West Cycle Route'.
iii	<b>Context 1.1</b> First para, third page of this section, correct '...developing western cycleway' to '...developing 'North West Cycle Route'.			<b>Part 1 Context 1.1</b> That the first paragraph on the third page of this section, be amended to read: 'It is an integral part of the developing North West Cycle Route, which connects to Auckland City via the cycle corridor along the north western motorway'.
iv	<b>Policy 2.3</b> While we fully support the policy to 'upgrade the eastern pathway to allow for mixed pedestrian/cycle use', we would advise that the 2m width suggested is at the lower limit for a facility of this type. Guidelines for this are given in Austroads "Guide To Traffic Engineering Practice: Part 14, Bicycles." Austroads defines an acceptable width for a shared-use path as 2 – 3.5m with 3m being desirable for commuter use (Section 6.6.1). Recommend that <b>Policy 2.3</b> be amended to read: "Upgrade the eastern pathway to a consistent standard of concrete, with a target width 3m and minimum width 2m, to allow for mixed pedestrian/cycle use along the length of the cycleway".		The existing path of 2 m width has been developed and funded by Council's Roading section as an integral part of the North West Cycle Route. This has been designed according to the Austroads "Guide To Traffic Engineering Practice: Part 14, Bicycles." The 2m width of the path is at the lower limit, but <i>within</i> the 2-3.5 m acceptable width for a shared-use path advised by these Guidelines. The submitter proposes the 3 metre width, suggested by the Austroads Guidelines for shared/commuter use. In the absence of any research to indicate the amount and type of cycle use along this path, it is felt that the current 2m width, which is within the range recommended by the Guidelines, is adequate. However, there nothing to prevent the path being widened in the future should its use increase. The draft Plan should be amended to allow for this.	<b>Part 2</b> That implementation bullet point 1 in <b>Policy 2.3</b> be amended to read: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Upgrade the eastern pathway to consistent standard of concrete of a minimum 2m width, to allow for mixed pedestrian/cycle use along the length of the cycleway.</li> </ul> That the following bullet points be added to <b>Policy 2.3</b> : <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Allow for the shared-use path to be widened according to the Austroad (or NZ) Guidelines, wherever possible, to meet the needs of users.</li> <li>Ensure that the shared-use cycleway design complies with the provisions of the Austroad guidelines until such time as they are replaced by New Zealand Standards for cycle facility design.</li> </ul> That <b>Policy 2.1</b> bullet point 2 be amended to read: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Develop and extend the existing concrete walkway along the east bank to create a mixed walking/cycle way of consistent standard, meeting the requirements of the Austroad guidelines (Part 14), until such time as they are replaced by New Zealand traffic engineering practice guidelines.</li> </ul>
v	<b>Policy 8.1</b> We note that the proposal includes pedestrian linkages between the cycle/walkway and the Henderson town centre, but does not include cycle linkages to the centre, except via the proposed entrance at Edmonton Road. It is very important to provide a good linkage for cyclists to the town centre, otherwise the usefulness of the entire path is compromised. Cycle access to the town centre, either between the Acquatic Centre and the Bowling Club, or following the stream, needs to be investigated and included.		The draft Plan shows the cycleway exiting at Tui Glen Reserve onto Edmonton Road before it reaches Henderson town centre. The submitter suggests that Edmonton Road is not 'cycle-friendly' and requests a 'good linkage for cyclists to the town centre'.  The Plan seeks to promote both cycling and walking as forms of alternative transport. Any weak linkages in the cycleway are likely to reduce its overall use. It is recommended that the shared use cycleway be extended into the town centre along the same route as the walkways shown through Falls Park and Cranwell Esplanade Reserve. Also that provision be made for possible future access to the cycleway through the existing walkway off Millstream Drive.	<b>Part 2</b> That all references to the 'walkway' in this area in the <b>Objectives and Policies</b> section be amended to 'cycle/walkway'.  That the following bullet points be added to <b>Policy 2.3</b> : <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Improve the linkages into the town centre for cycle/walkway users and in particular, linkages through Cranwell Park to Millstream Drive, Alderman Drive, Great North Road and Trading Place.</li> <li>Upgrade the Tui Glen bridge to cater for shared-use cycle pedestrian traffic.</li> </ul> <b>Part 3</b> That <b>Concept Plan 2</b> be amended to show the shared-use walk/cycleway extending across the Tui Glen bridge to Cranwell Park and to Alderman Drive.  That <b>Concept Plan 2</b> be amended to show a possible

No	Issue Raised	Submitter	Comments	Recommended Amendments
				shared-use walk/cycleway extending from the Tui Glen Bridge around the north of the Bowling Club in Cranwell Park to the exit in Millstream Drive.
vi	<p><b>Table Of Works - Sherwood Park</b></p> <p>The entrance is an important one for cyclists... This entrance needs a surfaced path to connect Sherwood Ave to the cycleway, as well as adequate signage directing cyclists to the entrance from the North West Cycle Route.</p>		The draft Plan already shows a 2m wide concrete path linking Sherwood Ave to the cycleway. The draft Plan also recognises that this link is important for cyclists and will become more so when the proposed shared use bridge is constructed linking the east bank to the Sports Complex.	<p>That the following bullet points be added to <b>Part 2 Policy 8.1</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Install appropriate signage directing cyclists to the entrance on Sherwood Avenue from the North West Cycle Route.</li> <li>• Liase with roading and traffic to investigate the location of signs indicating access points to the cycle/walkway from surrounding streets.</li> </ul> <p>Add the following bullet point be added to <b>Part 3 Table of Works - Sherwood Park</b>:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Ensure signage is adequate to link the Sherwood Park entrance to the top of the North West Cycle Route.</li> </ul>
vii	<p>Pathside vegetation</p> <p>It is important to maintain adequate clearance between the edge of the cycleway and the adjacent vegetation to allow room for handlebars and for good sight distances. This is particularly important where the path is of a less than desirable width.</p> <p>We recommend that vegetation be kept to at least 0.5 from the edge of the concrete path.</p>		The Plan supports any amendment that will increase the safety and visibility of reserves users within the existing provisions of the Plan and in particular users of the walkways and cycleways.	<p>That the following bullet point be added to <b>Policy 8.1</b>:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Ensure that vegetation above .5 m high is kept to at least 0.5 from the edge of the concrete path for the convenience and visibility of users wherever possible. Where planting abuts the path, this may be achieved by planting low plants, ground covers and grasses as necessary.</li> </ul>
<b>9 Carolyn Thorne</b>				
i	<p>This submission relates to Tui Glen Reserve. The submitter would like to see the land as park grounds for families, used for picnics, gatherings, such as Christmas in the Park or family concerts. Should join updated swimming complex.</p> <p>Other issues mentioned are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• security conscious park to create job opportunities</li> <li>• against any sort of housing as Henderson area is very run down and grubby</li> </ul>		<p>The future development of Tui Glen was the subject of a separate consultation process in 2002, during the drafting of this Plan. The majority of submitters requested the retention of some form of carefully managed short-stay tourist accommodation, in line with the historic use of Tui Glen reserve as a picnic ground and motor camp.</p> <p>The provisions of the draft Plan that relate to Tui Glen are derived from the recommendations adopted by the City Development Committee in July 2002, following this consultation process. As shown in the <b>Tui Glen Concept Plan</b>, the park will remain as a place suitable for picnics and family gatherings. While it has a role to play in facilitating access to Cranwell Park and Henderson township, it is intended to retain its own integrity as a unique historic reserve. The Plan also has provision for restoring the heritage cabin area for tourist special event short- term accommodation.</p> <p>The issues raised by the submitter are addressed in the existing provisions of the Plan.</p>	No change required.
<b>10 Mary Gilligan</b>				
i	<p>This submission relates to the location of historic brickworks hitherto unmarked along Henderson Creek between Tui Glen and the motorway. "I would like to see the sites recognised and marked with some sort of interpretative signage. These sites are an important part of our history and would I'm sure be of great interest to the community and those particularly who might use the walkway along the length of the Creek Reserve....</p> <p>These sites have always been known and Jack Diamond locates them in his collection held at Henderson library. The following is a list of the brickwork sites from Tui Glen to the western motorway.</p> <p>On the right of the Creek near Tui Glen</p> <p>1. Brickyard of Archibald Hepburn c 1884.</p>		<p>Of the five brickyard sites detailed in this submission, only two are listed on the ARC Cultural Heritage Index and only one is currently shown in the Draft Plan.</p> <p>There are currently several sources of information regarding the location of brickwork (and other historical) sites on the Creek. Much of the information is held and has been generously provided by dedicated individual members of the West Auckland Historical Society, in particular, B. Copedo and M. Gilligan. The drafting of this Plan has served to highlight issues around the inadequate recording of</p>	<p>That the relevant <b>Concept Plans</b> in <b>Part 3</b> of the Plan be amended to show the (possible) location of the brickwork sites specified in the submission.</p> <p>That implementation bullet point 4 in <b>Policy 4.2.1</b> be amended to read:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provide recognition for all heritage sites verified through the ARC Cultural Heritage Inventory or other recognised heritage assessment, including the brickworks sites, in the Henderson Creek Corridor Reserves, through the District Plan.</li> </ul>

No	Issue Raised	Submitter	Comments	Recommended Amendments
	<p>2. Further north but in the same locality the brickyard of Leighton c 1880.</p> <p>3. On the left bank of the Creek in the Sports Stadium grounds. The brickyard of George Duncan on his own land c1882 (to be further investigated).</p> <p>4. Further north on the same side the brickyard Gough and Kemsley, on the land of Kemsley c1870.</p> <p>5. By the motorway- registered and inspected by ARC the brickyard of Watson Cranston and Duncan c1887.</p> <p>on some of these sites brickbats and deposits of bricks can still be seen.</p>		<p>information for this significant historical material. Without good records, it is impossible to ensure the level of care and protection that these sites are due.</p> <p>It is therefore recommended that a proper archeological survey is carried out for the whole Creek Corridor and all that sites found in this survey are officially recorded and researched.</p> <p>This survey needs to be carried out in conjunction with the Historical Society, preferably before signs are installed, in order to provide a solid basis for any future work such as conservation plans.</p>	<p>That the following bullet points be added to <b>Policy 4.2.1</b>:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Carry out a thorough archeological survey and examination of all known and possible heritage sites located in the Henderson Creek Corridor Reserves and, in conjunction with the West Auckland Historical Society, ensure that these sites are recorded, assessed and protected as appropriate. Where necessary, ensure that Conservation Plans are prepared.</li> <li>• Work with the West Auckland Historical Society to develop and provide appropriate interpretation signs or other features for the historic brickwork sites in the reserves, following their proper archeological assessment, as part of the Henderson Creek Heritage Trail.</li> <li>• Work with the Historical Society to develop an appropriate memorial in Henderson Creek Esplanade Reserve, to mark the location and history of Duncan's house and brickworks. Preferably, using some of the bricks unearthed in recent earthworks on the site and retrieved by members of the Historical Society for the memorial.</li> </ul> <p>That the <b>Table Of Works for Tui Glen Esplanade Reserve and Chilcott Brae</b> be amended to include the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Install interpretative signs to mark brickworks sites following proper archeological assessment.</li> </ul> <p>That the <b>Table Of Works for Henderson Creek Esplanade Reserve</b> be amended to include the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Install appropriate interpretative signs to mark the brickworks sites following proper archeological assessments.</li> </ul>
<b>11 Glen Prime</b>				
i	<p>The submitter requests that:</p> <p>'The International Walkway Of Trees be extended to Central Park Bridge across to Epping Esplanade and with an easement through the Squash Club to meet up with the footbridge across Opanuku Stream to Cranwell Park making a loop track.</p>	Glen Prime	<p>The International Walkway Of Trees currently has a considerable proportion of trees that are ailing or dead. This is possibly due to the difficult conditions of the site. While the walkway is an innovative idea, its impact has been reduced by the poor performance of some trees. It is recommended that it not be extended until the existing problems are solved, (see <b>Policy 7.1.1</b>). However the 'loop track' path along the route mentioned here is provided for in the draft Plan.</p>	No change required.
ii	<p>Building a new platform across the sewer pipe in the shape of a Taraire leaf.</p>		<p><b>Concept Plan 3 Part 3</b> already shows a '<i>possible future walkway link</i>' across this tributary. This footbridge is not considered a priority due to these facts; bridges are expensive to construct, the tributary is a small one and there is an existing path around the top of the tributary so that the bridge would not provide a substantial shortcut. However, as the submission states, a large concrete support structure supports a pipe across the tributary, and this could be used as a foundation for a new footbridge. If funding were available, there is an opportunity for an 'art bridge' such as a Taraire leaf.</p>	<p>That the following bullet point be added to <b>Policy 2.1</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Investigate future possible options for a bridge across the inlet in Colletta Esplanade Reserve, including an art feature.</li> </ul> <p>Amend <b>Concept Plan 3</b> to show a "<i>possible feature bridge</i>" across the tributary in Chilcott Brae.</p>
iii	<p>On flat land at Chilcott Brae a labyrinth of mosaic patterns of ethnic designs to complement the theme of The International Walkway Of Trees.</p>		<p>The draft Plan supports the installation of art work in the reserves and in particular, art work that celebrates local heritage and the natural environment.</p>	No change required.

No	Issue Raised	Submitter	Comments	Recommended Amendments
			<p>Provisions for this are found in <b>Policy 4.2.1</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Encourage and support the installation of artwork, park furniture, ... that illustrate the heritage values of the reserves.</li> </ul> <p>And in <b>Policy 6.1</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Encourage the use of artwork to identify existing natural habitats and ecosystems ... and highlight biodiversity issues within the reserves.</li> </ul> <p>This policy allows a range of options for future artwork, including a mosaic.</p>	
iv	A round raised platform with a base of shell for petanque or other games. A place for older folk to sit and talk. Big chunky seating.		<p>Initial submissions indicate some support for a petanque court. Chilcott Brae would be an ideal location for this due to the fact that it has large open areas of lawn, high visibility, access from many directions, is close to the town centre and residential housing.</p> <p>The provision of seating is already covered in <b>Policy 2.6</b> and <b>Policy 7.2.4.</b> and in the <b>Table Of Works</b> for <b>Chilcott Brae.</b></p>	<p>That the following bullet points be added to <b>Policy 2.6:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Investigate options for the installation of a petanque court in Chilcott Brae.</li> <li>Develop access and seating for older folk in Chilcott Brae.</li> </ul> <p>That the following bullet point be added to the <b>Table Of Works</b> for <b>Chilcott Brae:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Petanque court</li> </ul>
v	At Tui Glen an amphitheatre built on the slope towards the old landing, built with brick or other permanent materials, in a semi circular design.		Work currently being done in the Sports Complex has created a partial amphitheatre beside Henderson Creek near the open lawn and proposed bridge crossing. Here there is a large open grass slope from which there are extensive views over the lawn and down the Creek. This would be ideal for outdoor events and would be more suitable than Tui Glen in that it has greater access to parking and reduced proximity to residential neighbours.	No change required.
vi	Connect the dirt path with the existing concrete path through to the lower road at Tui Glen. To promote Waitakere as a tourist place, revamp Tui Glen to encourage motor homes and tidy up the cabins to a minimum of one months stay.		Already provided for in the Plan, see <b>Submission 9(i).</b>	No change required.
vii	Replace wire mesh fence at stormwater outfall on Central Park Drive with a brick wall to link with Swans Arch across the road.		The stormwater outfall is an Ecowater asset. However, the existing provisions of the Plan would already allow this kind of initiative.	No change required.
<b>12 Bill Postlewaight</b>				
i	Submission proposes the conversion to a footbridge of an existing "pipe spanning an outlet of Henderson Creek near Avenue of International Trees". This would provide an alternative route over the tributary in Chilcott Brae.	Bill Postlewaight	This issue has already been raised and amendments made accordingly. See <b>Submission 11 (ii).</b>	No change required.
<b>13 John Harris</b>				
i	Concerned to see the management of Tui Glen does not enable further criminal activity in our street and particularly does not encourage access to the rear of our properties.		Nothing in the provisions for the management of Tui Glen Reserve is intended to promote or support criminal activity or to encourage access to neighbouring properties. The more the reserve and its facilities are used, the greater the surveillance and safety for users and neighbours.	No change required.
ii	In particular, I object to the proposal to construct a formal pathway along the backs of our properties in order to link the walkway with the broader Henderson Creek walkway. The pathway is not necessary from a recreational point of view and it could potentially legitimise loitering for unlawful purposes. I also object to the pathway connection from the existing road to this pathway.		<p>The walkway that the submitter objects to is that shown in the Tui Glen Concept Plan as a "walkway option around perimeter of reserve" which runs from the main Creek walkway to a pathway yet to be developed in an undeveloped accessway onto the cul de sac at the end of Chilcott Road. At present, there is no entrance to Tui Glen Reserve from Chilcott Road. This accessway will provide an alternative entrance to Tui Glen and to the main Creek walkway.</p> <p>Improving access to the reserves from surrounding streets is intended to increase the overall use of the</p>	That the Concept Plan for Tui Glen in <b>Part 3</b> of the Plan be amended to show the 'walkway option around perimeter of reserve' running from the main Creek walkway to the cul de sac in Chilcott Road situated further out from the boundary fence to increase visibility along the pathway.

No	Issue Raised	Submitter	Comments	Recommended Amendments
			reserves and walkways and thereby improve safety and visibility, reducing opportunities for "loitering for unlawful purposes". It is proposed to address the submitter's concerns by moving the proposed pathway further into the reserve and away from the boundary fence.	
iii	Naturally, I support the removal of the caravan park and non historic accommodation because I think some of these dwellings harboured some of our security problems.			No change required.
iv	I am concerned that the proposed building expansion for the Conductive Education Centre may end up being used for other purposes in the future, eg social activities which attract unruly behaviour, and therefore I oppose it. Although conductive education is a positive activity, it isn't recreation, and therefore, it is inappropriate in a recreation reserve.		Tui Glen is classified as Recreation Reserve under the Reserves Act 1977. Under this classification, leases for community facilities are an appropriate activity. Council's Parks Strategy also supports the development of such community facilities in Recreation Reserves. The range of activities for which the building can be used is controlled by the terms of the lease, which does not allow for social activities as suggested by the submitter.	No change required.
v	I see the proposal for an accommodation lease area, and particularly the involvement of Waitakere Properties Ltd, as steps towards commercialisation and potentially privatisation and possible sale of the land rather than as an appropriate activity for a recreation reserve.		The provision of accommodation in Tui Glen has a long history and the majority of submissions supported the continuation of some form of quality short-term accommodation. The cottages are afforded protection by their heritage listing in the District Plan. In addition, any divestment of reserve land would involve an extremely lengthy process including public submissions under the Reserves Act.	That the following bullet point be added to <b>Policy 2.8</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Ensure that any development in Tui Glen Reserve does not detract from the primary recreational values of the reserve.</li> </ul>
vi	I want to see the reserve remain as a relatively open and undeveloped setting for informal recreation, with the historic cabins being protected and interpreted.		This is already supported by the <b>Tui Glen Concept Plan, Part 3.</b>	No change required
<b>13</b>	<b>Vivian M Hayes</b>			
i	"I am a frequent user of the walkways of Henderson Creek... I walk most of them 4 times a week. The present walkways are a delight and enjoyed by many people. I have spoken to the users and most agree it is a facility appreciated and used often. Considering the state of our society, there is little vandalism and I am sure with increased access even that level will decrease. I would however like to submit suggestions for improvement".	Vivian M Hayes		No change required
ii	<u>Walkway from Central Park Bridge to motorway.</u> Two small areas on the shell path which become wet and muddy in winter. Suggest drainage channel and wooden over - walkways.		Bullet point 2 of <b>Policy 2.1</b> of the draft Plan provides for the development and extension of the existing 2m concrete walkway along the east bank. The development and maintenance of the cycleway is the responsibility of Roding. It is proposed to amend the Plan so that the issue of poor drainage is addressed when this section of the walkway is upgraded.	That the following bullet point be added to <b>Policy 2.1</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Undertake as necessary, all works required to improve drainage along the walkway, including bridges and boardwalks, through Sherwood Park as this section of the walk/cycle way is upgraded.</li> </ul>
iii	<u>Henderson Creek Esplanade</u> 'I have walked this track at least 18 times...although undeveloped and hard going a high quality walkway to link Waitakere Stadium should be done now while earthworks are being carried out. ...wetland areas currently crossed by a large steel pipe, bridges spanning these inlets could be viable. Protection of wet lands are important and one way to ensure their protection is by controlled public access. ...		Henderson Creek Esplanade Reserve was acquired by Council in 1985. However, the Reserve is isolated from the rest of the esplanade reserve on this bank by a section that remains in private ownership and has never been developed. The Reserve has several tributaries, including areas of saltmarsh and wetland. The draft Plan identified HCER as a priority area for restoration, based on the existence of a substantial wattle nurse crop. However, subsequent to the publication of the draft Plan, MAF clearance of Painted Apple Moth host species in the Esplanade Reserve removed this vegetation cover. Revegetation of the riparian margin is now a priority for the following reasons: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Prevention of weed species from re-</li> </ul>	No change required.

No	Issue Raised	Submitter	Comments	Recommended Amendments
			<p>establishment.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Prevention of surface water, pollutants and sediments entering the Creek.</li> <li>• Stabilisation of the stream banks.</li> </ul> <p>Council is currently negotiating for IA funding for this project to be carried out in the 2003/4 year. In addition, the proposed new suspension bridge is set to go ahead in the 2003/4 financial year. This will link the Sports Complex with Sherwood Park, creating a walkway loop and bypassing the missing section of esplanade reserve.</p> <p>It is proposed to amend the Plan to show that the development of this section of the walkway be delayed for a minimum of five years while the revegetation is established and that the situation be reassessed at the end of that time. (This issue is dealt with in detail in Submission 19 of this report).</p> <p>The long-term plan for the northern section of Henderson Creek Esplanade Reserve as a nature trail area has not changed. It remains the intention of the Plan that the area eventually be added to the Henderson Creek Reserves walkway where it will make a significant contribution to the experience of the Green Network Corridor.</p>	
iv	One property directly north of the stadium, hopefully Council will obtain riparian rights across this property.		This property, which is privately owned, is referred to in the Plan as ' <i>possible future walkway connection</i> '. The RMA Part 6 (d) identifies the maintenance and enhancement of public access to and along the coastal marine area, lakes and rivers as a Matter of National Importance and it is Council's intention to eventually hold the entire esplanade reserve in public ownership for this purpose. This section of the riparian margin remains outside the bounds of the Management Plan and will do so until such time as the owner chooses to develop or subdivide and Council has the opportunity to acquire the riparian margin.	No change required
v	The proposed plan of gardens and picnic areas for the stadium are to be applauded and will certainly increase the parks usage.			No change required.
vi	The suggestion of a suspension bridge although laudable would be a very costly project and perhaps inhibitive of waterway access...due to height restrictions posed by the bridge arch.		Any vessels navigating the Creek will already be subject to height restrictions imposed by the existing bridges - the motorway and Central Park Drive. The development in the Esplanade Reserve below the stadium includes a jetty for kayaks, canoes and small boats. The proposed suspension bridge is part of this development and is designed to facilitate rather than inhibit access to the Creek.	No change required.
vii	Waitakere Sports Stadium -Cranwell Esplanade Walkway to Japanese Garden is excellent. Bridge across wetlands is excellent. The bridge (indicated in Concept Plan 4) across the small inlet in Epping Esplanade is a priority.		The <b>Table Of Works</b> lists this section of walkway in Epping Reserve as high priority. Due to budget restrictions the work will be carried out in stages. Funding for the walkway section from Epping Road to the Japanese Gardens, including the boardwalk, as shown in the Concept Plan 4, is currently planned for 2003/4. The section from Epping Ave to Hoya Court, including links to Buscomb Ave and Jubaea Place, (shown in Concept Plan 3), will be allocated in the 2004/5 Annual Plan. This section includes the bridge	No change required.

No	Issue Raised	Submitter	Comments	Recommended Amendments
viii	'Riparian right has been breached by Henderson squash club... suggest that Council investigate how and why this occurred and if necessary repurchase that right'.		across the small inlet referred to in the submission. The land owned by the Squash Club includes the riparian margin so Council does not have riparian right on this site. As outlined in the response to section iv of this submission, Council intends to eventually hold all the riparian margin as public reserve but, short of using the Public Works Act, can only acquire the missing sections of esplanade reserve through the standard procedure of subdivision or sale. The intention to do so is outlined in <b>Policy 2.1</b> of the Plan.	No change required.
ix	Linking Cranwell Park to Henderson Park requires walkways under Sel Peacock and Great North Roads, the pathways are mostly there.		There is an existing pathway under Sel Peacock Drive and the draft Plan shows a 'possible future walkway connection' under/over Great North Road. To the south of Great North Road is a section of the riparian margin in private ownership. Edenz Language School currently occupy this property, and in early 2003 approached Council wishing to restore the margin. The Language School have taken a sustainable and community-spirited approach to their environment. As a result, a positive partnership has been established through Project Twin Streams and it appears that the owner is willing to allow some form of public access through the margin.	That the following bullet points be added to <b>Policy 3.1</b> : <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Support the partnership established between Parks, Project Twin Streams and Edenz Language School to protect and enhance the riparian margin of the Great North Road site.</li> </ul> That the following bullet point be added to <b>Policy 2.1</b> : <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Investigate the possibility of establishing an easement over the riparian margin of the site at 411 Great North Road.</li> </ul>
x	'Cranwell Park, Falls Park and Tui Glen are easily accessible and with upgrading of the aquatic centre I am sure that access will be improved. Bridge to Tui Glen needs refurbishing: dropping the storm/sewage pipe under the bridge could be looked at to increase river access to Falls Park.		<b>Policy 7.2.2</b> of the Plan allows for replacement and upgrade of existing structures including Tui Glen bridge. The proposal made by the submitter concerns Ecowater infrastructure and is outside the bounds of this Management Plan.	No change required.
Xi	<u>Tui Glen</u> 'An amazing park almost in the centre of town that cries out to be a botanical Garden/riverside park'		The submissions to Tui Glen were summarised in a report to the City Development Committee in 2002. The proposal for a botanical garden was not favoured by submitters and consequently did not go forward into the Concept Plan. The Tui Glen Concept Plan in <b>Part 3</b> , approved by the CDC, shows a riverside park.	No change required.
Xii	Pathway to Central Park Drive excellent, some trees need to be replaced, the underpass at Central Park Drive completes the pathway. – Planting of trees: Some planting seems inappropriate, very large trees being planted on unstable stream banks – many of them have died??		The provisions of the draft Plan provide for the replacement of the dead trees in The International Walkway Of Trees.  It is recommended that these provisions be strengthened to ensure that dead or dying trees are appropriately replaced.	That the following bullet point be added to <b>Policy 4.2.2</b> : <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Ensure that where appropriate, new or replacement plantings of specimen trees in reserves build on the existing framework of plantings.</li> </ul> That bullet point 4 of <b>Policy 7.1.1</b> be amended to read: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Ensure that an arborist inspect the International Walkway Of Trees, to oversee the removal and replacement of those specimens that have died or are not flourishing, with species that are more appropriate to their location.</li> </ul>
Xiii	<u>Future development of Twin Creeks</u> The silting of streams due to deforestation/residential development needs urgent attention. Flooding of Henderson park and Shona reserve area will be an ongoing problem unless the streams are controlled. .... The later placement of lock gates would facilitate flushing of the streams. Silt toxicity has been levied as a reason not to flush, but if the streams are toxic why is the public not warned of this??... Suggest that lock gates be located south of the motorway bridge: keeping control of the water flow would in consequence provide sufficient water for a riverside park. ...Control of water enhances the use of streams, beautifies and therefore attracts usage.		The issues of silting and flooding in the wider catchment are complex ones, beyond the bounds of this Plan. The streams are not toxic. The silt may contain toxic sediments which would release into the Creek if disturbed. The practise of using lock gates to control the stream is likely to disturb the sediments and flush them into the Waitemata Harbour. In addition, flood gates could disrupt of the spawning cycle of native fish and the alter the fresh/saltwater balance essential to wetland species. The Creek is a tidal estuary and its natural function is to flush through tidal processes. For these reasons, the ARC is extremely unlikely to	No change required

No	Issue Raised	Submitter	Comments	Recommended Amendments
			give resource consent for such a structure in an area identified as Coastal Protection Area by the Regional Coastal Plan.	
<b>14</b>	<b>Vercoe Family</b>			
i	The Vercoe family Council thank for the opportunity to submit. The Plan has a lot of thought put into it.  They would like to see; More rubbish bins allocated along the Sherwood Reserve for the disposal of dog poo. At present there is only one at the Flanshaw Rd end and that is it. We feel there should be at least another 3 more.	Debbie and Murray Vercoe	Council does not install specifically allocated dog doo bins in parks. Rather parks users are encouraged to use all-purpose rubbish bins.	That bullet point 5 in <b>Policy 2.4</b> be amended to read: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Provide for dog doo collection through the installation of rubbish bins as appropriate.</li> </ul> That the following bullet point be added to the <b>Table of Works</b> for Sherwood Park: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Installation of rubbish bins as appropriate.</li> </ul>
ii	A boat ramp for the launching of small water craft, ie runabouts, kayaks etc to launch from the entrance to the Sherwood Reserve from Sherwood Rd.		The draft Management Plan shows two jetties, one on each bank, to allow for the launching of small boats. The east bank jetty is located upstream on the site of the historic jetty at Tui Glen, close to a carpark for vehicle access (see Tui Glen Plan). The downstream jetty is located below the Sports Complex on the west bank, opposite the Sherwood Reserve (Concept Plan 6).  This jetty is to be built as part of the Waitakere Sports Complex, and expected to be completed in 2004. Concept Plan 6 also shows a proposed suspension bridge from Sherwood Reserve to the esplanade reserve below the Sports Complex. This bridge will allow pedestrian access to the jetty from the east bank, (including pedestrians with kayaks) and will also provide a platform for fishing.	That Concept Plan 6 in <b>Part 3</b> be amended to show the new location of the footbridge in proximity to the jetty below the Sports Complex.
iii	BBQ tables and/or seating along the Sherwood Reserve. ... mentioned in the Plan, obviously we fully support this idea.			No change required
vi	The draft plan has a proposal, see 6.2 for 2 jetties. The one that is mentioned below the Waitakere Sports Complex we feel would be better used on the other side of the creek as lots of children use the Sherwood Reserve for eeling and fishing.		This location of the jetty is dealt with in section ii of this submission. The jetty below the Sports Complex will eventually be accessible from Sherwood Reserve by the footbridge.	That the following bullet point be added to <b>Policy 6.2 - Part 2</b> : <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Ensure that bridges and jetties are designed in a way that allows safe access to the Creek for fishing and eeling as appropriate.</li> </ul>
<b>15</b>	<b>Smith Family</b>			
i	Plan to increase the use of the park is an excellent idea. We have seen an increase in people using the park for recreational use since Tui Glen Motor camp closed. A broad cross section of people use this area and it is good to see the return of many of the birds	Stella and Colin Smith		No change required.
ii	"My only concern... the possible addition of a tennis court or basketball court. It seemed to be situated at the end of the walkway. This could cause those using the walkway to feel blocked off.... Also being situated by the creek it could cause a hazard to younger children... due to balls going in the creek and players going into dangerous areas to retrieve them"		The proposed tennis court/basketball court is in fact situated <i>beside</i> the walkway, rather than the end of it. Moreover, it is located along a branch of the walkway, off the main cycle/walking path where it is not likely to impede access, ( <b>Concept Plan Part 3</b> ). The corner of the court closest to the Creek is shown on the Plan as 14 m from the water.	No change required.
iii	"The siting of a carpark area at that point would also increase traffic through the area set aside for improved accommodation."		There are three small carparks located in the reserve, all serving different areas of the reserve. Two of these car parks are most directly accessible by the road through the middle of the reserve, which bypasses the accommodation area. The third is accessible by a road running past the improved accommodation area. There is no road through this area. If traffic through the reserve is a problem in the future, road calming measures may be an appropriate solution.	No change required.
<b>16</b>	<b>R and E Brown</b>			
i	Generally WCC are doing a very good job in relation to all the reserves. In my view however, the full potential of these open spaces will not be	R and E Brown NB. This		No change required.

No	Issue Raised	Submitter	Comments	Recommended Amendments
	realised...	submission was in fact made to the draft Plan in October 2000, but was overlooked when submissions to the draft were collated.		
ii	There were at one stage, three fitness trails in Henderson. Only one is now left in Henderson Park and that is not in a good state of repair. Could this one be bought back to a useable state? I have used these trails for 20 years and whilst I understand that there are problems with graffiti and vandalism, ... the major damage has been caused by contractors to make mowing and tree maintenance easier. When in good repair these trails enjoyed considerable patronage.		The fitness trails referred to were removed due to their high maintenance costs and low usage. Over time their condition deteriorated and they lost popularity and only one letter of support for them was received upon their removal. Graffiti and vandalism contributed to their high maintenance costs. There is nothing in the Plan that would prevent their reinstatement should there be a demand for them in the future.  The future of the fitness trail in Henderson Park will be reviewed as part of the Management Plan process for this reserve.	No change required.
iii	Could consideration be given to placing lighting on the footpath and bridge between Millstream Drive and Cranwell Park for the safety of pedestrians and to reduce minor vandalism.		The footpath and bridge are likely to become a more important access in the future. This entry to Cranwell Park is an entry point to the shared cycle/walkway, to the Acquatic Centre, Tui Glen and Henderson township itself. Lighting could be useful if linked to lighting within Cranwell Park, such as that in the Acquatic Centre carpark.  While <b>Policy 2.2</b> already allows for lighting and seating at access points as appropriate, this could be strengthened in relation to the Millstream Drive entry.	That the following bullet point be added to <b>Policy 2.2 - Part 2</b> : <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Investigate options for lighting all or part of, the footpath and footbridge entry to Cranwell Park from Millstream Drive in order to connect with lit areas of Cranwell Park. Ensure that entry lighting, if implemented, is designed in such a way as to minimise the impact on neighbouring properties.</li> </ul> That the following be added to the <b>Table Of Works - Part 3</b> for Cranwell Park: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Investigate options for lighting the entry from Millstream Drive.</li> </ul>
iv	There is a memorial grove of trees in Central Park near Henderson Creek. Why are they there? Could a sign explaining the reason for their original planting be placed nearby?		Parks are not aware of the memorial grove to which the submission refers.	No change required.
v	The extension of the footpath under Great North Road between the Cranwell Esplanade Reserve, next to the Opanuku Stream, through to the Opanuku Reserve would make it possible to walk along the side of the Henderson Creek and Opanuku Stream from the North Western Motorway to Border Road.		The draft plan indicates a " <i>possible future walkway connection</i> " between the Cranwell Esplanade Reserve and Opanuku Stream Esplanade Reserve. There are two impediments to this. The last section of riparian margin between Cranwell Esplanade Reserve and Great North Road, is privately owned and not reserve. However, there is a possibility of establishing an easement across this property allowing for public access to Great North Road, as discussed in submission 13 ix of this report. The linking of the reserves would also require a walkway under (or over) Great North Road. It is proposed to strengthen this provision in the Plan.	That bullet point 3 of <b>Policy 8.1</b> be amended to read: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Investigate options for linking the corridor walk/cycleway through Cranwell Esplanade Reserve to Opanuku Esplanade Reserve, including the option of a path under Great North Road.</li> </ul>
<b>18</b>	<b>Transpower New Zealand Limited</b>			
i	This submission is made on behalf of Transpower NZ Ltd. Transpower has an existing high voltage transmission line, (specifically the Henderson – Hepburn Road A 110kV double circuit transmission line), traversing a section of the Henderson Creek Esplanade Reserve.  The submission states; "It is vital that the Henderson Creek Esplanade Reserve Management Plan recognises the specific role that Transpower's grid infrastructure plays in the City. To ensure safety for the community and continued operation of the facilities, transmission lines must be considered in the Management Plan".	Burton Consultants Ltd	Under the Electricity Act 1992, Transpower has the right to maintain, upgrade and access its assets.  Transpower has utilities in the vicinity of the Henderson Creek Esplanade Reserve, beside the north-western motorway. The utility is a high voltage, double circuit line on towers, which crosses part of the Creek and the Esplanade Reserve.  Transpower requests amendments to the Plan that	That the following Objective be added to the Table of Contents under the heading <b>PART 2 Objectives and Policies</b>  <b>10.0 Electricity Transmission Infrastructure</b>  That the following objective be added to the list of key objectives listed in <b>Part 1.2 The Purpose of the Reserves Management Plan</b> :

No	Issue Raised	Submitter	Comments	Recommended Amendments
			will ensure its ability to protect the integrity of this infrastructure and to guarantee public safety. It is therefore proposed to add a 10th <b>Objective</b> in <b>Part 2</b> to deal with these issues. The specific policies requested by Transpower in their submission will be listed under this new <b>Objective</b> .	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>To ensure that the existing electricity infrastructure in the reserves is recognised and protected and that the enhancement of reserve areas takes into account the unique requirements of this infrastructure base.</li> </ul>
ii			It is also proposed to add a new sub section to the Plan, under <b>Part 1 Section 5 - Management Plan Objectives</b> to outline the issues raised in the submission.	<p>That the following section be added to <b>Part 1 Section 5 Management Plan Objectives</b>:</p> <p><b>5.4 Existing Transmission Infrastructure</b>  The Henderson Creek Esplanade Reserve is traversed by the Henderson – Hepburn Road A 110kV double circuit transmission line on towers. This line is part of a significant transmission corridor. The Henderson Creek Corridor Reserve Management Plan acknowledges the existence of this transmission line and the limitations the line might impose on present and future land use activities on the reserve. In order to protect the integrity of this infrastructure and ensure public safety, it is necessary to ensure that safe clearance distances are maintained between the transmission lines and any buildings and/or activities in the reserves, (including the type and location of planting). The need for safe separation distances, in accordance with the NZECP 34, has been recognised in the Waitakere District Plan.  Transpower New Zealand Limited, is empowered to exercise its rights under the Electricity Act 1992 to maintain, upgrade and have access to its assets. In order to ensure the continued operation and maintenance of the Henderson – Hepburn Road A transmission line, and ensure public safety in the reserve, a range of policies based on the various issues associated with the electricity infrastructure have been incorporated into Part 2 Objective 10 of this Management Plan.</p>
iii	<p>It is essential that activities which are allowed to proceed under the Resource Management Act 1991 and the Reserve Management Act 1977 do not prevent Transpower from exercising its rights under the Electricity Act 1992 to maintain, upgrade and access its assets.</p> <p>In particular, Transpower wishes to ensure that the various activities provided for under any new reserve management plan for the Henderson Creek Esplanade Reserve will not result in adverse effects on Transpower assets. Such effects include the creation of flashovers, contact with the lines and constraints on Transpower's ongoing ability to operate, maintain or upgrade its existing transmission lines.</p>		<p>The points raised in the Transpower submission on the whole do not contravene the existing provisions of the draft Management Plan. The main issues affecting the management of the reserve are public access and restrictions on the type and location of species around the infrastructure.  The infrastructure is already in place near the existing walkway in the Esplanade Reserve.  Further development of this reserve will require some liaison over details. Therefore it is proposed that, with minor revisions, the recommendations of this submission in their entirety be adopted as additional policy to the Plan under <b>Part 2</b>.</p>	<p>That the following Objective be added to <b>Part 2 Policies and Objectives</b> section of the Plan:</p> <p><b>10. Electricity Transmission Infrastructure</b></p> <p><b>Objective</b></p> <p>To ensure that the existing electricity infrastructure in the reserves is recognised and protected and that the enhancement and management of the reserves takes into account the unique requirements of this infrastructure base.</p> <p><b>Policy 10.1</b>  <i>To Liase with infrastructure providers.</i></p> <p>Implementation</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Liase with infrastructure providers to ensure that appropriate development and management of the reserve is undertaken.</li> </ul>
iv	<p>In order to protect the integrity of Transpower's infrastructure and ensure public safety in the unlikely event of an emergency or a fault, adequate separation distances between the transmission lines and any buildings and/or activities (including the type and location of planting) established or located on the reserve. The New Zealand Code of Practice for Electrical Safe Distances, NZECP 34:2001 sets out the required separation distances that are necessary to reduce the risk of flashovers or contact with live conductors (wires).</p>			<p><b>Policy 10.2</b>  <i>To ensure that existing transmission lines have adequate separation distances between the ground, vegetation, buildings and structures in the reserves.</i></p> <p>Implementation</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Ensure that buildings and structures have adequate separation distances from infrastructure. Follow the</li> </ul>

No	Issue Raised	Submitter	Comments	Recommended Amendments
	<p>A <i>flashover</i> is the term used to describe a momentary, but major electric arc usually across an insulator string.</p> <p>While it does not appear from an analysis of the Concept Plan for the Reserve that there are any buildings or structures proposed within the vicinity of the transmission line, Transpower notes that the NZECP 34 separation distances must be adhered to should the development of buildings or structures (including signage) take place in the future.</p>			<p>guidelines set down in the New Zealand Code of Practice for Electrical Safe Distances, NZECP 34:2001, in order to reduce the risk of flashovers or contact with live conductors by mobile plant and buildings or structures.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protect the integrity of the infrastructure and ensure public safety, by ensuring that adequate separation distances (as specified in the NZECP 34:2001) between the ground and transmission line conductors are maintained.</li> <li>• Protect the integrity of the infrastructure and / or public safety, by ensuring that the type and location of any trees planted on the reserve are selected so that no part of the trees will grow to a point where they will encroach on the necessary 4m clearance distance from Transpower's conductors.</li> </ul>
v	<p>Transpower considers that the Draft Management Plan should provide for unrestricted access for the continued inspection, operation, maintenance and upgrading of the existing electricity infrastructure that traverses the reserve.</p> <p>It is essential that activities which are allowed to proceed under any new Management Plan for the Henderson Creek Esplanade Reserve do not prevent Transpower from exercising its physical access rights under the Electricity Act 1992.</p>			<p><b>Policy 10.3</b> <i>To maintain access to existing electricity infrastructure, maintenance and upgrading.</i></p> <p>Implementation</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provide for the continued and unrestricted access, inspection, operation, maintenance (including vegetation trimming subject to approval from Council's arborist), and upgrading of the existing electricity infrastructure that traverses the area covered by the Reserve Management Plan.</li> <li>• Ensure ongoing liaison between Transpower and Council regarding vegetation clearance or trimming in the reserve. In particular, require notification to the Parks dept prior to vegetation clearance, in order to minimise the impact on the existing vegetation or proposed revegetation in the Esplanade Reserve.</li> <li>• Require that Transpower consult with Council's Parks arborist prior to any work, including pruning, being carried out on any trees of significance identified in the Tree Survey, <b>Appendix 7</b>.</li> <li>• Ensure that all tree works be carried out in accordance with modern arboricultural practices and in particular, that all pruning practices shall be in accordance with the principles of natural target pruning techniques.</li> </ul>
vi	<p>Activities involving excavation and fill undertaken on the reserve in the vicinity of existing towers have the potential to adversely affect the stability of these towers. To ensure that the stability of the towers is maintained through any project involving excavation or fill (for example for the establishment of a public walkway) compliance with safe separation distances under the NZECP 34 is necessary.</p>			<p><b>Policy 10.4</b> <i>To prevent damage to the existing electricity infrastructure caused by excavation.</i></p> <p>Implementation</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Ensure that any excavation near towers complies with the safe separation distances specified in the NZECP 34:2001 so that the stability of the towers is not threatened.</li> </ul>
vii			<p>There is no intention in this Plan to locate any buildings in the Esplanade Reserve. Structures proposed in the Plan include informal walking tracks, boardwalks bridges, seats, signs and fences.</p>	<p><b>Policy 10.5</b> <i>To minimise public access to and around the electricity infrastructure.</i></p> <p>Implementation</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Ensure that, wherever possible, walking tracks and</li> </ul>

No	Issue Raised	Submitter	Comments	Recommended Amendments
				<p>other structures are located and designed to discourage public access to and around the electricity infrastructure.</p> <p>That the following bullet point be added to <b>Policy 2.1</b>:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Liase with Transpower to ensure that any walking track in Henderson Creek Esplanade Reserve be located and designed in such a way that discourages public access to and around the electricity infrastructure.</li> </ul>
<b>19</b>	<b>South Pacific Pictures</b>			
i	<p>This submission opposes the creation of the public walkway in the Henderson Creek Esplanade Reserve as proposed in the draft Plan. Accompanying the submission is a report by Michael Mills, Consultant Planner entitled "<i>Report On Crime, Safety And Environmental Issues Relating To The Draft Henderson Creek Reserves Management Plan With Particular Reference To The Henderson Creek Esplanade Reserve</i>". This report raises concerns regarding crime and safety in public space and their remediation through design. The content of this report is intended to add weight to the submission from South Pacific Pictures.</p> <p>The report itself is included as an appendix.</p> <p>This submission from South Pacific Pictures is extensive but makes only one point. That is the submitter objects to the implementation of the section of walkway through Henderson Creek Esplanade Reserve, which is adjacent to their premises. The bulk of the submission is an outline of the reasons for the objection.</p> <p>Rather than discussing each point made in the submission, it is proposed to put the submission in context, consider some of the issues raised and to offer a solution that will satisfy all parties.</p>	<p>Andrew Shaw Chief Operating Director</p>	<p>The submission from South Pacific Pictures</p> <p><u>Context</u> The area concerned is a public reserve. In the preparation of this Reserve Management Plan, Council is required to have regard for the provisions of the RMA 1991, the Reserves Act 1977, and to Council's own strategic platforms, as well as to consider public submissions, which in this case, overwhelmingly favoured the extension and upgrading of the reserves walkways.</p> <p>At the same time, Council accepts the concerns of South Pacific Pictures and their reasons for objecting to the public walkway. Council also acknowledges the high profile nature of their enterprise and its significant contribution to the city's economy.</p> <p>Therefore, in considering the response to this submission, Council has attempted to balance the safety and security needs of the submitter with the requirement to manage the reserves according to their classification and to provide public access to natural areas, and in particular, coastal margins.</p>	<p>No change required</p>
ii	<p>South Pacific Pictures (SPP) is opposed to the creation of an open access public walkway in the Henderson Creek Esplanade Reserve and recommends the following:</p> <p><u>Safety and security</u> ... the Plan needs to acknowledge the safety and security issues (as outlined in the attached report), and that the particular needs of South Pacific Pictures are taken into account - ie the personal safety of staff which includes high profile celebrities, and the security of our facilities and immediate environs." "Propose that this can be best achieved through the provision of restricted/ managed access to the reserve for individuals and groups with an environmental/educational interest only".</p> <p><u>Area for Ecological Restoration</u> "The Esplanade Reserve is largely undeveloped and is in need of ecological restoration. We have already invested significant money and resources in landscaping and developing our frontage, and we are committed to engaging in the ongoing planning, development and management of the reserve. We support the recommendations contained in the attached report to designate the area for ecological restoration".</p>		<p>The submission opposes the proposal to develop a public walkway in Henderson Creek Esplanade Reserve. The SPP site at No 8 Tolich Place shares a common boundary with the Esplanade Reserve, which is not fenced. SPP have landscaped the area of esplanade reserve behind their property, which is used for filming. The side boundary fence extends well into the esplanade reserve and as the reserve is linear and bounded by the Creek along one side, effectively prevents public passage through the reserve. SPP do not want to fence the reserve as this would restrict their ability to use it for filming.</p> <p>Subsequent to this submission being received, a meeting was arranged between Council and SPP where their concerns were addressed. As a result of this meeting, a proposal has been drawn up to the settle the issue to the satisfaction of all parties. This settlement having been agreed to by SPP, it is proposed that the Plan be amended accordingly.</p> <p>It is also intended that the new proposal will take advantage of the changing circumstances in Henderson Creek Esplanade Reserve.</p> <p>When the draft Plan was released, most of Henderson</p>	<p><b>Part 1</b> That the following paragraph be added to Section <b>6.3 Recreation And Use</b>:</p> <p>The northern section of Henderson Creek Esplanade Reserve was acquired through subdivision in 1985 and was never developed. The area was weed infested and impenetrable in places. Access to the reserve was restricted to the commercial properties that lay along its western boundary. However, most of this area was totally cleared of vegetation during the PAM host removal programme carried out in 2002/3 and as a result, requires an intense revegetation programme. It is proposed that this programme be implemented through Council's Twin Streams IA funding application and that public access to this area not be developed for at least five years while this work is carried out. In the meantime this area will remain cut off from the rest of the Creek Corridor reserves by the missing section of esplanade reserve. It is expected that by 2008, circumstances will have changed considerably, due to the completion of new infrastructure in the Creek Corridor, including other sections of the walkway, the sports complex, the jetty and footbridge below the stadium and the cycle link to Sherwood Park.</p> <p>In addition, by then, Council may have had the opportunity to acquire the 'missing' section of esplanade reserve to</p>

No	Issue Raised	Submitter	Comments	Recommended Amendments
			<p>Creek Esplanade Reserve, apart from the tributaries, was covered in wattle. The draft Plan prioritised the area for restoration and recommended the development of a nature trail for this section of the walkway, based on the fact that the wattle was the ideal nurse crop for a programme of native revegetation.</p> <p>However, in October 2002, MAF began an extensive programme of PAM host removal in Henderson Creek Esplanade Reserve. The total clearance of the wattles transformed the landscape of the reserve and resulted in the ideal conditions for weed spread and soil erosion. This has necessitated a more urgent approach to the revegetation of this area. Council are currently applying for IA funding to revegetate this (and other areas of the Creek) in the 2003/4 financial year.</p> <p>It is therefore proposed that the development of the section of walkway in Henderson Creek Esplanade Reserve be delayed for a period of five years, time to carry out the required revegetation and for the plants to establish. At the end of this five-year period, in 2008, the public desire for a walkway will be reassessed. By 2008, circumstances may have changed considerably, due to the completion of new infrastructure including other sections of the walkway, the sports complex, the jetty and footbridge below the stadium and the cycle link to Sherwood Park. In addition, by then, Council may have had the opportunity to acquire the 'missing' section of esplanade reserve to the north of the stadium. These factors are likely to have significant impact on the Creek Corridor reserves in particular, increased usage and changed community expectations. It is proposed that Parks re-evaluate the public desire for a walkway through this section of Henderson Creek Esplanade Reserve in 2008, and subject to community expectation, that it be implemented. In the meantime, it is not proposed to fence the reserve off, but simply to discourage public access to the area by the use of signs and no path.</p> <p>The <i>Report on Crime and Safety</i> attached to this submission, highlights the issue of poor visibility in the esplanade reserve and argues that this is likely to increase opportunities for crime. Since the removal of the wattles, visibility into the Esplanade Reserve is good with excellent views afforded from the opposite bank. It is therefore proposed that when the reserve is revegetated, some areas of low vegetation are established in order to retain these views. On the other hand, SPP have requested the establishment of some larger trees to block the noise between themselves and the motorway. This request can also be implemented through the planting design.</p> <p>While the goal of ecological restoration remains a priority, due to MAF's vegetation clearance, its implementation requires a radically different approach</p>	<p>the north of the stadium. These factors are likely to significantly impact on the way the Creek Corridor reserves and the walkway are used. It is proposed that Council re-evaluate the public desire for a walkway through this section of Henderson Creek Esplanade Reserve in 2008, and subject to community expectation, that it be implemented.</p> <p><b>Part 2 Policies and Objectives</b></p> <p>That bullet point 4 of <b>Policy 2.1</b> be amended to read:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Develop an informal combined walkway and wilderness trail along the length of the west bank from Cranwell Park to the motorway. That the northern section between the stadium and the motorway be implemented after 2008, subject to a review of the necessity and/or public desire for a walkway.</li> </ul> <p>That the following bullet point be removed from <b>Policy 3.1</b>:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Ensure that walkways are kept to the outside boundary of the priority areas for restoration.</li> </ul> <p>That the following bullet point be added <b>Policy 3.4</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Ensure access to the restoration area of Henderson Creek Esplanade Reserve for community groups, contractors and other parties for the purposes of reserve management, including planting, weed control, stormwater management and education.</li> </ul> <p>That the following bullet point be added to <b>Policy 4.2.1</b>:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Ensure that the site of Duncan's brickworks in the Henderson Creek Esplanade Reserve (northern section) is clearly marked and protected from damage by contractors during the revegetation work to be carried out in the reserve.</li> </ul> <p>That the following bullet point be added to <b>Policy 6.1</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Install signs at appropriate locations on the northern section of Henderson Creek Esplanade Reserve to highlight the revegetation programme being carried out in the reserve.</li> </ul> <p>That the following bullet point be added to <b>Policy 6.2</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Ensure that the revegetation programme allows some areas of low riparian planting to maintain views of the water and visibility from the opposite bank of the Creek.</li> </ul> <p><b>Part 3</b></p> <p>That <b>Concept Plan 7</b> be amended to show the section of the Henderson Creek Walkway between the Sports Complex and the motorway, as a dotted line marked "possible future implementation of this section of the walkway after 2008, subject to community desire".</p> <p>That the following bullet points be removed from the <b>Table Of Works</b> for Henderson Creek Esplanade Reserve (northern section)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Staged removal of weed canopy</li> </ul>

No	Issue Raised	Submitter	Comments	Recommended Amendments
			<p>implementation requires a radically different approach from that proposed in the draft. This new approach proposes to address the concerns of the submitter, retain the ecological restoration goals and satisfy the requirements of the Green Network, whilst acknowledging community expectations for access.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Informal pathway along outside boundary</li> <li>• enhancement planting</li> <li>• seating</li> <li>• habitat assessment enhancement</li> </ul> <p>That the following bullet points be added the <b>Table Of Works</b> for Henderson Creek Esplanade Reserve (northern section):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Complete native revegetation incorporating some large trees to block motorway noise and low planting to allow views from the east bank.</li> <li>• Replacement of dead revegetation</li> <li>• Habitat restoration</li> </ul> <p>That the words “in conjunction with walkway” are removed from bullet point 4 and that the bullet point be amended to read:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Develop signage associated with revegetation, habitat restoration and the Green Network, around priority restoration area.</li> </ul>
iv	<p><u>Encroachment</u> Object to the particular references to South Pacific Pictures in the draft Plan. We submit that the wording and provisions in the Plan should reflect the circumstances in which we came to this locality. We submit that our development and use of the Reserve is a 'feature' and not an 'encroachment'. South Pacific Pictures relocated to Tolich Place and on the understanding that we could use the area for filming It should be rewritten to acknowledge our existing use of this area.</p>		<p>At present, the wire boundary fence between No 6 and No 8 Tolich Place extends some metres out into the Esplanade Reserve and until the PAM clearance blocked access along the esplanade completely.</p> <p>Council staff are aware that SPP have developed an area within the reserve for filming and have no objection to this, providing ecological values are not compromised. However, the arrangement has never been formalised, nor was it intended to provide for exclusive use.</p> <p>It is proposed to delete this reference to encroachment. However it is important to remember that Council is not proposing to offer the reserve for exclusive use.</p>	<p>That the following bullet point be removed from <b>Policy 2.9</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Work with South Pacific Pictures in order to reach a mutually beneficial agreement over boundaries, to remove impediments and ensure public ROW through the Henderson Creek Esplanade Reserve.</li> </ul>
vi	<p><u>Accord with Council</u> We submit that Council should take into account the circumstances of our relocation to Tolich Place and the access, use and conditions enjoyed prior to the publication of the draft management plan. And that a formal agreement be drafted setting out provisions and conditions for our continued access, use, maintenance and restoration of the reserve.</p>		<p>Council recognises the access, use and conditions enjoyed by South Pacific Pictures prior to the publication of the draft Management Plan.</p> <p>There has never been a formal agreement between SPP and Council regarding the access and use of the reserve. Council supports the 'access and use' of reserves and their facilities by a wide range of users. The issue of exclusive use, should it arise, is not in line with the requirement of a Management Plan “to provide for the maximum use and enjoyment of the public for the purpose etc”.</p> <p>Therefore it would be beneficial to draw up a formal agreement outside this plan that clarifies the boundaries and issues for both parties and formalises the arrangement to the satisfaction of them both.</p>	<p>That the following bullet point be added to <b>Policy 6.3</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• That a formal agreement be drafted between Council and South Pacific Pictures. That this agreement sets out the provisions and conditions for the continued access, use, maintenance and restoration of designated parts of the Henderson Creek Esplanade Reserve by South Pacific Pictures. That the provisions and conditions of this agreement are in accord with the Council's statutory and strategic requirements and the overarching goals of this Management Plan.</li> </ul>
vii	<p><u>Community Involvement</u> We are concerned that submissions process failed to engage the Central Park Drive Industrial Estate. We believe that the issues and concerns expressed in our submissions...would be shared by other industrial properties adjoining the reserve"</p>		<p>The process for preparing a Reserves Management Plan, is regulated by the Reserves Act 1977 and has been implemented as follows for Henderson Creek:</p> <p>The intention to prepare a Management Plan was formally advertised in October 2000. This process involved Public Notices, signs placed in the reserves</p>	<p>No change required.</p>

No	Issue Raised	Submitter	Comments	Recommended Amendments
			<p>and 3000 flyers delivered to properties along Henderson Creek, including all the commercial properties between Central Park Drive and Henderson Creek. There were two public meetings, as well as the Henderson Creek Celebration Day in March 2001.</p> <p>During 2001, Landscape Development officers attended three meetings at South Pacific Pictures to discuss the landscaping and use of the Esplanade Reserve. The proposal for a walkway and the requirement to make a submission to the up and coming Management Plan were discussed. Unfortunately, the SPP representative at those meetings is no longer there and it appears that this information has been lost. In the event, the concerns of the Industrial Estate were not addressed in the draft plan because they did not make a submission.</p> <p>In December 2002, at the request of SPP, letters were sent out to all owners and occupants of commercial properties adjacent to the Esplanade Reserve. The letter outlined the main proposals of the draft Plan and gave the extended date for submissions. As a result, four additional submissions were received. Three of these related to directly to South Pacific Pictures (19, 20 22), and one was from the neighbour (21). In the absence of submissions from other industrial properties adjoining the reserve, Council is unable to address their concerns.</p> <p>Council's takes note of the concerns regarding the failure of the consultation process and is looking at ways in which this could be improved. The consultation process is continually under review and, despite its limitations, we believe the process is robust. There are two stages for consultation and at this second stage, all submissions to the draft Plan, have been taken into consideration and are the basis of the proposed amendments in this report.</p>	
<b>20</b>	<b>Enterprise Waitakere</b>			
i	<p>Enterprise Waitakere submits that the proposed walkway along the Henderson Creek Esplanade Reserve between the proposed Sherwood Bridge to the North Western Motorway;</p> <p>(a) Produces significant impact on the business of SPP, New Zealand's largest domestic screen production house and a local industry icon.</p> <p>(b) Creates significant crime, safety and environmental issues (refer to Michael Mills report).</p> <p>(c) Places Waitakere City's and Councils reputation as being film friendly at risk.</p> <p>In summary, this submission focuses on the fact that Waitakere City Council promotes itself as a film friendly Council and suggests that the walkway proposed for the northern section of Henderson Creek Esplanade Reserve would have sufficient negative impact to place Councils reputation with the film industry at risk.</p>	Clyde Rodgers	<p>This submission is intended to support the submission of South Pacific Pictures (19).</p> <p>Council has promoted a 'film friendly' reputation. It has also promoted a reputation as an Eco-city, and for having regard for the aspirations of the community, including the business community. Council acknowledges the issues raised in this submission. However, the reserve is <i>public land</i> and must be managed in such a way that balances Council's 'film friendly' reputation with the requirement to protect and enhance the environment, provide public access to coasts and waterways, and have regard to the needs of the community, both now and in the future.</p> <p>These issues have been addressed in the response to submission 19, above.</p>	No change is required
ii	Enterprise Waitakere supports the need to balance social, environmental and economic outcomes for the City. ...this can be achieved by ending the		It is believed that the design solution proposed in the response to submission 19 addresses the need to	No change is required

No	Issue Raised	Submitter	Comments	Recommended Amendments
	proposed walkway at the proposed Sherwood bridge and establishing managed access and enhancement to that part of the Henderson Creek immediately behind the industrial lots between the proposed bridge and the north western motorway.		balance social, environmental and economic outcomes for the City as suggested by this submitter.	
<b>21</b>	<b>Structurflex</b>			
i	<p>Object to the creation of a public pedestrian walkway along the Henderson Creek shoreline.</p> <p>“We have grave concerns that such a walkway will give direct and unlimited/undetected access to persons wishing to burglarise or vandalise our property and other adjoining properties”. The submitter notes the time delays for the replacement of machinery and the subsequent damage that any loss or damage to plant can do to a thriving domestic and export business.</p> <p>The submitter states that as they have a well-kept grassed/secluded area (maintained by Structurflex) between their building and the Creek, this would become a gathering point for local undesirable persons to congregate.</p>		<p>The premises at 6 Tolich Place have no fence along the back boundary facing onto the Creek. Therefore, anyone can gain access to the property from the Esplanade reserve. It is not clear why the submitter, described as “a thriving domestic and export business” is not in a position to fence the back boundary and exclude the public from entering their premises, especially as the other three site boundaries are fenced. If, as the submitter suggests, the site is unsafe for public access, it is the owner’s responsibility to exclude the public from entering the premises, including fencing if required.</p> <p>The “well-kept area” referred to in the submission is in fact the Esplanade Reserve. Council would welcome its continued use by Structurflex staff, but is unable to set aside this area for their exclusive use.</p> <p>It is likely that the intensive revegetation planned for this area will make the area less attractive as a gathering point.</p>	No change is required
ii	Staff parking concerns that staff could be subject to personal/ambush style attacks when working nightshift or overtime as staff carparking is at the rear.		As above, Council is not responsible for the safety of staff in a car park on private property. To accept responsibility for this could set an expensive precedent.	No change required.
iii	The implementation of the walkway/corridor past Structureflex would allow for direct public access through into the South Pacific Pictures neighbouring property. Daytime activities around our site makes it unsafe for any member of the public... Some production processes are highly confidential. We are not in a position to police public safety and also to exclude the public from entering our premises.		There are two separate issues here, one - responsibility for public on public land, the other - on private land. Without a clearly marked boundary it appears that the issues are becoming confused. The submitter is not required to police public safety, the health and safety of the public is the responsibility of the Council on public reserve and the landowner on private property. Again, it is not clear why the submitter is “not in a position ... to exclude the public from entering our premises” especially as the site is considered unsafe for any member of the public.	No change required.
iv	While Structureflex is a firm supporter in the development of this (Waitakere sports) complex, the combination of increased pedestrian and vehicular traffic ... will no doubt have considerable impact on the security of our facility. The walkway along the foreshore will link the complex to our carpark and this will again compromise security in hand with litter deposits. Both of which Structureflex will be forced to deal with of it's own accord.		<p>There is expected to be an increase in traffic in the area with the opening of the new stadium. However there is evidence that increased traffic improves security by increasing surveillance. Also, it is anticipated that the upgraded cycleway and walkways linking with the stadium will help to reduce the volume of vehicular traffic and the requirement for additional carparking.</p> <p>Litter deposits in the Esplanade Reserve will be the responsibility of Council.</p>	No change required.
v	Promote the walkway on the other side of Henderson Creek and keep the public from the industry that backs onto this area.		The combined cycle/walkway on the other side of the Creek is being developed as a priority, with the extension of the walkway being delayed in Henderson Creek Esplanade Reserve (as above). However, with the development of the stadium and footbridge, pedestrian traffic is likely to increase in this area and it is not Councils intention to keep the public out of a public reserve should access be required in the future.	No change required.

No	Issue Raised	Submitter	Comments	Recommended Amendments
<b>22</b>	<b>Television Properties Fund Limited</b>			
i	The submission is from the owner of the property at 8 Tolich Place, which is leased to South Pacific Pictures. The submission opposes the walkway in the northern section of Henderson Creek Esplanade Reserve. "Both SPP and ourselves are concerned about the proposal as we believe that the proposed walkway will have an adverse effect on the security of our property...the needs of the general public for access along Henderson Creek can adequately be provided for by a walkway on the eastern side of the creek and bridge access from Sherwood Reserve to the new Waitakere Stadium."	Bob Bird Property Manager	Refer to previous submissions which cover these points.	No change required.
ii	We note <b>Policy 2.5 Part 2</b> bullet point <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Liase with neighbouring properties to encourage the construction of low or where possible, no fences adjoining the reserves.</li> </ul> At present we have a 2m high fence around three sides...if the proposed walkway were to proceed it would be necessary for the boundary to be made secure with an extension of the existing fence.		Council's intention in this policy is seen in the words 'to encourage...where possible', and is intended as a guideline rather than a regulation.  In terms of the proposal to restore the Henderson Creek Esplanade Reserve as a priority restoration area, the preferable option would be for a 2-3m high wire fencing along all boundaries which would exclude predators such as cats and dogs without creating a visual barrier or graffitiable surface. Such a fence would match that referred to on the other three sides of this property.	That the following bullet point of <b>Policy 2.5</b> be amended to read <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Liase with neighbouring properties to encourage the construction of low, permeable, or where possible, no fences adjoining the reserves.</li> </ul>
iii	Council has designated the Central Park Drive area to be an area for commercial development...not occupied at night and weekends is vulnerable to graffiti, vandalism and theft and we believe that the provision of uncontrolled walkways on the western side of Henderson Creek are not conducive to this type of zoning. We trust that Council will consult with and take the views of the commercial property owners... into consideration regarding the proposed walkway. We note that as the owner of one of the properties substantially affected by this proposal we have never been formally notified of the proposal.		As regards the consultation process see response to submission 19(vii) above.	No change required.