

**AGENDA FOR A MEETING OF THE COUNCIL TO BE HELD IN THE CIVIC CENTRE,
6 WAIPAREIRA AVENUE, LINCOLN, WAITAKERE CITY,
ON WEDNESDAY, 23 FEBRUARY 2005
COMMENCING AT 5.30 PM**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>ITEM</u>	<u>PAGE NO.</u>
PART A - OPENING OF MEETING	1
1 OPENING PRAYER	1
2 APOLOGIES	1
3 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES	1
4 URGENT BUSINESS	1
PART B - PETITION	2
PART C - REPORT OF THE MAYOR	2
PART D - TE TAUMATA RUNANGA AND COMMUNITY BOARDS	3
5 TE TAUMATA RUNANGA	3
1. MAORI ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT SUMMIT CONFERENCE 2005	3
2. OTHER MATTERS CONSIDERED	3
6 WAITAKERE COMMUNITY BOARD	3
7 MASSEY COMMUNITY BOARD	4
8 HENDERSON COMMUNITY BOARD	4
9 NEW LYNN COMMUNITY BOARD	5
PART E - REPORTS OF THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE	5
10 NATIONAL SEMINAR - "HOW TO GET VALUE FROM YOUR LIBRARY"	5
11 LOCAL GOVERNMENT NEW ZEALAND SPECIAL GENERAL MEETING AND SUB-DELEGATION OF AUTHORITY TO ACT	6
12 RE-ESTABLISHMENT OF THE AUCKLAND REGIONAL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT STRATEGY ESTABLISHMENT GROUP	8
13 ROAD NAME CHANGES AND REDESIGNATION FOR MULVANEY AVENUE AND REDESIGNATION OF PEACHSTONE LANE	13
14 REPLACEMENT OF ELECTED MEMBER TO TE TAUMATA RUNANGA	17
15 APPOINTMENT TO LOPDELL HOUSE SOCIETY INCORPORATED MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE	18

PART F - CONFIDENTIAL ITEMS	19
16 LAINGHOLM CATCHMENT - FLOODING ISSUES UPDATE	19
17 1 RATANUI STREET, HENDERSON - LOT 22 DP 8400	19
18 OLD NEW LYNN HOTEL	19
19 PREMIUM PROPERTY HOLDINGS LIMITED AGREEMENT	19
20 DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT WITH HOUSING NEW ZEALAND CORPORATION FOR HOBSONVILLE AIRBASE	19
PROCEDURAL MOTION TO EXCLUDE THE PUBLIC	19
PART G - STANDING COMMITTEE REPORTS	21
21 CITY DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE	21
1. COUNCIL REPRESENTATION AT THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT TRANSPORT FORUM 14 FEBRUARY 2004, WELLINGTON, NEW ZEALAND	21
2. COUNCIL REPRESENTATION AT THE AUSTRALIAN CONGRESS FOR NEW URBANISM 11 - 13 MARCH 2005, SYDNEY, AUSTRALIA	21
3. WAITAKERE SPORTS COMPLEX JETTY	21
4. OTHER MATTERS CONSIDERED	21
22 FINANCE AND OPERATIONAL PERFORMANCE COMMITTEE	22
1. AMENDMENTS TO RATING SYSTEM	22
2. OTHER MATTERS CONSIDERED	22
23 PLANNING AND REGULATORY COMMITTEE	22
1. COMMUNITY BOARD APPOINTMENTS TO THE HEARINGS COMMITTEE	22
2. OTHER MATTERS CONSIDERED	22
PART H - PRESENTATION	23
There is no presentation.	23
PART I - PROCEDURAL MATTERS	23
24 QUESTIONS	23
25 NOTICES OF MOTION	23
26 CLOSING PRAYER	23

**AGENDA FOR A MEETING OF THE COUNCIL TO BE HELD IN THE CIVIC CENTRE,
6 WAIPAREIRA AVENUE, LINCOLN, WAITAKERE CITY,
ON WEDNESDAY, 23 FEBRUARY 2005
COMMENCING AT 5.30 PM**

PART A - OPENING OF MEETING

A minute's silence will be observed for victims and survivors of the tsunami, as requested by the Mayor.

1 OPENING PRAYER

Abdul Rafik, Member of the Waitakere Muslim Community and President of the Waitakere Ethnic Board, will say the Opening Prayer.



2 APOLOGIES



3 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

Meeting Minutes - 9 December 2004
- 15 December 2004

RECOMMENDATION

That the minutes of the Meetings of the Council held on Wednesday, 9 December 2004 and Wednesday, 15 December 2004, including the public excluded minutes, as circulated, be taken as read and now be confirmed.

*Pages
Part F*

The public excluded minutes are attached at pages 15 to 19 of the confidential supplement labelled Part F.



4 URGENT BUSINESS

Section 46A(7) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 provides that where an item of business is not on the agenda, it may only be dealt with at the meeting if:

- (i) the Committee by resolution so decides; and
- (ii) the Chairperson has explained at the beginning of the meeting (when open to the public) that the item will be raised for discussion and decision, why the item is not on the agenda, and why it cannot be delayed until a subsequent meeting.

The Committee may make a decision on a matter determined to be urgent.

NOTE: Urgent Business need not be dealt with now and may be delayed until later in the meeting.



PART B - PETITION

The Mayor has approved the receipt of a petition from Councillor Gilmour containing 823 signatures from the customers of the Falls Restaurant and Café requesting Council to allow free parking for customers and staff. The Prayer of the petition reads as follows:

"The petition is from the customers of the Falls Restaurant and Café. The petition requests Council to allow the Falls Restaurant and Café free parking for their customers and staff. The petition is attached with 823 signatures."

For guidance of Councillors, Standing Orders has the following provision in regard to petitions:

1. The petition shall comprise less than 500 words and shall not be disrespectful, nor use offensive language or make statements made with malice.
2. A limit of five minutes shall be permitted for the person to present the petition.
3. Any member of the Local Authority who presents a petition on behalf of the petitioners is to confine himself/herself to reading the petition and the statement of the parties from which it comes, and the number of signatures attached to it.



PART C - REPORT OF THE MAYOR

The report of the Mayor will be circulated under separate cover with this agenda.



PART D - TE TAUMATA RUNANGA AND COMMUNITY BOARDS

5 TE TAUMATA RUNANGA

I NOHO TE TAUMATA RUNANGA KOMITI MANE, TE KAU MA WHA O HUI - TANGURU

YOUR COMMITTEE SUBMITS THE FOLLOWING REPORT OF ITS MEETING HELD ON MONDAY, 14 FEBRUARY 2005

1. MAORI ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT SUMMIT CONFERENCE 2005

The Committee Recommends:

That Council approve the attendance of Te Warena Taua -Te Taumata Runanga Chairperson, M Te Huia, and a representative from Te Roopu Puawai o Waitakere of Te Taumata Runanga at the national Maori Economic Development Summit Conference, "Hui Taumata", to be held on 1 - 3 March 2005.

2. OTHER MATTERS CONSIDERED

Your Committee dealt with a number of items for which it has delegated powers to act and a copy of the minutes of the meeting is attached at pages 1 to 5 in the supplement labelled Part D.

NGA TAKE E WHIRIWHIRIA

E whakatau ana Te Taumata Runanga i nga take i whakamanangia i te ture he whakaahua o nga tuhi kua tona ki nga mema o te Kaunihera.

Your Committee Recommends:

That the report of the Meeting of Te Taumata Runanga held on Monday, 14 February 2005 be received.

Te Warena Taua, MNZM

CHAIRPERSON



6 WAITAKERE COMMUNITY BOARD

THE BOARD SUBMITS THE FOLLOWING REPORT OF ITS MEETING HELD ON TUESDAY, 1 FEBRUARY 2005

MATTERS CONSIDERED

The Board dealt with a number of items for which it has delegated powers to act and a copy of the minutes of the meeting is attached at pages 6 to 9 in the supplement labelled Part D.

1-5
Part D

6-9
Part D

The Board Recommends:

That the report of the Meeting of the Waitakere Community Board held on Tuesday, 1 February 2005 be received.

CA Shepherd, JP
CHAIRPERSON



7 MASSEY COMMUNITY BOARD

THE BOARD SUBMITS THE FOLLOWING REPORT OF ITS MEETING HELD ON WEDNESDAY, 2 FEBRUARY 2005

MATTERS CONSIDERED

*10-15
Part D*

The Board dealt with a number of items for which it has delegated powers to act and a copy of the minutes of the meeting is attached at pages 10 to 15 in the supplement labelled Part D.

The Board Recommends:

That the report of the Meeting of the Massey Community Board held on Wednesday, 2 February 2005 be received.

JA Good
CHAIRPERSON



8 HENDERSON COMMUNITY BOARD

THE BOARD SUBMITS THE FOLLOWING REPORT OF ITS MEETING HELD ON THURSDAY, 3 FEBRUARY 2005

MATTERS CONSIDERED

*16-21
Part D*

The Board dealt with a number of items for which it has delegated powers to act and a copy of the minutes of the meeting is attached at pages 16 to 21 in the supplement labelled Part D.

The Board Recommends:

That the report of the Meeting of the Henderson Community Board held on Thursday, 3 February 2005 be received.

EAG Grimmer, MNZM
CHAIRPERSON



9 NEW LYNN COMMUNITY BOARD

THE BOARD SUBMITS THE FOLLOWING REPORT OF ITS MEETING HELD ON MONDAY, 7 FEBRUARY 2005

MATTERS CONSIDERED

22-28
Part D

The Board dealt with a number of items for which it has delegated powers to act and a copy of the minutes of the meeting is attached at pages 22 to 28 in the supplement labelled Part D.

The Board Recommends:

That the report of the Meeting of the New Lynn Community Board held on Monday, 7 February 2005 be received.

P van der Voort, JP
CHAIRPERSON



PART E - REPORTS OF THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE

10 NATIONAL SEMINAR - "HOW TO GET VALUE FROM YOUR LIBRARY"

PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

This report seeks Council support for the attendance of an Elected Member at the National Seminar entitled "How to get Value from your Library" to be held in Wellington on Monday, 18 April 2005. Local Government New Zealand and the Library and Information Association New Zealand Aotearoa (LIANZA) are jointly sponsoring this national seminar.

BACKGROUND

This seminar follows three successful regional workshops, held in 2003. These workshops highlighted the different ways in which libraries contribute to community well-being drawing on experience from around the country. Councillor Lawley attended one of these workshops in 2003.

STRATEGIC CONTEXT

Ensuring Elected Members know their role and the parameters in which they can most effectively govern the City, will contribute to all five priorities and the nine platforms that make up the Community Outcomes that contain all the services and activities identified by the Council in its Long Term Council Community Plan. The provision of training, including that available at the seminars principally designed for Elected Members assists that contribution.

ISSUES

The seminar is essentially for Elected Members, Managers and library professionals and is to be held in Wellington at the Civic Suite in the Wellington Town Hall.

Topics include:

- The contribution of libraries to community wellbeing as defined by the Local Government Act 2002;
- Best practice in contemporary libraries;
- Measuring the value of libraries;
- Libraries and the Internet.

RESOURCES

The funding requirement is for airfares for the one-day seminar and the seminar fee. These costs can be met from existing budgets.

CONCLUSION

The Council is requested to approve resourcing and attendance of a Council Member at this conference.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. That the National Seminar - "How to get Value from your Library" report be received.
2. That Council confirm the attendance and resourcing of a nominated Elected Member to attend the National Seminar - "How to get Value from your Library".

Report prepared by: Darryl Griffin, Group Manager: Democracy and Support Services.



11 LOCAL GOVERNMENT NEW ZEALAND SPECIAL GENERAL MEETING AND SUB-DELEGATION OF AUTHORITY TO ACT

PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

The Council has been advised that Local Government New Zealand has scheduled a Special General Meeting for 11 March 2005. This report is to request the Council to enable Mayor Bob Harvey's delegations to act on behalf of the Council.

BACKGROUND

Council is a member of Local Government New Zealand, the national group that exists to promote the interests of Local Government. Under the constitution of Local Government New Zealand the National Council has authority to call Special General Meetings to initiate changes to the rules that govern the operations of Local Government New Zealand. A Special General Meeting has been called for 11 March 2005 to discuss a proposed constitutional change which would change the restriction on the term of office of the President from one to no more than two consecutive terms.

Mayor Bob Harvey is a Member of the National Council of Local Government New Zealand but he is unable to attend this Special General Meeting of Local Government New Zealand.

The Council at its First Meeting on 28 October 2004 nominated Mayor Bob Harvey for the National Council as a Metropolitan Sector representative and annually authorises the Mayor to act on behalf of the Council at the Annual General Meetings of Local Government New Zealand. Actions to be taken at Special General Meetings have not been specifically catered for.

STRATEGIC CONTEXT

Membership of Local Government New Zealand has the potential to be of assistance to Council as it pursues a wide range of strategic and advocacy aims. Local Government New Zealand provides a vehicle through which Council's voice can be heard on the national stage as Local Government New Zealand undertakes its activities on behalf of its Members.

ISSUES

The forthcoming Special General Meeting to be held in Wellington on 11 March 2005 has been called to discuss a proposed constitutional change which would change the restriction on the term of office of the President from one to no more than two consecutive terms.

The Mayor advised that he cannot attend this Special General Meeting and the Chief Executive Officer is scheduled to be in Wellington at that time on other Council business and is able to represent the Council at this meeting. Alternatively a proxy vote can be arranged in the event that the Chief Executive Officer is for any reason prevented from attending the meeting.

The issue raises the more generic matter of someone being able to act for the Council when the Mayor cannot. It is proposed that the Council empower the Mayor to sub-delegate his authority to vote on behalf of the Council on any matter coming before Local Government New Zealand that is of interest to this Council. It is noted that this delegation will require anyone exercising it to only vote in accordance with the Council's policy direction.

CONCLUSION

The Council is requested to enable Mayor Bob Harvey to sub-delegate his authority to act on behalf of the Council within the Council's policy direction to any other Councillor or to the Chief Executive Officer or his nominee subject to that vote being exercised in accordance with the Council's policy direction. The Council has provided for similar sub-delegations with respect to Zone One meetings of Local Government New Zealand to cover for occasions when the Councillor appointed to act on matters at the Zone One is unable to attend the meetings.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. That the Local Government New Zealand Special General Meeting and Sub-delegation of Authority to Act report be received.
2. That Council authorise the Mayor, or his nominee, or in their absence the Chief Executive Officer or his nominee to exercise Council's vote at any future Local Government New Zealand meetings in accordance with Council's policy direction.

Report prepared by: Darryl Griffin, Group Manager: Democracy and support Services.



12 **RE-ESTABLISHMENT OF THE AUCKLAND REGIONAL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT STRATEGY ESTABLISHMENT GROUP**

PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

The purpose of this report is to recommend that Council re-establish the Auckland Regional Economic Development Strategy Establishment Group.

BACKGROUND

The Auckland Regional Economic Development Strategy is designed to accelerate economic growth in the Auckland region while improving the natural environment and social outcomes. Auckland Regional Economic Development Strategy was jointly developed by the Territorial Local Authorities of the Auckland region, with the Auckland Regional Council, New Zealand Trade and Enterprise, business, education sectors and Maori and consultation with many Auckland communities.

The framework of Auckland Regional Economic Development Strategy is a combination of 8 elements which connect the region with the world through an outward focus and improved regional performance on a platform of exceptional people, cultures, environment and infrastructure. These elements are:

Outward Focus

- Promoting the Auckland region;
- Encouraging innovation and excellence;
- Developing overseas markets;
- Supporting exports.

A Platform of Exceptional People, Cultures, Environment and Infrastructure

- Proving a high quality living environment;
- Building an entrepreneurial culture;
- Proving an skilled and responsive labour force;
- Delivering a high quality and responsive government.

Waitakere City Council formally adopted Auckland Regional Economic Development Strategy in July 2002. All of Auckland's local authorities have adopted Auckland Regional Economic Development Strategy and alongside government have supported its governance and implementation through funding and 'in-kind' contributions of time, energy and commitment. Government support has been consistently provided through its Regional Partnership Programme, which was established in 2000 to facilitate regional economic development by funding the development of regional strategies, capability building and Major Regional Initiatives. The Auckland local authorities have also provided core funding to support the implementation of Auckland Regional Economic Development Strategy.

Auckland Regional Economic Development Strategy Governance

Governance of Auckland Regional Economic Development Strategy is provided by a Joint Committee of the Auckland Territorial Local Authorities, the Auckland Regional Council and Maori called the Auckland Regional Economic Development Strategy (Establishment Group). Maori are appointed to the Establishment Group by Te Paepae Taumata made up of representatives from the region's Iwi and urban Maori groups. Each party to the Establishment Group appoints two members.

Auckland Regional Economic Development Strategy Implementation

A sub-committee of the Establishment Group, the Auckland Regional Economic Development Strategy Implementation Leaders Group (ILG) is charged with implementing the strategy. The Implementation Leaders Group is supported by a project office including a chief executive. The Implementation Leaders Group is intended to operate as a 'fit-for-purpose' board in terms of its reporting to the Establishment Group and its governance of the Chief Executive Officer and staff. Implementation to date has focused on progressing four Major Regional Initiatives with the aim of enabling the growth and development of local industries and to unlock \$8 million of government funds in support of those industries. The project office has also been active in progressing Maori and Pacific Island economic development and in promoting the Auckland region to international investors.

Current Status of the Establishment Group

The present arrangements were established as interim arrangements at the end of the Strategy development phase. This allowed implementation to commence while long term governance arrangements for Auckland Regional Economic Development Strategy were worked through.

When the Local Government Act 2002 was passed, Section 30(7) of Schedule 7, provided that all committees of local authorities, including joint committees would be discharged after the elections (unless the local authority or in the case of a joint committee all member local authorities resolve otherwise). As a result the Auckland Regional Economic Development Establishment Group was discharged. This has resulted in a lapse in the governance of the Implementation Leader Group, which needs to be rectified.

A1 The diagram attached at page A1 outlines the relationships between the Establishment Group and Implementation Leaders Group and relative responsibilities.

STRATEGIC CONTEXT

The creation of a strong innovative economy is one of the Council's nine strategic platforms. The Economic Development Strategy recently adopted by Council draws the relationship between local and regional economic development actions with the aim of progressing Waitakere's sustainable economic development. Under all six of the objectives of the Economic Development Strategy the influence of wider economic development policies and actions are noted as impacting upon Waitakere's own potential for sustainable economic development.

Waitakere City is one of the primary stakeholders in regional economic development, and due to the location of Waitakere within the Auckland metropolitan region, the region is a stakeholder in Waitakere. The Auckland Regional Economic Development Strategy aligns with the emphasis given to developing a strong innovative economy in the Long Term Council Community Plan and the 6 objectives outlined in Waitakere's Economic Development Strategy.

A2 The diagram attached at page A2 show the close relationship between the regional and local Waitakere economic development strategies and the services under contract with Enterprise Waitakere, the local economic development agency.

ISSUES

Progress on long-term governance and implementation arrangement for Auckland Regional Economic Development Strategy

The Auckland Regional Economic Development Strategy present governance and implementation arrangements provider for:

- the Auckland Regional Economic Development Strategy Establishment Group a joint committee of the Auckland Territorial Local Authorities, the Auckland Regional Council and Maori charged with the governance of Auckland Regional Economic Development Strategy;
- the Auckland Regional Economic Development Strategy Implementation Leaders Group is charged with implementing the Strategy.

The present arrangements always intended to be interim arrangements whilst the preferred long-term arrangements were developed. An extensive work programme was carried by the region in 2003/2004 to develop sustainable long-term governance, implementation and funding arrangements for Auckland Regional Economic Development Strategy. In April 2004 the region's councils considered the overarching long-term framework and agreed the framework including the development of an Auckland Regional Economic Development Partnership, to ensure all relevant parties have a role in the on-going oversight of Auckland Regional Economic Development Strategy, and an Auckland Regional Economic Development Office under the Auckland Regional Council, to implement key parts of Auckland Regional Economic Development Strategy. Between April and August 2004 two working groups were established to recommend the form and functions of these two bodies to the Establishment Group.

At its meeting on 3 August 2004 the Establishment Group resolved the following form for the future regional governance and implementation of Auckland Regional Economic Development Strategy:

The Auckland Regional Economic Development Strategic Establishment Group:

1. a) *Express its support for the Auckland Regional Council establishing a council controlled organisation (company) of the Auckland Regional Council by undertaking:*
 - *Consultation with the community through a special consultative procedure.*
 - *The further development and implementation of transition arrangements with the current AREDS office.*
 - *Recruitment and subsequent appointment of the AREDO board in accordance with Auckland Regional Council remuneration and appointments policy, as required under the Local Government Act 2002.*
 - *Development and implementation of a plan for the transition from the current AREDS office to AREDO.*
 - *Administrative establishment of AREDO operations and development of a Statement of Intent, constitution and budget for the AREDO.*

- 2.
- a) *Express its support for the establishment of an Auckland Regional Economic Development Partnership (AREDP) with an AREDP Leaders Group as a committee of the Auckland Regional Council, to operate on a network or confederate basis, as described in this paper.*
 - b) *Note that this will entail*
 - *The Auckland Regional Council undertaking and resourcing the secretariat function associated with the relevant committee.*
 - *The Auckland Regional Council establishing and supporting a joint officers group to support the AREDP, with the intention that this will be jointly resourced by all the Auckland local authorities and other participating members of the AREDP in the longer term.*

04/AREDS/20

These recommendations were communicated to the Auckland Regional Council who conducted a public consultation on the establishment of a Council Controlled Organisation as required by the Local Government Act 2002. At its meeting on 20 December 2004 the Auckland Regional Council considered the outcome of that consultation and the other elements of the Establishment Groups recommendations. The Auckland Regional Council decided to accept the responsibility for leading the Auckland Regional Economic Development Strategy and further resolved to develop appropriate mechanisms to undertake this role by 1st July 2005. It has not at this stage accepted all the Establishment Group recommendations.

The next steps for the Auckland Regional Council are to consider the form that the governance arrangements are to take. This consideration is scheduled for the February Auckland Regional Council Council meeting. It is expected that the Auckland Regional Council will spend the time between now and then familiarising themselves with the recommendations considered by the previous council and recommended by the Establishment Group. The Auckland Regional Council's timeframe for a transition to the new governance arrangements is 1 July 2005.

Need to re-establish the Establishment Group

The Establishment Group was allowed to lapse coincident with the local body elections. This means that there is currently no governing body for the Implementation Leaders Group, a subcommittee of the Establishment Group charged with implementing Auckland Regional Economic Development Strategy, until the permanent arrangements take effect. The Implementation Leaders Group has continued to function under the management contract for its administration operated by Auckland City.

Officers from the Territorial Local Authorities and the Auckland Regional Council are now working on the re-establishment of the Establishment Group to operate for the remainder of the transition period. This will ensure that there is an agency with formal responsibility for Auckland Regional Economic Development Strategy providing a vehicle for ongoing governance for the Implementation Leaders Group until the Auckland Regional Council can take over responsibility. The Establishment Group will also be able to support approaches to government for funding. Over coming months the Auckland Regional Economic Development Strategy office will need to apply for the next round of capability funding from New Zealand Trade and Enterprise for the 2005/2006 year and present further business cases for Major Regional Initiative Funding.

A3-A14

A constitution for the Auckland Regional Economic Development Strategy Establishment Group is attached as pages A3 to A14. This constitution is essentially the same as that adopted for the former Establishment Group. It has been updated in line with the Local Government Act 2002 and amended to reflect items of work already completed by the Establishment Group in its previous incarnation. The constitution also reflects an amendment made to it by the Establishment Group in 2003 for the appointment of representation of Te Paepae Taumata by the Establishment Group rather than by Councils. Te Paepae Taumata is a group comprising regional iwi and urban Maori groups.

At its meeting on 28 October 2004, the Council appointed Councillors to external organisations, and appointed Councillors Hulse and Clow to the Auckland Regional Establishment Group. The Mayor and Councillor Stone were appointed as alternate members (1893/2004). With the discharge and re-establishment of the Group, it is suggested that Council confirm its representatives to the re-established Group.

RESOURCES

As Auckland Regional Economic Development Strategy, in its current form is not a legal entity, the Auckland City Council provides it administrative functions and employs the implementation staff. The core operating budget for Auckland Regional Economic Development Strategy is \$1.17million. Each of the Territorial Local Authorities has contributed to these costs on a percentage basis of the population as set out in the attached Constitution.

Waitakere City has contributed \$95,000 to the core costs of Auckland Regional Economic Development Strategy under the 2004/2005 Annual Plan. In addition, Council contributes 'in-kind' support to Auckland Regional Economic Development Strategy through its involvement in the Establishment Group and contribution to implementation discussions and development by Council and Enterprise Waitakere.

CONCLUSION

The Local Government Act 2002 provides for committees of local authorities to be discharged after the elections. As a result the Auckland Regional Economic Development Establishment Group, which is responsible for providing governance to Auckland Regional Economic Development Strategy, was discharged. This report recommends that the Council resolve to re-establish the Auckland Regional Economic Development Strategy Establishment Group and to re-appoint representatives to the re-established Group. Similar reports are being submitted to all of the region's Territorial Local Authorities.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. That the Re-Establishment of the Auckland Regional Economic Development Strategy Establishment Group report be received.
2. That the Council resolve to re-establish the Auckland Regional Economic Development Strategy Establishment Group as a Joint Committee under Schedule 7 of the Local Government Act 2002.
3. That Council re-appoint Councillors Hulse and Clow to the re-established Auckland Regional Economic Development Strategy Establishment Group, with the Mayor and Councillor Stone as alternates.
4. That Council delegate responsibility to the Establishment Group Joint Committee to provide governance for the implementation phase of the Auckland Regional Economic Development Strategy.

5. That Council adopt the appended Constitution and authorise a nominated representative on the Establishment Group to sign the Constitution on behalf of Council.
6. That Council note that the Auckland Regional Council is currently considering the regional recommendations for the long term governance agreements for Auckland Regional Economic Development Strategy.

Report prepared by: Jen Cook, Partnerships and Advocacy Leader: Economic Development



13 **ROAD NAME CHANGES AND REDESIGNATION FOR MULVANEY AVENUE AND REDESIGNATION OF PEACHSTONE LANE**

PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

This report seeks a Council resolution to effect road name changes and a redesignation of two roads in Henderson that form part of the Waitakere Ward, specifically:

- to revoke the road name Peachstone Lane;
- to revoke the road name Mulvaney Avenue;
- to name the road that runs from Espalier Drive at one end through to Butia Avenue at the other as Mulvaney Crescent; and
- to authorise the use of the road name Peachstone Lane for a Private Way providing access to properties that have existing Peachstone Lane addresses.

The proposed road name changes and redesignation are being sought in order to resolve issues that arose from the development of a new subdivision which is accessed by the two previously formed roads Mulvaney Avenue (at one end) and Peachstone Lane (at the other end).

BACKGROUND

A15 As part of the standard subdivision consent process and following the issue of the subdivision consent, a survey plan for the subdivision SPW 21907 was approved on 19 May 2004. The survey plan (attached at page A15) envisaged the use of Peachstone Lane as the name for the through road in the subdivision and the revocation of the existing street named Mulvaney Avenue. The survey plan was approved under the condition that the revocation of the street name Mulvaney Avenue would first need to occur under the defined statutory and Council processes.

In accordance with standard procedure this proposal, to revoke the street name Mulvaney Avenue, was publicly notified in the NZ Herald Public Notices section on 11 October 2004. Three objections to the proposed revocation were received by the closing date of 22 October 2004 and referred to the contribution of the Mulvaney family to the history of the district.

A report entitled Mulvaney Avenue - Street Name Revocation was presented to the Waitakere Community Board at its meeting of 30 November 2004 seeking the Board's consideration of whether the revocation of the existing street name Mulvaney Avenue should proceed as stipulated in the subdivision consent.

The Waitakere Community Board resolved:

"That this item be deferred until the February 2005 meeting of the Board to enable the Community Board Members to consult with the Mulvaney family on different options to be considered on the street name revocation."

2189/2004

Further consultation with the Mulvaney family, Peachstone Lane residents and the developer of the subdivision led Council officers to further consider the options for resolving the issue of the names to be adopted for the roads within the new subdivision and changes required to existing named roads.

A16-A20

An alternate option was developed, consulted upon with the affected parties and subsequently presented to the Waitakere Community Board at their meeting of 1 February 2005 in a report entitled Mulvaney Avenue - Peachstone Lane: Street Naming for Subdivision SPW21907 (copy attached at pages A16 to A20).

The Waitakere Community Board resolved:

"That the Waitakere Community Board approves Council Officers proceeding with the work necessary to give effect to the option (4) as follows:

- *The street name Peachstone Lane is revoked to the extent that it currently applies to Legal Road Reserve.*
- *The name Mulvaney Crescent is adopted for the through road within the subdivision incorporating the previously formed stub of Mulvaney Avenue and the previously formed portion of Peachstone Lane (off Espalier Drive).*
- *The privately owned, access lot (Lot 86 DP308215) continue to be referred to as Peachstone Lane and the existing properties, so addressed, retain their current numbering.*
- *Signage at either end of the new Mulvaney Crescent is updated accordingly by Council, at Council's cost.*
- *A new street name plate opposite the entrance to Peachstone Lane is erected, at Council's cost.*
- *Council contributes to the cost of any re-drawing of the survey plan and associated actions that may be required.*
- *The Council owned reserve numbered 2 Peachstone Lane is re-addressed as Mulvaney Crescent."*

25/2005

In order to effect the proposed arrangement, public notification of the proposed road name changes along with consultation of affected parties is required. The road name changes must be approved by an ordinary resolution of Council.

STRATEGIC CONTEXT

The Waitakere City Council's Integrated Transport and Communication' platform provides the strategic context for this report. The vision is for public transport and communications systems that provide fast, effective services, and for city travel facilitated by integrated, environmentally responsible, and innovative design, with a focus on meeting the essential needs of all, for access communication and safety.

The naming of streets in a standard, logical and clear manner assists with delivery to addresses, response by emergency services and generally enables people to find their way around the city. It is also recognised by the Council that naming of streets is a mechanism which can provide a link to the heritage and cultural values of an area.

ISSUES

The change to an existing road name is undertaken in accordance with provisions contained within the Local Government Act (1974 and 2002) and Council's "Policy for Changing an Existing Road Name".

A public notification process, consultation with affected parties and an ordinary resolution of Council is required to effect the revocation of Peachstone Lane and Mulvaney Avenue and the adoption of the name of Mulvaney Crescent as part of the proposed arrangement set out in the Waitakere Community Board's resolution (25/2005).

As outlined in the background, consultation has already been undertaken with the affected parties and there has been a positive response from the various parties to the proposal. The Post Office and St John's Ambulance also have no objection to the proposal. The Fire Service and NZ Police were also sent a letter outlining the proposal but at the date of writing this report no response has been received from them. The public notification of the proposed road name changes and redesignation was undertaken in the week commencing 7 February 2005 and any responses received will be reported to Council at the meeting. Follow-up with the NZ Police and the Fire Service will also be undertaken to ensure there is no objection from those agencies.

The proposed arrangement resolves issues raised by the Mulvaney family and existing residents of Peachstone Lane:

- the heritage associations of the street name Mulvaney Avenue are retained;
- there is no impact on addressing for Peachstone Lane properties.

Under the proposed arrangement the name Peachstone Lane will continue to be used and recognised but the access lot to which it applies remains privately owned and the costs of maintaining that access lot and any associated facilities continue to be shared by owners of that lot.

Council does not currently have a policy on the naming and addressing of such Private Ways. Work is in progress to develop a policy and that policy may indeed be consistent with the decision recommended in this report. The Council will be involved with street addressing standards and has proposed that it should be the authority for naming suburbs of the City in its submission to the Review of the New Zealand Geographic Board Act 1946. In order to assist the needs of emergency services, the Council should be involved in the naming process for Private Ways to ensure there is no confusion or duplication..

The option as presented may require an amendment to be made to the existing approved survey plan and a request to the Titles Office to update the title records accordingly. It is proposed that Council meet those costs, if those actions are required. It is also proposed that Council meet the costs of the required changes to street signs.

RESOURCES

The road name change policy is administered by the Service Management Group within City Services. The required resources and expenditure for meeting the administrative requirements are provided for within existing budgets.

The installation of new street name plates can be undertaken within the existing Transport Assets Signs Maintenance budget.

Costs that may arise in producing an amended survey plan and any other associated costs have not been identified at this stage but these are not thought likely to be significant.

CONCLUSION

A change in the naming and a redesignation of roads is necessary following the development of the subdivision SPW21907. The affected roads are Peachstone Lane and Mulvaney Avenue.

Following consultation with affected parties a proposed arrangement satisfactory to those parties has been developed. The arrangement requires the revocation of the road name Peachstone Lane, the revocation of the road name Mulvaney Avenue, adoption of the road name Mulvaney Crescent, and approval for the use of the road name Peachstone Lane for the Private Way providing access to properties that have existing Peachstone lane addresses.

There are minor associated costs to effect the proposed arrangement and it is reasonable that Council meets those costs due to the nature of the historical and adjacent subdivision that has contributed to the issue.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. That the Road Name Changes and Redesignation for Mulvaney Avenue and Redesignation of Peachstone Lane report be received.
2. That the street name "Peachstone Lane" for the portion of legal road located between Espalier Drive and lot 100 on LT 334681 be revoked.
3. That the street name "Mulvaney Avenue" for the portion of legal road between Butia Avenue and lot 100 LT 334681 be revoked.
4. That the portions of legal road referred in resolutions 2 and 3 above, together with lot 100 on LT 334681 be named "Mulvaney Crescent"
5. That the access lot (lot 86 on DP 308215) serving the properties previously numbered 4 - 12 Peachstone Lane, continue to be called Peachstone Lane and be recognised in the Council's records accordingly.
6. That the conditions of Resource Consent RMA 20032105 be amended to reflect these changes, at no cost to the consent holder.
7. That the actions recommended by the Waitakere Community Board under Resolution Number 25/2005 be undertaken to support the adoption of Mulvaney Crescent and redesignation of Peachstone Lane, as follows:
 - signage at either end of the new Mulvaney Crescent is updated accordingly by Council, at Council's cost;
 - a new street name plate opposite the entrance to Peachstone Lane is erected, at Council's cost;
 - Council contributes to the cost of any re-drawing of the survey plan and associated actions if required;
 - the Council owned reserve numbered 2 Peachstone Lane is re-addressed as Mulvaney Crescent.

Report prepared by: Andrew Crann, Manager: Operations Support.



14 **REPLACEMENT OF ELECTED MEMBER TO TE TAUMATA RUNANGA**

PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

The purpose of the report is to enable Council to make an appointment to replace Councillor Pat Booth on Te Taumata Runanga.

BACKGROUND

At the Council Meeting held on 24 November 2004, Councillor Booth, together with Councillor Lawley, was appointed as one of the two Council representatives to Te Taumata Runanga, via the resolution No 1890/2004, which covers Council appointments of Councillors to Committees.

ISSUES

Councillor Booth has furnished his resignation from Te Taumata Runanga.

Councillor Cooper has indicated her willingness to replace Councillor Booth on Te Taumata Runanga.

RESOURCES

No additional resources are required.

CONCLUSION

It is being recommended that Council appoint Councillor Cooper to Te Taumata Runanga replacing Councillor Booth, who has resigned from this Committee.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. That the Replacement of Elected Member to Te Taumata Runanga report be received.
2. That Council appoint Councillor Cooper to Te Taumata Runanga, replacing Councillor Booth.

Report prepared by: Darryl Griffin, Group Manager: Democracy and Support Services.



15 **APPOINTMENT TO LOPDELL HOUSE SOCIETY INCORPORATED MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE**

PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

The purpose of the report is to enable Council to make an appointment to replace Councillor Linda Cooper on the Lopdell House Society Inc. Management Committee.

BACKGROUND

At the Council Meeting held on 24 November 2004, Councillor Cooper, together with Councillor Lawley, was appointed as one of the two Council representatives to the Lopdell House Society Inc. Management Committee, via the resolution No. 2109/2004, which covers Council appointments of Councillors to other organisations.

ISSUES

On 16 February 2005, Councillor Cooper advised that the Lopdell House Management Committee meeting schedule now clashes with her commitment as Deputy Chairperson to the West Auckland Hospice Home Care Trust Board. She further advised that Councillor Booth is happy to replace her on the Lopdell House Management Committee.

It is consistent with the Rules of the Lopdell House Society Incorporated for Council to appoint a replacement, as the Rules state that "if a vacancy should occur as a result of an appointee of the [Waitakere] City Council ceasing to be a member of the Management Committee then the [Waitakere] City Council shall at any time thereafter appoint a person to fill the vacancy so resulting."

STRATEGIC CONTEXT

The appointment of Councillors to outside organisations is consistent with both the Active Democracy and Strong Communities platforms of the 2004/2014 Long Term Council Community Plan.

RESOURCES

No additional resources will be required.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. That the Appointment to Lopdell House Society Incorporated Management Committee report be received.
2. That Councillor Booth be appointed as Council representative to the Lopdell House Society Incorporated Management Committee to replace Councillor Cooper.

Report prepared by: Audrey Chan, Committee Secretary.

HV O'Rourke
CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER



PART F - CONFIDENTIAL ITEMS

- 16 **LAINGHOLM CATCHMENT - FLOODING ISSUES UPDATE**
- 17 **1 RATANUI STREET, HENDERSON - LOT 22 DP 8400**
- 18 **OLD NEW LYNN HOTEL**
- 19 **PREMIUM PROPERTY HOLDINGS LIMITED AGREEMENT**
- 20 **DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT WITH HOUSING NEW ZEALAND CORPORATION FOR HOBSONVILLE AIRBASE**

These items will be considered in the Confidential Supplement of the agenda, and has been circulated to members separately with this agenda.

PROCEDURAL MOTION TO EXCLUDE THE PUBLIC

That the public be excluded from the following parts of the proceedings of this meeting, namely, Laingholm Catchment - Flooding Issues Update; 1 Ratanui Street, Henderson - Lot 22 DP 8400; Old New Lynn Hotel; Premium Property Holdings Limited Agreement, and Development Agreement with Housing New Zealand Corporation for Hobsonville Airbase.

The general subject of the matters to be considered while the public is excluded, the reason for passing this resolution in relation of the matters, and the specific grounds under Section 48(1) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 for the passing of this resolution are as follows:

General subject of the matters to be considered.	Reason for passing this resolution in relation to the matters.	Ground(s) under Section 48(1)(a) for the passing of this resolution.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Laingholm Catchment - Flooding Issues Update. 	<p>The withholding of information is necessary in order to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • maintain legal professional privilege; • enable any local authority holding the information to carry on, without prejudice or disadvantage, negotiations (including commercial and industrial negotiations). 	<p>That the public conduct of the relevant part of the proceedings of the meeting would be likely to result in the disclosure of information for which good reason for withholding would exist.</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1 Ratanui Street, Henderson - Lot 22 DP 8400. 	<p>The withholding of information is necessary in order to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • enable any local authority holding the information to carry on, without prejudice or disadvantage, negotiations (including commercial and industrial negotiations). 	<p>That the public conduct of the relevant part of the proceedings of the meeting would be likely to result in the disclosure of information for which good reason for withholding would exist.</p>

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Old New Lynn Hotel. 	<p>The withholding of information is necessary in order to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • enable any local authority holding the information to carry on, without prejudice or disadvantage, negotiations (including commercial and industrial negotiations). 	<p>That the public conduct of the relevant part of the proceedings of the meeting would be likely to result in the disclosure of information for which good reason for withholding would exist.</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Premium Property Holdings Limited Agreement. 	<p>The withholding of information is necessary in order to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • enable any local authority holding the information to carry on, without prejudice or disadvantage, negotiations (including commercial and industrial negotiations). 	<p>That the public conduct of the relevant part of the proceedings of the meeting would be likely to result in the disclosure of information for which good reason for withholding would exist.</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Development Agreement with Housing New Zealand Corporation for Hobsonville Airbase. 	<p>The withholding of information is necessary in order to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • enable any local authority holding the information to carry on, without prejudice or disadvantage, negotiations (including commercial and industrial negotiations). 	<p>That the public conduct of the relevant part of the proceedings of the meeting would be likely to result in the disclosure of information for which good reason for withholding would exist.</p>

This resolution is made in reliance on Section 48(1)(a) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 and the particular interest or interests protected by Sections 7(2)(g) and (i) of that Act which would be prejudiced by the holding of the relevant part of the proceedings of the meeting in public as follows:

- *The reports contain information which if released could affect the Council's negotiations and Council's future access to information and opinions from the legal profession.*



PART G - STANDING COMMITTEE REPORTS

21 CITY DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

YOUR COMMITTEE SUBMITS THE FOLLOWING REPORT OF ITS MEETING HELD ON THURSDAY, 3 FEBRUARY 2005

1. COUNCIL REPRESENTATION AT THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT TRANSPORT FORUM 14 FEBRUARY 2004, WELLINGTON, New Zealand

Your Committee Recommends:

That Council approve the attendance (and resourcing) of the Mayor and Deputy Mayor at the Local Government Transport Forum in Wellington on Monday, 14 February 2005.

2. COUNCIL REPRESENTATION AT THE AUSTRALIAN CONGRESS FOR NEW URBANISM 11 - 13 MARCH 2005, SYDNEY, AUSTRALIA

Your Committee Recommends:

That Council approve the attendance (and resourcing) of the Chairperson and Deputy Chairperson, City Development Committee and the Chairperson, Hearings Committee at the Australian Congress for New Urbanism in Sydney, New South Wales from 11 - 13 March 2005.

3. WAITAKERE SPORTS COMPLEX JETTY

Your Committee Recommends:

That consideration be given to a jetty being named Chris Timms Jetty in recognition of his contribution to the City and as a tribute to his achievements in the sport of yachting.

4. OTHER MATTERS CONSIDERED

Your Committee dealt with a number of items for which it has delegated powers to act and a copy of the minutes of the meeting is attached at pages 1 to 11 in the supplement labelled Part G and the public excluded minutes are attached at pages 20 to 21 of the confidential supplement labelled Part F.

Your Committee Recommends:

That the report of the Meeting of the City Development Committee held on Thursday, 3 February 2005 be received.

PA Hulse
CHAIRPERSON

1-11
Part G
Pages 20-21
Part F



22 **FINANCE AND OPERATIONAL PERFORMANCE COMMITTEE**

YOUR COMMITTEE SUBMITS THE FOLLOWING REPORT OF ITS MEETING HELD ON MONDAY, 7 FEBRUARY 2005

1. **AMENDMENTS TO RATING SYSTEM**

Your Committee Recommends:

A21-A35

That the proposed changes to the Differential Rating System, Description of the Rating System as attached at pages A21 to A29, and proposed changes to the Rates Remission Policy on Uniform Annual General Charges as attached at pages A30 to A35, be adopted for inclusion in the draft Annual Plan 2005/2006 for public consultation.

2. **OTHER MATTERS CONSIDERED**

*12-18
Part G*

Your Committee dealt with a number of items for which it has delegated powers to act and a copy of the minutes of the meeting is attached at pages 12 to 18 in the supplement labelled Part G.

Your Committee Recommends:

That the report of the Meeting of the Finance and Operational Performance Committee held on Monday, 7 February 2005 be received.

JM Clews, QSO, JP

CHAIRPERSON



23 **PLANNING AND REGULATORY COMMITTEE**

YOUR COMMITTEE SUBMITS THE FOLLOWING REPORT OF ITS MEETING HELD ON TUESDAY, 8 FEBRUARY 2005

1. **COMMUNITY BOARD APPOINTMENTS TO THE HEARINGS COMMITTEE**

Your Committee Recommends

That the current memberships of the Hearings Committee remain unchanged.

2. **OTHER MATTERS CONSIDERED**

*19-25
Part G
Page 22
Part F*

Your Committee dealt with a number of items for which it has delegated powers to act and a copy of the minutes of the meeting is attached at pages 19 to 25 in the supplement labelled Part G and the public excluded minutes are attached at page 22 of the confidential supplement labelled Part F.

Your Committee Recommends:

That the report of the Meeting of the Planning and Regulatory Committee held on Tuesday, 8 February 2005 be received.

VS Neeson, JP
CHAIRPERSON



PART H - PRESENTATION

There is no presentation.



PART I - PROCEDURAL MATTERS

24 QUESTIONS

Pursuant to Standing Order 39.2, any member of the local authority may at any ordinary meeting of the local authority at the appointed time, put a question to the Mayor as Chairperson of the local authority, or through the Mayor to the Chairperson of any standing or special committee, or to any officer of the local authority concerning any matter relevant to the role or functions of the local authority concerning any matter that does not appear on the order paper, nor arises from any committee report or recommendation submitted to that meeting.



25 NOTICES OF MOTION

Notices of motion shall be in writing by the mover, stating the meeting at which it is proposed that the notice of motion be considered, and shall be delivered to the Chief Executive at least five clear days before such meeting.



26 CLOSING PRAYER

