

**AGENDA FOR A MEETING OF THE NEW LYNN COMMUNITY BOARD TO BE HELD
IN THE NEW LYNN COMMUNITY CENTRE, 45 TOTARA AVENUE, NEW LYNN,
WAITAKERE, ON MONDAY, 5 MARCH 2007, COMMENCING AT 7.30 PM**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>ITEM</u>		<u>PAGE NO.</u>
1	APOLOGIES	1
2	CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES	1
3	URGENT BUSINESS	1
4	PRESENTATIONS	1
	A WAITAKERE CITY'S PARKS THROUGH BROCHURES	1
	B NEW LYNN TRANSIT ORIENTATED DEVELOPMENT	1
5	PUBLIC FORUM	2
6	CHAIRMAN'S REPORT	2
7	COMMITTEE SECRETARY'S REPORT	4
8	REPRESENTATION REVIEW - OUTCOME	7
9	2007 WAITAKERE CITY COUNCIL ELECTIONS: EARLY PROCESSING AND ORDERING OF CANDIDATES' NAMES ON VOTING DOCUMENTS	13
10	ELECTED MEMBERS REMUNERATION - REMUNERATION AUTHORITY DETERMINATION 2007/2008	15
11	NEW ZEALAND COMMUNITY BOARDS' CONFERENCE	21
12	WAITAKERE EVENTS UPDATE	22
13	KEEP WAITAKERE BEAUTIFUL 2007 TREES FOR BABIES	25
14	KONINI SCHOOL, WITHERS ROAD, GLEN EDEN - NEW NO PARKING RESTRICTIONS	26
15	TOTARA AVENUE, NEW LYNN - BUS STOP FORMALISATION	28
16	WOODGLEN ROAD / WOODVALE ROAD, GLEN EDEN - ROUNDAABOUT	29
17	MARGAN AVENUE, NEW LYNN - NEW TRAFFIC ISLAND AND FLUSH MEDIAN	31
18	GREAT NORTH ROAD, NEW LYNN - NEW PEDESTRIAN ISLANDS	33
19	BOARD MEMBERS' REPORTS	36

**AGENDA FOR A MEETING OF THE NEW LYNN COMMUNITY BOARD TO BE HELD
IN THE NEW LYNN COMMUNITY CENTRE, 45 TOTARA AVENUE, NEW LYNN,
WAITAKERE, ON MONDAY, 5 MARCH 2007, COMMENCING AT 7.30 PM**

1 APOLOGIES



2 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

Meeting Minutes - 5 February 2007

RECOMMENDATION

That the minutes of the Meeting of the New Lynn Community Board held on Monday, 5 February 2007, as circulated, be taken as read and now be confirmed.



3 URGENT BUSINESS

Section 46A(7) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 provides that where an item of business is not on the agenda, it may only be dealt with at the meeting if:

- (i) the Board by resolution so decides; and
- (ii) the Chairman has explained at the beginning of the meeting (when open to the public) that the item will be raised for discussion and decision, why the item is not on the agenda, and why it cannot be delayed until a subsequent meeting.

The Board may make a decision on a matter determined to be urgent.

NOTE: Urgent business need not be dealt with now and may be delayed until later in the meeting.



4 PRESENTATIONS

A WAITAKERE CITY'S PARKS THROUGH BROCHURES

Kim Smith, Council's Information Officer, will make a presentation to the New Lynn Community Board promoting Waitakere City's parks through brochures.

B NEW LYNN TRANSIT ORIENTATED DEVELOPMENT

Caisey Marter, Council's Strategic Planner, will make a presentation to the New Lynn Community Board on New Lynn Transit Orientated Development.



5 PUBLIC FORUM

For guidance of Community Board Members, the Council's Standing Orders have the following provisions in regard to Public Forum.

- (i) members of the public wishing to address the Board in Public Forum shall furnish their names to the Chairman at the beginning of the meeting; and
- (ii) the Chairman shall determine the order of speakers, and allow five minutes for speaking time;
- (iii) questions by members are to be confined to obtaining information or clarification on matters raised by the speaker.

Section 46A(7A) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 provides that no resolution, decision, or recommendation may be made in respect of any specific item of business not on the agenda except to refer the items to a subsequent meeting for further discussion. Therefore, no decision may be made on matters raised in Public Forum. However, written reports on matters raised may be requested from the Chief Executive Officer.



6 CHAIRMAN'S REPORT

Long Term Council Community Plan - Annual Plan

The meetings to consider Council's draft Annual Plan have been held. The draft plan will be released at the end of March 2007 for comment and submissions. The closing date is 27 April 2007. The Board will have to decide in April 2007 on the approach for our submission. A previous request to include an increase in the new footpath funding from \$500,000 to \$1,000,000 has not been included (at time of writing).

Local Government Rate Inquiry

At last this inquiry has arrived for consultation in Auckland with very little fan fare as was suspected by most. Little publicity and no real prior warning were given of the meeting place, time etc. I have no further information at this time.

Local Government Reform

Auckland Regional Governance - Rumours are that the Auckland Regional Governance proposal is being released shortly for comment. Let's pray and hope that councils and the public are given ample opportunity and time to be heard.

Global Warming

This topic seems to generate heat around the world day by day. Central and local government cars are now being scrutinised for fuel efficiency.

Carbon Tax rebates for saving trees or planting more are also a topic on the agenda. So are the residents and council going to be in line for carbon tax credit in the near future for maintaining our beautiful Waitakere Ranges and other planting enhancements? I read that there are already carbon tax credits for farmers in New Zealand who convert their farm by planting trees. There is also the possibility of trading carbon tax shares at the stock exchange.

Community Boards Best Practice Award

A1 Community Board Members have asked if the Board wish to participate in this award. There are certain criteria and nine categories (for Criteria see page A1). The Trees For Babies project initiated by board member Liz Francke in 1993 may still qualify as it is an ongoing partnership with Councils, Community Boards, Keep Waitakere Beautiful, Plunket and the Community.

Your guidance and aspirations on this are requested.

Traffic Drivers Speed

A2-A5 For your information I have included some more details on these signs attached at pages A2 to A5. I hope that Council, with assistance from other sources will be able to purchase a great number for each ward and place at individual Board's suggested sites. This may need to be submitted to the Long Term Annual Plan.

West Lynn Garden History

Have you recently visited the West Lynn Gardens? This is one of the most enhancing places of New Lynn with a group of enthusiastic volunteers beavering away with improvements and imagination to maintain the garden for the Community. Newly paved pathways, the Bridal Walk and Hugh Redgrove Path are a great improvement. On Sunday mornings there is an active group playing Petanque. The French accent can be detected among some of the players.

West Lynn Garden 100 Years Ago

In 1902 Richard Seddon's Liberal Government decided to allocate land to "landless workers close to urban areas". Hamlets for workers homes were surveyed and planned. Our area, a 408-acre block of land known as Hetana Hamlet, (the Hetana Stream runs through the Gardens today) stretched from Margan Avenue to Golf Road and contained 123 sections from one to five acres. The aim was to support city skilled workers, (many travelled by workers train to Auckland) giving enough land to be self sufficient in fruit, eggs and vegetables and with a good supply of timber, the chance to build their own house. Yearly lease costs varied from 11 shillings to 3 pounds!

By 1920 the settlers, mostly English immigrants, had bought their little areas of land and gradually the blocks were cut up into the quarter acre (or smaller) sections of today.

Today West Lynn Garden is probably the last 5 acre block still intact! Long may it remain.

RECOMMENDATION







That the Chairman's Report be received.





Pim van der Voort, JP




CHAIRMAN






7 **COMMITTEE SECRETARY'S REPORT**


	Issue	Comments	Reporting Council Officer
1.	New Lynn Township Streetscape Design-Great North Road, from Hugh Brown Drive / Todd Triangle to Rewarewa Walkway / Memorial Drive Officer's Report	There is a budget of \$100,000 in the 2006/2007 financial year for minor improvements to this section of Great North Road only. Works include a lighting upgrade which will commence from early May 2007. The remaining budget will be used to undertake as much as possible the remaining renewal items suggested by the New Lynn Community Board at the walkover of the site in October 2006. These works will include minor paving repairs, walkway signage upgrade and associated painting, a small concrete pathway at Delta Triangle, and the replacement of Perspex covers on the brick displays at Delta Triangle. These minor works are anticipated to commence from early March 2007. All physical works are expected to be complete by late May 2007.	Andreas Lilley  836 8000 Ext: 8553
2.	Olympic Park New Bridge and Structures Officer's Report	Olympic Park new bridge and structures construction works are progressing well and will be completed by mid March 2007.	Andreas Lilley  836 8000 Ext: 8553
3.	Barron Green Car park Development Officer's Report	Construction works are progressing well and are expected to be completed by the end of March 2007.	Andreas Lilley  836 8000 Ext: 8553
4.	Barrons Green Playground Upgrade Officer's Report	A building consent has been obtained and a public tender process is currently underway. Physical works will be carried out in March/April 2007.	Tracey Hamilton  836 8000 Ext: 8969
5.	Rewarewa Walkway Design Officer's Report	The initial investigations have revealed issues with the possible provision of a walkway in the area. Further investigations are now underway. A report will be presented to the New Lynn Community Board in early 2007.	Debbie Chapman  836 8000 Ext: 8303
6.	Facilities in the Park for BMX Bikes Officer's Report	Following community consultation with BMX bike users, a dirt BMX bike track will be trialled at Shadbolt Park beside the existing skate park. The dirt jumps will be formed using re-cycled spoil generated from the nearby Barrons Green Car park construction. It is anticipated these works will take place in early March 2007.	Katharine Slack  836 8000 Ext: 8779

	Issue	Comments	Reporting Council Officer
7.	<p>Vodafone NZ Limited Structures at Lawson Park, Archibald Park and Golf Road Domain</p> <p>2 May 2005</p> <p>Resolution No. 721/2005</p>	<p>Negotiations between Vodafone NZ Limited and Council on the terms and conditions of a telecommunications licence have concluded and a licence fee has been agreed.</p> <p>Council has now made an offer for a share of the licensing fee to the three sports clubs. To date, one club has accepted the offer, one club has rejected the offer and confirmation has not yet been received from the other club.</p>	<p>Huia Kingi</p> <p> 836 8000 Ext: 8174</p>
8.	<p>Timber Pergola at Manawa Wetland</p> <p>29 May 2006</p> <p>Resolution No. 913/2006</p>	<p>The building consent is currently being processed but has been delayed due to a request for further information.</p> <p>Construction will begin once the consent has been granted.</p>	<p>Katherine Slack</p> <p> 836 8000 Ext: 8779</p>
9.	<p>Community Board Minor Parks Projects</p> <p>2 October 2006</p> <p>Resolution No. 1875/2006</p>	<p>The status of each project is as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Taupiko Reserve: Drainage work was completed in February 2007. • Poturi Reserve Swings: The Poturi Stream Neighbourhood Support were unable to confirm their commitment to partially fund the proposed set of swings, so the funding allocation has been withdrawn and reallocated to the following projects. • Archibald Park: A picnic table is to be installed in March 2007. • Tangiwai Reserve: A set of swings is to be installed in April 2007. • Prospect Park: Additional play equipment is to be installed in the existing playground and a seat is to be installed on the top of the bank. Installation is expected to be completed in April 2007. 	<p>Laura Mitchell / Katherine Slack</p> <p> 836 8000 Ext: 8779</p>
10.	<p>Rua Road Pedestrian Crossing</p> <p>31 July 2006</p> <p>Public Forum</p>	<p>Once the outcome of the accident investigation and pedestrian counts are available, a report will be submitted to the New Lynn Community Board at its meeting to be held on Monday, 2 April 2007.</p>	<p>Hussam Abdul-Rassol</p> <p> 836 8000 Ext: 8961</p>

	Issue	Comments	Reporting Council Officer
11.	Traffic Safety Issues Outside 155 and 157 Golf Road 30 October 2006 Resolution No. 2049/2006 Public Forum	Improved signs on the bend have been installed and the street lighting is expected to be installed during March 2007.	Duncan Campbell  836 8000 Ext: 8019
12.	Titirangi Road Pedestrian Crossing near Croydon Road 30 October 2006 Resolution No. 2063/2006	Council officers are investigating a two step phasing for installing the pedestrian crossing. A report will be presented to the New Lynn Community Board at its meeting to be held on Monday, 2 April 2007.	Adam Moller  836 8000 Ext: 8750
13.	Council/Police Liaison Group 4 September 2006 Resolution No. 1638/2006 Public Forum	A report is expected to be presented to the City Development Committee meeting to be held on 5 April 2007. The outcome of the meeting will be reported to the New Lynn Community Board.	Mark Maxlow  836 8000 Ext: 8713

REPORTS PENDING

Subject	Date Requested	Report Due	Reporting Officer
Project Twin Streams Four Monthly Progress Report	1 August 2005 Resolution No. 1445/2005 Last updated 30 October 2006	2 April 2007	Tony Miguel  836 8000 Ext: 8294
Intersection of Glendale Road and Oates Road	31 October 2005 Resolution No. 2076/2005 4 December 2006 Resolution No. 2312/2006	28 May 2007	Paul Schischka  836 8000 Ext: 8742
Parking Issues on Highland Avenue and Consent Status of the Business in Titirangi Road Opposite Highland Avenue	4 December 2006 Resolution No. 2306/2006 Public forum	2 April 2007	Paul Schischka  836 8000 Ext: 8742

REPORTS PENDING			
Subject	Date Requested	Report Due	Reporting Officer
Cartwright Road - Improvement of Footpath and Vehicle Crossings	4 December 2006 Resolution No. 2315/2006	2 April 2007	Brent Piggot  836 8000 Ext: 8716

RECOMMENDATION

That the Committee Secretary's Report for 5 March 2007 be received.

Report prepared by: Audrey Chan, Committee Secretary.



8 REPRESENTATION REVIEW - OUTCOME

PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

The purpose of this report is to inform the New Lynn Community Board of the Determination of the Local Government Commission on Council's Final Proposal on the 2006 Representation Review, as required by the Finance and Operational Performance Committee.

BACKGROUND

The Representation Review was undertaken to determine the method of election of the Council and of its Community Boards, in order to provide for fair and effective representation, pursuant to the Local Electoral Act 2001.

One of the reforms introduced by the Local Electoral Act 2001 was to reduce the frequency of reviews of the basis of elections by local authorities from every 3 years to at least 1 every 6 years. Only 23 out of 86 local authorities in New Zealand conducted Reviews in 2003, with all others therefore required to undertake these in 2006. Because the Council chose not to undertake a review in 2003 it was required to do so in the 2006 year.

The Local Government Commission advised that its workload to deal with any appeals and objections when considering final proposals from territorial authorities and regional councils would be substantial and requested local authorities to submit their final proposals ahead of statutory deadlines if possible. The Council therefore determined that it would commence the process in 2005 and continue on to 2006 seamlessly, in order to make its decision on the Final Proposal well ahead of the statutory time lines, as soon as possible after completing a process of robust consultation with the community.

The Electoral Officer started this process for Council by preparing a Discussion Document for Elected Members, and commissioning a consultant to undertake a study identifying Communities of Interest. A number of workshops reviewed the consultants report and updates thereto.

As part of the Review process Council first considered the voting system and Māori representation. On 31 August 2005, the Waitakere City Council resolved to stay with the First-Past-The-Post (FPP) electoral system. Residents were advised in a public notice of this decision and of their right to demand a poll to change the decision. In October 2005 Council resolved not to introduce Maori wards for the 2007 Triennial Elections. No demand from electors for a poll on either issue was received by the deadline and therefore the Waitakere City Council will use the system in this year's elections.

Council's next task was to consider the number of Members and their method of election for both Council and the Community Boards, in the context of the requirement of the Local Electoral Act 2001 to provide for fair and effective representation.

The Local Electoral Act 2001 states that where a council is divided into wards effective representation must be ensured by the wards having an identifiable "community of interest" in order to ensure "effective representation". There is no statutory definition of a "community of interest". However, the Government Commission's publication "Guidelines To Assist Local Authorities In Undertaking Representation Reviews" clarifies that these are based on common characteristics that help define a community, for example, transport services, stream catchments patterns, landscape features, the way land is used, etc.

Fair representation is ensured by the requirement that the ratio of Elected Members to population does not vary by more than 10% from the ratio of Elected Members (other than the Mayor and any Members elected at large) to population of the Council as a whole.

The Council undertook an informal public feedback/information process on 3 options for a ward structure prior to the formal review of representation arrangements for the City and its Community Boards.

On 15 March 2006, the Council adopted its Initial Proposal making representation arrangements applicable for the 2007 Triennial Elections. This was publicly notified on 24 March 2006.

The Council's Initial Proposal was that the City be divided into 3 wards, with a Mayor and 14 Councillors. Community Boards would be established with boundaries aligned with those for each of the 3 wards and each Community Board would comprise of 6 Elected Members, elected at large within the ward concerned and 3 Members appointed from the Councillors elected for the respective ward.

The Council received 510 submissions on time and 103 late submissions in response to its Initial Proposal.

After hearing and considering the submissions received, the Council accepted that the rural area had a "community of interest" by itself that was weighted alongside other communities of interest. Consequently, the Council amended its Initial Proposal when framing its Final Proposal.

The Final Proposal was publicly notified on 7 June 2006. As the Initial Proposal had been modified anyone was entitled to object, not just those who had submitted to the Council on the Initial Proposal. Objections closed at 4.00 pm, 7 July 2006.

The Council's Final Proposal was as follows:

- (a) The Council comprise a Mayor and 14 Councillors;
- (b) The City be divided into 4 wards;
 - Henderson (5 Councillors);

- Massey (3 Councillors);
 - New Lynn (5 Councillors);
 - Waitakere (1 Councillor).
- (c) That community boards be retained for each of the 4 wards;
- (d) That the Massey, Henderson and New Lynn Community Boards each consist of 5 Elected Members elected at large within the ward concerned and 2 appointed Members, and that the Waitakere Community Board consists of 6 Elected Members elected at large from the ward and 1 appointed Member.

STRATEGIC CONTEXT

Active Democracy is one of the Council's nine strategic platforms identified in its Long Term Council Community Plan 2006-2016. This platform supports the involvement of citizens in the Council's decision-making process through education, removing barriers, developing partnerships, improving information flows and supporting the communities' own ways of interacting with the Council.

The Council measures its success in meeting active democracy targets by conducting regular household surveys. Although the public's perception of engagement in the Council's decision-making processes has improved since 2001/2002, (partly due to this Council's extensive community consultation processes), the voter turnout for Council elections remains low. Voter turnout was only 35.68% at the last Triennial Election and only 27.28% at the 2006 Waitakere Ward By-Election. The electoral Representation Review process addressed issues around communities of interest and aimed at structuring a system more conducive to active voter participation.

ISSUES

A total of 220 objections were received in response to Council's final decision. The main concerns of the objectors related to:

- The exclusion of Laingholm and other areas, including part of Titirangi, from the proposed Waitakere Ward (201 objections);
- The need for a minimum of 2 Councillors to adequately represent the Waitakere Ward, given the size and geography of the ward;
- The location of boundaries for the Massey, Henderson and New Lynn Wards; and
- The number of Community Boards and their membership.

A6-A18

The Local Government Commission held a hearing in the Waitakere City Council Chambers on 19 September 2006 at which the Council and objectors were given the opportunity to speak. On 19 December 2006 the Local Government Commission announced its decision on Waitakere City Council's membership, ward, and Community Board arrangements for the 2007 Triennial Elections, as attached at pages A6 to A18.

After considering objections against the Council's Final Proposal, the Local Government Commission decided that the Council will comprise a Mayor and 14 Councillors elected as follows:

Wards	Population	Councillors	Population per Councillor	Deviation from city average population per Councillor	Percentage deviation from city average population per Councillor
Massey	49,890	4	12,472	-1080.75	-7.97%
Henderson	58,770	4	14,692	+1139.25	+8.4%
New Lynn	58,210	4	14,552	+992.25	+7.37%
Waitakere	24,990	2	12,495	-1057.75	-7.8%
TOTALS	191,900	14	13,552.75	Range: 12,336 - 15,077	

There will be a Community Board for each ward.

The Commission's decision differs from the Council's Final Proposal in the following ways:

- An extended area around and including Ranui remains in the Massey Ward;
- An additional area of Glendene is to be transferred from the Henderson Ward to the New Lynn Ward;
- The Waitakere Ward will be extended to more closely follow the area identified in the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area Bill (presently before Parliament), and will include Laingholm and part of Titirangi;
- Henderson, Massey and New Lynn Wards will be represented by 4 Councillors for each ward;
- The Waitakere Ward will be represented by 2 Councillors instead of 1.

A19 The boundaries for these 4 wards are indicated on the map attached to this agenda at page A19.

Massey Ward

A20 The Massey Ward, as indicated on the map attached to this agenda at page A20, will be the area generally encompassing Whenuapai, Hobsonville, West Harbour, Herald Island, Massey and Ranui (North of Universal Drive).

Henderson Ward

A21 The Henderson Ward, as indicated on the map attached to this agenda at page A21, will be the area generally comprising Western Heights, McLaren Park, Henderson, Lincoln (South of Universal Drive), Te Atatu South and Te Atatu Peninsula.

New Lynn Ward

A22 The New Lynn Ward, as indicated on the map attached to this agenda at page A22, will be the area generally comprising Green Bay, Titirangi (North of the Titirangi Road and Godley Road intersection), Kelston, Glen Eden, New Lynn and Glendene (up to and including most of Tirimoana Road).

Waitakere Ward

A23 The Waitakere Ward, as indicated on the map attached to this agenda at page A23, will be the area generally comprising Bethells/Te Henga, Waitakere Village, Swanson, Piha, Karekare, Oratia, Laingholm, Titirangi (South of the Titirangi Road and Godley Road intersection), Whatipu, and Huia.

The 4 Community Boards will cover the same areas as the Council wards.

The membership of the Community Board for each community shall comprise of 5 Elected Members plus 2 Members of the Council representing the ward concerned and appointed to the Community Board by Council.

Other Issues

1. Election Costs

One of the outcomes of the Commission's Determination has been to increase the number of voting paper combinations from 15 to 18 due to the inclusion of parts of more Licensing Trust wards in all Council wards apart from Massey Ward, which is unchanged in this respect. One of the new combinations in Henderson Ward covers only 130 electors. One of the existing combinations in Massey Ward covers only 40 electors. Each combination attracts additional set up costs of \$321 making a total additional cost of \$963.

2. Communities of Interest

Council's community of interest consultant has reviewed the outcome in the light of the previous exercise of identifying communities of interest. The final representation system (4 wards) is generally in-line with the earlier "4 ward model" developed through the communities of interest work, and as published as Option Two in the Council's informal consultation process in February 2006.

As proposed in the original "4 ward model", the non-urban Waitakere Ward generally covers that area zoned under the District Plan as Bush Living, Waitakere Ranges and Foothills, (plus Swanson). It generally covers the area involved in the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Area Bill.

The inclusion of the Titirangi area into the Waitakere Ward will need to be communicated to residents of that area.

The issues arising in the new Waitakere Ward are likely to be somewhat different from the current situation, given that at least half of the residents in the new ward live in the Titirangi area, which was previously part of the New Lynn Ward.

The main differences between the final "4 ward model" and the communities of interest work lies with the boundaries between the 3 urban wards. The urban ward boundaries appear to reflect a desire to ensure equal representation (4 Members per ward), rather than any particular communities of interest.

Of particular note are the following issues:

- While the boundary between the Henderson and New Lynn Wards was always hard to determine due to the lack of any distinctive natural boundaries in this area, pulling the boundary further north than proposed in Council's Final Proposal as has occurred in the decision was not advocated for in any responses to the informal options proposed by the Council, or in submissions on the formal proposal, as far as the consultant and the Electoral Officer are aware. With the boundary being so far north, it is likely that some residents in the northern Glendene area will be confused as to which ward they are in, given that Henderson town centre is closer than New Lynn, especially for residents in the Manhattan Heights, Kirby Street area.
- The Glendene town centre appears to be split between New Lynn and Henderson Wards, which may complicate some local management issues which often involve Community Board input (e.g. parking management, road signage, and any future town centre upgrade).

- The boundary between the Massey and Henderson Wards is somewhat different to that proposed in the informal and formal consultation processes. While Ranui as a whole is within the Massey Ward (as was proposed in the “3 ward option”), the Sturges area is now split between Massey and Henderson. The Commission’s Determination appears to include the northern side of the Sturges area (the Babich area, off the southern side of Metcalfe Road), as well as the area around the Paremuka Pond (Hillwell Drive) in the Massey Ward, while the rest of the Sturges area is in the Henderson Ward. Residents in the Sturges area who are in the Massey Ward are more likely to associate themselves with the rest of the Sturges areas and Western Heights and Henderson, rather than Ranui and Massey.

3. Possibility of Judicial Review

Section 19S(3) of the Local Electoral Act 2001 states that subject to schedule 5 of the Local Government Act 2002 the determination of the Commission made under s19R(1)(b) is final.

Schedule 5 of the Local Government Act 2002 provides that proceedings before the Commission are not invalid for want of form and no appeal lies from any decision of the Commission, though nothing prohibits or restricts the High Court from exercising a supervisory jurisdiction over the Commission on questions of law.

The decision of the Commission is challengeable only for some procedural defect or illegality in their decision making. At present, the Electoral Officer is not aware of any such defect in the decision. Section 19R as read with Section 19Q of the Local Electoral Act 2001 requires the Commission to consider all the information supplied to it by Council in reaching its decision, but otherwise the Commission is not restrained as to the decision it may reach. The extent of the Commission’s discretion in making determinations is illustrated by the previous Commission’s decision to introduce a combination of election at large and election by ward for Tauranga City Council, despite a referendum having clearly indicated a preference for elections at large

It is estimated that any challenge would cost approximately \$25,000. Any party challenging the decision would have no certainty of success.

In essence, although there is no way of knowing whether anyone will make a challenge, there is no readily identifiable defect in the determination, so all Council can do is act on the determination as given. If the determination is challenged, that is the Local Government Commission’s issue and Council would only be affected if the challenge is successful and the determination overturned. It is worth mentioning that the longer anyone delays before mounting a challenge, the less favourably the Court is likely to regard it. In fact, a successful challenge would have the effect of significantly impeding the election process; one can easily imagine the Court refusing to overturn the determination unless any defect in decision making by the Commission was of a very significant character.

Reporting to the Community Boards

At its meeting of 12 February 2007, the Finance and Operational Performance Committee by minute number 113/2007 received the report of the Electoral Officer, advising of the outcome of the Representation Review. The Finance and Operational Performance Committee also resolved, by the same minute number, that this report be forwarded to the New Lynn, Waitakere, Massey and Henderson Community Boards for their information. This report therefore includes all the information contained in the Electoral Officer’s Report to the Finance and Operational Performance Committee on 12 February 2007.

RESOURCES

No additional resources will be required for the Representation Review. However, additional costs of \$963 will be incurred in running the 2007 Triennial Election due to the increased number of voting paper combinations.

CONCLUSION

This report is brought to the New Lynn Community Board, for its information as resolved by the Finance and Operational Performance Committee, which has been delegated authority for electoral matters by Council.

RECOMMENDATION

That the Representation Review - Outcome report be received.

Report prepared by: Darryl Griffin, Electoral Officer and Charlie Inggs, Deputy Electoral Officer.



9 2007 WAITAKERE CITY COUNCIL ELECTIONS: EARLY PROCESSING AND ORDERING OF CANDIDATES' NAMES ON VOTING DOCUMENTS

PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

The purpose of this report is to advise the Community Boards of the Council's decision approving the early processing of the returned voting documents used at the next Waitakere City triennial elections, to be held on Saturday, 13 October 2007 and the order in which candidates' names are to be shown on the voting documents used at that election.

BACKGROUND

(a) Early Processing

Section 79 of the Local Electoral Act permits a local authority to process (but not count) returned voting documents over the voting period.

(b) Order of Candidates' Names on Voting Documents

Formerly, candidates' names were required to be listed on the voting documents in alphabetical order, by surname.

Clause 31 (1) of the Local Electoral Regulations 2001 now allows Council to decide whether the names are to be arranged on the voting documents in alphabetical order of surname, pseudo-random order or random order. In the absence of any Council resolution approving another arrangement, the candidates' names must be arranged in alphabetical order of surname.

STRATEGIC CONTEXT

Active democracy is one of Council's nine strategic platforms. The Triennial Elections are one of the most fundamental contributions that Council makes to this platform.

ISSUES

(a) Early Processing

Early processing of voting documents was introduced for the 1998 Waitakere elections (but restricted to 84 hours before the close of voting) and was used very successfully throughout the country. Because of the success of early processing in 1998 and the benefits which early processing provides, the early processing period was subsequently increased to the whole three-week voting period now provided for under the current legislation. The immediate benefit of adopting early processing is that much, if not all, of the cumbersome and time consuming task of extracting and checking the voting documents is undertaken progressively over the three week voting period (under strict security and under the supervision of a Justice of the Peace). This means a quicker and more accurate result can be achieved on polling day.

(b) Order of Candidates' Names on Voting Documents

The features of the three arrangements of alphabetical order of surname, pseudo-random order or random order are described below:

Arrangement 1 - Alphabetical Order of Surname

This is the order that has been required to be used at previous elections, and is self-explanatory. This arrangement has worked well in the past.

Arrangement 2 - Pseudo-Random Order*

Under this arrangement, the candidates names for each issue are placed in a hat (or similar receptacle) mixed together, and then drawn out of the receptacle, with the candidates' names being placed on all voting documents in that issue in the order in which they are drawn.

(*Note: Although the term "pseudo-random order" is used in the Local Electoral Regulations to describe this arrangement, this is a somewhat imperfect description, in that the term "pseudo-random" is understood by mathematicians and/or information technology specialists to have a quite different meaning.)

Arrangement 3 - Random Order

Under this arrangement, the names of the candidates for each issue are shown in a different order on each and every voting document, utilising software that permits the names of the candidates to be laser printed in a different order on each paper.

The Local Electoral Regulations 2001 provide that if a local authority has determined that pseudo-random order or random order is to be used, the Electoral Officer must state, in the public notice required to be given, the date, time and place at which the order of the candidates names will be arranged. Any person is entitled to attend while the arrangement is in progress.

RESOURCES

The cost of printing the voting documents employing either Arrangement 1 or Arrangement 2 will be identical. Should Council adopt Arrangement 3 (random order) there will be some increase in cost, because of the need to individually laser print each voting document. While it is not yet possible to give an estimate of the likely additional costs that will arise from this arrangement, they are not expected to be substantial.

CONCLUSION

(a) Early Processing

The Council is required to determine that it will permit early processing of returned voting documents over the voting period as allowed for under Section 79 of the Local Electoral Act.

(b) Order of Candidates' Names on Voting Documents

Formerly, candidates' names were required to be listed on the voting documents in alphabetical order, by surname. Council now has the option to continue with that arrangement or adopt a pseudo-random or random arrangement. The default position is that candidates' names must be arranged in alphabetical order of surname.

On 12 February 2007 the Finance and Operational Performance committee resolved:

1. *That the 2007 Waitakere City Council Elections: Early Processing and Ordering of Candidates' Names on Voting Documents be received.*
2. *That it be recommended to the Council that the returned voting documents for the 2007 Waitakere City elections be processed during the voting period, such early processing to be undertaken in accordance with Section 79 of the Local Electoral Act 2001, the Local Electoral Regulations 2001 and the Society of Local Government Managers' Code of Good Practice for the Management of Local Authority Elections and Polls.*
3. *That it be recommended to the Council that the order the names of the candidates at the 2007 Waitakere City elections be arranged on voting documents in alphabetical order of surname as the preferred recommendation from the following options:*

114/2007

The decision of the Council to be determined at the Council meeting scheduled for 28 February 2007 will be advised to the Board at the meeting.

RECOMMENDATION

That the 2007 Waitakere City Council Elections: Early Processing and Ordering of Candidates' Names on Voting Documents report be received.

Report prepared by: Darryl Griffin, Electoral Officer.



10 **ELECTED MEMBERS REMUNERATION - REMUNERATION AUTHORITY DETERMINATION 2007/2008**

PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

The purpose of this report is to advise the Community Boards of the distribution of the new pool available for the remuneration of Waitakere City Council Elected Members released by the Remuneration Authority for the period 1 July 2007 to 30 June 2008 and to determine whether the Board agrees with the Council's recommendation or not.

BACKGROUND

This is an annual process and the next new determination will be made available for the year commencing 1 July 2008.

The Council in previous workshops and meetings in 2005 and in 2006 has confirmed the basis for allocating the remuneration. This is required to be confirmed by a formal resolution and submitted to the Remuneration Authority for re-approval.

The Remuneration Authority is established and derives its delegations and functions from the Remuneration Authority Act 1977 and makes Determinations on local authority remuneration pursuant to clause 6 of Schedule 7 of the Local Government Act 2002.

Until the enactment of the Local Government (Elected Member Remuneration and Trading Enterprises) Amendment Act 2001 (the Act), Elected Members salaries and meeting allowances were set by a determination of the Minister of Local Government. That determination set maximum salaries and meetings allowances for Local Authority Elected Members. For each determination the remuneration was linked to the population of each Local Authority. Waitakere's determination was in the over 150,000 population category. Each incoming Council needed to resolve Elected Members salaries and meeting allowances up to the maximum permitted. Over the course of a triennium the Minister would revise the remuneration and the Council would need to consider the issue in light of any new determination.

The responsibility for setting Elected Members remuneration is now with the Remuneration Authority. The Authority is required to determine remuneration, allowances and expenses payable to all Elected Members, whilst having regard to:

- the need to minimise the potential for remuneration to distort the behaviour of Members;
- the need to achieve and maintain fair relativity with the levels of remuneration received elsewhere;
- the need to be fair to both the person whose remuneration is being determined and rate payers; and
- the need to recruit and retain competent persons.

The Remuneration Authority established remuneration for all Elected Members and all Local Authorities in 2002 following consultation on a discussion paper and the release of the first indicative pool. They have since released new pools on an annual basis and the Council has the opportunity to change the way it allocates remuneration each time a new pool is determined.

The Waitakere City Council has established the apportionment of that pool and this has been approved by the Remuneration Authority each year. The Community Boards also considered the Council's decision and made any representations to the Authority. After due consideration, the Remuneration Authority has approved the Council's apportionment of remuneration.

STRATEGIC CONTEXT

The Council is committed to increasing community participation and democratic processes. The way Elected Members are remunerated and the quantum of that remuneration will have considerable bearing on who can and who cannot stand for election. It has long been recognised that the role of an Elected Member can require a substantial investment of time and commitment and that this commitment can make it difficult to hold down either full or part time employment. The interests of a diverse and young community such as Waitakere are unlikely to be best served should the only candidates putting themselves forward for election be those who have private income available to subsidise the Council duties.

ISSUES

Consultation

Consultation must take place with Community Boards who have the opportunity to advise the Remuneration Authority whether they agree or disagree with the Council's determination. The Community Boards have also previously discussed the basis for allocating remuneration for Elected Members at Waitakere City and have generally agreed with the Council's decision.

The Remuneration Authority will make the final Determination.

Remuneration Pool and Salaries

The total remuneration pool is set by the Remuneration Authority and cannot be adjusted. The total remuneration pool established by the Remuneration Authority for this consideration is \$1,141,883 (last year the pool was \$1,078,343 and in 2005 it was \$1,026,872).

The Mayor's salary is set by the Remuneration Authority and cannot be adjusted. The Mayor's salary (including the value of any deduction for a motor vehicle) is included in the indicative pool although separately specified. The total salary payable to the Mayor is set at \$138,210 (last year \$132,151 and in 2005 \$126,457), inclusive of a motor vehicle. A deduction of 20% of the cost of the vehicle is made for full private use of the vehicle. This deduction is set by the Remuneration Authority.

A24-A29

A copy of the advice from the Remuneration Authority is attached at pages A24 to A29.

Half of the total sum payable to Community Board Members is deducted from the total remuneration pool and the other half is paid outside of the pool. The half outside the pool can be thought of as a "top up". Any further adjustment to the Community Board Chairs and Members salaries will necessitate an adjustment to the salaries payable to Councillors other than the Mayor.

In 2005 the Deputy Mayor and the Chairs of Standing Committees salaries were established as a percentage of the Mayor's approved salary. The Chairs of Committees were established as a percentage of a Standing Committee Chair.

All Community Boards were treated equally as a matter of principle and were assessed as a percentage of the Mayor's approved salary.

A30-A33

In 2006 the Council determined that all Elected Members should share the increase by an equal percentage (except the Mayor which is established as a fixed sum by the remuneration Authority). A percentage increase was applied across the range of salaries established in 2005. The same process has been followed in 2007 with all Elected Members salaries (except the Mayor's) being increased by the same percentage movement. The calculation spreadsheet is attached at pages A30 to A31. For comparative purposes a calculation spreadsheet showing the remuneration distribution on the same basis as the 2005 calculation is attached at pages A32 to A33.

Payments to appointed Community and Iwi/Maori representatives on Committees are outside the indicative pool. These payments are matters that lie with the Council and are not within the Remuneration Authority's brief. Waitakere City Council has set a salary for the Chair of Te Taumata Runanga and meeting fees as appropriate for appointed Members to Te Taumata and any other Committees of the Council. The Council has also determined that the representative from Te Taumata Runanga on the City Development Committee be paid the same hourly rate as for Hearing Commissioners - currently \$60 per hour of meeting and workshop time. Any alteration to the determination made by the Council on this remuneration will not affect the indicative pool established by the Remuneration Authority for Waitakere. The percentage movement applied to the salary

for the Chair of Te Taumata Runanga is the same as the movement in the salaries for Elected Members.

No changes are proposed to the way in which payments are claimed or made.

Any payments to Elected Members for Resource Consent Hearings are outside the pool.

Expenses, including mileage allowances, are outside the pool, although the actual amounts/rates that can be claimed are set by the Remuneration Authority.

This report also recommends amounts/rates for all allowances and reimbursement of expenses for submission to the Remuneration Authority. The only significant changes are reductions in cell phone and broadband as the rates for plans most appropriate for Council use have been reduced. All Elected members should change their broadband plan to Xtra Broadband Basic if they wish to receive full compensation.

Should the Council wish to, it may request the Remuneration Authority to reduce the remuneration pool. This requires a unanimous vote of the Council.

Expenses

The Council should review the expenses currently approved by the Remuneration Authority with a view to recommending any changes. It is suggested that the retention of monthly allowances for Elected Members to cover the cost of technology and communications associated with Council business continue and that Elected Members be required to claim those allowances on their monthly claim forms. The Council has determined to review the provision of cell phones as an alternative to the payment of monthly allowances.

Elected Members may also incur expenses that arise as a direct result of carrying out the role of an elected representative. Some of those expenses not covered by allowances already paid may be claimable as an expense reimbursement through Council. Other expenses may be claimable in an annual tax return.

Elected Members need to be aware of their responsibility for all taxation issues. Each will need to keep evidence of expenditure incurred for which the appropriately selected reimbursement allowances are claimed in case Inland Revenue seeks justification of the claim.

The Remuneration Authority have jurisdiction to make the determination and have requested representations from the Council. Consequently Elected Members have no pecuniary interests in voting on salaries and personal allowances. Elected Members will be advised when the determination has been made. Each Elected Member will have a choice whether to claim payment of allowances, part claim or not to make any claim at all. With respect to salaries, Elected Members are required to take the amount that is determined by the Remuneration Authority.

Meeting Allowance Rates

No meeting allowance rates will be payable except for appointed Members to Council Committees and Subcommittees and in respect of Members acting as Hearing Commissioners. The meeting allowance rate has been adjusted by the equivalent movement to remuneration levels.

On 14 February 2007, the Council resolved:

- “1. That the Elected Members Remuneration - Remuneration Authority Determination 2007/2008 report be received.

2. *That the Council recommends the following salaries for Elected Members to the Remuneration Authority:*
 - *Deputy Mayor – \$77,527,*
 - *Chairs of Standing Committees*
 - *(City Development, Planning and Regulatory and Finance and Operational Performance Committee) - \$74,003,*
 - *Chairs of Other Committees:*
 - *Chair of Hearings, Projects Special and Culture, Arts and Events Special Committees - \$62,902,*
 - *Chair Emergency Services Committee - 0, Chair Performance Review Committee - 0, Chair Tenders Subcommittee - 0, Chair Long Term Council Community Plan and Annual Plan Special Committee - 0,*
 - *Other Councillors \$50,928,*
 - *Community Board Chairs - \$23,963,*
 - *Community Board Members - \$12,334.*
3. *Resource Consent hearing fees will be paid in accordance with the determination of the Remuneration Authority (currently \$75 per hour of meeting time for the Chair and \$60 per hour of meeting time for the Members).*
4. *The following reimbursements and expenses be recommended to the Remuneration Authority:*

That Councillors and Community Board Members receive a monthly allowance to cover reimbursement of the following costs incurred as appropriate:

- *Reimbursement of one domestic line rental and one domestic line maintenance charge related to telephone, fax and email operation and the call minder facility - \$52 (currently \$46).*
 - *Reimbursement of one cell phone on the basis of the best Call Plan available to Councillors including the Call Minder facility - \$40 (currently \$35) when a cell phone is made available to be used on Council business.*
 - *Reimbursement of the best flat rate monthly fee available to the Councillors for a Broadband connection suitable for Council business operations - \$39.95 based on the Xtra Plan for 1GB download per month (currently \$69) (available to Community Board Chairs but not currently available to Community Board Members).*
5. *That Councillors and Community Board Members claim reasonable business related core costs on telephone or cell phone on a monthly basis provided they are supported by presentation of an account detailing to whom the calls have been made.*
 6. *That Councillors be paid a flat monthly fee of \$20 to cover all consumables (not currently available to Community Board Members) for computers including lap tops, printers, facsimiles etc.*
 7. *That Councillors will be supplied with either a personal computer at home or a lap top if necessary. Those Councillors who provide their own computer equipment be paid an additional monthly allowance of \$50 (not currently available to Community Board Members).*
 8. *That no other telephones, fax machines or cell phones will be provided to Elected Members except that the Mayor and Deputy Mayor will be provided with a cell phone and the Council will pay for all expenses except private calls (except see 14 below).*

9. *That when on approved Council business all actual or related expenses will be met by the Council.*
10. *That the rate for reimbursing an Elected Members for travel, using their own motor vehicle on Council business is the maximum set by the Remuneration Authority – (currently \$0.70 per kilometre) and the Council also pays the approved rate to appointed Members. Any infringement fees e.g. parking and speeding infringements are by law the responsibility of the offender.”*

144/2007

“That the Chair of Te Taumata Runanga not be paid a salary but instead be paid a pro-rata meeting allowance for attendance at and Chairing the meetings of Te Taumata Runanga of \$21,474 per year divided across the eleven scheduled monthly meetings of Te Taumata Runanga.’

145/2007

- “1. *That the non-elected Te Taumata Runanga representative appointed to the City Development Committee be paid a meeting fee aligned with those fees payable for Resource Consent hearings members (refer to clause 3 of this resolution) (currently \$60 per hour of meeting and workshop time).*
2. *That all appointed non-elected Members of Council Committees (except the Te Taumata Runanga representative to the City Development Committee) and subcommittees except the Hearings Committee be paid a meeting fee of \$221.”*

146/2007

“That Council seeks from the Remuneration Authority the ability to issue Councillors with Council cell phones as an alternative to the current regime of an allowance payment.”

147/2007

CONCLUSION

The New Lynn Community Board is required to consider the Council’s proposal and advise whether it agrees with the proposal. If it disagrees it may make representation to the Remuneration Authority.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. That the Elected Members Remuneration - Remuneration Authority Determination 2007/2008 report be received.
2. That the Community Board, in reference to the Remuneration Authority Determination 2007/2008:
 - a) Agrees with the Council decision, 14 February 2007, resolution 144 to 147/2007; or
 - b) Disagrees with the Council decision, 14 February 2007, resolution 144 to 147/2007 and will make a submission to the Remuneration Authority in this regard.

Report prepared by: Darryl Griffin, Group Manager: Democracy and Support Services.



11 NEW ZEALAND COMMUNITY BOARDS' CONFERENCE

PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

The purpose of this report is to obtain nominations of Members of the New Lynn Community Board to attend the 2007 Community Boards' Conference (the Conference), to be held at the Telstra Clear Events Centre in Manukau City from 7 to 9 June 2007, and to provide an opportunity for the New Lynn Community Board to submit an entry for the 2007 Community Board Awards.

BACKGROUND

The New Zealand Community Board's Executive Committee is recognised by Local Government New Zealand as an advisory committee on Community Board matters.

All local authorities are members of Local Government New Zealand and it is Local Government New Zealand's role to represent the national interests of the sector. Community Boards are acknowledged by Local Government New Zealand as an integral part of the sector.

The first Conference was held in Christchurch in March 1997 and these have been held every two years since then. In addition, a Community Board's Forum was held in Lower Hutt in July 2005.

To encourage Community Boards to excel, a variety of Community Board Awards are made at each biennial Conference.

STRATEGIC CONTEXT

Ensuring Elected Members know and understand their role and the parameters in which they can most effectively govern the City, contributes to all the Community Outcomes identified by the Council in its Long Term Council Community Plan and supports in particular, the Active Democracy platform. The provision of training, including that available at the Community Board's Conferences assists that contribution.

ISSUES

The Conference will be held at the TelstraClear Pacific Events Centre, Manukau City, from 7 to 9 June 2007, with the theme - "Leading to Safer Communities". Early Bird Registration has been set at \$500 plus GST. Initially, the cut off date for early bird registrations was set at 1 March 2007. This has now been extended up to 31 March 2007.

Expressions of interest were sought from Community Board Members who wish to attend in December 2006. The New Lynn Community Board was reminded of this through the Committee Secretary's report to its February 2007 meeting and asked to advise of any changes to the indications they gave in December 2006 as to their interest in attending. Accordingly, WD Buchanan, EG Francke, GPJ Marshall and P van der Voort all indicated their interest in attending.

All Community Board Members have been notified of the criteria for entry into the 2007 Community Board awards, which will be awarded at the Conference.

A34-A39

A copy of the registration form and programme are attached to the Agenda report at pages A34 to A39. Full conference information is available on the Local Government New Zealand website and a registration brochure will be available in early March 2007.

RESOURCES

Despite the holding of the Community Board's Forum in Lower Hutt, and Council making a commitment of \$5,000 towards the cost of the Conference as co-hosts, sufficient funding can be identified from the Elected Members component of the 2006/2007 Annual Plan to meet the registration fees of all Members wishing to attend. However, the funding available is insufficient to meet any overnight accommodation costs (which, in terms of normal Council practices would not normally be provided for an Auckland based conference). Arrangements will be made to assist Members with shared fleet transport to travel to the Conference on a daily basis and any use of Members private transport for this purpose will be reimbursed. Members are entitled to make their own personal arrangements for overnight accommodation, but these can not be refunded.

CONCLUSION

The New Lynn Community Board is requested to nominate its Members who will be attending the Conference, to be held at the Telstra Clear Events Centre, Manukau City from 7 to 9 June 2007. The New Lynn Community Board is also asked to indicate its intention as to whether it wishes to submit an entry for the 2007 Community Board Awards.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. That the New Zealand Community Boards' Conference report be received.
2. That WD Buchanan, EG Francke, GPJ Marshall and P van der Voort be nominated to attend the New Zealand Community Board's Conference to be held at the Telstra Clear Events Centre, Manukau City from 7 to 9 June 2007.
3. That the New Lynn Community Board *either*:
 - a. Indicates its intention to submit an entry in the 2007 Community Board Awards, and authorise the Chairman to approve the final format of the entry; **or**
 - b. Indicates that it does not wish to submit an entry for the 2007 Community Board Awards.

Report prepared by: Charlie Inggs, Democracy and Governance Team Manager.



12 WAITAKERE EVENTS UPDATE

PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

The purpose of this report is to update Members of the New Lynn Community Board on events happening within the New Lynn Ward area and on events elsewhere in the city.

BACKGROUND

A regular report on events is presented to the Culture, Arts and Events Special Committee, the last such report being tabled in December 2006. It was also felt that, given the number and importance of events occurring within the City that a six-monthly report would be made to each of the Community Boards on events occurring within their jurisdiction and on events happening citywide.

Council continually receives Annual Plan submissions and sponsorship requests for a variety of events, parades and festivals and where possible Council staff work with and seed fund as many events, which comply with Council's strategic direction, as is possible within the constraints of the events budget (\$60,000). Council officers work in liaison with the wider community in the planning and staging of such events, and also offer advice to event organisers around running successful events, including health and safety, event logistics and sourcing of event providers.

With the recent adoption of the Events Strategy the focus of the events team is now on setting up an action plan to introduce the findings of the Events Strategy and to educate event managers on how Council is able to assist them with their events.

There are a number of Long Term Council Community Plan targets which events are measured against throughout the year. The current measurement criteria are:

Events (includes Civic, Community, Art, Cultural and Regional events)	To Design, Manage and Deliver Events	Total Number of Events Run and Total Number of Attendees (Audience)	25 Events and 85,000 Attendees or more in 2006/2007
		Residents satisfaction survey of citywide events and/or on site survey	80% of attendees surveyed rate the events acceptable, very good or excellent per annum

STRATEGIC CONTEXT

The events portfolio is seen as supporting Council's First Call for Children commitments and also supporting the promotion of social, economic, environmental and cultural well being of communities, as defined through the Local Government Act and Council's own Long Term Council Community Plan.

ISSUES

The table below includes a brief update on significant Council and Council sponsored/partnered events held in the New Lynn Ward from October 2006 to February 2007.

In addition to working on or in support of events, Council officers have been involved in bidding and planning phases for two major international sporting events which have recently being awarded to the City, namely the World Netball Cup and the Equinox World Junior Badminton Championships, both of which will be hosted at The Trusts Stadium. In addition to this Council officers are also assisting regional workstream's around the Rugby World Cup in 2011.

Event	Date	Comments
Moon Festival	6/7 October 2006	7,000 visitors. A very successful event which is growing year by year. It was very pleasing to note that this year visitors came from as far away as the Eastern Suburbs to watch and participate. Venue constraints now mean that planning for next year's event will also include some work around alternative venues. Council's contribution to this event was \$14,000 and staff/management time.

Lopdell House 20 th Celebrations	12 November 2006	Celebrations by Lopdell House celebrating 20 years as a Regional Gallery. This event was well attended by locals and visitors alike, and attendance numbers were estimated at 500 people.
New Lynn Xmas Parade	18 November 2006	The annual New Lynn Christmas parade, which was as usual well supported by local businesses enjoyed by many locals and visitors. Council contributes a small amount of cash to assist with marketing of the event and picks up the cost of traffic management around the parade.
Glen Eden Xmas Parade	18 November 2006	One of the smaller Christmas Parades in the City but none-the-less well supported by locals. Because it is traditionally held on the same day as New Lynn's we tend to get a cross over of audience who travel from one parade to the other. Like the New Lynn parade, Council supports with some marketing funds and traffic management costs.

In addition to these events which Council has a direct involvement in, there have been a number of events at the Playhouse Theatre in Glen Eden and at the regional gallery at Lopdell House in Titirangi.

An events listing of upcoming events is now e-mailed to all Community Board Members each month. Significant events that are scheduled for the New Lynn area for the balance of summer are:

- 3 March World of Life Touch Tournament at Crum Park;
- 4 March EcoDay at Olympic Park;
- 25 April Anzac Services at Waikumete Cemetery;
- 28 April Watchmen in the City at Olympic Park.

RESOURCES

Council currently has a general events fund in 2006/2007 of \$60,000 which is allocated across the City for small events which fit the Council's strategic direction. In addition to these separate budget lines are in place for the larger city events such as the Diwali Festival or Moon Festival. In 2006/2007 a \$130,000 events bid budget is also in place and this has been used to secure international and world class events for the City and its residents.

CONCLUSION

Overall Council officers are pleased with the number and diversity of events and with the number of people participating at events. Council officers will continue to work with event organisers to ensure that the processing of their regulatory requirements around holding events (licensing requirements, road and traffic safety requirements, booking of parks, etc) is as smooth and painless as possible for them and that Waitakere is seen as an event friendly place.

RECOMMENDATION

That the Waitakere Events Update report be received.

Report prepared by: Barbara Cade, Events & Special Projects Manager



13 **KEEP WAITAKERE BEAUTIFUL 2007 TREES FOR BABIES**

PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

The purpose of this report is to seek approval from the New Lynn Community Board for the park within the New Lynn Ward recommended as this year's site for the annual Trees for Babies community planting and also requests the nomination of a representative from the Community Board to speak on the day.

BACKGROUND

Trees for Babies is an annual community event to celebrate babies born in Waitakere. Trees have been planted by the community in one reserve in each of the four wards as part of a Keep Waitakere Beautiful Trust, Plunket and Council joint project for the past 12 years. This is a popular community event with approximately 100 trees planted in each reserve every year.

STRATEGIC CONTEXT

Trees for Babies is now in its 12th year, evidence of both the popularity of this event, and the ongoing long term partnership between the Keep Waitakere Beautiful Trust and Council. Trees for Babies is an active reflection of the Strong Communities platform, as well as the Green Network platform, within the Long Term Council Community Plan. Trees for Babies exists because both parties recognise the long-term value in establishing community ownership, and participation in community-based environmental initiatives. Trees for Babies also adds to the Green Network, providing habitat and "highways" for wildlife and enhanced recreational areas for people.

ISSUES

The 'Trees for Babies' event for the New Lynn Ward is proposed to take place on Saturday, 5 May from 11am to 1pm and the recommended park is Olympic Park. A map showing the proposed location within the park of the planting will be presented at the meeting.

The park has been recommended following consultation with the Keep Waitakere Beautiful Trust Board and Waitakere City Council Parks staff. The park recommended has been assessed for suitability and has adequate size and space to accommodate large areas of big tree planting.

Community consultation was carried out with visits to other park users such as the soccer, gymnasium and bowling clubs. There are no immediate neighbours apart from EcoMatters Trust who are supportive of the proposal.

The event will be community focused with family orientated activities and guest speakers. A Community Board Member is requested to speak at the event.

RESOURCES

Funding for 'Trees for Babies' has been approved through the 2006/2007 Annual Plan (\$20,500), and is one of the key programmes funded by Council through Keep Waitakere Beautiful Trust.

CONCLUSION

The 'Trees for Babies' event has been held successfully since 1995 to celebrate the birth of babies in Waitakere. The park recommended for 'Trees for Babies' for 2007 has been selected based on its suitability for a 'Trees for Babies' event following consultation with the Keep Waitakere Beautiful Trust Board and Waitakere City Council Parks staff.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. That the Keep Waitakere Beautiful Trust 2007 Trees for Babies report be received.
2. That Olympic Park be accepted as the confirmed venue for the 2007 'Trees for Babies' event in the New Lynn Ward.
3. That an Elected Member from the New Lynn Community Board be nominated to speak at the 'Trees for Babies' event.

Report prepared by: Estée Farrar, Parks and Community Projects Coordinator



14 KONINI SCHOOL, WITHERS ROAD, GLEN EDEN - NEW NO PARKING RESTRICTIONS

PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

The purpose of this report is to seek the New Lynn Community Board's approval for a new No Stopping parking restriction between 8.45 am - 9.15 am and 2.45 pm - 3.15 pm, and a school drop off area on Withers Road, Glen Eden.

BACKGROUND

A request has been received from the Konini School Principal and the School Board of Trustees to install a new No Stopping parking restriction between 8.45 am - 9.15 am and 2.45 pm-3.15 pm, and for a drop off and pick up zone in the cul de sac area by the school entrance. This new restriction will help with the morning and afternoon traffic coming into Withers Road to drop off the school children. The new No Stopping parking restriction will ensure that the street no longer gets congested during these times.

STRATEGIC CONTEXT

Council's 'Integrated Transport and Communication' platform provides the strategic context for this report. The vision is for public transport and communications systems that provide fast, effective services, and for city travel facilitated by integrated, environmentally responsible, and innovative design, with a focus on meeting the essential needs of all, for access, communication, and safety.

No Stopping restrictions can be applied to ensure efficient, safe movement on roads by keeping traffic lanes and visibility lines clear.

ISSUES

Konini School has expressed concerns over the traffic queues during drop off and pick up times in the cul de sac outside the school entrance in Withers Road from Paewai Road. Currently parents are parking on both sides of this section of Withers Road to drop off or pick up their children; this narrows the street down to one lane. This portion of Withers Road is currently unrestricted.

The occupants of properties that will be directly affected by the proposed parking restrictions were consulted regarding the proposed changes. There was one response received who had no objection to the proposal and also suggested the carpark just down the road could also be used as an alternative place for parents to park.

A40 The locations of the proposed new parking restrictions are shown on the aerial photograph in attached at page A40.

Decision Making

This proposal is presented with due consideration of relevant criteria, as promulgated in Section 77 of the Local Government Act 2002. The principal community outcome to be derived is an improvement in the level of traffic management resulting in an increase in safety and convenience for residents and visitors of the City.

RESOURCES

The proposed New No Stopping Parking Restrictions can be implemented under the 2006/2007 Annual Plan maintenance budgets.

CONCLUSION

The proposed new No Stopping parking restriction and the proposed drop off and pick up P5 At All Times parking restriction is recommended to ensure that traffic runs smoothly and safely during before and after school.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. That the Konini School, Withers Road, Glen Eden - New No Parking Restrictions report be received.
2. That in relation to **WITHERS ROAD, GLEN EDEN:**
 - (a) That, in accordance with the powers conferred by virtue of the Local Government Act 1974, the Land Transport Act 1998, the Transport Act 1962 and the Waitakere City Council Bylaw No.7, 1991 - Traffic, the following controls be now resolved to be specified and imposed, namely,
 - (i) On the east kerb line of **WITHERS ROAD** starting from the Paewai Road intersection and extending southwest for approximately 80metres a new **NO STOPPING** restriction be put in place between the hours of 8.45am-9.15am and 2.45pm-3.15pm.
 - (ii) On the northwest kerb line of **WITHERS ROAD** starting from the school entrance boundary and extending north for approximately 20metres a new **P5 AT ALL TIMES** Drop off and Pick up zone be put in place.

3. That the appropriate signage and/or road markings, in accordance with the provisions of Land Transport Rule: Traffic Control Devices 2004 – Rule 54002 hereby be approved to be put in place to properly establish, delineate and record the said parking limitations and controls.

Report prepared by: Jane Harris, Transport Technician.



15 **TOTARA AVENUE, NEW LYNN - BUS STOP FORMALISATION**

PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

The purpose of this report is to seek the New Lynn Community Board's approval to formalise the bus stop in Totara Avenue, New Lynn.

BACKGROUND

The existing bus stop sign on Totara Avenue nearest Memorial Avenue no longer exists as a traffic sign under new legislation and is therefore not enforceable. The Parking Services Manager has advised that this sign needs to be updated to a standard Bus Stop sign to ensure it can be legally enforced when and as required.

STRATEGIC CONTEXT

Council's 'Integrated Transport and Communication' platform provides the strategic context for this report. The vision is for public transport and communications systems that provide fast, effective services and for city travel facilitated by integrated, environmentally responsible and innovative design, with a focus on meeting the essential needs of all, for access, communication, and safety.

Correctly marked and sign posted bus stops are desirable to both notify public transport users of the pick up and drop off locations for bus services and to keep these locations clear of other vehicles.

ISSUES

The bus stop is used by buses replacing trains on the western rail line on Saturdays and Public Holidays, including every day over the four day Easter holiday break while the line is closed west of New Lynn for double tracking works. It is also used for short term positioning by buses awaiting a scheduled departure from New Lynn.

There is a significant problem with the taxi rank in front of this stop with taxis frequently spilling over the end of the taxi rank onto the bus stop, thereby impacting on the smooth operation of bus services. As the Council cannot legally enforce the current signed restriction, it is necessary to change this to a bus stop restriction to enable the bus stop to be appropriately enforced.

Auckland Regional Transport Authority and bus operators using the stop have been consulted with and strongly support making the bus stop legally enforceable.

Decision Making

This proposal is presented with due consideration of relevant criteria, as promulgated in Section 77 of the Local Government Act 2002. The principal community outcome to be derived is an improvement in the level of traffic management resulting in an increase in safety and convenience for residents of the City.

RESOURCES

The proposed bus stop formalisation can be implemented under the 2006/2007 Annual Plan road maintenance budgets.

CONCLUSION

The proposal to formalise the bus stop in Totara Avenue is recommended to allow continuity of public transport services.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. That the Totara Avenue, New Lynn - Bus Stop Formalisation report be received.
2. That in relation to **TOTARA AVENUE, NEW LYNN**:
 - (a) That all existing parking restrictions or limitations currently applicable to **TOTARA AVENUE** imposed by any prior resolution (including resolutions of any former authority), that are affected or superseded, or replaced by part (b) of this resolution, cease to have any force and effect as from the date of this determination, provided however that any current enforcement action by way of prosecution arising from, or infringement notice issued in relation to, any non-compliance with or breach of any such parking restriction or limitation be authorised to be concluded in the normal manner.
 - (b) That, in accordance with the powers conferred by virtue of the Local Government Act 1974, the Land Transport Act 1998, the Transport Act 1962 and the Waitakere City Council Bylaw No.7, 1991 - Traffic, the following controls be now resolved to be specified and imposed, namely,
 - (i) on the southern kerb line of **TOTARA AVENUE** starting from a point 50.5 metres west of the kerb line from the point where the kerb line meets the south western kerb line of **MEMORIAL AVENUE** and extending to a point a further 31 metres west along the kerb line, a bus stop restriction be put in place.
3. That the appropriate signage and/or road markings, in accordance with the provisions of Land Transport Rule, Traffic Control Devices 2004 – Rule 54002 be hereby approved to be put in place to properly establish, delineate and record the said parking limitation and restriction, and bus stop control.

Report prepared by: Jane Harris, Transport Technician.



16 WOODGLEN ROAD / WOODVALE ROAD, GLEN EDEN - ROUNDABOUT

PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

The purpose of this report is to seek the New Lynn Community Board's approval to install a new roundabout at the intersection of Woodglen Road and Woodvale Road, Glen Eden.

BACKGROUND

This intersection has a significant crash history relating to Woodglen Road vehicles losing control when approaching from both directions.

STRATEGIC CONTEXT

The Council's 'Integrated Transport and Communication' platform provides the strategic context for this report. The vision is for public transport and communications systems that provide fast, effective services, and for city travel facilitated by integrated, environmentally responsible, and innovative design, with a focus on meeting the essential needs of all, for access, communication, and safety.

Roundabouts can improve road user safety and reduce congestion at intersections with moderate to high traffic volumes by slowing vehicles entering the intersection and providing an effective means to share priority across all approaches to the intersection.

ISSUES

Description

Woodglen Road and Woodvale Road are both local roads. Woodglen Road has very long and straight approaches to the Woodvale intersection which is on a bend, and this is considered to contribute to the accident problems being experienced there.

Accident Statistics

A search of the Land Transport New Zealand database shows that there have been seven reported lost-control accidents here in the past ten years, including one serious injury and a fatality.

Proposed Works

The recommended solution is to install a small roundabout which will significantly reduce vehicle speeds on the bend. New street lighting will also be installed to clearly illuminate the roundabout at night. Access to adjacent properties is not significantly affected.

A41-A42 The location of the proposed changes is indicated at attachments A41 to A42.

Affected residents were consulted in January 2007, and no adverse responses were received.

Decision Making

This proposal is presented with due consideration of relevant criteria, as promulgated in Section 77 of the Local Government Act 2002. The principal community outcome to be derived is an improvement in the level of traffic management resulting in an increase in safety and convenience for residents of the City.

RESOURCES

The proposed works are estimated to cost around \$50,000 and can be funded from the 2006/2007 Annual Plan Minor Safety Works budget.

CONCLUSION

The installation of a roundabout is expected to address the loss of control accident problem currently being experienced at the Woodglen Road / Woodvale Road intersection.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. That the Woodglen Road / Woodvale Road, Glen Eden - Roundabout report be received.
2. That in relation to **WOODGLEN ROAD** and **WOODVALE ROAD, GLEN EDEN**:
 - (a) That all existing parking restrictions or limitations currently applicable to **WOODGLEN ROAD AND WOODVALE ROAD INTERSECTION** imposed by any prior resolution (including resolutions of any former authority), that are affected or superseded, or replaced by part (b) of this resolution, cease to have any force and effect as from the date of this determination, provided however that any current enforcement action by way of prosecution arising from, or infringement notice issued in relation to, any non-compliance with or breach of any such parking restriction or limitation be authorised to be concluded in the normal manner.
 - (b) That, in accordance with the powers conferred by virtue of the Local Government Act 1974, the Land Transport Act 1998, the Transport Act 1962 and the Waitakere City Council Bylaw No.7, 1991 - Traffic, the following controls be now resolved to be specified and imposed, namely,
 - (i) at the intersection of **WOODVALE ROAD** and **WOODGLEN ROAD** a new **ROUNDAABOUT** control be put in place.
 - (ii) on the northern kerb line of **WOODVALE ROAD** starting from a point 10 metres east of the eastern kerb line of **WOODGLEN ROAD** and extending to a point a further 15 metres east a new **NO STOPPING AT ALL TIMES** control be put in place.
 - (iii) on the southern kerb line of **WOODVALE ROAD** starting from a point 26 metres east of the eastern kerb line of **WOODGLEN ROAD** and extending to a point a further 15 metres east a new **NO STOPPING AT ALL TIMES** control be put in place.
3. That the appropriate signage and/or road markings, in accordance with the provisions of Land Transport Rule: Traffic Control Devices 2004 – Rule 54002 be hereby approved to be put in place to properly establish, delineate and record the said parking limitations and restrictions, and roundabout control.

Report prepared by: Duncan Campbell, Senior Transport Engineer



17 MARGAN AVENUE, NEW LYNN - NEW TRAFFIC ISLAND AND FLUSH MEDIAN

PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

The purpose of this report is to seek the New Lynn Community Board's approval to install a new traffic island on Margan Avenue and relocate a pedestrian crossing in the vicinity of the Manawa Wetlands (the Wetlands) to the east of Titirangi Road.

BACKGROUND

The New Lynn Community Board has requested a facility for pedestrians be installed on Margan Avenue in the vicinity of the Wetlands.

STRATEGIC CONTEXT

Council's 'Integrated Transport and Communication' platform provides the strategic context for this report. The vision is for public transport and communications systems that provide fast, effective services, and for city travel facilitated by integrated, environmentally responsible, and innovative design, with a focus on meeting the essential needs of all, for access, communication, and safety.

A traffic island provides a safe place for pedestrians to cross the road. No stopping At All Times controls can be applied to ensure efficient, safe movement on roads by keeping traffic lanes and visibility lines clear.

ISSUES

Description

Margan Avenue is a district arterial road and carries approximately 15,000 vehicles per day. It is a relatively straight road with a carriageway width of 11 metres, and runs between Titirangi Road and Astley Avenue.

The Wetlands are on the north side of Margan Avenue, 100 metres to the east of Titirangi Road. Immediately opposite the Wetlands footpath on the other side of Margan Avenue is a walkway which leads to Dolan Place and Denyer Place.

Pedestrians use the walkway and the Wetland as a natural traffic route and the proposed works will provide additional safety for pedestrians.

Proposed Works

The recommended solution is to install a traffic island to the west of the Wetlands, as well as painted flush median markings and broken yellow lines on the north side of Margan Avenue. The driveway at the rear of number 2 Margan Avenue needs to be widened to cater for large trucks turning left when exiting. The traffic island and flush median will provide protection for pedestrians standing in the middle of the road when crossing Margan Avenue in the vicinity of the Wetlands.

It was originally considered desirable to install a pedestrian refuge island with a gap for pedestrians to stand in. A key factor against it is that westbound traffic from the Titirangi Road intersection often queues in two streams well past the Wetlands walkway. It is undesirable to encourage pedestrians to cross two streams of queued traffic, as this can result in injuries to pedestrians. A traffic island that reduced Margan Avenue to a single lane in the vicinity would increase driver delays. The proximity of bus stops and driveways in the vicinity meant that the closest a pedestrian refuge island could be located to the Wetlands walkway is 70 metres to the east, which was not considered worth pursuing.

A43 The location of the proposed changes is indicated on attachment at page A43.

Affected residents were consulted in January 2007, and no adverse responses were received.

Decision Making

This proposal is presented with due consideration of relevant criteria, as promulgated in Section 77 of the Local Government Act 2002. The principal community outcome to be derived is an improvement in the level of traffic management resulting in an increase in safety and convenience for residents of the City.

RESOURCES

The proposed works are estimated to cost \$20,000 (excluding GST) and can be funded from the 2006/2007 Annual Plan Minor Safety budget.

CONCLUSION

The installation of a traffic island and flush median will assist pedestrians crossing Margan Avenue in the vicinity of the Wetlands.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. That the Margan Avenue, New Lynn – New Traffic Island and Flush Median report be received.
2. That installation of a traffic island in **MARGAN AVENUE, NEW LYNN** in a location 110 metres east of the intersection with **TITIRANGI ROAD**, be approved.
3. That installation of a flush median in **MARGAN AVENUE, NEW LYNN** as attached to the Agenda report be approved.
4. That in relation to **MARGAN AVENUE, NEW LYNN**;
 - (a) That, in accordance with the powers conferred by virtue of the Local Government Act 1974, the Land Transport Act 1998, the Transport Act 1962 and the Waitakere City Council Bylaw No. 7, 1991 - Traffic, the following controls be now resolved to be specified and imposed, namely,
 - (i) On the north kerb line of **MARGAN AVENUE** starting from a point 87.5 metres east of the east kerb line of **TITIRANGI ROAD** and extending to a point a further 48 metres east along the kerb line a new **NO STOPPING AT ALL TIMES** control be put in place.
5. That the appropriate signage and/or road markings, in accordance with the provisions of Land Transport Rule: Traffic Control Devices 2004 – Rule 54002 be hereby approved to be put in place to properly establish, delineate and record the said parking limitation and restriction.

Report prepared by: Duncan Campbell, Senior Transport Engineer



18 GREAT NORTH ROAD, NEW LYNN - NEW PEDESTRIAN ISLANDS

PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

The purpose of this report is to seek the New Lynn Community Board's approval for two new pedestrian islands on Great North Road, New Lynn.

BACKGROUND

A request has been received by the New Lynn Community Board for consideration of an additional pedestrian facility on Great North Road outside Lynmall.

STRATEGIC CONTEXT

The Council's 'Integrated Transport and Communication' platform provides the strategic context for this report. The vision is for public transport and communications systems that provide fast, effective services, and for city travel facilitated by integrated, environmentally responsible, and innovative design, with a focus on meeting the essential needs of all, for access, communication, and safety.

Pedestrian islands can be installed at the middle of the carriageway to provide a safe facility to stage the crossing distance at locations where considerable numbers of pedestrians cross the road.

ISSUES

In the past five years there has been one reported pedestrian accident in this stretch of road.

It has been observed that considerable numbers of pedestrians cross Great North Road between Memorial Drive and Veronica Street. The main destinations for shoppers are Lynnmall on the south side, and retail shops such as Dick Smith, Nandos, etc on the north side. Observations were carried out during morning and evening peak periods, as well as a Saturday morning shopping day. At least one potential conflict was observed where a pedestrian was crossing between queued traffic and had his back turned to a car driving along the flush median.

The two traffic signalised intersections at Memorial Drive and Veronica Street intersection are some 300 metres apart, so some form of pedestrian facility is desirable.

Mid-block Pedestrian Signals Option

Around 70 pedestrians per hour cross Great North Road within 50 metres of the Pizza Hut outlet, and based on indicative traffic volume data this would almost meet criteria for mid-block traffic signals. However, it was observed that the signalised intersections either side operate such that there are lengthy periods between groups of traffic, and delays for pedestrians crossing the road are more often than not insignificant. Therefore if pedestrian signals were installed it is likely that they would be ignored by the majority of pedestrians, although they would be more reassuring for the elderly as well as for those with young children. Signals would also not significantly reduce pedestrian delay as the cross phase would not be brought up when large amounts of traffic are coming from either direction.

Therefore at this stage, mid-block pedestrian signals are not considered to be justified.

Pedestrian Islands on the Flush Median

Two locations for traffic islands on the flush median have been identified that will improve pedestrian safety and amenity, and from observations will also not adversely effect operation of Great North Road to any significant degree.

Location A: This location is almost immediately opposite Pizza Hut, and is where the majority of pedestrians that were observed crossed the road here. For a pedestrian island to be provided, some P60 parking will have to be removed from the north side outside the Nandos shops to retain clear pedestrian sightlines. These parking spaces were not observed to be significantly utilised so should not be an issue to the adjacent shopkeepers.

Location B: Substantial numbers of pedestrians also cross the road in the vicinity of Dick Smiths. The large bus bay on the south side means that a formal pedestrian refuge facility with pram crossings is not feasible here, but a stand-alone pedestrian island would be beneficial for the protection of people standing on the flush median. Westbound queues from the Memorial Drive intersection can extend well past this location during the evening peak, and an island at this location would block access to the right-turn bay for vehicles wishing to turn right into Hugh Brown Drive. However, the number of vehicles this location would affect is very insignificant. Therefore a pedestrian island is recommended be installed here also.

A44-A46

The locations of the proposed two new pedestrian islands are shown on the aerial photograph and plans as attached at pages A44 to A46.

Decision Making

This proposal is presented with due consideration of relevant criteria, as promulgated in Section 77 of the Local Government Act 2002. The principal community outcome to be derived is an improvement in the level of traffic management resulting in an increase in safety and convenience for residents and visitors of the City.

RESOURCES

The proposed two new pedestrian islands outside Lynmall can be implemented under the 2007/2008 Annual Plan minor safety budget.

CONCLUSION

The proposed two new pedestrian islands outside Lynmall are recommended to ensure safety for pedestrians crossing the road.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. That the Great North Road, New Lynn - New Pedestrian Islands report be received.
2. That the installation of two new pedestrian islands in **GREAT NORTH ROAD NEW LYNN**, outside Lynmall, (plan number 15489 sheet 1 and 2) as attached to the Agenda at pages A44 to A46 be approved.
3. That in relation to **GREAT NORTH ROAD, NEW LYNN;**
 - (a) That, in accordance with the powers conferred by virtue of the Local Government Act 1974, the Land Transport Act 1998, the Transport Act 1962 and the Waitakere City Council Bylaw No. 7, 1991 - Traffic, the following controls be now resolved to be specified and imposed, namely,
 - (i) On the north kerb line of **GREAT NORTH ROAD** starting from a point 150 metres east of the east kerb line of **HUGH BROWN DRIVE** and extending east for a distance of 40 metres a new **NO STOPPING AT ALL TIMES** control be put in place.
4. That the appropriate signage and/or road markings, in accordance with the provisions of Land Transport Rule: Traffic Control Devices 2004 – Rule 54002 be hereby approved to be put in place to properly establish, delineate and record the said parking limitations and restrictions.

A44-A46

Report prepared by: Duncan Campbell, Senior Transport Engineer.



19 BOARD MEMBERS' REPORTS

Provision has been made on this agenda for Board Members should they so wish to submit a report on their activities during the month in regard to matters within the scope and delegations of the Board. However, to comply with the provisions of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987, no decision may be made on matters raised in Board Members' reports.

NEW LYNN COMMUNITY BOARD APPOINTMENTS

OUTSIDE ORGANISATIONS	APPOINTMENT
Auckland Region and Far North Community Board Association Executive Committee	Gayle Marshall Pim van der Voort (alternate)
Keep Waitakere Beautiful Committee	Elizabeth Francke
Waitakere Citizen Advice Bureau	Gayle Marshall
Glen Eden Community House Management Committee	Gayle Marshall
Green Bay Community House Management Committee	Pim van der Voort Sandra Taylor (alternate)
Council/Police Liaison Group	Elizabeth Francke Sandra Taylor
New Lynn Citizens Advice Bureau	Elizabeth Francke
Youth Advocacy Advisory Group	Gayle Marshall William Buchanan (alternate)
Waitakere Road Safety Steering Group	William Buchanan Elizabeth Francke (alternate)
COUNCIL COMMITTEES	
Hearings Committee	Elizabeth Francke Sandra Taylor (alternate)
Community Sports Fund Allocation Subcommittee	William Buchanan Gayle Marshall (alternate)
Long Term Council Community Plan and Annual Plan Special Committee	Pim van der Voort Gayle Marshall (alternate)
COMMUNITY BOARD SUBCOMMITTEE	
New Lynn Community Board Street Events Subcommittee	William Buchanan
Waitemata Harbour Foreshore Reserves Management Plan Joint Subcommittee	Elizabeth Francke Sandra Taylor

