



**AGENDA FOR A MEETING OF THE MASSEY COMMUNITY BOARD TO BE HELD IN  
THE CIVIC CENTRE, 6 WAIPAREIRA AVENUE, LINCOLN, WAITAKERE CITY,  
ON WEDNESDAY, 6 APRIL 2005, COMMENCING AT 7.30 PM.**

---

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<b><u>ITEM</u></b>		<b><u>PAGE NO.</u></b>
1	APOLOGIES	1
2	CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES	1
3	URGENT BUSINESS	1
4	PRESENTATIONS	2
	A    UPDATE REPORT ON WAITAKERE CITY	2
	B    NEW ZEALAND POLICE	2
5	PUBLIC FORUM	2
6	CHAIRPERSON'S REPORT	2
7	COMMITTEE SECRETARY'S REPORT	4
8	NEW ZEALAND COMMUNITY BOARDS' CONFERENCE	5
9	PROPOSED REVOCATION OF RESERVE STATUS AND SALE OF LAND AT REFLECTION DRIVE, WEST HARBOUR	7
10	ASSESSMENT OF WATER AND SANITARY SERVICES	9
11	PROPOSED 2005/2006 FOOTPATH CONSTRUCTION PROGRAMME	18
12	ANICH ROAD - NEW 'NO STOPPING' CONTROL	20
13	GALLONY AVENUE - NEW BUS STOP	22
14	BOARD MEMBERS' REPORTS	24

**AGENDA FOR A MEETING OF THE MASSEY COMMUNITY BOARD TO BE HELD IN  
THE CIVIC CENTRE, 6 WAIPAREIRA AVENUE, LINCOLN, WAITAKERE CITY,  
ON WEDNESDAY, 6 APRIL 2005, COMMENCING AT 7.30 PM.**

---

**1 APOLOGIES**



**2 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES**

Meeting Minutes - Wednesday, 2 March 2005

**RECOMMENDATION**

That the minutes of the Meeting of the Massey Community Board held on Wednesday, 2 March 2005, as circulated, be taken as read and now be confirmed.



**3 URGENT BUSINESS**

Section 46A(7) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 provides that where an item of business is not on the agenda, it may only be dealt with at the meeting if:

- (i) the Board by resolution so decides; and
- (ii) the Chairperson has explained at the beginning of the meeting (when open to the public) that the item will be raised for discussion and decision, why the item is not on the agenda, and why it cannot be delayed until a subsequent meeting.

The Board may make a decision on a matter determined to be urgent.

**NOTE:** Urgent business need not be dealt with now and may be delayed until later in the meeting.



**4**

## **PRESENTATIONS**

### **A UPDATE REPORT ON WAITAKERE CITY**

Mayor Bob Harvey and Deputy Mayor, Carolynne Stone will be presenting an update report on Waitakere City - "What's Happening and Where We are Headed in the Next Three Years."

### **B NEW ZEALAND POLICE**

Provision has been made on this agenda for a representative from the New Zealand Police to update the Board on matters in the Massey Ward.



## **5 PUBLIC FORUM**

For guidance of Community Board Members, the Council's Standing Orders have the following provisions in regard to Public Forum.

- (i) members of the public wishing to address the Board in Public Forum shall furnish their names to the Chairperson at the beginning of the meeting; and
- (ii) the Chairperson shall determine the order of speakers, and allow five minutes for speaking time;
- (iii) questions by members are to be confined to obtaining information or clarification on matters raised by the speaker.

Section 46A(7A) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 provides that no resolution, decision, or recommendation may be made in respect of any specific item of business not on the agenda except to refer the items to a subsequent meeting for further discussion. Therefore, no decision may be made on matters raised in Public Forum. However, written reports on matters raised may be requested from the Chief Executive Officer.



## **6 CHAIRPERSON'S REPORT**

Over the past month Rush Creek has again been in the news however this time it was a positive message with the Community holding a street party with help from Council and the formation of a local neighbourhood watch group. I understand over 120 people attended the street party and thanks to Councillor Cooper for being in attendance.

I would like to pay special tribute to Michael Mills, Council's Crime Prevention Projects Leader for the wonderful liaison work he has conducted in this area. Mike also chaired a successful meeting for the Luckens Road Reserve at the Marina View Primary School on 17 March; however it was unfortunate that no representatives from the Massey Community Board were present.

On Monday, 21 March Community Boards members Bob Jessopp, John Riddell, and I attended a meeting at the Don Buck Road Kindergarten re the issue of the drop off parking spaces outside the Kindergarten being removed due to the footpath being widened on the opposite side of the road. Adam Moller Council's Principal Transport Engineer Design was also present. This meeting had a successful outcome for the Kindergarten and nearby residents with Adam indicating that we may be able to retain the parking spaces by transferring the existing footpath to above the retaining wall and widening the road.

The Auckland Region and Far North Community Boards Association are requesting that Waitakere City nominate a Community Board representative to be the Waitakere City facilitator at its Association meetings. This person is responsible for giving a citywide update at the meeting on behalf of the Waitakere City Community Boards. I would like this board to nominate Gayle Marshall from the New Lynn Community Board, whom I understand will accept the nomination and I am sure she will do a great job.

It's that time of the year again for the annual plan submissions which are due in early May. I would like to propose that we hold a workshop to discuss and formulate the Massey Community Boards submission for the 2005/2006 year.

Lastly I was disappointed to hear that the connection between the Mangere Motorway and the Northwestern motorway has been postponed and could be at a minimum 10 years away. I believe this is a disgraceful decision from Transit New Zealand for Auckland and in particular Waitakere City. For one whom travel's the Northwestern motorway everyday and has experienced the gridlock I hate to think what it will be like in ten years time. I would urge all Local & National Auckland politicians to have some foresight into the future of our city and urgently lobby for this motorway link up to proceed within the next five to six years.





### **RECOMMENDATIONS**


1. That the Chairperson's Report be received.
2. That the Massey Community Board supports the nomination of Gayle Marshall to be the Waitakere City facilitator on the Auckland Region and Far North Community Boards Association.
3. That the Massey Community Board holds a workshop to discuss and formulate its annual plan submission for the 2005/2006 year.

Andrew Good  
**CHAIRPERSON**



**COMMITTEE SECRETARY'S REPORT**

Issue	Comments	Reporting Council Officer
<p>Westpark Marina - Various Issues</p> <p>3 April 2002 660/2002</p> <p>10 November 2004 1964/2004</p>	<p>Council is continuing discussions with Westpark Marina Limited to try and secure solutions to the various issues at the Marina, including boat trailer parking, car parking, together with parking restrictions and enforcement.</p>	<p>Rochelle Edwards  836 0893</p>
<p>Bus Shelters Armada Drive, Swanson Road and Glen Arden Road, Ranui</p> <p>1 December 2004 2204/2004</p>	<p>A report will be brought to the May meeting of the Board.</p>	<p>Paul Schischka  836 8000 Ext 8742</p>
<p>Rush Creek, Westgate</p> <p>1 December 2004 2205/2004</p>	<p>The Council's Road Safety Coordinator, and the Crime Prevention Projects Leader, are continuing discussions with Transit New Zealand regarding the installation of a pedestrian overbridge, grilling of the drain that is used as a pedestrian 'tunnel', and adequately fencing specific sections of the motorway, at Westgate, at the earliest opportunity.</p>	<p>Mike Mills  836 8000 Ext 8421</p>
<p>Anzac Day Parade Monday, 25 April 2005</p>	<p>The purpose of this report is to seek representation of a Board Member at the Massey Ward RSA Anzac Day Parade.</p> <p>In addition to the City's Dawn Parade at the Waikumete Cenotaph, each of the City's RSA's will host its own parade. The Council and the Community Boards have been invited to nominate official representatives to attend those parades. The Council, at its meeting held on Wednesday, 30 March 2005, will have appointed Councillors to attend the various parades in the City.</p> <p>The appointment of a Board Member to attend the Hobsonville Community Anzac Day Parade is now referred to the Board for consideration.</p> <p>Parade details are:</p> <p>Venue      Hobsonville RSA Address    114 Hobsonville Road               Hobsonville Time        11.00 am</p>	<p>Sharon Simiona  836 8000 Ext 8820</p>

Issue	Comments	Reporting Council Officer
Luckens Reserve Various Issues 1965/2004	A City Safety Liaison Group - Luckens Reserve has been established with one meeting been held on Thursday, 17 March 2005. Various issues regarding Luckens Reserve were worked through. Communication links between the Party's are now established by e-mail and phone to address any further incidents that arise. A copy of the minutes of the Liaison Group meeting have been circulated to Board Members for their information.	Mike Mills  836 8000 Ext 8421

### **RECOMMENDATIONS**

1. That the Committee Secretary's Report for 6 April 2005 be received.
2. That Massey Community Board nominate a representative to attend the Hobsonville RSA Anzac Day Parade at 11.00 am on Monday, 25 April 2005.

Report prepared by: Sharon Simiona, Democracy Support and Sister City Officer.



## **8 NEW ZEALAND COMMUNITY BOARDS' CONFERENCE**

### **PURPOSE OF THE REPORT**

This report seeks to give the opportunity to the Elected Member who attended the New Zealand Community Boards' Conference held in Dunedin on 3 - 6 March 2005 to report back to the Board.

### **BACKGROUND**

The New Zealand Community Boards' Executive Committee has now been recognised by Local Government New Zealand as an advisory committee on Community Board matters.

All local authorities are members of Local Government New Zealand and it is Local Government New Zealand's role to represent the national interests of the sector. Community Boards are now recognised by Local Government New Zealand as an integral part of the sector.

The first Community Boards' Conference was held in Christchurch in March 1997 and has been held every 2 years since then.

### **STRATEGIC CONTEXT**

Ensuring Elected Members know and understand their role and the parameters in which they can most effectively govern the City, contributes to all the Community Outcomes identified by the Council in its Long Term Council Community Plan and in particular, the Active Democracy Platform. The provision of training, including that available at the Community Boards' Conferences assists that contribution.

## ISSUES

The Dunedin Community Boards hosted this year's conference with the full support of the Dunedin City Council. Massey Community Board was represented by Gayleen Maurice as well as by Cr Peter Chan (who was also representing Mayor Bob Harvey). The other attendees from Waitakere City were:

- Wayne Bainbridge (Henderson Community Board)
- Christine Shepherd (Waitakere Community Board)
- Gayle Marshall (New Lynn Community Board)
- Sharon Davies (Waitakere Community Board)
- Charlie Inggs (Democracy and Governance Team Manager)

The organising committee provided a balanced programme of workshops and keynote speakers for both new and experienced Elected Members. The Conference provided the opportunity to network with other elected Members as well as learning from the keynote speakers and work sessions which included workshops on:

- Chairing of Meetings and Code of Conduct.
- Emergency Services working with Community Boards.
- Induction and Role for New Community Board Members.
- Making a Submission to the Local Government Commission.
- Making of Submissions to the Resource Management Act / Environment Court by Community Boards.
- Training of Members wishing to sit on Resource Consent Panels.
- Consultation and Significant Projects by Community Boards with reference to the 2005 Best Practice Awards.
- Facilitation and Partnerships for Community Boards with reference to the 2005 Best Practice Awards.

Keynote speakers included:

- Basil Morrison (President of LGNZ), Eugene Bowen (Chief Executive Officer LGNZ) and Yvonne Palmer (Chairperson Community Boards Executive).
- Murray Smith MP (United Future Party – Sponsor of the Local Government (Use of Community Boards) Amendment Bill).
- Jim Harland (CEO Dunedin City Council).
- Anne Carter (Department of Internal Affairs).
- Jonathan Salter (LGNZ Legal Advisor).
- Neil Brown (Civil Defence Emergency Management Manager, Dunedin City Council).
- David Benson-Pope (Associate Minister of the Environment).
- Kerry Marshall (Local Government Commission).
- Cr Leah McBey (Otago Peninsula Community Board).
- Nick Smith (National Party Spokesperson on Local Government).
- Pete Hodgson (Minister of Transport).

Active participation and questioning was encouraged. The 2005 Best Practice Awards were presented at a dinner held on the Thursday evening, which also allowed participants time for networking and sharing experiences.

Overall the conference appeared to be a success. The programme was managed in an extremely professional manner by the conference organisers. As Community Boards are very diverse in nature (ranging from populations of less than 400 to over 100,000) and composition, finding the appropriate level to pitch the workshops was no easy task, but by and large seems to have been achieved.

## RESOURCES

The funding requirement for airfares, accommodation and the conference fee was met from budgets provided for within the 2004/2005 Annual Plan.

## CONCLUSION

The Massey Community Board is requested to use the presentation of this report as an opportunity for Gayleen Maurice as well as Cr Chan, who represented the Massey Community Board at the Conference, to report back to the Board.

## RECOMMENDATION

That the New Zealand Community Boards' Conference report be received.

Report prepared by: Charlie Inggs, Democracy and Governance Team Manager.



## 9 PROPOSED REVOCATION OF RESERVE STATUS AND SALE OF LAND AT REFLECTION DRIVE, WEST HARBOUR

### PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

The purpose of this report is to seek the Board's recommendation to Council that certain reserve land have its reserve status revoked and that it be offered for sale by limited tender to the adjoining residential owners.

### BACKGROUND

A1-A2

When the residential land, around what is now known as Reflection Drive in West Harbour was first developed in 1987 the developer suggested that pedestrian access ways be formed at the cul-de-sac heads so as to provide pedestrian access to the still undeveloped land to the north. One of these access ways was Lot 50 on Deposited Plan 118891. The location of this land is shown on the diagram attached at A1 and the land itself is shown on the diagram at A2.

When the land to the north was eventually developed in 1995 the pedestrian access way was not extended through the new subdivision to Courtneys and therefore the existing access way became superfluous and its maintenance costs an unnecessary drain on Council resources.

The adjoining owner at the rear of the access way (13 Courtneys) had advised that he is not interested in purchasing this land but the owners of 41 Reflection Drive have expressed such an interest and wish to purchase the access way to annex to their existing property. The owners of 36 Reflection Drive have however, expressed a similar wish and attempts to mediate between the parties have unfortunately proved unsuccessful.

It is therefore proposed that these two owners be invited to tender for this land on the understanding that Council reserves the right to negotiate with the highest tenderer after obtaining a valuation of the land from a registered valuer. The question of payment of the costs incurred by the sale will be left open until the tender and valuation figures are known.

## STRATEGIC CONTEXT

Current parks strategy requires the provision of the diversity of reserves with an emphasis on public access and the principles of the Green Network. This small access way can no longer meet these requirements and is therefore not an appropriate public asset to maintain.

## LEGAL ISSUES

Section 24 of the Reserves Act 1977 allows a local authority by resolution to revoke the reserve status of any reserve after having given public notification of intention to do so, and giving members of the public one month to lodge written objections.

If objections are received they are forwarded together with the Council's resolution in relation thereto to the Department of Conservation for approval or otherwise of the proposed revocation of behalf of the Minister of Conservation. If there are no objections, the revocation nevertheless requires Ministerial approval.

Once the revocation has been completed the land may be sold. However the Local Government Act 2002 requires Council to give consideration to the views and preferences of persons likely to be affected by or have an interest in the proposed sale. It is considered that the only persons in this category of the three adjoining owners all of whom had been consulted at length.

## RESOURCES

This access way being a reserve asset and being part of the developer's original reserve contribution must, when sold, have the proceeds the sale paid into the reserves account.

Each party will probably pay their own costs but Council will reserve the right to call for a contribution from the purchaser towards its costs if the sale price is insufficient to cover these costs plus a reasonable margin.

## CONCLUSION

This access way reserve can no longer be used for access and is simply an unnecessary drain on Council finances. Its sale will provide funds to assist with general reserve maintenance.

## RECOMMENDATIONS

1. That the Proposed Revocation of Reserve Status and Sale of Land at Reflection Drive, West Harbour report be received.
2. That it be recommended to Council that the intention to revoke the reserve status of Lot 50 Deposited Plan 118891 be publicly notified and that in the absence of any objections the reserve status be revoked and the approval of the Minister of Conservation be sought to this revocation because the reserve can no longer be used for public access and therefore its maintenance is an unnecessary expense to Council.
3. That it be recommended to Council that after the revocation, Lot 50 Deposited Plan 118891 be offered for sale by tender to the two adjoining owners who have expressed interest therein and the final price be negotiated with the highest tenderer but having regard to the recommendation of Council's registered valuer.

Report prepared by: Maurice Hieatt, Solicitor.



## 10 ASSESSMENT OF WATER AND SANITARY SERVICES

### PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

The purpose of this report is to enable the Massey Community Board to consider the draft Assessment of Water and Sanitary Services and to recommend that the Massey Community Board makes a submission to Council on this Assessment.

### BACKGROUND

The Local Government Act 2002, Part 7, Sections 123 to 136 requires Council to undertake an Assessment of Water and Sanitary Services. In this context water includes drinking water, wastewater and stormwater; and sanitary services include cemeteries, crematoria, solid waste and public toilets.

Section 128 of the Act requires the Council to consider a holistic approach for the assessment and to consider a full range of options and technologies available to meet current and future demands for services.

In addition, the Auckland Regional Council's Air, Land and Water Plan has become operative and this has a major bearing on the environmental standards for water and sanitary services and hence costs.

It is essential that these issues are understood by the community as they will have a significant effect on the 2006/2016 Long Term Council Community Plan.

In order to enable Council to fully debate the issues raised by the Assessment of Water and Sanitary Services, a workshop was held during the Council meeting of 16 March 2005.

### STRATEGIC CONTEXT

The Council's *Three Waters* platform has the objective of establishing Waitakere as a centre of innovative water management. One of the success measures is that sustainable management solutions for stormwater, water and wastewater are achieved in all new development areas. The consideration of new technologies is fundamental to this achievement.

Planning for water, wastewater and stormwater services is included in the Sector Agreement developed with Rodney District Council, North Shore City Council and the Auckland Regional Council. This Agreement states that Sector partners will share technical knowledge and work co-operatively on innovative approaches for managing stormwater and wastewater.

Over the planning horizon greenfield expansion may occur in:

- Waitakere City Council's Northern Strategic Growth Area of Whenuapai, Hobsonville Peninsula, Hobsonville Corridor and Massey North, Red Hills and the western areas of Babich and Penihana;
- Rodney District Council's Kumeu and Riverhead townships; and
- North Shore City Council's Greenhithe area.

An integrated approach to development of these areas by all three Councils may have economic, environmental, cultural and social benefits to the Northern and Western Regions as a whole.

The Council's 2020 Vision for Zero Waste is that Waitakere will be a clean and attractive city that turns all its waste into resources. Thus the Assessment of Water and Sanitary Services should provide details of how Council aims to achieve its vision.

The provision of cemeteries, crematoria and public toilets support a number of Council's strategic platforms.

## ISSUES

The Local Government Act 2002 requires territorial local authorities to assess the provision of water-related and other sanitary services within its district.

Specific information required from the assessment for each identified community includes:

- A description of the services provided;
- An assessment of risks to communities relating to the absence of services;
- The quality, quantity and adequacy of services provided;
- The current and estimated future demand;
- The territorial authority's role and proposals for meeting these demands;
- The options available to meet the forecast demands and the suitability of each;
- The health and environmental impacts of discharges of stormwater and wastewater; and
- The extent to which public health is adequately protected.

The Local Government Act requires the first assessment to be completed by 30 June 2005 and that it be adopted using the special consultative procedure.

The Local Government Act Part 7 defines "Water Services" as all 3 waters: water supply, wastewater and stormwater. All forms of supply and delivery systems servicing 'communities' must be assessed as to adequacy of supply for present and future demands, and with respect to any risks to the supply and the associated community.

Much of the urban area is serviced by well-recorded and maintained reticulated pipe systems for all three waters. Asset and Catchment Management Plans contain most of the information required for assessment of these services, but the Act requires that the process still be carried out.

The outlying rural communities have been more difficult to assess as Council records are less complete and some, if not, most local systems are privately owned and maintained.

Examples of non-Council water services would include:

- Stand alone activities: schools, correction facilities and private schemes;
- Small rural and holiday settlements;
- Small lot rural-residential and bush living subdivisions; and
- Marae and other cultural settlements.

The Local Government Act Part 7 (in referring to the Health Act section 25) defines "Sanitary Services" as cemeteries, crematoria, public toilets and waste disposal.

Section 129 of the Local Government Act limits the extent of information, and the Council's efforts to obtain it, to:

- The significance of the information;
- The costs of, and difficulty in, obtaining the information;
- The extent of the territorial authority's resources; and
- The possibility of the local authority's direction under the Health Act to provide the relevant services.

Council must therefore use its best endeavours to make a full and balanced assessment, within the practical limitations of cost, time, resources and information availability, and must explain the extent to which the above factors have impacted materially on its completeness.

The full range of options and their environmental and public health impacts must be considered in the assessment. These options must include, but may not be limited to, the following:

- On-site collection and disposal methods;
- Greywater and stormwater reuse or recycling;
- Demand reduction strategies including public education and information, promotion of appropriate technologies, pricing strategies and regulation; and
- The full range of technologies available.

In line with the Council's strategic direction 70% of growth over the next 20 years will be in existing urban areas and it will be necessary to ensure adequate capacity for growth. The remaining 30% will be in greenfields such as Northern Strategic Growth Area.

Traditional planning is based on a 20 year planning horizon. However, as the lifecycle of infrastructure typically exceeds 50 years, short term planning (ie. up to 20 years) may compromise longer-term development and a 50-year planning horizon is now considered more appropriate.

Robust and flexible strategies that result in long-term management solutions meeting community outcomes and conforming to Council's Vision of sustainability as conveyed by its strategic platforms are required.

The assessment will also need to address cross boundary issues and incorporate integrated management solutions where appropriate.

The Assessment of Water Services takes into account the Auckland Regional Council's Variation to the *Proposed Auckland Regional Plan: Air, Land and Water* and the *Proposed Auckland Regional Plan: Coastal* that sets environmental standards for the performance of stormwater and wastewater networks.

A series of detailed reports has been prepared for the Assessment of Water and Sanitary Services. These will be available upon request for Elected Members and any members of the community who wish to obtain detailed information.

A3-A113

As well, a simplified version will be made available as a summary of the information, as attached at pages A3 to A4 and a Statement of Proposals is attached at pages A5 to A113.

### THREE WATERS

Waitakere City Council is currently investigating water cycle management options to service the Northern Strategic Growth Area of Hobsonville Peninsula, Hobsonville Corridor and Massey North.

In this context, Waitakere City Council is working with Rodney District Council and North Shore City Council to investigate technologies that can provide efficient and effective water, wastewater and stormwater services.

Although there is separate responsibility for the operation of the Council and Watercare Services Limited's water and wastewater networks, the networks operate in an integrated manner and modifications to one can have the potential to affect performance in the other. Hence there are mutual benefits in undertaking an appropriate level of joint planning and information exchange in relation to network performance, population forecasts, current and future flows and other relevant data.

The key challenges and issues facing Council's management of the Three Waters include the following:

- Growth;
- Protection of public health and environment;
- Affordability;
- Community partnerships;
- Climate change;
- New legislation and standards;
- Asset age and condition; and
- Achieving a regional approach.

In order to provide clear targets for Council's direction, it is proposed to seek community agreement on the targets for the Three Waters, including the following:

- Long term water use per capita, the target being to reduce domestic usage per person by 25% by 2025;
- The degree to which the community wishes to limit wastewater overflows, with a recommended target of 50% reduction by 2025;
- The degree of flood protection, with a recommended target of ensuring that no habitable buildings are flooded by 2025;
- The standards for environmental protection of streams, rivers, lakes, wetlands, aquifers and harbours, with a recommended target of complying with the Air, Land and Water Plan requirements by 2025; and
- The affordability of any agreed targets.

The methods that are recommended for adoption by Council include the following:

- Pricing and funding of the Three Waters, including increasing the frequency of water billing to a 3-monthly cycle and investigating tariffs for water, wastewater and stormwater;
- Work programmes to achieve economic growth from the investment in 3 waters technologies;
- Education and information programmes;
- New bylaws for water, wastewater, stormwater and trade waters;
- Implementation of new technologies;

- Water sensitive design;
- Northern Strategic Growth Area options;
- Water conservation and demand techniques;
- Infiltration and inflow control, including requiring private drains to be watertight;
- Management of wastewater systems, including on-site systems;
- Stormwater treatment techniques;
- Mitigation of vehicle pollution;
- Flood control management; and
- Promoting changes to the Building Act and the District Plan.

These methods are further detailed in the Statement of Proposals.

North Shore City Council will be seeking a declaratory judgement on its ability to charge for wastewater on the basis of water used by consumers. This would enable Council to establish wastewater as a self funding account on the same principles as water supply if so desired by Council. North Shore City Council has requested Council's support for this process and it is recommended that authority be given to support the declaratory judgement.

## **SOLID WASTE**

The solid waste assessment is a summary of current waste services, a forecast of future demands and an assessment of options to meet future demand for waste services. The report concludes that, as far as the Council is aware through complaints registers, there are no major public health issues associated with the provision and operation of the various existing solid waste services in the City.

The range and extent of solid waste services that will be required in the future will be influenced by various factors including:

- Population and industry growth and trends;
- National and regional influences;
- Zero Waste vision; and
- Regional landfill capacity.

A basic analysis of Auckland Region's waste disposal landfill capacity suggests that there is sufficient capacity to continue to handle reuse from the Refuse Transfer Station for at least the next 10 years. However, it is essential that Council progresses its Zero Waste strategy to minimise the need for landfills once the capacity starts to run out.

In order to achieve the Zero Waste vision, there will be numerous initiatives and services which will begin to be introduced and implemented over the next ten or so years. This will require significant investment and participation from all sectors of the community.

The currently planned options that will help towards achieving the Zero Waste vision include:

- License refuse and recycling collectors operating within the city;
- Operate the resource recovery centre; and
- Establish the kerbside food waste collection.

It has been recognised in the assessment that further funding and initiatives will be required to address those waste categories that get transported to locations outside Waitakere City as well as waste that comes from outside Waitakere City but handled at the transfer station.

## CEMETERIES AND CREMATORIA

Council operates the Waikumete Cemetery and Crematorium and the Swanson Cemetery. As part of the Assessment of Water and Sanitary Services, investigations have been carried out into the future capacity of the Waikumete Cemetery and this has identified remaining capacity until 2021.

A number of options have been developed to provide capacity beyond this period, including the following:

- Closure of the Waikumete Cemetery when capacity runs out;
- Purchasing land now elsewhere in the city to secure future cemetery capacity, at an estimated cost of \$5.5m;
- A variation to the Waikumete Cemetery Management Plan and District Plan provisions to enable additional development on the site. This could provide capacity until 2055. Under this proposal there would be extensive ecological restoration of the existing developed areas of the cemetery, well ahead of other areas being disturbed, in order to off-set any adverse effects;
- Implementation of new burial technologies such as modular systems or the establishment of mausoleums. This could provide capacity until 2038 without variations to the currently approved development plans for Waikumete Cemetery; and
- Exiting the service, but this could not happen unless Council can provide alternative arrangements, such as private enterprise providing a suitable cemetery in the city.

At this stage further detailed investigation is required to identify an optimum solution. Thus the Assessment of Water and Sanitary services will seek feedback on preferred options to ensure cemetery services are secured for the city for the next 50 years.

The results of the feedback on the cemetery options and detailed investigations will be submitted for Council's consideration in the 2006-2016 Long Term Council Community Plan.

## PUBLIC TOILETS

Council provides a total of 79 public toilets and changing rooms throughout the city. These toilets are located in town centres, sports parks, neighbourhood parks, beaches and major city parks.

The newest toilets within the city are in Glen Eden, Archibald Park and Brains Park. The new Glen Eden toilet has proven to be a success with the toilet averaging over 1000 visits per week since it was installed in July 2004.

Currently Council provides:

- Toilets and changing rooms for use on sports fields; and
- Toilet blocks that are located predominantly at beaches and in town centres.

At present, there are 33 parks with at least one toilet, and 27 with both toilets and changing rooms. In addition to this, there are two parks with club toilet/changing room facilities.

There are several issues associated with the current provision, including:

- Inadequate level of provision across the city;
- Poor condition of some facilities;
- Closed facilities;
- High vandalism;
- Lack of provision in some places (for example Royal Reserve in Massey, which has potential as a high use park); and
- Affordability.

The criteria for providing toilets is applies to the following locations:

- City wide multi use parks;
- Main beach reserves and coastal reserves with a boat ramp;
- Sports parks where there are no club facilities that cater adequately for public use;
- Local multi use parks where there are no other facilities that provide that function;
- "Domains" in rural and bush villages;
- Neighbourhood parks which receive high usage;
- Where there are no existing facilities in the area; and
- Main town centres.

Toilets and changing room facilities require funds for their maintenance and upgrading. Where the cost of this work exceeds the benefits, Council should investigate the issue of removal.

Therefore, toilets/changing rooms could be removed where they are:

- Located on neighbourhood parks;
- In need of upgrading and occur on Green Network parks and parks with only one sports field; and
- Where there are instances of low use and vandalism.

There are three (3) toilets which are located on neighbourhood parks and are locked permanently, due to low use and high vandalism. These blocks are located at Roberts Field, Te Atatu South Park, Manuka Park and Riverpark and have been identified as surplus to current and future requirements based on the current policies and criteria. It is proposed that these blocks will be removed in 2005/2006.

Options for the future provision of toilets include the following:

- Encouraging businesses to provide and maintain facilities in town centres. This is currently being carried out by the large mall operators at Henderson and New Lynn; and
- Requiring sports clubs to supply toilets and changing rooms at sports parks in exchange for Council providing other park facilities. This has been trialled with a soccer club where Council contributed to improving a training area and the club was to upgrade the toilets for public use. The success of this option is still unrealised as the club has yet to install new toilets whereas the Council completed its works in 2004.

Currently at many of the sports grounds where there are club rooms the clubs toilets are often open for public use. This mainly occurs where clubs are based on grounds with less than 3 fields and Council does not provide toilet/changing room facilities.

The provision of portaloos has also been considered as an alternative to permanent facilities. This is currently used in several locations in the city during peak summer periods but accessibility, safety and child use is an issue with these facilities. The cost of using the standard portaloos against a single unit toilet has a 20 year break even point in favour of the portaloos and hence these are generally only used as back up or for short term use.

The new single and double unit toilets currently being provided are removable and can be relocated when required. This has occurred in Henderson when the two toilet blocks were removed, refurbished and placed in new locations.

The current upgrade programme has 5 years to continue until the goals of the present programme have been achieved. At this stage it is not planned to build any more new toilets beyond the 5 year period.

The Assessment of Water and Sanitary Services also contains further information to enable community feedback on the provision of public toilets, including location, amenity values and maintenance.

## **WORK PROGRAMME**

As the Assessment of Water and Sanitary Services will become a significant input into the 2006/2016 Long Term Council Community Plan it is proposed to carry out a two stage programme as set out below.

### **Stage 1**

- |                              |   |
|------------------------------|---|
| 18 April 2005 to 18 May 2005 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- Presentations to Community Boards, Te Taumata Runanga, Pacific Islands Advisory Board.</li><li>- Public consultation and submissions.</li><li>- Special Edition of Waitakere City News to be distributed citywide.</li><li>- 3 Community Workshops - New Lynn Community Centre, Civic Centre, Massey Community Centre.</li><li>- Consultation with iwi, Medical Officer of Health, regional stakeholders and the Water Focus Group.</li></ul> |
| 18 May 2005                  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- Submissions close.</li></ul>  |
| June 2005                    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- Council hearings and adoption of the Assessment of Water and Sanitary Services.</li></ul>   |

### **Stage 2**

- |                        |  |
|------------------------|--|
| March 2006 - June 2006 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- Further consideration and consultation on implementation issues of the Assessment of Water and Sanitary Services through the Long Term Council Community Plan process.</li></ul> |
|------------------------|--|

## RESOURCES

Provision has been made in the Long Term Council Community Plan for the Assessment of Water and Sanitary Services. The funding allocation covers the cost of various models required and specialist technical input to the assessment.

The resource implications, including the impact on the Funding Policy and Development Contributions Policy, arising from the Assessment of Water and Sanitary Services will be reported to Council through the 2006/2016 Long Term Council Community Plan process.

## CONCLUSION

Under the Local Government Act 2002, Council is required to prepare an Assessment of Water and Sanitary Services by 30 June 2005. The draft Assessment of Water and Sanitary Services has identified the following issues for consultation:

- The need to reduce water usage;
- The need to reduce wastewater overflows and improve onsite wastewater management;
- The need to introduce user pays for wastewater in the Inner Drainage Area, it should be noted that residents outside the Inner Drainage Area already pay directly for the septic tank pump outs;
- The need to reduce the adverse effects of stormwater;
- The need to accelerate the Zero Waste strategy;
- The need to secure additional cemetery capacity beyond 2021; and
- No requirement for additional public toilets beyond the currently approved programme.

The draft Assessment of Water and Sanitary Services provides a series of tactical action plans and work programmes to address these issues.

It is recommended that the Massey Community Board makes a submission to Council on the draft Assessment of Water and Sanitary Services.

## RECOMMENDATIONS

1. That the Assessment of Water and Sanitary Services report be received.
2. That the Massey Community Board make a submission to Council on the draft Assessment of Water and Sanitary Services.

Report prepared by: Tony Miguel, Group Manager: Asset Management.



## **PROPOSED 2005/2006 FOOTPATH CONSTRUCTION PROGRAMME**

### **PURPOSE OF THE REPORT**

The purpose of this report is to seek approval from the Massey Community Board for the 2005/2006 Footpath Construction Programme.

### **BACKGROUND**

*A114-A116*

Council has delegated to the Community Boards the authority to confirm the footpath construction programme and establish priorities using a point system, as attached at pages A114 to A116.

The detailed process to establish footpath priorities includes the follows:

- A review of the RAMM footpath output list. This is a list of all the roads in each Ward, with specific reference to roads with a footpath on each side, one side and with no footpath;
- A compilation of the proposed final lists that are considered suitable for the construction of a new footpath;
- A visit to each site to determine its priority points, feasibility, practicality and physical constraints;
- Prioritisation of the final list for each Ward;
- A review of the cost estimates;
- Determination of the construction programmes based on the revised footpath priority lists; and
- Funds are allocated across the city based on a formula approved by Council.

### **STRATEGIC CONTEXT**

The provision of footpaths contributes to the following strategic objectives:

- Safe City
- Urban and Rural Villages
- Integrated Transport and Communication

In this context it is important that the Footpath Construction Programme is implemented equitably with regard to the whole city. This means taking into account the overall distribution of the needs for footpaths and the overall distribution of pedestrian activity.

### **ISSUES**

The draft Annual Plan 2005/2006 budget allows \$1,500,000 for the construction of new footpaths. This is an increase of \$500,000 in the 2004/2005 Annual Plan. Using the agreed footpath budget allocation formula and the latest priority lists, the allocations of funds per Ward are as follows:

<b>Ward</b>	<b>Footpath One Side (km)</b>	<b>No Footpaths (km)</b>	<b>Weighed % of Budget</b>	<b>Budget Allocation \$</b>
Henderson	6.542	0.426	1.775	15,970
Massey	16.191	23.940	39.958	359,513
New Lynn	33.419	9.105	22.012	198,048
Waitakere	27.478	64.068	102.972	926,469
All Wards			166.717	1,500,000

The proposed footpath construction programme for the Massey Ward is based on the priority list and previous discussions with a representative from each Board and is as shown in the table below:

Road Name	Road Section	Length (m)	Estimated Cost (\$)
Hobsonville Road	112 Hobsonville Road to Brigham Creek Road, left hand side	350	\$60,000
Benchmark Drive	Royal Road to 25 Benchmark Drive, left hand side	110	\$16,500
Trig Road	Spedding Road to Brigham Creek Road, right hand side	800	\$120,000
Moire Road	60 Moire Road to Granville Road, left hand side	37	\$5,550
Robertson Road	Ranui Station Road to end, left hand side	190	\$28,500
Don Buck Road	State Highway 16 roundabout	127	\$19,050
Moire Road	Glenburry Place to 50 Moire Road, right hand side	150	\$22,500
Munroe Road	Metcalfe Road to Lucienne Drive, right hand side	200	\$30,000
Westvale Avenue	Entire length of road, right hand side from Swanson Road	72	\$10,800
Contingency			\$46,613
<b>Total</b>		<b>2,036</b>	<b>\$359,513</b>

The construction programme as set out above, should be considered to be provisional as it will depend on the following factors:

- Detailed site design may show the need for additional works such as earthworks, retaining walls and drainage improvements; and
- The heated state of the construction market may result in unacceptably high tender prices which may require that footpath construction projects be delayed or reduced in scope.

Any changes to the programme arising from the above circumstances will be reported back to the Community Board to enable the work programme to be adjusted accordingly.

## RESOURCES

Resources have been provided in the draft Annual Plan 2005/2006 for construction of footpaths.

## CONCLUSION

The footpath priority lists for each Ward has been reviewed to take into account additional funding provided by Council in the Draft 2005/2006 Annual Plan.

### **RECOMMENDATIONS**

1. That the Proposed 2005/2006 Footpath Construction Programme report be received.
2. That the proposed footpath construction programme for 2005/2006 be approved in principle, subject to final confirmation by Council of funding in the 2005/2006 Annual Plan.

Report prepared by: Tony Miguel, Group Manager: Asset Management.



## **12 ANICH ROAD - NEW 'NO STOPPING' CONTROL**

### **PURPOSE OF THE REPORT**

The purpose of this report is to seek the Massey Community Board's approval for a new 'No Stopping' control in Anich Road, Massey West.

### **BACKGROUND**

A local resident has raised safety concerns regarding the vehicles parked on a sharp bend in Anich Road, Massey West.

### **STRATEGIC CONTEXT**

The Waitakere City Council's 'Integrated Transport and Communication' platform provides the strategic context for this report. The vision is for public transport and communications systems that provide fast, effective services, and for city travel facilitated by integrated, environmentally responsible, and innovative design, with a focus on meeting the essential needs of all, for access, communication, and safety.

'No Stopping At All Times' controls can be applied to ensure efficient, safe movement on roads by keeping traffic lanes and visibility lines clear.

### **ISSUES**

Anich Road is an 8 metre wide local road located in Massey West off Zita Maria Drive. The road provides access to 75 residential properties as well as a number of residential cul de sacs. Between property numbers 33 and 35 there is a side entrance to Zita Maria Park. The playing fields in this park are regularly used for sports matches during the weekend.

Outside numbers 28 and 30 Anich Road there is a moderate bend in the road. Vegetation and fences belonging to these two properties limit driver sightlines to approaching traffic as they traverse this bend. The resident who originally requested a parking control in this area has stated that cars often park on both sides of the bend during weekends while sports matches are in progress in the nearby park. This is undesirable as the parked vehicles restrict the remaining clear carriageway to a single lane of moving traffic only and limits sight distance between vehicles approaching each other from opposite directions.

It is proposed to install a 'No Stopping' line on the inside of the bend in this location. This will allow two-way traffic flow at all times in this section of the road and improve driver sight lines to approaching traffic.

A centre line in the vicinity of the bend is also recommended as it will improve road user safety by indicating to drivers travelling on the outside lane where the centre of the road is located and that they should be cautious when cutting across the centre of the road while traversing the curve.

The residents that dwell in close proximity to the proposed changes have been consulted by letter. One favourable reply was received.

A117

The location and extent of the proposed 'No Stopping' line and 'Centre' line are indicated as attached at page A117.

### **RESOURCES**

The proposed new parking control markings can be implemented under the 2004/2005 maintenance budgets.

### **CONCLUSION**

The proposed parking restriction in Anich Road is desirable to prevent vehicles parking in a location which could present a hazard to other motorists.

### **RECOMMENDATIONS**

1. That the Anich Road - New 'No Stopping' Control report be received.
2. That in relation to **ANICH ROAD, MASSEY WEST**:
  - (a) That, in accordance with the powers conferred by virtue of the Local Government Act 1974, The Transport Act 1962 and the Waitakere City Council Bylaw No.7, 1991 - Traffic, the following controls be now resolved to be specified and imposed, namely,
    - (i) on the east kerb line of **ANICH ROAD** starting from a point adjacent to the property boundary between numbers 26 and 28 **ANICH ROAD** and extending to a point a further 53 metres south along the kerb line, a new '**NO STOPPING AT ALL TIMES**' control be put in place.
    - (ii) in the centre of **ANICH ROAD** starting from a point 65 metres south of the centre line of **REHIA ROAD** and extending to a point a further 80 metres south along the centre of the road, a new '**CENTRE LINE**' be put in place.
3. That the appropriate signage and/or road markings, in accordance with the provisions of the Traffic Regulations 1976 be hereby approved to be put in place to properly establish, delineate and record the said parking limitations and restrictions.

Report prepared by: Paul Schischka, Transport Engineer.



## **GALLONY AVENUE - NEW BUS STOP**

### **PURPOSE OF THE REPORT**

The purpose of this report is to seek the Massey Community Board's approval for a new bus stop and extension of an existing 'No Stopping' line in Gallony Avenue, Massey East.

### **BACKGROUND**

Council has recently received a request from a bus service operator for a new bus stop to be marked outside number 2 Gallony Avenue.

### **STRATEGIC CONTEXT**

The Waitakere City Council's 'Integrated Transport and Communication' platform provides the strategic context for this report. The vision is for public transport and communications systems that provide fast, effective services, and for city travel facilitated by integrated, environmentally responsible, and innovative design, with a focus on meeting the essential needs of all, for access, communication, and safety.

Correctly marked and sign posted bus stops are desirable to both notify public transport users of the pick up and drop off locations for bus services and to keep these locations clear of other vehicles.

'No Stopping At All Times' controls can be applied to ensure efficient, safe movement on roads by keeping traffic lanes and visibility lines clear.

### **ISSUES**

The portion of Gallony Avenue between Don Buck Road and Garton Drive is used by the 058 bus service to travel between the Henderson City Centre and Westgate. There are 14 buses a day that travel this route Monday to Friday. It is proposed that a new bus stop be created in Gallony Avenue immediately south of the vehicle crossing of number 2 Gallony Avenue. The nearest existing bus stop is 250 metres away outside number 238 Don Buck Road. There are no nearby bus stops in Gallony Avenue or Garton Drive. To access this bus stop residents living on the north side of Don Buck Road must cross Don Buck Road. Given the high traffic volumes on Don Buck Road it is desirable for a bus stop to be provided in Gallony Avenue.

There is an existing 'No Stopping' line on the west side of Gallony Avenue extending from the intersection with Don Buck Road to a point 15 metres north of the intersection. Pupils of Massey High School often park their cars in Gallony Avenue immediately north of the end of this 'No Stopping' line. The bus service operator who requested the new bus stop reports that due to the presence of parked vehicles in this location that when turning right into Gallony Avenue from Don Buck Road their buses must move partially over the centre line of Gallony Avenue in order to manoeuvre around the parked cars. This causes a safety hazard and it is recommended that the existing 'No Stopping' line be extended to the south edge of the proposed new bus stop.

The residents of nearby properties have been sent a consultation letter outlining the proposed changes. One reply was received from the occupant of the property which the proposed bus stop would be outside; this person was initially opposed to the change but after discussing the matter with a Council officer was agreeable to the proposed changes occurring.

A118

The location of the proposed new bus stop and 'No Stopping' line are marked on the aerial photograph as attached page A118.

## RESOURCES

The proposed markings and signage changes can be implemented under the 2004/2005 Annual Plan maintenance budgets.

## CONCLUSION

The proposed new bus stop and extension of an existing 'No Stopping' parking control in Gallony Avenue are desirable to provide a more convenient and closer bus stop for public transport users living nearby and to allow buses to safely manoeuvre through the intersection of Gallony Avenue and Don Buck Road.

## RECOMMENDATIONS

1. That the Gallony Avenue - New Bus Stop report be received.
2. That in relation to **GALLONY AVENUE, MASSEY WEST:**
  - (a) That all existing parking restrictions or limitations currently applicable to **GALLONY AVENUE** imposed by any prior resolution (including resolutions of any former authority), that are affected, or superseded, or replaced by part (b) of this resolution, cease to have any force and effect as from the date of this determination provided however that any current enforcement action by way of prosecution arising from, or infringement notice issued in relation to, any non-compliance with or breach of any such parking restriction or limitation be authorized to be concluded in the normal manner.
  - (b) That, in accordance with the powers conferred by virtue of the Local Government Act 1974, The Transport Act 1962 and the Waitakere City Council Bylaw No.7, 1991 - Traffic, the following controls be now resolved to be specified and imposed, namely,
    - (i) on the west kerb line of **GALLONY AVENUE** starting a point 36 metres north of where the kerb line meets the north kerb line of **DON BUCK ROAD** and extending to a point a further 15 metres north along the kerb line a new '**BUS STOP**' control be put in place.
    - (ii) on the west kerb line of **GALLONY AVENUE** starting the point where the kerb line meets the north kerb line of **DON BUCK ROAD** and extending to a point a further 36 metres north along the kerb line a new '**NO STOPPING AT ALL TIMES**' control be put in place.
3. That the appropriate signage and/or road markings, in accordance with the provisions of the Traffic Regulations 1976 be hereby approved to be put in place to properly establish, delineate and record the said parking limitations and restrictions.

Report prepared by: Paul Schischka, Transport Engineer.



## **BOARD MEMBERS' REPORTS**

Provision has been made on this agenda for Board Members should they so wish to submit a report on their activities during the month in regard to matters within the scope and delegations of the Board. However, to comply with the provisions of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987, no decision may be made on matters raised in Board Members' reports.

★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★

### **BOB JESSOPP**

After a year of meetings with School Principals and Community Board Members.

After having discussions with Council staff and Community Board Members.

After a year of seeking designs and plans for road layout and pedestrian crossings outside of some of the schools in Don Buck Road.

After finally getting the work accepted and being put out to tender, everything suddenly turned to custard.

We suddenly had schools and parents holding public meetings, speaking out against what was originally an effort to protect the school teachers and thousands of students that use footpaths on Don Buck Road daily.

Fortunately on Monday, 21 March, Community Board Members Andrew Good, John Riddell, Bob Jessopp and staff member Adam Moller rose to the occasion at the latest public meeting and put everyone at ease with some good explanations and a few slight modifications to the plan. Which only goes to show communication, communication, communication is what is needed continuously until something comes to fruition.

Prior to our last meeting it was brought to my attention that following road resurfacing in Don Buck Road the re painting of road lines went a little overboard.

Extra no stopping lines were inadvertently painted across sections of driveway around 91 Don Buck Road. The problem now is finding out where no parking lines were originally and if these lines were there from way back and tar sealed over a few road works ago. Sounds messy doesn't it. The concerns are that it seems impossible to be sure what is and isn't correct, as someone has to now find the time to go back through previous meeting minutes to find out what was instigated and what wasn't.

I attended the police liaison meeting on Thursday, 17 March the following was discussed and put into action of one form or another:

- Speeding on; Wiseley Road, Fitzherbert Avenue and Oriel Avenue.
- Massey Primary School Crossing.
- Filter Lane from Concourse to Motorway and footpath damage at same place.

There is going to be an appalling delay by Land Transport New Zealand to deal with the motorway intersections of Te Atatu and Lincoln Road. It is anticipated that no work will be done for TEN years (15 by the time work is completed) and that's to Te Atatu. Lincoln Road Motorway intersection was not mentioned.

These intersections are an absolute mess now and plans should be put in place immediately for work to commence as soon as possible.

Can you imagine the gridlock that is going to be occurring in a couple of years with all the new development in Massey coming on stream, let alone in TEN years time.

It has been suggested that the community boards get together at our next informal meeting to plan a campaign of lobbying Council, Land Transport New Zealand, and Central Government on behalf of the residents and businesses of Massey, Henderson, Te Atatu Peninsula and Te Atatu South in particular but the City as a whole.

★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★

**MASSEY COMMUNITY BOARD APPOINTMENTS**

<b>OUTSIDE ORGANISATIONS</b>	<b>APPOINTMENT</b>
Auckland Region and Far North Community Board Association Executive Committee	Andrew Good
Keep Waitakere Beautiful Committee	Cr Peter Chan
Massey Citizens Advice Bureau	Cr Linda Cooper
Massey Leisure Centre Community Liaison Group	John Riddell
Ranui Community Centre Committee	Cr Linda Cooper
Westpark Marina Working Group	
Council/Police Liaison Group	Cr Peter Chan Gayleen Maurice Bob Jessopp
<b>COUNCIL COMMITTEES</b>	
Hearings Committee	Graeme Barnard Allen Davies
Community Sports Fund Allocation Subcommittee	John Riddell Gayleen Maurice
Street Events Subcommittee - Massey Ward	Bob Jessopp
Long Term Council Community Plan and Annual Plan Special Committee	Graeme Barnard Andrew Good
Massey Ward Local Reserves Management Plan	Graeme Barnard Bob Jessopp Gayleen Maurice

