

NOTICE OF MEETING

MASSEY COMMUNITY BOARD

I hereby give notice that an Ordinary Meeting of the Massey Community Board will be held on:-

DATE: **Wednesday, 6 November 2002** **TIME:** **7.30 pm**

VENUE: **Civic Centre, 6 Waipareira Avenue, Lincoln, Waitakere City**

to consider the business as set out herein and to take any necessary action connected therewith.



1 November 2002

Sharon Simiona
COMMITTEE SECRETARY

Telephone (09) 836 8000 extn 8820

MEMBERSHIP:

Mr	RF	Jessopp (Chairperson)
Mrs	DJ	Webster (Deputy Chairperson)
Mr	MFP	Chan, JP
Mr	WW	Flaunty, JP
Mr	JA	Good
Cr	OE	Hoskin, JP
Cr	GE	Nash, JP
Ms	KG	Perri
Cr	GW	Russell

(Quorum 5 members)

★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★

(The reports and recommendations contained in all agendas are reports and recommendations only and are not to be construed, in any way, as Council policy until adopted.)

**AGENDA FOR AN ORDINARY MEETING OF THE MASSEY COMMUNITY BOARD
TO BE HELD IN THE CIVIC CENTRE, 6 WAIPAREIRA AVENUE, LINCOLN,
WAITAKERE CITY, ON WEDNESDAY, 6 NOVEMBER 2002,
COMMENCING AT 7.30 PM.**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>ITEM</u>		<u>PAGE NO.</u>
1	APOLOGIES	1
2	CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES	1
3	PRESENTATION - KIDSAFE WEEK 2002	1
4	PUBLIC FORUM	2
5	URGENT BUSINESS	2
6	CHAIRPERSON'S REPORT	2
7	COMMITTEE SECRETARY'S REPORT	3
8	COMMUNITY WELLBEING FUND	5
9	COMMUNITY BOARD PARK DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS 2002/2003	7
10	STURM AVENUE PARKING RESTRICTION	10
11	LINCOLN ROAD PARKING CONTROLS	12
12	CYCLARAMA CRESCENT TRAFFIC ISSUES	14
13	BOARD MEMBERS' REPORTS	17

**AGENDA FOR AN ORDINARY MEETING OF THE MASSEY COMMUNITY BOARD
TO BE HELD IN THE CIVIC CENTRE, 6 WAIPAREIRA AVENUE, LINCOLN,
WAITAKERE CITY, ON WEDNESDAY, 6 NOVEMBER 2002,
COMMENCING AT 7.30 PM.**

1 APOLOGIES



2 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

Ordinary - 2 October 2002

RECOMMENDATION

That the minutes of the Ordinary Meeting of the Massey Community Board held on Wednesday, 2 October 2002, as circulated, be taken as read and now be confirmed.



3 PRESENTATION - KIDSAFE WEEK 2002

Margaret Devlin, Project Co-ordinator - Waitakere Community Programme will be making a presentation regarding Kidsafe Week 2002 which has two main themes, "Pedestrian Safety" and "Water Safety", areas which Community Boards are able to take a key advocacy role on behalf of their communities.

The Kidsafe Week Coalition will make a presentation to the Board and outline ways in which Board Members may be able to assist the reduction of child injuries in shared driveways, spa and swimming pools, and around schools.



4 PUBLIC FORUM

For guidance of Community Board Members, the Council's Standing Orders have the following provisions in regard to Public Forum.

- (i) Members of the public wishing to address the Board in Public Forum shall furnish their names to the Chairperson at the beginning of the meeting; and
- (ii) the Chairperson shall determine the order of speakers, and allow five minutes for speaking time.
- (iii) Questions by members are to be confined to obtaining information or clarification on matters raised by the speaker.

Section 46A(7A) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 provides that no resolution, decision, or recommendation may be made in respect of any specific item of business not on the agenda except to refer the items to a subsequent meeting for further discussion. Therefore, no decision may be made on matters raised in Public Forum. However, written reports on matters raised may be requested from the Chief Executive.



5 URGENT BUSINESS

Section 46A(7) and (7A) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 provides that where an item of business is not on the agenda, it may only be dealt with at the meeting if:

- (i) the item is a minor matter; and
- (ii) the Chairperson has explained at the beginning of the meeting (when open to the public) that the item will be raised for discussion, why the item is not on the agenda, and why it cannot be delayed until a subsequent meeting; and
- (iii) the Board resolves to deal with the item.

No resolution, decision, or recommendation may be made in respect of the item except to refer the item to a subsequent meeting for further discussion.

NOTE: Urgent Business need not be dealt with now and may be delayed until later in the meeting.







6 CHAIRPERSON'S REPORT


There is no written report from the Chairperson, however provision is made on the agenda for the Chairperson to update members on activities undertaken after printing of the agenda.



7 **COMMITTEE SECRETARY'S REPORT**

A1-A4

Issue	Comments	Reporting Council Officer
Thai Buddhist Trust	The CFA - Resource Management - Enforcement Officer has received correspondence from Mr Mark Kearney, of KEA Consultants, dated 26 September 2002, advising Council that he has been appointed as the official spokesperson for the Thai Buddhist Trust in Bristol Road, Whenuapai. Mr Kearney has requested six months in which to either relocate to another property, or apply for Resource Consent approval. A copy of this letter and Mr Diprose's reply as attached at pages A1 to A4, was made available to members at the October 2002 meeting of the Massey Community Board. This matter has been therefore put on hold until 31 March 2002. An update as to what has happened will be given at the April 2003 meeting of the Board.	Colin Diprose  836 8000 Ext 8618
Proposed Relocation of Ockleston House, Hobsonville War Memorial Park	The concept plan for the overall development of Hobsonville War Memorial Park will be brought back to the Board once Transit New Zealand designation issues regarding the reserve are resolved.	Renee Lambert  836 8000 Ext 8818
Westpark Marina - Parking Issues	<p>The Board at its April meeting supported Lots 7 and 8 at Westpark Marina being set aside for future parking, and that negotiations to acquire the lease of these lands be reported back to the Board in due course.</p> <p>Recent discussions between the Westpark Marina Chief Executive and their legal advisor, Waitakere Properties Limited and the Director: Corporate and Civic Services and Mr Wakefield have envisaged a boundary adjacent to permit trailer parking adjacent to Clearwater Cove and some additional provision for conventional parking on Lot 7 opposite the small shopping centre.</p> <p>It has been agreed to engage a traffic consultant to determine the most suitable configuration and to seek to conclude an agreement for referral to Council once that report is available.</p>	Ross McLeod  836 8000 Ext 8675
Te Rangi Hiroa	Tenders have been called for, to demolish the dilapidated shed adjacent to the West City Darts building. The Board will be informed once the shed has been demolished. The final Te Rangi Hiroa Reserves Management Plan based on the decisions made by the Board at 2 October 2002 meeting, will be available for approval by the Chairperson in approximately two weeks time.	Mandy McMullin  836 8000 Ext 8792

Issue	Comments	Reporting Council Officer
Central Park Drive/Lincoln Road/Triangle Road	<p>The result of the three-month observation on the effect of adding a double right turn from Central Park Drive into Lincoln Road is very good and it has been working well since the day of being installed.</p> <p>The Transport Assets department did not receive any complaint from the road users, and have also checked with the police and they said that they had no accident or problem with the change and they think it was in fact a very good idea.</p> <p>The latest site observation shows that a lot of drivers have learnt how to use it correctly, and know of its existence.</p> <p>However there is no need for extra improvements to the right turn, in terms of installing an advance directional sign particularly as there is future planning to upgrade the whole intersection.</p>	<p>Hussam Abdul-Rassol  836 8000 Ext 8961</p>

REPORTS PENDING			
Subject	Date Requested	Report Due	Reporting Officer
Waitakere Hospital Traffic Issues: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Woodford Avenue • Access from Hospital to Buscombe Avenue • Bus Stop on Lincoln Road 	8 May 2002 862/2002	4 December 2002	Alan Hopkinson  836 8000 Ext 8742
Luckens Road Shops - Parking Problems	4 July 2002 2216/2002	4 December 2002	Adam Moller  836 8000 Ext 8750

RECOMMENDATION

That the information be received.

Report prepared by: Sharon Simiona, Committee Secretary.



8 COMMUNITY WELLBEING FUND

PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

The purpose of the report is to inform the Community Board on the process of assessing applications to the Community Wellbeing Fund.

BACKGROUND

At its meeting on 23 February 2000, Council resolved the following in relation to the allocation process of the Community Wellbeing Fund:

- “1. That Council delegate authority to the Community Facilities and Recreation Committee to consider all citywide applications to the Community Wellbeing Fund.
2. That the Community Wellbeing Fund be split by assigning \$40,000 to the Community Boards to allocate to local applications and \$40,000 be retained for allocation of city-wide and across ward boundary applications.
3. That the \$40,000 assigned to the Community Boards be distributed on a pro-rata population basis.
4. That Clause P of the Community Board's Scope and Delegations be amended to read “Assess all applications for assistance, other than city wide applications, from the Community Wellbeing Fund and determine the allocations according to their eligibility and priorities for support”.”

373/2000

Following the 2001 election, the delegated authority to consider and make allocations in respect of citywide applications to the Community Wellbeing Fund lies within the jurisdiction of the Finance and Operation Performance Committee, but any local application is heard before the appropriate Community Board. Each application can be made before only the one Community Board. Each application indicates that the majority of participants for their project/activity come from that local area.

STRATEGIC CONTEXT

The Community Wellbeing Fund aligns with Council's Community Assistance Policy with the goal of the Policy being to help strengthen the ability of local community groups to create a strong social base for Waitakere City and to meet local needs. In the Community Wellbeing Fund an applicant must meet one or more of the objectives from the Community Assistance Policy, which helps achieve this strategic goal.

ASSESSMENT PROCESS

Eligibility

All applications have been screened for eligibility as per the Community Wellbeing Fund policy. All eligible applications have been put forward to the Community Board for consideration. Those applications that have been found to be not eligible have been contacted to discuss other funding options.

Priorities

Each application has been preliminarily assessed against the priorities outlined in the Community Wellbeing Fund policy.

Application Information

A5-A16

While the original applications are available for inspection prior to and at the meeting, as attached at pages A5 to A16 for a one-page summary outlining each application and request. All applications have been sorted from highest to lowest in terms of the number of priorities met. This assessment has been based on the information provided in the application.

It is suggested that the Community Board work through the applications in order, making a preliminary allocation on each application. They may then wish to go back and revisit any allocation before coming to a final decision.

FUNDING AVAILABLE

Massey Community Board has a total of \$12,200 to allocate via this scheme. The Board has 10 applications to consider for financial assistance with the total amount requested being \$31,390.

CONCLUSION

The Community Assistance Administrator will be present at the meeting to answer questions and assist the Board in the allocation process.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. That the information be received.
2. That Massey Community Board consider applications to the Community Wellbeing Fund and allocate funding as per the process outlined in the report.

Report prepared by: Helen Anderson, Leisure Projects Leader and Jan Brown, Community Assistance Administrator.



9 COMMUNITY BOARD PARK DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS 2002/2003

PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

The purpose of this report is to introduce the Community Board Park Development Projects and to seek decisions from the Board on the appropriate allocation of budget for the 2002/2003 Financial Year.

BACKGROUND

The Community Facilities and Recreation Committee adopted the proposal of a discretionary budget for allocation by the Community Boards for parks capital development projects in December 1999. In the Annual Plan for the 2002/2003 financial year, the Council has allocated a total of \$100,000 for this use, to be allocated to each ward on a needs basis. The needs have been calculated based on the current rate of population growth within the ward, and the current population within the ward as a proportion of the City. As a result the fund is to be allocated as follows:

Henderson Ward	\$25,000
Massey Ward	\$27,000
New Lynn Ward	\$27,000
Waitakere Ward	\$21,000

At the October Community Board Meeting it was outlined to the Board that any project ideas or proposals from the Board be forwarded to Waitakere City Council staff by the 11 October for consideration at the November Community Board Meeting. A memo was also sent to all Community Board members in September requesting project proposals. It was also outlined to the Board that proposals had been requested from Resident and Ratepayer groups, the Youth Council and Te Taumatua Runanga.

STRATEGIC CONTEXT

The idea of a discretionary budget for capital projects arose as a result of the work in developing criteria for funding Parks capital works projects. This recognised that there are a number of projects, generally less than \$10,000 in cost, which are raised as possible projects through the Annual Plan submissions, Community Board Open Forum and other consultation processes. These projects generally are not able to compete in terms of the Parks Capital Project Criteria, as the current proposed capital programme contains over 100 large projects. Undertaking these small projects, is however an important means of meeting local needs within the community. The Community Boards are viewed by council as the best decision making body to determine the priority for meeting these local needs.

ISSUES

The following principles provide the framework for decision-making regarding the funding of individual projects:

1. The funds are to be used for Park Development projects - this does not include projects on community buildings, grants to community groups, maintenance or renewal items.
2. A maximum of \$10,000 can be spent on any one project in each financial year.
3. Projects put forward through the Annual Plan and other consultation processes will be ranked according to the Parks Capital Works Criteria, however the decision as to final priority rests with the Community Board.

POSSIBLE DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS

The discretionary budget allows for the allocation of funds to projects with a value of less than \$10,000. The following table outlines the approximate cost of a range of projects that can be undertaken for less than \$10,000. These costs are an average in order to give guidance to the Board, and do not take into account site-specific situations and variability.

Project Type	Approximate Cost
Basketball half court	\$8,000
Petanque court (single)	\$3,000
Sealing an existing gravel car park	\$10,000 for 10 car parking spaces
10 metre length of path	\$250 - gravel \$800 - concrete
Creating/extending a gravel car park	\$6,000 for 10 car parking spaces
10 metre length of boardwalk	\$3,000
Set of two swings with bark under surfacing	\$7,000
Seesaw with bark under surfacing	\$3,000
Small playground for children under 5 with bark under surfacing	\$10,000
Macrocarpa bench seat	\$1,000
Macrocarpa picnic table	\$2,000
Town Centre/Urban Seat	\$600
Town Centre/Urban picnic table	\$1,800
Walkway lights	\$3,000
Information Sign	\$3,000
Park Entrance Sign	\$1,500
Electric BBQ	\$7,000
Community Art Project	\$5,000
Small skate ramp- on existing slab	\$10,000
Planting - specimen trees	\$150 per tree
Planting - shrubbery	\$500 for 10 m ²
Barrier fencing	\$500 for 10 metres
Drinking Fountain (by water supply)	\$2,500
Drainage	\$2,000 for 10 metres
Standard Rubbish Bin	\$1,000 per bin
Timber steps and handrail	\$4,000 for 10 metres

A number of projects have been put forward through the 2002/2003 Annual Plan submissions, Community Board open forum over the past year and through members of the community writing into Council. They have also been received through Residents and Ratepayer Groups and Community Board members. These projects are outlined below with their estimated costs.

PROJECT PROPOSALS

Community Board Members

Project	Description	Preliminary Assessed Cost	Recommendation In/Out
Huruhuru Creek/Woodside Reserve- erection of education signage	Supply and install X2 education/safety signage at creek. Signage designed by local schools	\$5,000	In Resolution was passed at July meeting

Whenuapai Residents and Ratepayers Association

Project	Description	Preliminary Assessed Cost	Recommendation In/Out
Whenuapai Hall Reserve Playground	Supply and install small playground with under surface	\$10,000	Out
Whenuapai Hall Reserve Drinking Fountain	Supply and install drinking fountain	\$2,500	Out
Whenuapai Hall Reserve Boardwalk	Supply and install 10m length of boardwalk	\$3,000	Out
Waimarie Beach Upgrade	Site works, tree/scrub removal, planting	\$5,000	In

Parks Officer Comments and recommendations:

- A concept plan has been developed for the Whenuapai Hall Reserve and includes the three above items. There is \$50,000 this financial year for stage one of the concept plan and \$100,000 proposed in the Annual Plan for 2003/2004 to complete the project. Therefore it is not recommended that those items be considered for funding from the Community Board Park Development funding at this time.

Community Writing into Council and Annual Plan Submissions

Project	Description	Preliminary Assessed Cost	Recommendation In/Out
Moire Park BBQ	Supply and install BBQ at Moire Park	\$7,000	In
Corran Reserve Upgrade	Remove playground under surface, mound and path. Move seats, supply and install bollards	\$5,000	In

Parks Officer Comments and recommendations:

- Corran Reserve Upgrade - The local community have sent in a petition and requested an upgrade of this reserve. Some of the playground equipment was removed from the park by the Community Board some time ago and an unused area of bark under surface remains. The community would like to see this under surface removed along with an existing mound and unused path to increase the area of open grass space for children to play on instead of on the street. They also request a barrier fence along the boundary and the seats repositioned.

The total amount of project proposals received amounts to \$37,500 whilst the Massey Community Board allocation is only \$27,000

CONCLUSION

The Community Board has been granted a discretionary budget for park capital development projects for allocation towards projects of \$10,000 or less value in parks. This report puts forward and evaluates projects that have been raised through the Council's consultation processes. The decision on the priority for expenditure lies with the Community Board.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. That the information be received.
2. That Massey Community Board determine the priority for expenditure of the 2002/2003 Community Board Park Development Budget.

Report prepared by: Katharine Slack, Parks Project Manager.



10 STURM AVENUE PARKING RESTRICTION

PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

This report seeks the approval of the Massey Community Board for a 'No Stopping At All Times' parking restriction in Sturm Avenue.

BACKGROUND

At its meeting on 7 August 2002, Councillor Nash presented an item in the Open Forum section of the meeting on behalf of Russell Meads regarding the need for Broken Yellow No Parking lines to be marked around the left hand bend of Sturm Avenue.

STRATEGIC CONTEXT

This proposal conforms to Objective 3 "Access and Travel Choice" of the Urban Villages pathway to a sustainable city: *'People can get easily and safely to where they need to go by walking, cycling, passenger transport, or private vehicle, and businesses can efficiently move their goods and services'*.

In this context, people need to have sufficient visibility along a road before driving onto, or walking across, the road. Vehicles that have been parked on the inside of curves, or close to intersections, can restrict visibility along the road and endanger other road users.

ISSUES

Much of Sturm Avenue is about 7.8m wide, and vehicles parked on the bend restrict both the width of roadway available to other vehicles, as well as sight distance along the road from properties on the northern side of the road.

Existing Restrictions

There are no marked parking restrictions on this section of the road at present. However, Regulation 35 of the Traffic Regulations 1976 governs parking on the roadway, and parts of that Regulation apply in this area. Under this regulation, no person, shall stop, stand, or park a vehicle without due care or without reasonable consideration for other persons using the road.

In addition, no person shall stop, stand, or park a vehicle in any part of a roadway so close to any corner, bend, rise, dip, traffic island, or intersection so as to obstruct, or be likely to obstruct, other traffic, or any view of the roadway to an approaching driver.

These regulations are quite clear, and there should be no need for further restrictions in most situations. However, some motorists appear to be unaware of these common sense requirements, and the installation of broken yellow lines in this instance will provide an effective incentive for drivers to park a little further along the road.

Proposed Treatment

The proposed solution is for 'No Stopping At All Times' parking restrictions to be imposed on Sturm Avenue, around the inside of the bends outside numbers 4 and 6 Sturm Avenue. As noted above, parking in this area is already prohibited by Regulation 35 of the Traffic Regulations 1976, and the recommended restriction should therefore have no impact on the adjoining residents. There is also a generous amount of on-street visitor and overflow parking close to the area affected by the proposed restriction.

A17

The layout of Sturm Avenue, together with the proposed parking restrictions is shown in the aerial photograph as attached at page A17.

RESOURCES

Once a 'No Stopping At All Times' restriction has been resolved by the Community Board, the extent of the restriction can be indicated by signs or marked by broken yellow lines under Regulation 108 of the Traffic Regulations 1976. It is proposed that these restrictions be marked by broken yellow lines which can be funded from the Road Marking Maintenance Budget.

CONCLUSION

The proposed 'No Stopping At All Times' restrictions on parking in Sturm Avenue, will be the most effective and efficient way of improving traffic and pedestrian safety on this road.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. That the information be received.
2. That in relation to **STURM AVENUE, MASSEY**:
 - (a) That, in accordance with the powers conferred by virtue of the Local Government Act 1974, the Transport Act 1962, and the Waitakere City Bylaw No. 7, 1991 - Traffic, the following parking limitations and restrictions be now specified and imposed namely:
 - (i) on Sturm Avenue, Massey, a 'No Stopping At All Times' restriction be imposed on the north eastern side of the roadway from the northern boundary of 4 Sturm Avenue to the eastern boundary of 6 Sturm Avenue.
- 3 That the appropriate markings, in accordance with Regulation 108 of the Traffic Regulations 1976, be hereby approved to be put in place to properly establish, delineate, and record the said parking limitations and restrictions.

Report prepared by: Alan Hopkinson, Transport Engineer.



11 **LINCOLN ROAD PARKING CONTROLS**

PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

This report seeks the approval of the Massey Community Board for a 90 minute parking restriction outside the shops at 260-282 Lincoln Road.

BACKGROUND

Henderson Community Board member, Mr Wayne Davis, has received a complaint about all day parking outside the shops at 260-282 Lincoln Road. There are a number of local employees who use this area for their parking, and this reduces the number of parking spaces available for shop customers.

STRATEGIC CONTEXT

This proposal conforms to Objective 3 "Access and Travel Choice" of the Urban Villages pathway to a sustainable city: *'People can get easily and safely to where they need to go by walking, cycling, passenger transport, or private vehicle, and businesses can efficiently move their goods and services'*.

It is an important part of this objective that people should be able to have ready access to the shops that they wish to patronise.

ISSUES

There are no parking restrictions on the frontage road in front of the shops at present.

It is proposed that a 90 minute parking restriction be put in place, as this will allow generous access to the shops for a range of activities, while preventing spaces being lost to all day parking.

A18

The area affected by this report is shown on the aerial photograph as attached at page A18.

Once the parking restriction has been approved by the Community Board, Council is required by Regulation 123 of the Traffic Regulations 1976 to erect the appropriate signs to give effect to the restriction.

RESOURCES

The necessary parking signs can be funded from the Traffic Signs Maintenance Contract.

CONCLUSION

The proposed 90 minute parking restriction will ensure that parking is available for the shop customers, as intended.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. That the information be received.
2. That, in accordance with the powers conferred by virtue of the Local Government Act 1974, the Transport Act 1962, and the Waitakere City Bylaw No. 7, 1991 - Traffic, the following parking limitation and restriction be now specified and imposed namely:
 - (i) A 90 minute parking restriction be imposed on the eastern side of the frontage roadway on the western side of Lincoln Road commencing at a point 18 metres north of the southern boundary of 260 Lincoln Road and extending northwards for a distance of 55 metres.
 - (ii) A 90 minute parking restriction be imposed on the western side of the frontage roadway on the western side of Lincoln Road commencing at a point 20 metres north of the southern boundary of 260 Lincoln Road and extending northwards for a distance of 35 metres.

Report prepared by: Alan Hopkinson, Transport Engineer.



12 CYCLARAMA CRESCENT TRAFFIC ISSUES

PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

This report seeks the approval of the Massey Community Board for additional parking restrictions in Cyclarama Crescent.

BACKGROUND

At its meeting on 5 June 2002, the Massey Community Board resolved to impose a 'No Stopping At All Times' restriction on both sides of Cyclarama Crescent for a distance of 30m south of Royal Road (1059/2002).

At the Open Forum section of the Board's next meeting, on 3 July 2002, a submission was made by Mr Flaunty on behalf of Diane Middleton and Carol Newing on additional parking problems in Cyclarama Crescent. As a result of those submissions, the Massey Community Board resolved:

"That the Chief Executive report back on the parking problems in Cyclarama Crescent be brought back to this Community Board."

2217/2002

STRATEGIC CONTEXT

This proposal conforms to Objective 3 "Access and Travel Choice" of the Urban Villages pathway to a sustainable city: *'People can get easily and safely to where they need to go by walking, cycling, passenger transport, or private vehicle, and businesses can efficiently move their goods and services'*.

In this context, people need to have sufficient visibility along a road before driving onto, or walking across, the road. Vehicles that have been parked on the inside of curves, or close to intersections, can restrict visibility along the road and endanger other road users.

ISSUES

Much of Cyclarama Crescent is about 7.8m wide. The middle section of the road is split into two levels by a dividing median island that has been built to compensate for the change in roadway levels across the width of the road reserve. The island is approximately 8m wide, and this is less than the width required for the roadway to be considered as two separate one way roadways.

Regulation 35 of the Traffic Regulations 1976 governs parking on the roadway. Under this regulation, no person, shall stop, stand, or park a vehicle without due care or without reasonable consideration for other persons using the road.

In addition, no person shall stop, stand, or park a vehicle in any part of a roadway so close to any corner, bend, rise, dip, traffic island, or intersection so as to obstruct, or be likely to obstruct, other traffic, or any view of the roadway to an approaching driver.

These regulations are quite clear, and there should be no need for further restrictions in most situations. However, some motorists appear to be unaware of these common sense requirements and assume that parking is permitted except where broken yellow lines are marked.

There are also reported problems with vehicles parking on Royal Road near Cyclarama Crescent, thereby restricting sight distances for vehicles leaving Cyclarama Crescent.

In addition to the safety problems created by such parking, there are potentially serious issues that arise from any obstruction to the movement of emergency vehicles on the road.

Existing Restrictions

As noted above, there are existing 'No Stopping At All Times' restrictions on the northern part of the road just south of Royal Road. In addition, there are further 'No Stopping At All Times' restrictions in the vicinity of the ends median island. It is proposed to retain these, and incorporate them in the new restrictions.

Proposed Treatment

The proposed solution is for 'No Stopping At All Times' parking restrictions to be imposed on Royal Road, the first 30m of Cyclarama Crescent south of Royal Road, around the inside of the bends outside numbers 5-11, and numbers 52-54, around the cul-de-sac head from numbers 56-64, and 45-55, from numbers 29-33, and around the full length of the median island.

A19-A20

The layout of Cyclarama Crescent, together with the proposed parking restrictions is shown in the aerial photographs as attached at pages A19 to A20.

To reduce the risk of a multiplicity of mismatched restrictions, it is proposed to revoke all the existing restrictions and replace them with new restrictions that incorporate the additional lengths of 'No Stopping'.

RESOURCES

Once a 'No Stopping At All Times' restriction has been resolved by the Community Board, the extent of the restriction can be indicated by signs or marked by broken yellow lines under Regulation 108 of the Traffic Regulations 1976.

In this case, it is proposed that these restrictions be marked by broken yellow lines which can be funded from the Road Marking Maintenance Budget.

CONCLUSION

The proposed 'No Stopping At All Times' restrictions on parking in Cyclarama Crescent, will be the most effective and efficient way of improving traffic and pedestrian safety on this road.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. That the information be received.
2. That in relation to **ROYAL ROAD** and **CYCLARAMA CRESCENT, MASSEY**:
 - (a) That all existing parking restrictions or limitations (affected, or superceded, or replaced by this resolution) applicable to **ROYAL ROAD** and **CYCLARAMA CRESCENT, MASSEY** imposed by any prior resolution (including resolution of any former authority) cease to have any force and effect as from the date of this determination provided however that any current enforcement action by way of prosecution arising from, or infringement notice issued in relation to, any non-compliance with or breach of any such parking restriction or limitation be authorised to be concluded in the normal manner.

- (b) That, in accordance with the powers conferred by virtue of the Local Government Act 1974, the Transport Act 1962, and the Waitakere City Bylaw No. 7, 1991 – Traffic, the following parking limitations and restrictions be now specified and imposed namely:
- (i) on Royal Road, Massey, a ‘No Stopping At All Times’ restriction be imposed from the western boundary of 33 Royal Road to the eastern boundary of 37 Royal Road.
 - (ii) on Cyclarama Crescent, Massey, a ‘No Stopping At All Times’ restriction be imposed on both sides of the road from Royal Road to a point 30m south of the southern kerbline of Royal Road.
 - (iii) on Cyclarama Crescent, Massey, a ‘No Stopping At All Times’ restriction be imposed on the western side of the road from the southern boundary of 3 Cyclarama Crescent to the western boundary of 11 Cyclarama Crescent.
 - (iv) on Cyclarama Crescent, Massey, a ‘No Stopping At All Times’ restriction be imposed on the eastern, southern and western sides of the road from the eastern boundary of 52 Cyclarama Crescent to the western boundary of 66 Cyclarama Crescent, and from the western boundary of 66 Cyclarama Crescent to the northern boundary of 45 Cyclarama Crescent.
 - (v) on Cyclarama Crescent, Massey, a ‘No Stopping At All Times’ restriction be imposed on the western side of the road from the southern boundary of 31 Cyclarama Crescent to the eastern boundary of 29 Cyclarama Crescent
 - (vi) on Cyclarama Crescent, Massey, a ‘No Stopping At All Times’ restriction be imposed on both sides of the central median island located between 38 Cyclarama Crescent and 52 Cyclarama Crescent.
- 3 That the appropriate markings, in accordance with the Traffic Regulations 1976, be hereby approved to be put in place to properly establish, delineate, and record the said parking limitations and restrictions.

Report prepared by: Alan Hopkinson, Transport Engineer.



13 BOARD MEMBERS' REPORTS

Provision has been made on this agenda for Board Members should they so wish to submit a report on their activities during the month in regard to matters within the scope and delegations of the Board. However, to comply with the provisions of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987, no decision may be made on matters raised in Board Members' reports.

MASSEY COMMUNITY BOARD APPOINTMENTS

OUTSIDE ORGANISATIONS	APPOINTMENT
Auckland Region Community Boards' Association Executive Committee	Andrew Good
Keep Waitakere Beautiful Committee	Peter Chan
Massey Citizens Advice Bureau	Peter Chan
Massey Community House Committee	Karen Perri
Massey Leisure Centre Community Liaison Group	Jean Webster
Police Liaison Committee	Cr Russell Peter Chan Jean Webster
Ranui Action Plan Project	Cr Nash
Ranui Community Centre Committee	Cr Nash
Te Rangi Hiroa Working Party	Karen Perri Andrew Good
Henderson Creek Reserve Management Plan	Cr Hoskin
Harbourview People's Park Working Party	Andrew Good
Moire Road Community Hall	Cr Nash
Safe Waitakere	Bob Jessopp
COUNCIL COMMITTEES	
Hearings Committee	Jean Webster Karen Perri
Community Sports Fund Allocation Subcommittee	Warren Flaunty
WORKING GROUPS	
Wai Care Programme	Peter Chan
Project Twin Streams	Bob Jessopp

