



Waitakere City Council  
*Te Taiao o Waitakere*

## NOTICE OF MEETING

# HENDERSON COMMUNITY BOARD

(Western Heights, McLaren Park, Henderson, Lincoln, Te Atatu South and Te Atatu Peninsula)

I hereby give notice that a meeting of the Henderson Community Board will be held on:-

**DATE:** Thursday, 5 February 2009 **TIME:** 6.30 pm

**MEETING ROOM:** Council Chamber

**VENUE:** Waitakere Central, 6 Henderson Valley Road, Henderson, Waitakere

to consider the business as set out herein and to take any necessary action connected therewith.

30 January 2009

Ngareta Delamere  
**COMMITTEE SECRETARY**

Telephone (09) 836 8000 extn 8552

### MEMBERSHIP:

|     |     |                              |
|-----|-----|------------------------------|
| Mrs | EAG | Grimmer, MNZM (Chairman)     |
| Mr  | WS  | Bainbridge (Deputy Chairman) |
| Cr  | BA  | Brady, JP                    |
| Cr  | MM  | Jolley                       |
| Mr  | SJ  | McDonald                     |
| Mr  | LJF | Nobilo, JP                   |
| Ms  | S   | Savage                       |

(Quorum 4 members)

★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★

(Meeting Room could be subject to change)

(The reports and recommendations contained in all agendas are reports and recommendations only and are not to be construed, in any way, as Council policy until adopted.)

**AGENDA FOR A MEETING OF THE HENDERSON COMMUNITY BOARD TO BE HELD IN  
THE COUNCIL CHAMBER AT WAITAKERE CENTRAL, 6 HENDERSON VALLEY ROAD,  
HENDERSON, WAITAKERE, ON THURSDAY, 5 FEBRUARY 2009,  
COMMENCING AT 6.30 PM**

---

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

| <b><u>ITEM</u></b> |  | <b><u>PAGE NO.</u></b> |
|--------------------|--|------------------------|
| 1                  | APOLOGIES  | 1                      |
| 2                  | CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES  | 1                      |
| 3                  | URGENT BUSINESS  | 1                      |
| 4                  | CONFLICTS OF INTEREST  | 1                      |
| 5                  | PRESENTATION - NEW ZEALAND POLICE  | 2                      |
| 6                  | PUBLIC FORUM   | 2                      |
|                    | PUBLIC FORUM WILL TAKE PLACE AT 7.00 PM.                                     | 2                      |
| 7                  | CHAIRMAN'S REPORT  | 2                      |
| 8                  | BOARD MEMBERS' REPORTS   | 4                      |
| 9                  | COMMITTEE SECRETARY'S REPORT   | 5                      |
| 10                 | TE ATATU ANTI-AIRCRAFT BATTERY UPDATE  | 9                      |
| 11                 | KEEP WAITAKERE BEAUTIFUL TRUST 2009 'TREES FOR BABIES'<br>PLANTING PROGRAMME | 13                     |
| 12                 | SUNNYVALE RAILWAY STATION PUBLIC TOILET                                      | 16                     |
| 13                 | COMMUNITY BOARD MINOR PARKS PROJECTS 2008/2009 -<br>HENDERSON WARD           | 19                     |
| 14                 | PALOMINO DRIVE, HENDERSON - NEW PEDESTRIAN CROSSING                          | 23                     |
| 15                 | UNIVERSAL DRIVE, HENDERSON - TRAFFIC SAFETY MEASURES                         | 25                     |
| 16                 | HENDERSON WARD - FUTURE SLOW STREETS PRIORITY LIST                           | 28                     |
| 17                 | COMMUNITY WELLBEING LOCAL FUND ALLOCATION - FEBRUARY<br>2009                 | 31                     |
| 18                 | PROJECT TWIN STREAMS UPDATE  | 34                     |
|                    | PUBLIC EXCLUDED MATTER   | 41                     |
| 19                 | WALKWAY OF FAME  | 41                     |

**AGENDA FOR A MEETING OF THE HENDERSON COMMUNITY BOARD TO BE HELD IN  
THE COUNCIL CHAMBER AT WAITAKERE CENTRAL, 6 HENDERSON VALLEY ROAD,  
HENDERSON, WAITAKERE, ON THURSDAY, 5 FEBRUARY 2009,  
COMMENCING AT 6.30 PM**

---

**1 APOLOGIES**



**2 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES**

Meeting Minutes - 4 December 2008

**RECOMMENDATION**

It is recommended that the Henderson Community Board resolve to:

**Receive** the minutes of the meeting of the Henderson Community Board held on Thursday, 4 December 2008, as circulated, and that they be taken as read and now be confirmed.



**3 URGENT BUSINESS**

Section 46A(7) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 provides that where an item of business is not on the agenda, it may only be dealt with at the meeting if:

- (i) the Board by resolution so decides; and
- (ii) the Chairman has explained at the beginning of the meeting (when open to the public) that the item will be raised for discussion and decision, why the item is not on the agenda, and why it cannot be delayed until a subsequent meeting.

The Board may make a decision on a matter determined to be urgent.

**NOTE:** Urgent Business need not be dealt with now and may be delayed until later in the meeting.



**4 CONFLICTS OF INTEREST**

The Council has acknowledged in its Code of Conduct that Elected Members need to be vigilant to stand aside from decision making when a conflict arises between their role as a member of the Council and any private or other external interest they might have. This note is provided as a reminder to members to check that no such conflicts arise in relation to any items on this agenda.



## 5 PRESENTATION - NEW ZEALAND POLICE

Provision has been made on this agenda for a representative from the New Zealand Police to update the Community Board on matters in the Henderson Ward.



## 6 PUBLIC FORUM

**Public Forum will take place at 7.00 pm.**

For guidance of Community Board Members, the Council's Standing Orders have the following provisions in regard to Public Forum.

- (i) members of the public wishing to address the Board in Public Forum shall furnish their names to the Chairman at the beginning of the meeting; and
- (ii) the Chairman shall determine the order of speakers, and allow five minutes for speaking time;
- (iii) questions by members are to be confined to obtaining information or clarification on matters raised by the speaker.

Section 46A(7A) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 provides that no resolution, decision, or recommendation may be made in respect of any specific item of business not on the agenda except to refer the items to a subsequent meeting for further discussion. Therefore, no decision may be made on matters raised in Public Forum. However, written reports on matters raised may be requested from the Chief Executive Officer.



## 7 CHAIRMAN'S REPORT

### RECOMMENDATIONS

It is recommended that the Henderson Community Board resolve to:

1. **Received** the Chairman's Report dated 5 February 2009.
2. **Agree** that Ian Jepson be thanked for his ongoing support to the removal of graffiti in the Henderson Ward

### REPORT

1. Happy New Year to all and I hope you all had a wonderful break and are refreshed as we head into the second year of our term. This year is probably going to be the most challenging given the current economic situation, and the report of the Royal Commission on Auckland Regional Governance due in March 2009.

### 2009 Community Board Workshops

2. The first workshop will be held on Monday, 9 February 2009 at 5.30pm and will focus on the parks in the City, Community Board delegations, plans for the future and renewals of park assets. Workshops will continue to be held as requested and requests should be made through the Chairman of each respective Community Board.

### Te Atatu Peninsula Walkway

3. All submitters have now been advised that the proposed cycleway on Te Atatu Road which was to pass through Te Atatu Peninsula shopping centre will not proceed due to the results of a safety audit that was undertaken finding the proposed cycleway to be too dangerous. The issue around safety was also highlighted in this Community Board's submission for the proposed cycleway which was presented to Council officers.

### Graffiti Removal

4. Numerous times over the last year Ian Jepson (Graffiti Protection) has cleaned the Henderson Valley Railway Over-Bridge, the Welcome to Te Atatu sign and the Jack Pringle Skateboard Park on a voluntary basis. This has been most appreciated by our residents, especially the cleaning of the Henderson Valley Railway Over-Bridge as this is such a high profile site and also leads to the entrance of the Waitakere City Council headquarters.

### Rail Stabling Yard

5. The announcement just before Christmas that the yard would now be sited at Railside Avenue was a victory for the Western Heights Residents and Ratepayer Association, especially David Hallett and all those who lobbied so vigorously that the initial site chosen was not the best site given that some of the trains would be within 40 metres of residential housing. Although the new site is zoned industrial, as a Community Board we need to be vigilant in ensuring that all effects on those living within the area will be mitigated wherever possible.

### Sturges Road Bridge

6. I have been advised that ONTRACK is not proceeding with the replacement of the bridge as they no longer consider that the bridge will need to be raised to allow electrification of the line. I will be meeting with the Deputy Director City Services to clarify all the issues arising from this decision.

### Infrastructure and Works

7. A meeting has been scheduled by Cr Derek Battersby to meet with the Chairmen of the Community Boards to discuss issues relating to Infrastructure and Works in each ward in the future. I will update Members of the outcome from this meeting.

### Long Term Council Community Plan/Annual Plan

8. The Chief Executive Officer has advised that a workshop will be held with all the Community Board Members to discuss capital works projects in the City, and the impact that these could have on the setting of the rates for the 2009/2010 year. In view of the difficult economic times expected over the next year it is incumbent on all the Community Board Members to consider and debate our priorities over the next financial year.

Elizabeth Grimmer, MNZM  
**CHAIRMAN**



**8 BOARD MEMBERS' REPORTS**

Provision has been made on this agenda for Board Members should they so wish to submit a report on their activities during the month in regard to matters within the scope and delegations of the Board. However, to comply with the provisions of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987, no decision may be made on matters raised in Board Members' reports.

**HENDERSON COMMUNITY BOARD APPOINTMENTS**

| <b>OUTSIDE ORGANISATIONS</b>  | <b>APPOINTMENT</b>   |
|---|--|
| Auckland Regional and Far North Community Board Association Executive Committee | Steve McDonald<br>Shirley Savage (alternate)                     |
| City Safety Action Group  | Leo Nobilo<br>Shirley Savage                                     |
| Keep Waitakere Beautiful Committee  | Steve McDonald   |
| McLaren Park Henderson South Community Initiative                               | Steve McDonald<br>Shirley Savage                                 |
| Taipari Strand Community Group  | Leo Nobilo   |
| Te Atatu South Schools Travel Plan  | Elizabeth Grimmer<br>Leo Nobilo                                  |
| Waitakere Citizens Advice Bureau  | Gayle Marshall<br>Shirley Savage (alternate)                     |
| Te Pai Reserve Management Plan Advisory Group                                   | Elizabeth Grimmer<br>Steve McDonald (alternate)                  |
| Henderson Ward Local Reserves Management Plan                                   | Shirley Savage<br>Wayne Bainbridge<br>Steve McDonald (alternate) |
| Henderson Valley Park Reserve Management Plan                                   | Leo Nobilo   |
| School Travel Plan Working Group  | Shirley Savage<br>Steve McDonald                                 |
| Walkway of Fame 2008 Selection Committee  | Elizabeth Grimmer<br>Wayne Bainbridge                            |
| Te Atatu Peninsula Town Centre Business Improvement District                    | Wayne Bainbridge   |
| Henderson Town Centre   | Elizabeth Grimmer  |
| <b>COUNCIL COMMITTEES</b>   |  |
| Creative Communities Scheme Allocation Subcommittee                             | Wayne Bainbridge<br>Elizabeth Grimmer (alternate)                |
| Long Term Council Community Plan and Annual Plan Committee                      | Elizabeth Grimmer<br>Leo Nobilo (alternate)                      |
| Street Events Subcommittee - Henderson Ward                                     | Wayne Bainbridge   |






**9 COMMITTEE SECRETARY'S REPORT**

**RECOMMENDATION**

It is recommended that the Henderson Community Board resolve to:


**Receive** the Committee Secretary's Report for the Henderson Community Board dated 5 February 2009.

|    | <b>Issue</b>   | <b>Comments</b>   | <b>Reporting Council Officer</b>   |
|----|--|---|--|
| 1. | Te Atatu Peninsula Walkway Design - Chapman Strand to Taipari Strand<br><br>Officer's Report | All resource and building consents have been obtained for this project. Stage One of the physical works was publicly tendered in October 2008. All conforming tenders significantly exceeded the available budget. A peer review has subsequently been undertaken on the structural design to identify possible areas of cost reduction. Further redesign of the walkway is now required, and due to the reducing timeframe for re-tendering and construction this summer, the project is being deferred for construction until late 2009.  | Andreas Lilley<br> 836 8000<br>Ext: 8553    |
| 2. | McLeod Park Toilet Upgrade<br><br>Officer's Report   | It is planned that the public toilet at McLeod Park will be upgraded and relocated from its current position behind the soccer club building to a more visible location in early 2009.<br><br>Mail drops of the concept design were delivered to the local community in September 2008.<br><br>McLeod Park is a Citywide park therefore delegation for the development of this park is with the Infrastructure and Works Committee.<br><br>A report on the toilet upgrade was taken to the meeting of the Infrastructure and Works Committee held on Wednesday, 1 October 2008 seeking approval of the proposed concept design. Approval was given and a detailed design is now being progressed. Construction is anticipated to commence in February 2009. | Tracey Hamilton<br> 836 8000<br>Ext: 8969 |
| 3. | Cranwell Park / Tui Glen Reserve Footbridge Replacement<br><br>Officer's Report              | The footbridge at Cranwell Park/Tui Glen Reserve is being upgraded in 2009/2010 as part of Council's ongoing structures renewal programme.<br><br>Design work will be undertaken in 2008/2009. Concept designs for the new footbridge were received from four invited artists in November 2008.<br><br>A workshop was held with Councillors and Henderson Community Board   | Andreas Lilley<br> 836 8000<br>Ext: 8553  |



|    | Issue   | Comments   | Reporting Council Officer                                 |
|----|---|--|---|
| 6. | <p>Edmonton Road – Update on Work Undertaken and Review of Policy Changes to Resealing of Roads</p> <p>Resolution No. 1680/2008<br/>2 October 2008<br/>Henderson Community Board</p>  | <p>At the Henderson Community Board meeting held on Thursday, 2 October 2007 Marilyn Hurley requested that resealing work be undertaken immediately on Edmonton Road, Te Atatu South and that the policy around the resealing of roads be reviewed.</p> <p>Edmonton Road, the curved section near the School Road intersection is programmed to be resurfaced with asphalt concrete in the 2009/2010 financial year.</p> <p>The purpose of resealing is to prevent damages caused by water entering the pavement structure. In terms of road maintenance, the Council maintains approximately 800 kilometres (kms) of road network, of which 65 kms of surface gets resealed every year. The majority of this resealing is done with chip seal. The selection of surfacing (whether chip seal or asphalt) is based on traffic volume and heavy vehicles. If the Council chose to adopt asphalt then only 15 kms of road would be resealed as compared to 65 kms.</p> | <p>Canute Chandrakumaran<br/>☎ 836 8000<br/>Ext: 8716</p> |
| 7. | <p>Allocated Parking at Cranwell Park Following the Construction of the Henderson Youth Facility and Upgrade of the Recreation Centre</p> <p>Resolution No. 3311/2007<br/>Nos. 5 and 6<br/>3 September 2007<br/>Henderson Community Board</p> | <p>A review of the current parking allocation at Cranwell Park is being undertaken. A report detailing the review with recommendations for consideration will be presented to the March 2009 meeting of the Community Board.</p>   | <p>Katharine Slack<br/>☎ 836 8000<br/>Ext: 8779</p>       |
| 8. | <p>Te Pai Park Lighting Stage One<br/>Officer's Report</p>  | <p>The first stage of the car park lighting upgrade was tendered in December 2008. Three light poles will be installed at the Clemway Place end of the car park to improve security and facilitate pedestrians walking to events at The Trusts Stadium. Physical works will commence and be completed in February 2009. The second phase of the project will involve installing lighting throughout the remainder of the car park of Te Pai Place. This work will be completed in 2009/2010.</p>   | <p>Andreas Lilley<br/>☎ 836 8000<br/>Ext: 8553</p>        |




A1-A2


|    | Issue  | Comments   | Reporting Council Officer   |
|----|--|--|---|
| 9. | Parking Stakeholder Consultation<br>Officer's Report | <p>Parking stakeholder consultation with developers, businesses and town centre residents was undertaken in November and December 2008. The Manager Transport Strategy's report to the Directors Group, summarising these discussions, is attached at pages A1 to A2.</p> <p>The closing time for submissions was extended from 5.00 pm on Wednesday, 10 December 2008 to noon on Wednesday, 24 December 2008.</p> <p>66 submissions were received by noon on Wednesday, 24 December 2008, including several from people not approached by Council officers during the consultation process. 19 submitters preferred to remain anonymous. A full report will be presented to the Policy and Strategy Committee at its meeting to be held on Thursday, 5 February 2009.</p> | <p>Charlie Inggs</p> <p> 836 8000</p> <p>Ext: 8854</p> |

#### COUNCIL REPORTS FOR INFORMATION ONLY

| Report Name  | Committee                     | Attachment Pages  |
|--|-------------------------------|---|
| Greater Auckland Regional Sporting Facilities Plan | Policy and Strategy Committee | Report and attachments will be circulated under separate cover as a Supplement. |
| Proposed Local Biodiversity Action Plan            | Policy and Strategy Committee | Report and attachments will be circulated under separate cover as a Supplement. |

#### REPORTS PENDING

| Subject   | Date Requested                               | Report Due      | Reporting Officer   |
|---|--|-----------------|---|
| Henderson and Lincoln Corridor - Forward Planning | Resolution No. 1285/2008<br>4 September 2008 | Ongoing Updates | <p>Jeff Murray</p> <p> 836 8000</p> <p>Ext: 8420</p>   |
| Former Te Atatu South Library Facility            | Resolution No. 1680/2008<br>2 October 2008   | 2 April 2009    | <p>Louis Rattray</p> <p> 836 8000</p> <p>Ext: 8799</p> |
| Removal of Litter Bins in Local Parks Trials      | Resolution No. 2045/2008<br>4 December 2008  | 2 April 2009    | <p>Sarah Natac</p> <p> 836 8000</p> <p>Ext: 8561</p>   |

| REPORTS PENDING                                 |  |              |   |
|---|--|--------------|---|
| Subject   | Date Requested                                 | Report Due   | Reporting Officer   |
| Taipari Strand – Use as a Nine Hole Golf Course | Resolution No.<br>2038/2008<br>4 December 2009 | 2 April 2009 | Grant Jennings<br> 836 8000<br>Ext: 8537 |

Report prepared by: Ngareta Delamere, Committee Secretary.



## 10 TE ATATU ANTI-AIRCRAFT BATTERY UPDATE

### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Te Atatu Anti-Aircraft Battery forms part of a network of heritage sites throughout Harbourview/Orangihina Park. Harbourview/Orangihina Park has sweeping views over Auckland City and it has a long history, first of Maori occupation, and then as the site of brickworks, farming activities and then as a coastal fortification during World War II.

This report provides an update on the costs and options for preserving and highlighting the heritage of Te Atatu Anti-Aircraft Battery. A report will also go to the Finance and Operational Committee.

### RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Henderson Community Board resolve to:

**Receive** Te Atatu Anti-Aircraft Battery Update report.

### BACKGROUND

1. Te Atatu Anti Aircraft Battery was constructed in 1943 to a New Zealand Public Works Department design modification of a British War Office standard gun emplacement. The battery is one of a group of similar structures deployed throughout the Auckland Isthmus. The battery was constructed to protect the western airfields, in particular the Hobsonville Airbase, from aerial attack. It was active for only a year but is now the only one of its type remaining.
2. Due to its association with the defence of Auckland during WWII, Te Atatu Anti Aircraft Battery is identified as a Category I heritage item under the District Plan. Category I buildings and structures are the most significant and therefore special care and attention needs to be taken with maintenance programmes and any modifications to the structures. Harbourview/Orangihina Park has many heritage features with little or no explanation of what they are or their significance. The previous report recommends that this should be addressed. However, the implementation of the remaining site interpretation will need to follow in successive financial years.

3. The Finance and Operational Committee at its meeting held on Monday, 6 October 2008 resolved the following:

*“ The Finance and Operational Performance Committee resolved to:*

1. **Receive** the *Oranighina (Harbourview Park) Heritage report.*
2. **Agree** that Council officers seek expressions of interest from lighting, fencing and interpretive signage contractors for heritage design concepts for the gun emplacements, brick villa, Auckland Brick and Tile site, Maori middens and McCormick’s cottage on the Harbourview/Orangihina Park.
3. **Agree** that \$80,000 of the Harbourview/Orangihina Park Special Fund be set aside for heritage fencing and interpretation, subject to design concepts being provided to and approved by the Finance and Operational Committee.”

1710/2008

4. The Harbourview/Orangihina Park Special Rate was struck in 2004 for the purpose of establishing, developing and maintaining for public purposes certain land in Te Atatu Peninsula. Legal Services have confirmed that the proposed heritage interpretation works fall within the definition of works envisaged when the special rate was established. The proposed heritage interpretation works will complement the proposed upgrading of the Park and can be accommodated within the overall budget for development of the site.

## DECISION MAKING

### Issues

#### Site Interpretation of the Anti-Aircraft Battery

5. The Anti-Aircraft Battery is part of a broader heritage landscape that covers the Auckland Brick and Tile Company site, the brick villa, McCormicks cottage, and pre-European middens.
6. The Finance and Operational Committee approved \$80,000 funding towards site interpretation for Harbourview/Orangihina Park. However, recent cost estimates obtained for re-fencing, lighting and signage for the gun emplacements indicates that the \$80,000 will only be sufficient for part of the overall project. It is considered that it is better to consolidate and complete site interpretation thoroughly in each part of the reserve, before tackling new areas.
7. In light of the limited budget it is proposed that the project proceeds in the following way:
  - The improvements to other heritage sites in the Harbourview/Orangihina Park area are to be implemented once the gun emplacement project is complete i.e. it is preferable to finish one area first before moving on to other sites;
  - Completion of replacement fencing;
  - Design and construction of gun emplacement interpretation is to be implemented concurrently with design and consents for lighting;
  - Review of budget; and
  - Implementation of lighting and signage as budget allows.

### Options Identified

8. There is are a total of 311 metre length of fencing required to fence off all five gun emplacements with low maintenance, powder coated fencing. The costs for this vary with an upper limit of approximately \$50,000 for powder coated fencing. It is likely that the tender process will deliver cost savings on this estimate, although it is a sizeable part of the overall cost. The existing fencing has been the subject of complaints by local residents who are of the view that the rural-style fencing is not appropriate match with the style of modern houses in the area. The fencing also does not provide ease of access for contractors required to remove graffiti or access the structures. The option of lighting the heritage structures would provide added passive surveillance of the site by residential properties in the evenings as well as highlighting in a modest manner, the heritage features. This would be an aid to site interpretation.
9. The installation of lighting will require a resource consent as it will involve an addition or alteration to a Category I heritage structure.
10. The highest project priority is the replacement of farm style fencing with black powder coated 1.2 metre high standard fence as parks assets prefer to use the same standard style of fencing across all parks managed by Council. This enables greater cost efficiency when sending out maintenance teams.
11. A lighting designer has been commissioned to look at three options for lighting the gun emplacements at Te Atatu. Option 1 is recommended as it provides for the greatest energy efficiency and best lighting result with greatest flexibility. Option 1 would involve placing two small colour changing LED floodlights within each of the 'rooms' at high level, aimed down and out from the room. This would enable the lights to be concealed from view from ground level above. The two lights will allow some sense of drama with shadowing from shell racks, door frames etc. The system would be set up with tow conditions – a warm white colour that would be displayed normally with a solid red colour to be displayed on ceremonial days such as ANZAC Day. This would allow escalation to a special effect on significant occasions. The red colour will tend to evoke a sense of danger consistent with the original purpose of the space.
12. Option 2 would provide for using a single colour LED with no colour changing. This would not provide any flexibility to allow for different effects at different times. Option 3 involved a series of weatherproof linear fluorescent lights located on the soffit within each of the rooms in such a way that they would not be visible from ground level but the effect of the light would be seen emanating from each room. Although this option may initially be low-cost it would involve having to manually change a coloured polycarbonated sleeve to give the colour change required for significant effects and would not be energy efficient in the long term.
13. Overall, it is considered that Option 1 provides the best value for money in the long term and the greatest flexibility.

### Consideration of Community Views

14. The primary beneficiaries of further site interpretation, improved fencing options and lighting are the local residents, businesses and visitors to the area that wish to appreciate the heritage of Harbourview/Orangihina Park. Although further site interpretation is planned for the former McCormicks' Cottage site, Auckland Brickworks and other features, this will need to occur in successive years with further funding from the Harbourview Special Fund.

15. Council has consulted with the New Zealand Historic Places Trust in preparing its concepts to date and will also meet with two individuals in the community who have extensive knowledge of the gun emplacements and lived in Te Atatu during the 1940s.

### Preferred Option

16. The preferred option involves replacing the existing fence with a new powder-coated standard parks fence. However, that is likely to use half of the budget. It is therefore recommended that Council consolidate its efforts at the gun emplacements to complete as much of the site interpretation through lighting and signage as possible with the \$80,000 allocated in the budget. This will enable other heritage features at Harbourview to be integrated into an overall visitor experience as future budget allows.

### STRATEGIC CONTEXT

17. The work at Harbourview/Orangihina Park involves the Vibrant Arts and Culture strategic platform:

*“Waitakere City’s arts and culture is reflected and appreciated in our everyday life and the City is itself a work of art. We participate in creative pursuits and have a deep and wide perception of arts and cultures in our City.”*

18. Development of heritage interpretation material will be achieved in consultation with the New Zealand Historic Places Trust, the lead central government agency involved in heritage protection.

### CONSULTATION

19. The Council’s heritage advisor has consulted with the Manager: Parks Planning and Parks Assets staff in preparing this report. The Manager Parks Planning supports further on-site interpretation of heritage features at the Harbourview/Orangihina Park as it is one of the most visited Citywide parks. Project services are managing the physical works associated with this project.

### RESOURCES

20. The resources required to deliver signage, fencing and lighting on the ground will need to be met from the Harbourview/Orangihina Park OPEX budget. The entire budget approved by the Finance and Operational Performance Committee is \$80,000. The following preliminary budget has been prepared but is subject to change depending on the tenders received:

| Item         | Description             | Approximate Cost   |
|--------------|-------------------------|--------------------|
| Lighting     | Lighting options report | \$1400             |
| Fencing      | Black powder coated     | \$40,000- \$50,000 |
| Signage      | Graphic design          | \$400              |
|              | Build and installation  | \$1,200            |
| Lighting     | Option one installation | \$48,300           |
| <b>Total</b> |                         | <b>\$106,816</b>   |

21. The costs above are subject to change depending on the outcome of the tender process. There is some additional heritage budget available in this financial year for contingencies above \$80,000.

## IMPLEMENTATION ISSUES

22. The lighting design and preparation of resource consent application are underway.

**Report prepared by:** Alina Wimmer, Principal Advisor: Heritage and Tim Sherring, Senior Engineer, Special Projects.



## 11 KEEP WAITAKERE BEAUTIFUL TRUST 2009 'TREES FOR BABIES' PLANTING PROGRAMME

### GLOSSARY

|                                |        |
|--------------------------------|--------|
| Keep Waitakere Beautiful Trust | (KWBT) |
| Trees for Babies               | (TFB)  |

### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The purpose of this report is to secure approval of a park for this year's annual Trees for Babies (TFB) community planting day in the Henderson Ward, and to request the nomination of a representative from the Community Board to speak on the day.

The park option recommended for TFB for 2009 has been selected based on their suitability for a TFB event following consultation with the Keep Waitakere Beautiful Trust (KWBT) Board and Council officers.

### RECOMMENDATIONS

It is recommended that the Henderson Community Board resolve to:

1. **Receive** the Keep Waitakere Beautiful Trust 2009 'Trees for Babies' Planting Programme report.
2. **Approve** that Kingdale Reserve be accepted as the confirmed venue for the 2009 'Trees for Babies' event in the Henderson Ward.
3. **Approve** that if Kingdale Reserve raises significant issues with the local community, that Fairdene Reserve be confirmed as the alternate site for the Keep Waitakere Beautiful Trust 2009 'Trees for Babies' Planting Programme.
4. **Approve** that a representative from the Henderson Community Board be nominated to speak at the 2009 'Trees for Babies' event.

### BACKGROUND

1. TFB is an annual community event to celebrate babies born in Waitakere. Trees have been planted by the community in one reserve in each of the four wards as part of a KWBT, Plunket and Council project for the past 14 years. This is a popular community event with approximately 100 trees planted in each reserve each year.

2. Park sites for the TFB event are assessed according to the following range of issues:
- The parks suitability to accommodate a large area of planting;
  - Proximity to a school, kindergarten or playground;
  - Good access to the park;
  - Amenity of the site, (i.e. is it a nice park to be in?); and
  - Level of use and visibility to the community.

## DECISION MAKING

### Issues

3. The TFB event for the Henderson Ward will take place on Saturday, 9 May 2009 from 11:00 am to 1:00 pm. The event will be community focused with family orientated activities and guest speakers. A Community Board Member is requested to speak at the event.
4. A Community Board representative on the KWBT Board and/or the KWBT Programme Manager will be present at the Community Board meeting to answer any questions there may be regarding the event.

### Options Identified

5. Henderson Community Board requested consideration of Fairdene, Kingdale, Opanuku, Alan and San Bernadino Reserves for the 2009 TFB.

### Assessment of Options

6. Following site visits to the parks, the following assessments of the shortlisted sites provides details which have determined the proposed preferred option.

| Option                | Advantages  | Disadvantages  |
|-----------------------|---|--|
| Kingdale Reserve      | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Kindergarten and stream close by</li> <li>• Large open space with bare fences</li> <li>• Good road frontage</li> <li>• Near residential</li> </ul>   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• May require neighbour consultation</li> </ul>   |
| Fairdene Reserve      | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Has stream and adjoins a school</li> <li>• Enhances existing planting</li> <li>• Near residential areas</li> <li>• Already well planted but additional planting can be accommodated</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Already done two previous TFB plantings</li> </ul>  |
| Opanuku Reserve       | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Stream and kindergarten close by</li> <li>• Very visible high profile</li> </ul>   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Will increase security risks</li> <li>• Not close to many residences</li> </ul>                   |
| Alan Reserve          | NIL   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Not good access ie. land-locked</li> <li>• Highly vandalised</li> <li>• Low visibility</li> </ul> |
| San Bernadino Reserve | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Good open site</li> <li>• Minimal planting existing so needs more</li> <li>• New residential area</li> </ul>   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• TFB planting might be too large for the site</li> </ul>   |

7. Based on the above evaluation, Kingdale Reserve is considered the preferred option with Fairdene Reserve as the alternate.

### Consideration of Community Views

8. There has been continued community support for the TFB annual planting programme, as highlighted through the numbers of residents taking part in the event each year and taking an active ongoing interest in the progress of their trees. There continues to be a demand from the community for TFB opportunities with Waitakere.
9. TFB is now in its 14<sup>th</sup> year, evidence of both the popularity of this event, and the ongoing long term partnership between the KWBT and Council. The following table summarises the popularity of the event over the past five years.

| Year | No. of Trees Planted | No of Registered Attendees |
|------|----------------------|----------------------------|
| 2004 | 400                  | 900                        |
| 2005 | 400                  | 930                        |
| 2006 | 435                  | 1335                       |
| 2007 | 400                  | 1310                       |
| 2008 | 435                  | 996                        |

### Preferred Option

10. The preferred option for the Henderson Ward 2009 TFB is Kingdale Reserve.

### STRATEGIC CONTEXT

11. TFB is an active reflection of the Strong Communities platform, as well as the Green Network platform, within the Long Term Council Community Plan. TFB exists because both parties recognise the long-term value in establishing community ownership, and participation in community-based environmental initiatives. TFB also adds to the Green Network, providing habitat and "highways" for wildlife and enhanced recreational areas for people.

### CONSULTATION

12. Community Boards were asked to submit possible sites for the 2009 TFB. From the list of sites gathered and from other options put forward by Council officers and the KWBT Board, a shortlist of suitable sites was selected.
13. Consultation with the local community adjacent to the proposed TFB sites has yet to occur. It is proposed that this consultation will occur after the preferred site is chosen.
14. Community Boards have previously commented that they would like more details on the proposed planting sites and the impact on the chosen reserve. As such, parks are assisting KWB by now producing planting plans for all the preferred TFB sites for use in consultation with the Community Board and local residents and to ensure implementation of the planting in the best location within the reserve and with the most appropriate mix of tree species.

15. A detailed planting plan showing the TFB site within the nominated park will be presented at this meeting. This planting plan will provide the details to undertake consultation with the local community to ensure there are no issues with the proposed planting location from a community perspective.
16. Past community consultation on possible sites has only rarely resulted in objection from the community. The planting plan will allow for amendments to be made based on community consultation that should address most of the issues related to tree size, location, and shading that have in the past been the key issues with the proposed TFB planting sites.
17. If any objection to the proposed site becomes evident during this consultation process, then it is proposed that the second highest rated option is then progressed for the TFB planting programme for 2009 as an alternate.

### RESOURCES

18. Funding for TFB has been approved through the Annual Plan 2008/2009 (\$20,500) and is one of the key programmes funded by Council through the KWBT.

### IMPLEMENTATION ISSUES

19. All the implementation of the TFB planting programme is undertaken by KWBT. Planting is carried out according to the Council's Code of Practice specifications and is supervised by suitably qualified experts.
20. As has been put in place in previous years, an underplanting of low shrubs will be put in place to minimise maintenance and weed invasion issues with the TFB site.
21. Once the TFB site has been planted and the asset information passed on to parks from KWBT, the maintenance of that planting is then carried out by Council's parks section through a landscape maintenance contract.

**Report prepared by:** Danielle Hancock, Parks Ecology and Policy Coordinator and Kevin Healy, Programme Manager, Keep Waitakere Beautiful Trust.



## 12 SUNNYVALE RAILWAY STATION PUBLIC TOILET

### GLOSSARY

|                                     |                       |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| draft Parks and Open Space Strategy | (the strategy)        |
| Sunnyvale Railway Station           | (the railway station) |

### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The purpose of this report is to seek the Henderson Community Board's approval of the design and location of the proposed public toilet at Sunnyvale Railway Station (the railway station).

## **RECOMMENDATIONS**

It is recommended that the Henderson Community Board resolve to:

1. **Receive** the Sunnyvale Railway Station Public Toilet report.
2. **Approve** the proposed concept design and location for the public toilet at Sunnyvale Railway Station.

## **BACKGROUND**

1. There is currently no public toilet provided for railway commuters at the railway station.
2. The provision of a public toilet at the railway station complies with the current Council policy for toilet provision and the draft Parks and Open Space Strategy (the strategy) states that toilets will be provided in association with rail stations.

## **DECISION MAKING**

### **Issues**

3. It is proposed to install a public toilet at the railway station.

### **Location**

4. The railway station meets the requirements of a location where the use is expected to be high, where people have travelled some distance and there are no other toilet facilities available.
5. Three (3) possible locations were investigated for the proposed public toilet block. The options are outlined below and can be seen on the diagrams attached at pages A3 to A5.

A3-A5

### **Option 1 - At the front entrance of the Park and Ride**

6. This location is at the front of the Park and Ride facility as it provides passive surveillance from passing vehicles and pedestrians. As this location is at the entrance to the Park and Ride it would restrict visibility of drivers entering and exiting the car park. The location of the public toilet in this area would not be suitable for all train commuters unless they had a vehicle parked in this area.

### **Option 2 - On or near the station platform**

7. Location would be on ONTRACK land and not Council owned land. The topography of the land near the platform slopes down from the road and this would result in the facility being hidden which creates a security and vandalism risk. The area around the railway platform is currently heavily targeted by taggers and would create another maintenance cost for Council.

### **Option 3 - On the corner of Manui Place and Serwayne Place**

8. This location is on road reserve and is positioned between the Park and Ride and the railway platform along the main pedestrian route. This location can also be seen from the railway platform or any commuters who arrive at the railway platform from alternative routes. This location has high passive surveillance which would deter vandalism and increase security.

- A3-A4
9. For the above reasons Option 3 is the preferred option for the location of the public toilet. This location is between the Park and Ride and the railway station which provides close proximity to the activity in accordance with the strategy. A Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design assessment was undertaken on the proposed location and complies with best practice. The proposed location can also be seen by two of the Auckland Regional Transport Authority security cameras which provide additional security. The proposed location can be seen on the diagrams attached at pages A3 to A4.

#### **Parks Standard Toilet Design**

- A5
10. The Parks standard toilet design has been developed through significant product research into durability and sustainability. The Parks standard toilet design is appropriate for the proposed location and consists of two all accessible unisex toilets. The proposed design of the toilet can be seen on the diagram attached at page A5.
11. The following sustainable features have been incorporated into the toilet design to reduce vandalism, graffiti and ongoing costs to Council:
- Tilt slab concrete wall;
  - All fixtures and fittings are stainless steel;
  - All services (plumbing and electrical) are hidden in the walls;
  - Use of vandalite or similar vandalism-resistant lighting;
  - Maximum use of natural lighting to reduce power;
  - Maximum use of natural ventilation through the roof design to reduce power; and
  - Use of Dual Flush buttons and spring loaded shut off taps for efficient water use.
12. It is proposed that the toilet opening hours will be co-ordinated with the operational hours of the railway station. Currently the first train arrives at the railway station at 5.47 am and train services cease at 7.27 pm and are replaced by buses until 11.32 pm.

#### **STRATEGIC CONTEXT**

13. This project contributes to Council's strategic platform of Integrated Transport and Communications by providing additional facilities to make using the rail network more attractive and user friendly.

#### **CONSULTATION**

14. The proposed installation of the public toilet at the railway station has the approval of the Parks Design Review Panel and approval from Transport Assets.
15. A mail drop was undertaken to all properties within an 800 metre walking distance from the railway station in December 2008. This mail drop included the proposed concept design and the proposed location. Feedback from this mail drop will be discussed at the February 2009 meeting of the Henderson Community Board.

#### **RESOURCES**

16. The estimated cost to install the proposed public toilet is \$145,000. Funding has been provided in the Annual Plan 2008/2009 to carry out the toilet installation.

## IMPLEMENTATION ISSUES

17. There are no implementation issues relating to the recommendations.

**Report prepared by:** Tracey Hamilton, Project Development Officer.



## 13 COMMUNITY BOARD MINOR PARKS PROJECTS 2008/2009 - HENDERSON WARD

### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The purpose of this report is to present the proposals received for Community Board Minor Parks Projects for the Henderson Ward and to request that the Henderson Community Board prioritise and approve those projects that should be funded from the Annual Plan 2008/2009.

### RECOMMENDATIONS

It is recommended that the Henderson Community Board resolve to:

1. **Receive** the Community Board Minor Parks Projects 2008/2009 - Henderson Ward report.
2. **Agree** to determine the priority for expenditure of the budget allocated in the Annual Plan 2008/2009 for Community Board Minor Parks Projects 2008/2009 - Henderson Ward.

### BACKGROUND

1. In December 1999, the Community Facilities and Recreation Committee resolved to provide a discretionary budget for allocation by Community Boards for capital development projects on parkland. This decision recognised that there are a number of projects, generally less than \$10,000 in cost, which are raised as possible projects through Annual Plan submissions, Community Board public forums and other consultation processes. Undertaking these small projects is an important means of meeting local needs within the community.
2. A total of \$127,000 has been allocated to Community Board Minor Parks Projects in the Annual Plan 2008/2009. The budget is allocated to each ward on a needs basis, calculated on the current population within the ward as a proportion of the City. As a result, the fund is to be allocated as follows:
  - Henderson Ward \$38,862
  - Massey Ward \$33,782
  - New Lynn Ward \$38,354
  - Waitakere Ward \$16,002
3. Proposals for suitable projects have been requested from Henderson Community Board Members, Residents and Ratepayer groups, sports clubs and other community organisations.

## DECISION MAKING

4. The following principles provide the framework for decision making regarding the funding of projects through the Community Board Minor Parks Projects budget:
- The funds are to be used for development projects on parkland - this does not include projects on community buildings, grants to community groups, maintenance or renewal items;
  - A maximum of \$20,000 can be spent on any one project in each financial year. The decision to extend the maximum amount of \$20,000 for any one project rests with the Henderson Community Board, but if it chooses to extend the \$20,000 for any one project, a recommendation to the appropriate Council Committee is required; and
  - Projects will be ranked according to the Parks Capital Works criteria, however, the decision as to final priority rests with the Henderson Community Board.

## Issues

### Possible Development Projects

5. The following table outlines the approximate cost of a range of projects that can be undertaken for less than \$20,000. These costs are an average in order to give guidance to the Henderson Community Board, and do not take into account site-specific situations and variability.

| Project Type  | Approximate Cost Including Consents, Supply and Installation |
|---|--|
| Basketball half court   | \$14,000   |
| Sealing an existing gravel car park of approximately 250m <sup>2</sup>  | \$12,500 for 10 car parking spaces including marking         |
| Gravel path with timber edging  | \$85 per lineal metre at 1.5m wide                           |
| Concrete path   | \$125 per lineal metre at 1.5m wide                          |
| Creating/extending a gravel car park  | \$7,000 for 10 car parking spaces                            |
| 10 metre length of boardwalk  | \$4,000  |
| Macrocarpa bench seat   | \$900  |
| Macrocarpa bench seat with back   | \$1,160  |
| Macrocarpa picnic table   | \$1,850  |
| Town Centre/urban seat  | \$805  |
| Town Centre/urban picnic table  | \$1,650  |
| Set of two swings with bark under surfacing, scuff mats, new edging and local drainage, including Council consent fees (new stand alone park) | \$15,000   |
| Set of two swings with scuff mats in an existing playground, including Council consent fees   | \$5,000  |
| Seesaw with bark under surfacing in an existing playground  | \$3,500  |
| Community art project   | \$5,000  |
| Small skate ramp - on existing slab   | \$16,000   |

| Project Type                               | Approximate Cost Including Consents, Supply and Installation |
|--|--|
| Planting - specimen trees                  | \$195 per tree   |
| Planting - shrubbery                       | \$700 for 10 m <sup>2</sup>                                  |
| Barrier fencing (bollards and chain)       | \$880 for 10 metres  |
| Drinking fountain (by water supply)        | \$3,300 (includes \$500 water meter)                         |
| Standard rubbish bin - stainless steel bin | \$1,200 per bin  |
| Park name sign - 2 panel                   | \$1,200  |

### Proposals

6. Proposals received are outlined below with estimated costs. The 'In/Out' column indicates the Council officer's recommendations regarding each project. 'In' means the project is recommended to be considered for allocation of funding and 'Out' means the project is not recommended to be considered for allocation of funding for reasons which are outlined in the report. Submissions received that did not meet the criteria of this budget are not included in the tables below.

#### Waitakere City Cricket Club

| Park                    | Proposal   | Preliminary Assessed Cost | Running Total | Officer Recommendation In/Out |
|-------------------------|--|---------------------------|---------------|-------------------------------|
| Te Atatu Peninsula Park | Install 1x rubbish bins by playground  | \$1,200                   | \$1,200       | In                            |
| Te Atatu Peninsula Park | Install 2x rubbish bins by clubhouse <sup>1</sup>                                  | \$2,400                   | \$3,600       | Out                           |
| Te Atatu Peninsula Park | Sign showing layout of fields and cricket wickets at entrance to park <sup>2</sup> | \$3,000                   | \$6,600       | Out                           |

<sup>1</sup> It is not recommended by Council officers to place rubbish bins by clubhouses as clubs are responsible under their lease for the collection and disposal of rubbish generated by the club and its members.

<sup>2</sup> Parks and Open Space are currently redesigning the portfolio of parks signs and signs indicating the layout of sports fields will be included in this project.

#### Henderson Riding for the Disabled

| Park                  | Proposal                                   | Preliminary Assessed Cost | Running Total | Officer Recommendation In/Out |
|-----------------------|--|---------------------------|---------------|-------------------------------|
| Henderson Valley Park | Install 1x macrocarpa bench seat with back | \$1,160                   | \$7,760       | In                            |

**Member of the Public**

| Park        | Proposal   | Preliminary Assessed Cost | Running Total | Officer Recommendation In/Out |
|-------------|--|---------------------------|---------------|-------------------------------|
| McLeod Park | Extension of playground to include a "Jungle Cube" or other equipment of similar pricing | \$15,000                  | \$22,760      | In                            |

**Member(s) of the Public**

| Park           | Proposal                                   | Preliminary Assessed Cost | Running Total | Officer Recommendation In/Out |
|----------------|--|---------------------------|---------------|-------------------------------|
| Chapman Strand | Install steel gate across entrance to park | \$5,000                   | \$27,760      | In                            |

7. A workshop will be held with the Henderson Community Board prior to the meeting of 5 February 2009 to discuss each proposal in detail including taking into consideration the following:
- The cost-benefits of each option in terms of present and future economic, environmental, social and cultural wellbeing;
  - The extent to which community outcomes would be promoted or achieved; and
  - The impact of each option in providing for present and future needs.

**Consideration of Community Views**

8. The proposals outlined in this report were submitted by the community. The applicants and any other affected parties of projects approved by the Henderson Community Board will be consulted as applicable; i.e. in regards to the most suitable placement of the asset.

**STRATEGIC CONTEXT**

9. These projects contribute to Council's Urban and Rural Villages Platform, which has the objective that town centres are thriving places, providing exciting options for people to live, work and play. Public facilities, places and spaces teem with people; the streets are alive and busy.
10. This platform is about ensuring people have choices in housing, transport, employment and recreation in their neighbourhoods and town centres. The objective is to make the city a vibrant and enjoyable place to live, work and play by creating attractive urban places that encourage growth and economic development, with minimal environmental impacts.

## CONSULTATION

11. The Community Board Minor Parks Project budget is a fund that allows for community organisations to have a direct say in the development of minor capital projects on parkland. All community organisations were invited to submit proposals.

## RESOURCES

12. The total costs of proposals received that are recommended for funding amount to \$27,760. The allocation for Community Board Minor Parks Projects - Henderson Ward is \$38,862. Budget not allocated will be held to allocate to other community projects in the Henderson Ward to be spent prior to the end of the current financial year. Additional proposals will be brought to the Henderson Community Board for their recommendation via the Committee Secretary's Report.

## IMPLEMENTATION ISSUES

13. Once the decision has been made as to which projects will go ahead, no implementation issues are foreseen.

**Report prepared by:** Sarah Natac, Customer Liaison Officer.



## 14 PALOMINO DRIVE, HENDERSON - NEW PEDESTRIAN CROSSING

### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The purpose of this report is to seek the Henderson Community Board's approval for a new pedestrian crossing on Palomino Drive between Sturges Road and Chablis Place, Henderson.

Consultation with residents is currently being undertaken and any feedback will be presented at the Henderson Community Board meeting.

Two options were considered, to install the pedestrian crossing or not to install the pedestrian crossing.

### RECOMMENDATIONS

It is recommended that the Henderson Community Board resolve to:

1. **Receive** the Palomino Drive, Henderson - New Pedestrian Crossing report.
2. **Approve** the installation of a **PEDESTRIAN CROSSING** on **PALOMINO DRIVE** approximately 18 metres to the west of Chablis Place as shown on the diagram attached at page A6 to the Agenda.
3. **Agree** that the appropriate signage and/or road markings, in accordance with the provisions of Land Transport Rule: Traffic Control Devices 2004 - Rule 54002 hereby be approved to be put in place to properly establish, delineate and record the said pedestrian crossing.

## **BACKGROUND**

1. Following traffic safety concerns raised by residents about pedestrian and traffic safety in the vicinity of the roundabout at Sturges Road and Palomino Drive, Council officers have investigated options for improvements.
2. The roundabout is to be reconfigured in February 2009 to reduce traffic speeds at the roundabout, and a new pedestrian facility is recommended on Palomino Drive.

## **DECISION MAKING**

### **Issues**

3. Sturges Road is a collector road and carries traffic volumes of approximately 20,000 vehicles per day. Palomino Drive is a district arterial road and carries approximately 7,000 vehicles per day.
4. In the past five years there have been 14 reported accidents at the Sturges Road/Palomino Drive intersection, including three that involved injury. Seven of these accidents were vehicles that lost control at the roundabout. The most recent one occurring in July 2008 that involved serious injury to the driver.
5. The number of pedestrians that cross Palomino Drive in the vicinity of the shops does justify a pedestrian crossing being installed. This facility will also benefit students from the nearby primary school on Sturges Road to cross Palomino Drive.

### **Options Identified**

6. Two options were considered, to install the pedestrian crossing or not to install the pedestrian crossing.

### **Consideration of Community Views**

7. The proposed pedestrian crossing facility is currently being consulted with adjacent residents and any feedback will be presented at the Community Board meeting.

### **Preferred Option**

8. The recommended option is to install a pedestrian crossing facility on Palomino Drive to the west of Chablis Place.

## **STRATEGIC CONTEXT**

9. Council's Integrated Transport and Communication platform provides the strategic context for this report. The vision is for public transport and communications systems that provide fast, effective services, and for safe City travel facilitated by integrated, environmentally-responsible and innovative design, with a focus on meeting the essential needs of all, for access, communication, and safety.
10. Provision of appropriate pedestrian facilities is an essential part of providing access and safety for pedestrians.

## CONSULTATION

11. Consultation with internal staff, external agencies and Maori, was not required for this report.

## RESOURCES

12. The works are estimated to cost approximately \$10,000 and can be funded from the Annual Plan 2008/2009 minor safety budget.

## IMPLEMENTATION ISSUES

13. There are no implementation issues.

**Report prepared by:** Vinh Bui, Senior Transport Engineer.



## 15 UNIVERSAL DRIVE, HENDERSON - TRAFFIC SAFETY MEASURES

### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The purpose of this report is to seek the Henderson Community Board's approval for the following:

- A new flush median on Universal Drive between Lincoln Road and Central Park Drive, Henderson;
- A new No Stopping At All Times parking restriction on Universal Drive intersecting with Lincoln Road, Henderson;
- A new No Stopping At All Times parking restriction on Universal Drive intersecting with Soljan Drive, Henderson;
- A new No Stopping At All Times parking restriction on Universal Drive intersecting with Central Park Drive, Henderson;
- A new Give Way control on Soljan Drive intersecting with Universal Drive, Henderson; and
- A new No Stopping At All Times parking restriction on Central Park Drive intersecting with Universal Drive, Henderson.

Council officers consulted with the affected landowners with regard to the proposed road marking layout and have taken into consideration the safest option for the local community and other road users.

### RECOMMENDATIONS

It is recommended that the Henderson Community Board resolve to:

1. **Receive** the Universal Drive, Henderson - Traffic Safety Measures report.
2. **Approve** that in relation to **UNIVERSAL DRIVE, HENDERSON:**
  - (a) and in accordance with the powers conferred by virtue of the Local Government Act 1974, the Land Transport Act 1998, the Transport Act 1962 and the Waitakere City Council Bylaw No. 7, 1991 - Traffic, the following restrictions now be resolved to be specified and imposed, namely,

- (i) on the south side of **UNIVERSAL DRIVE** starting from **LINCOLN ROAD** and extending east for a distance of approximately 88 metres, the **NO STOPPING AT ALL TIMES** parking restriction be put in place.
  - (ii) on the south side of **UNIVERSAL DRIVE** starting from a distance of approximately 195 metres east of **LINCOLN ROAD** and extending further east for a distance of approximately 47 metres, the **NO STOPPING AT ALL TIMES** parking restriction be put in place.
  - (iii) on the south side of **UNIVERSAL DRIVE** starting from **CENTRAL PARK DRIVE** and extending west for a distance of approximately 89 metres, the **NO STOPPING AT ALL TIMES** parking restriction be put in place.
- 3. **Approve** that in relation to **SOLJAN DRIVE, HENDERSON:**
  - (a) and in accordance with the powers conferred by virtue of the Local Government Act 1974, the Land Transport Act 1998, the Transport Act 1962 and the Waitakere City Council Bylaw No. 7, 1991 - Traffic, the following control now be resolved to be specified and imposed, namely,
    - (i) a new **GIVE WAY** control be put in place on the south end of **SOLJAN DRIVE** where it intersects with **UNIVERSAL DRIVE**.
- 4. **Approve** that in relation to **CENTRAL PARK DRIVE, HENDERSON:**
  - (a) and in accordance with the powers conferred by virtue of the Local Government Act 1974, the Land Transport Act 1998, the Transport Act 1962 and the Waitakere City Council Bylaw No. 7, 1991 – Traffic, the following restrictions now be resolved to be specified and imposed, namely,
    - (i) on the east side of **CENTRAL PARK DRIVE** starting from the vehicle crossing at number **103 CENTRAL PARK DRIVE** and extending south for a distance of approximately 165 metres, the **NO STOPPING AT ALL TIMES** parking restriction be put in place.
    - (ii) on the west side of **CENTRAL PARK DRIVE** starting from **UNIVERSAL DRIVE** and extending north for a distance of approximately 40 metres, the **NO STOPPING AT ALL TIMES** parking restriction be put in place.
    - (iii) on the west side of **CENTRAL PARK DRIVE** starting from **UNIVERSAL DRIVE** and extending south for a distance of approximately 85 metres, the **NO STOPPING AT ALL TIMES** parking restriction be put in place.
- A7 5. **Approve** the installation of a **FLUSH MEDIAN** on **UNIVERSAL DRIVE** between **LINCOLN ROAD** and **CENTRAL PARK DRIVE, HENDERSON** as delineated on the plan attached at page A7 to the Agenda.
- 6. **Agree** that the appropriate signage and/or road markings, in accordance with the provisions of the Land Transport Rule; Traffic Control Devices 2004 - Rule 54002 hereby be approved to be put in place to properly establish, delineate and record the said flush median, Give Way control, parking limitations and restrictions.

## BACKGROUND

1. The Henderson Vineyards Business Campus was conceived in 2001 as an economic development project that would unlock the employment potential of vacant Working Environment land in the Lincoln Road area. Council determined that in order to realise the potential of this land, and to ensure that an interconnected street system was established, it would undertake the construction of a roading network.
2. The initial stage of this overall project, Paramount Drive extension, was completed in February 2005.
3. The construction of Universal Drive from Lincoln Road to Central Park Drive was separated into two stages to allow for the relocation of the Morrison's funeral home and crematorium. Stage one construction started from Central Park Drive to Soljan Drive and was completed in March 2008. Stage two construction will start from Soljan Drive to Lincoln Road and expected to be completed by mid March 2009.

## DECISION MAKING

### Issues

A7

4. Two new roads are being constructed. Road 1 is an extension to Universal Drive connecting Lincoln Road and Central Park Drive. Road 2 has been named as Soljan Drive and it intersects with the new Universal Drive, parallel to Lincoln Road to provide a connection with Paramount Drive to the north. A flush median, a Give Way Control and No Stopping At All Times parking restrictions at intersections are proposed as indicated on the diagram attached at page A7.
5. The proposed flush median on Universal Drive is approximately 570 metres long from Lincoln Road to Central Park Drive. The flush median will provide improved safety for vehicles to turn right from the centre of the road into roadside properties and side streets, and also reduces head-on collisions. No Stopping At All Times parking restrictions at the three intersections are recommended to maintain safe movement on roads by keeping traffic lanes and visibility lines clear.

### Consideration of Community Views

6. The proposed road marking layout was consulted and agreed with Morrison Horticultural Limited as part of the requirements in the Agreement for Sale and Purchase of land. The Agreement for Sale and Purchase of land was signed in December 2006.
7. The proposed road marking layout was consulted with The Hanger Bar, Ju-Jitsu Club and Washworld Services (Henderson) Limited as part of the requirements in the Agreement for Sale and Purchase of land. No objections have been received.
8. The proposed road marking layout was also discussed with the Core Group representing businesses on Central Park Drive in a meeting held at The Trusts Stadium on 2 September 2008.

## STRATEGIC CONTEXT

9. Council's Integrated Transport and Communication platform provides the strategic context for this report. The vision is for communications systems that provide fast, effective services, and for City travel facilitated by integrated, environmentally-responsible and innovative design, with a focus on meeting the essential safety needs of all.
10. No Stopping At All Times parking restrictions can be applied to ensure efficient, safe movement on roads by keeping traffic lanes and visibility lines clear. Flush medians reduce overtaking and rear end crashes, and generally calm the traffic flow.

## CONSULTATION

11. Consultation with internal staff, external agencies and Maori was not required for this report.

## RESOURCES

12. Funding has been provided in the Annual Plan 2008/2009, Universal Drive Extension.

## IMPLEMENTATION ISSUES

13. There are no implementation issues arising from this report.

**Report prepared by:** Vinh Bui, Senior Transport Engineer.



## 16 HENDERSON WARD - FUTURE SLOW STREETS PRIORITY LIST

### GLOSSARY

Kilometre per hour (kph)

### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The purpose of this report is to enable the Henderson Community Board to review and approve the Future Slow Streets Priority List within its ward.

As these projects require further investigation, no options have been considered. Therefore no public consultation has been undertaken yet.

### RECOMMENDATIONS

It is recommended that the Henderson Community Board resolve to:

1. **Receive** the Henderson Ward - Future Slow Streets Priority List report.
2. **Approve** the removal of Merchant Avenue from the Slow Streets Priority List and replace it with Harvest Drive.

3. **Approve** the reviewed Henderson Ward - Future Slow Streets Priority List programme which includes Hindmarsh Street, Norval Road/Pinedale Place and Harvest Drive.

### BACKGROUND

1. In August 2008 the Henderson Community Board requested Council to review three roads in the slow street priority list within their Ward and report back to the Henderson Community Board.
2. The initial assessment of Hindmarsh Street, Norval Road/Pinedale Place, Merchant Avenue and Harvest Drive was completed and it indicated that Merchant Avenue did not produce enough score to warrant a Local Area Traffic Management treatment. Therefore, it is recommended to include Norval Road/Pinedale Place and Harvest Drive in the future slow street programme.

### DECISION MAKING

#### Issues

3. Council officers have completed the initial investigation for slow street treatments to be implemented within the Henderson Ward. The roads considered were Hindmarsh Street, Norval Road/Pinedale Place, Harvest Drive and Merchant Avenue. Hindmarsh Street and Norval Road/Pinedale Place were already in the slow street programme when these roads were under Massey Ward before the boundary shift. These streets are now in the Henderson Ward. Council officers considered criteria involving; accident history (past five years), the measured traffic speed (seven day survey), traffic volume (seven day survey), percentage of heavy vehicles using the street, road geometry, length of road and road hierarchy (local, collector, arterial, etc) to prioritise the slow streets.

#### Merchant Avenue

4. In August 2008, the Henderson Community Board requested the Council to investigate the speeding issue along Merchant Avenue. Merchant Avenue is a local road running between Lyndhurst Road and Jaemont Avenue. The reported accident history for Merchant Avenue (last five years) as recorded in the New Zealand Transport Agency accident database includes one non-injury accident. The database does not contain a complete list of all accidents. It is possible one or more unreported accidents have occurred in this street.
5. The investigation which has been carried out in October 2008 indicated that 90% of the vehicles on this road drive within the posted speed limit of 50 kilometre per hour (kph) with 85<sup>th</sup> percentile speed at 55 kph, i.e. 85% of the motorists travelled at a speed less than, or equal to 55 kph over a period of seven days. The traffic counts also show an average daily traffic volume of 943 daily movements.

A8-A9

6. In conclusion, there is no speeding problem in Merchant Avenue and the results from the survey that was undertaken is attached at pages A8 to A9.

#### Harvest Drive

7. In May 2008 the residents of Harvest Drive requested the Council to investigate the speeding issues along Harvest Drive. Harvest Drive is a local road running between Sturges Road and Summerland Drive. Vehicle speed and volume collected in June 2008 revealed that the 85<sup>th</sup> speed in Harvest Drive was 58 kph and an average daily traffic of 2,417 vehicles. The reported accident history for Harvest Drive (last five years) as recorded in the New Zealand Transport Agency accident database includes three non-injury accidents. It is possible one or more unreported accidents have occurred in this street.

### **Hindmarsh Street**

8. Hindmarsh Street is classified as a local road in the Waitakere District Plan. It runs between Bruce McLaren Road and Farwood Drive. This road is 50 kph zone with average daily traffic flow of about 1,900 vehicles per day. Three accidents were reported on these roads (excluding its intersections with Bruce McLaren Road and Farwood Drive) in the five year period. It is possible one or more unreported accidents have occurred in this street. This road has been on the slow streets priority list for a number of years under the Massey Ward and now under Henderson Ward.

### **Norval Road/Pinedale Avenue**

9. Norval Road and Pinedale Avenue is a continuous road running between Lincoln Road and Fairdene Avenue. These roads have been on the slow streets priority list for a number of years under the Massey Ward. These roads are 50 kph zones. The 2005 traffic counts show an average daily traffic volume of over 2,250 daily movements. Three accidents were reported on these roads (excluding its intersections with Lincoln Road and Fairdene Avenue) in the five year period. It is possible one or more unreported accidents have occurred in this street. The slow street treatment in these roads also improves the safety of Henderson Primary School children.

### **Options Identified**

10. No options were considered at this stage. The appropriate treatment for Hindmarsh Street, Norval Road/Pinedale Place and Harvest Drive will require further investigation and it is ascertained that there is no speeding problem in Merchant Avenue.

### **Consideration of Community Views**

11. Speed is a common problem around the City. The Council's current slow street priority list has 12 sites and some of these sites have been on the list for more than five years because of the limited budget available. The Council's slow street programme priority list will take more than four years to complete.
12. There are a few types of slow street devices that can be implemented on these roads. The type and number usually depends on criteria such as road width, vehicle crossing locations and road layout. As these projects require further investigation to finalise the most appropriate slow street treatment option, consultation with the public has not been undertaken at this time.

### **STRATEGIC CONTEXT**

13. The Council's 'Integrated Transport and Communication' platform provides the strategic context for this report. The vision is for public transport and communications systems that provide fast, effective services, and for City travel facilitated by integrated, environmentally-responsible and innovative design, with a focus on meeting the essential needs of all, for access, communication, and safety.
14. The implementation of slow streets treatments focuses on addressing speed related safety problems and providing a more pedestrian friendly environment. However, implementing slow streets has some negative consequences including impeding response times for emergency vehicles and reducing ride comfort for vehicle occupants including public transport.

## CONSULTATION

15. Consultation with internal staff, external agencies and Maori was not required for this report.

## RESOURCES

16. The installation of slow streets can be implemented under the Annual Plan Slow Streets budget for that particular year.

## IMPLEMENTATION ISSUES

17. There are no implementation issues.

**Report prepared by:** Sreekanth Vidhyadharan, Transport Engineer.



## 17 COMMUNITY WELLBEING LOCAL FUND ALLOCATION - FEBRUARY 2009

### GLOSSARY

|   |                         |
|---|-------------------------|
| Henderson Community Board                       | (HCB)                   |
| Community Wellbeing Local Fund                  | (CWLF)                  |
| Community Assistance Fund Policy and Guidelines | (Policy and Guidelines) |

### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The purpose of this report is to provide the Henderson Community Board (HCB) with information related to the Henderson Ward applications to the Community Wellbeing Local Fund (CWLF).

Four Henderson Ward applications were received for the February 2009 round of the CWLF and all are deemed eligible by Council's Community Grants Officer.

### RECOMMENDATIONS

It is recommended that Henderson Community Board resolve to:

1. **Receive** the Community Wellbeing Local Fund Allocation - February 2009 report.
2. **Agree** to consider Henderson Ward applications to the Community Wellbeing Local Fund Allocation - February 2009 and allocate funding as per the Community Assistance Fund Policy and Guidelines.

### BACKGROUND

1. The CWLF provides small sums of monetary assistance in the way of grants to a wide range of groups in the community, who provide local services and activities on a voluntary or 'not-for-profit' basis.
2. The Council allocates an amount of \$113,000, of which \$56,000 is allocated to Citywide projects by the Finance and Operational Performance Committee. The balance is allocated to each Community Board on a ward population basis.

3. In May 2008 a final workshop was held with all four Community Boards to outline the policies and procedures. At the workshop the Community Boards agreed:
- To have three rounds per financial year from each ward;
  - The criteria be expanded to include applications from individuals;
  - That every eligible applicant be given the opportunity to present their application to the respective Community Board;
  - To enable organisations to apply for cultural activities; and
  - That catering costs may only be accepted as part of a larger programme or activity to a maximum sum of \$250.

**NOTE:** In general, support will not be provided to organisations that request assistance for activities that promote religious and or political beliefs unless they are proven to have community benefit.

4. In April 2008, the Finance and Operational Performance Committee agreed to the criteria of the Community Wellbeing Grants:

*“The Finance and Operational Performance Committee resolved to:*

2. **Approve** the recommended option for the Community Assistance Funds restructure and policy development”.

580/2008

## DECISION MAKING

### Issues

#### Promotion

5. The following methods were used to advertise and promote the CWLF:
- Two advertisements in the Western Leader;
  - Community Assistance Newsletter - posted to 1,000 community groups;
  - CWLF workshops;
  - Waitakere City Council website; and
  - Information and application forms were provided to, all libraries, Community Centres and Citizen’s Advice Bureaux.
6. Although significant advertising of the CWLF was undertaken, it is of concern that there were a low number of applications submitted. Therefore, it is proposed that regular reviews take place to identify ongoing improvements in the promotion of the CWLF.

#### Assessment of Options

7. The Board has four eligible applications to consider for financial assistance with the total amount requested being \$7,189.40. The maximum amount each applicant may apply for is \$1,500.

8. The Community Grants Officer has assessed each application against the Community Assistance Funds Policy and Guidelines (Policy and Guidelines) and deems all of the applications to be eligible for consideration by the HCB. Below is a list of all the applications and the amounts requested.

|   | <b>Amount applied for</b> |
|---|---------------------------|
| Waitakere Workers Education Association | \$3,000.00                |
| Te Atatu Peninsula Community House      | \$1,189.40                |
| Te Atatu Peninsula Parade Society INC   | \$1,500.00                |
| Body Casting- Art for Health            | \$1,500.00                |
| <b>TOTAL</b>                            | <b>\$7,189.40</b>         |

**NOTE:** The Waitakere Workers Education Association applied for \$3,000 but under the Community Assistance Funds Policy and Guidelines the amount the Community Board can allocate can not exceed \$1,500.

9. The total amount available for the 2008/2009 financial year is \$17,277. After the second allocation of funds given in 2008/2009 a balance of \$12,262 remains for the 2008/2009 financial year.
10. All applications have been sorted from highest to lowest in terms of their eligibility by the Community Grants Officer. This assessment has been based on the information provided in the application and aligned to the eligibility criteria under the Policy and Guidelines.
- A10-A13 11. It was confirmed by Community Boards and the Community Grants Officer, that funds through the Community Boards should be available throughout the year, to include applications from individuals and that every applicant may present their applications to the appropriate Community Board for decision. Once the decision has been reached at the Community Board meeting, confirmation of payment to the applicant is forwarded to the Community Grants Officer to distribute to the successful applicants. All other conditions are set out by the individual Community Boards. The summaries of the applications are attached at pages A10 to A13.
12. The Community Grants Officer recommends that the HCB work through the applications in order, making an allocation on each application.

#### **STRATEGIC CONTEXT**

13. The Local Government Act 2002 states that as part of the preparation of the Long Term Council Community Plan a set of community outcomes must be identified for the City. The Council takes these into account in the formulation of its strategic direction and budgeting and provides a mandated set of strategic outcomes against which to assess grants.
14. A number of the Council's Community Outcomes and the Council's Strategic Platforms and Priorities have a strong connection to social wellbeing. The Community Outcomes and Strategic Platforms and Priorities are the key areas against which the Community Wellbeing Funds are assessed. The key Community Outcomes include; Strong Communities, Toiora, Urban and Rural Villages and Working Together. The Council Platforms are Strong Communities and Urban and Rural Villages.

## CONSULTATION

15. Consultation on the CWLF was held with Council officers, Community Boards and external clients and organisations.

## RESOURCES

16. The HCB has a total of \$17,277 allocate to during the 2008/2009 financial year. As of the December 2008 round \$12,262 remains for the 2008/2009 financial year.

## IMPLEMENTATION ISSUES

17. There are no implementation issues associated with this report.

**Report prepared by:** Kim Hammond, Community Grants Officer.



## 18 PROJECT TWIN STREAMS UPDATE

### GLOSSARY

|                              |        |
|------------------------------|--------|
| Auckland Regional Council    | (ARC)  |
| New Zealand Transport Agency | (NZTA) |
| Project Twin Streams         | (PTS)  |
| Waitakere City Council       | (WCC)  |

### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The purpose of this report is to provide the Henderson Community Board with an update of Project Twin Streams (PTS) outcomes from February 2008 to December 2008.

### RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Henderson Community Board resolve to:

**Receive** the Project Twin Streams Update report.

### BACKGROUND

1. PTS is an innovative multi-faceted project that focuses on achieving long-term sustainable integrated management of the Henderson Creek and Huruuru Creek catchments. This project weaves together the issues of integrated stormwater management and the restoration of stream banks along the Oratia, Waikumete, Opanuku, Pixie and Swanson Streams, with a sustainable community development approach. The PTS catchment has a population of 103,000 people and covers 10,000 hectares.
2. Infrastructure Auckland (now Auckland Regional Holdings) provided grant funding of \$40 million over a 10 year period from 2003-2012 for stream restoration work on the Opanuku, Oratia, Waikumete, Pixie, Swanson and Paremuka Streams, as well as the Henderson Creek. The project broadly aims to avoid, remedy and mitigate adverse stormwater effects in ways that also achieve social, cultural and economic outcomes. A further \$5.2 million was received to build 10 kilometres of new cycle and walkways alongside 3 of the streams in the PTS catchment.

3. The purpose or kaupapa for PTS is: *Working together for healthy streams and strong communities: creating a sustainable future.*
4. The point of difference of PTS from other environmental projects is that it takes a sustainable community development approach. The assumptions underpinning this approach are:
  - People are more likely to make changes in behaviour when they understand the problem and are part of identifying the solutions;
  - Local communities are more knowledgeable for engaging local people;
  - Each community has its own diverse characteristics;
  - Creative learning methods that engage with people's hearts and minds are more effective in creating meaningful and long-term behaviour change; and
  - A partnership approach between communities; local, regional and central government, non-government organisations and local people will be a very effective way of achieving long-term change towards sustainability.
5. Sustainable Communities programme has had a significant role in supporting and resourcing the quadruple bottom line aspects of PTS.

## DECISION MAKING

### Planting and Maintenance Programme

6. PTS is showing evidence of extensive planting of eco sourced native plants forming riparian corridors which form the backbone of the Green Network and links the Waitakere Ranges to the Waitemata Harbour.

#### Highlights and Progress from Last Update - February 2008 to December 2008

| Description                        | 2008   | Cumulative Progress to Date |
|------------------------------------|--------|-----------------------------|
| Number of plants planted:          |        | 382,421                     |
| Community                          | 77,988 |                             |
| Contractors                        | 20,963 |                             |
| Number of Community Groups         | 6      | 6                           |
| Number of Volunteers (individuals) | 4,460  | 14,726                      |
| Number of Groups                   | 65     | 154                         |

| Community Contract Organisation                   | Catchment/ Stream | Total Number of Community Groups |
|---|-------------------|----------------------------------|
| Te Ukaipo Mercy Initiatives for Rangitahi         | Swanson           | 12                               |
| Community Waitakere                               | Henderson         | 11                               |
| Corban Estate Arts Centre                         | Opanuku           | 13                               |
| Ecomatters Environment Trust                      | Waikumete         | 9                                |
| McLaren Park Henderson South Community Initiative | Oratia            | 19                               |
| Rutherford College                                | Pixie             | 1                                |

## Community Contracts and Community Engagement

7. A key goal of PTS is to build community ownership of the project in ways that are inclusive and meaningful for local neighbourhood communities and communities of interest. This is being achieved through contracts with community-based organisations to deliver PTS in their communities. This means that local organisations with local knowledge are the driving force of the project.
8. The following is a brief update of some of the achievements from each of the contract areas:
  - **Ranui-Massey** - This project is being delivered by **Te Ukaipo Mercy Initiatives for Rangitahi** in association with the Ranui Action Project and covers the Swanson Stream from Birdwood Road to Huruhuru Creek. This is the first PTS community contract and has now achieved 100 percent adoption of public areas along the stream banks by ten local groups including 3 schools – this includes taking responsibility for site preparation, planting and maintenance. A focus for Te Ukaipo Mercy Initiatives for Rangitahi has been successfully engaging a number of youth at risk groups in stream restoration activities. Te Piataata Trust, a group who work with at-risk youth recently won a Green Ribbon Award for their dedicated work on PTS. This achievement was recognised by a celebration mayoral tea held in the Council Chambers. Community groups planted 22,706 plants during 2008.
  - **Te Ukaipo Mercy Initiatives for Rangitahi** (the contract holder for PTS Ranui-Massey) are working with Unitec to develop appropriate unit standards so that youth at risk groups working regularly on stream restoration have the opportunity to develop skill recognition for their work.
  - **Henderson - Community Waitakere** are actively engaging residents and groups in the Henderson area to restore Henderson Creek. Community Waitakere now have 11 community groups working regularly on the stream, including two schools. They play a leading role in facilitating and developing a partnership arrangement between community contract organisations and Council. Community groups planted 12,344 plants during 2008.
  - **Henderson Valley - Corban Estate Arts Centre** is delivering this contract in association with Waitakere Pacific Arts & Cultural Trust to restore the Lower Opanuku Stream as far as Border Road. The stream in this area is now a great showcase for what communities can achieve, engaging 13 groups to adopt areas on the Opanuku streamside, and the development of the Friends of Opanuku group. This is a volunteer group consisting of local residents who meet regularly for plantings, maintenance work, workshops and to support the coordinator and the project in various ways. Community groups planted 11,280 plants during 2008.
  - **Glen Eden** - covering Waikumete Stream. This community contract is being delivered by **EcoMatters Environment Trust** in partnership with Glen Eden Primary School. Nine community groups and local businesses are actively engaged in the stream restoration work including a whanau group of parents, pre-schoolers and home-schoolers. A local community reference group has been formed to support and advise the coordinator and to participate in planning the implementation of the project in Glen Eden. Community groups planted 16,081 plants during 2008.

- **McLaren Park** - is being delivered by **McLaren Park Henderson South Community Initiative** and covers the lower Oratia Stream. The local community planted 14,702 plants in 2008. A community edible garden was established beside the stream off Millbrook Road as a novel way of engaging the local community while educating about healthy eating, composting, worm farming, and general organic gardening methods. This is one of the many innovative ways that PTS community coordinators use to get people down to the streams, enjoying the environment and the company of their neighbours.
  - **Swanson** - In 2007, a local resident from Swanson was contracted to engage residents in the restoration of Swanson Stream. This approach realised a number of immediate benefits such as all the public areas being planted and the Redwood Park Golf Club. A good relationship has been established over 2007 with the Redwood Park Golf Club and Swanson School continues to participate in a regular planting and maintenance programme. Community groups planted 875 plants during 2008.
9. This community development model is proving extremely successful in facilitating diverse and significant community engagement in PTS. PTS has reached the point where local communities are committed to active participation and engagement in stream restoration activities and making streams the heart of their local neighbourhoods.

#### Creative Processes

10. Using creative learning methods to engage people's hearts and minds in reconnecting with their natural environment and healing the planet is proving to be an essential tool in the success of the stream restoration programme of PTS. It also provides a tangible and fun way for people, particularly children to engage in activities to strengthen their connection to sense of place and the special significance of the Waitakere waterways as they flow from the Ranges to the sea.

| Participants                                  | 2005/2006 | 2006/2007 | 2007/2008 |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Primary Schools                               | 3         | 7         | 8         |
| After School Groups (Primary to Intermediate) | 2         |           |           |
| Intermediate Schools                          |           | 1         | 2         |
| High Schools                                  |           | 3         | 4         |
| Primary to High School                        |           |           | 1         |
| Adult Groups                                  | 2         | 4         | 4         |
| Social Teen Group                             | 1         |           | 2         |
| Alternative Education Teen Groups             | 1         |           | 2         |
| Scout Group                                   | 1         |           |           |
| Dance School Group                            |           | 1         |           |
| Pre School                                    |           | 1         | 1         |
| Social Teen Groups                            |           |           | 2         |

11. The table above clearly indicates that the creative engagement programme is gaining momentum, for example there are now 8 primary schools in 2007/2008 compared to 3 in 2005/2006.
12. The Kids 4 Drama School of Performing Arts visited 30 primary and intermediate schools in the PTS area during April 2008 with their latest production "Kimi and Kane The Stream Protectors". This production built on the storyline from the very successful previous year's tour and provided an opportunity to emphasise some of PTS's key messages around ensuring storm water drains only drain rain, and the importance of not littering. The script had input from the PTS team at council and community level.

13. Kids 4 Drama provided the teachers of each class attending the show with a teacher's resource sheet giving suggestions for questions and exercises using the New Zealand School Arts Curriculum that reinforced the learning from the production. They also held a competition for children to send a letter to Kimi and Kane telling them what they learned from the performance and how they were going to play their part in protecting our streams in the future. There was a great response to this with several hundred entries received. Prizes included individual drama lessons and a whole class drama workshop with the Kids 4 Drama team.
14. Capturing the imagination of children through drama productions of this kind is proving to be an effective way to raise awareness of environmental issues and educate children about how they can all play a part in helping to heal our streams now as well as protect them into the future.

### **Walk and Cycleways**

15. In 2004 an application was approved by Auckland Regional Holdings for \$5.2 million to construct walk and cycleways along the Opanuku, Oratia and Waikumete Streams. An additional subsidy of \$3.4 million from the New Zealand Transport Agency (NZTA) has also been approved.
16. Finding creative opportunities to connect people to their natural environment and the streams is key to PTS. Including art in the walk/cycleways also is an opportunity to celebrate the diversity of Waitakere and provide a sense of community ownership and an incentive for people to use them. Many of the art initiatives involve collaborations with key stakeholders in PTS including Te Kawarau a Maki, Ngāti Whatua and the local schools and communities.
17. One of the key projects for 2008 was significant progress on the construction of the PTS walk/cycleways. When completed, the 6 pathways, totalling 10 kilometres will connect people with each other and their natural environment and include art tiles depicting local flora, fauna, cultural and iwi stories together with educational signs and a demonstration area for permeable paving.

### **Update on Construction**

18. Outlined below is progress on construction to date:
  - The Oratia segment from Parrs Cross Road to Millbrook Road is complete and a final completion certificate has been issued;
  - The Lower Opanuku segment extending from Great North Road through Corban Estate to Border Road is complete and a practical completion certificate has been issued;
  - The Lower Waikumete segment from Seymour Road through a purpose-built wetland to intersect with the Oratia segment is complete;
  - Construction of the 3 bridges over the Oratia and Opanuku streams is complete and a practical completion certificate has been issued;
  - The Millbrook Road segment extending from the Oratia segment to the Pioneer Street footbridge on Vitasovich Avenue is complete. This also includes the link from Great North Road to the cycleway behind Pak'n Save on Alderman Drive;
  - The design for the Upper Waikumete segment from Ceramco Park to Savoy Road is complete. Resource and building consents have been approved. The construction contract has been tendered and the tenders are being evaluated. Construction will commence in January 2009;
  - The design for the Upper Opanuku segment from Border Road to Henderson Valley Road is complete. Auckland Regional Council (ARC) resource consent and Waitakere City Council (WCC) building consent have been approved. The construction contract will be tendered once the WCC resource consent has been approved. Construction will commence in February 2009; and

- Applications for funding for lighting the walk/cycleways were made to NZTA and the Energy Commission. The application to the NZTA for an additional \$1.2 million was successful. If the application to the Energy Commission is successful, a contract for the design and construction of the lighting will be let in January 2009.

### **Property Purchases and Covenants**

19. To date, 78 properties have been purchased for stormwater management and riparian margin development.
20. Part property purchases and 95 covenants over private properties are progressing well for the extension of the riparian margins. It is anticipated that this process will be completed by the end of June 2009.

### **Relationship with Manawhenua and Iwi**

21. There continues to be strong support from both Te Kawerau a Maki and Ngati Whatua for PTS:
  - There is ongoing consultation and relationship between PTS and local iwi;
  - Both iwi were involved in designing art works for the walk/cycleways representing their connection to the land;
  - A contract was concluded with Ngati Whatua for the riparian margin restoration on one of the PTS tributaries utilising traditional (cultural) restoration methods; and
  - A Pa Harakeke (native flax garden) site along the Opanuku Stream is currently being designed. The initial funding for this project was from Sustainable Communities and is being lead by PTS Opanuku in consultation with Te Kawarau a Maki, UNITEC, Manaaki Whenua and local weavers.

### **Future Works for Project Twin Streams**

22. Future works to be undertaken for Project Twin Streams are as follows:
  - There are two planting seasons left;
  - Extending the restoration work to other urban streams and upper reaches of Opanuku, Oratia and Swanson Streams; and
  - Discussion and consultation with community groups to undertake riparian restoration work beyond 2012.
23. The partnering relationship being developed between WCC, PTS team and PTS community contract organisations will be further developed through the planning of a PTS Sustainable Catchment Governance Structure for long term governance of all PTS programmes (including the stream restoration programme). This will include representation of WCC, ARC, PTS community contract organisations, community, iwi/māori, business and central government. As an interim step, the ARC-WCC PTS Steering Group is considering inviting iwi and community representatives to join the steering group whilst the longer term governance is being developed. The governance structure will be in place by the end of June 2009.

## STRATEGIC CONTEXT

24. PTS has been identified as one of Council's catalytic projects and is contributing to the implementation of the vision of Waitakere as an eco city through all Council's strategic objectives and platforms and its contribution to Community Outcomes - Green Network, Strong Communities, Sustainable Environment, Strong Economies, Waiora (Environmental Protection, Whaiora (Participation in society). The Community Outcome priorities strongly identify PTS as a project for enabling the achievement of a number of community outcome priorities.
25. In particular PTS supports the Council strategic platforms of:
- Strong Communities - people are active, healthy and content;
  - Active Democracy - people feel they can make a difference. There are high levels of community participation and respect for each others' views;
  - Green Network - caring for natural areas. Protection and enhancement is on both public and private land; community involvement is encouraged, as is the protection of landscapes, native plants, wildlife and ecosystems; and
  - Three Waters - stream restoration and resolving stormwater management issues.
26. PTS also demonstrates the integration of Council's Quadruple Bottom Line approach in programme development and implementation as required by the Local Government Act 2002.

## CONSULTATION

27. There is no consultation required for this report.

## RESOURCES

28. There are no resource implications arising from this report.

## IMPLEMENTATION ISSUES

29. PTS has systems and processes in place to ensure the project is well placed to successfully achieve its contractual obligations with Auckland Regional Holdings by 2012. The ways of delivering this project through a community development model using creative processes to engage local communities is proving overwhelmingly successful.
30. The project has also acted as a catalyst for engaging communities in wider issues of how to create a sustainable catchment through learning how to live more sustainably and integrated catchment management planning. With the partnership with the ARC being confirmed through a Memorandum of Understanding and a Strategic Cross Council Steering Group in place, PTS has a wider support base to fulfil its potential.

**Report prepared by:** Shuaib Chota, Sustainable Engineering Manager.



**PUBLIC EXCLUDED MATTER**

**19 WALKWAY OF FAME**

This item will be considered in the Confidential Supplement of the agenda, and has been circulated to members separately with this agenda.

**PROCEDURAL MOTION TO EXCLUDE THE PUBLIC**

That the public be excluded from the following part of the proceedings of this meeting, Walkway of Fame.

The general subject of the matter to be considered while the public is excluded, the reason for passing this resolution in relation of the matter, and the specific grounds under Section 48(1) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 for the passing of this resolution are as follows:

| General subject of the matter to be considered. | Reason for passing this resolution in relation to the matter.  | Ground(s) under Section 48(1)(a) for the passing of this resolution.   |
|---|--|--|
| Walkway of Fame                                 | The withholding of information is necessary in order to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• enable any local authority holding the information to carry on, without prejudice or disadvantage, negotiations (including commercial and industrial negotiations).</li></ul> | That the public conduct of the relevant part of the proceedings of the meeting would be likely to result in the disclosure of information for which good reason for withholding would exist. |

This resolution is made in reliance on Section 48(1)(a) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 and the particular interest or interests protected by Section 7(2)(i) of that Act which would be prejudiced by the holding of the relevant part of the proceedings of the meeting in public as follows:

- *The report contains information which if released could affect the Council's negotiations.*

